



IA-ECOSOC

INTER-AMERICAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL

OEA/Ser.H/X.4
CIES/299 (English)
1 September 1963
Original: Spanish/English

PART I
Chapter I

SECOND ANNUAL MEETINGS OF THE IA-ECOSOC
AT THE EXPERT LEVEL AND AT THE MINISTERIAL LEVEL
OCTOBER-NOVEMBER 1963
SAO PAULO, BRAZIL

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance for Progress

Chapter I. Latin American Exports and the Markets
for Primary Products

PROVISIONAL

This document contains Chapter I of Part I of the Economic and Social Survey of Latin America for the year 1962. The Survey is being distributed in parts in order to make this material available at the earliest possible moment. Chapters II through V plus Parts II and III will be distributed as soon as possible.

N O T E

This Survey was prepared by the Secretariat of the Organization of American States which alone is responsible for its contents. The Secretariat wishes to express its gratitude to the Economic Commission for Latin America (ECLA) for the cooperation received during the preparation of the Survey.

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance for Progress

Chapter I. Latin American Exports and the Markets for Basic Products

Chapter II. Latin America's Capacity to Import, and its Balance of Payments

Chapter III. Total Production, Capital Formation, and Monetary Developments

Chapter IV. Manufacturing Sector

Chapter V. The Execution of Economic Programs under the Alliance for Progress

PART II. Principal Characteristics and Development of the Central American Productive Structure

PART III. Social Survey

I N D E X

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 1. LATIN AMERICAN EXPORTS AND THE MARKETS FOR BASIC PRODUCTS	1
A. ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN THE INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRIES ..	1
B. THE INTERNATIONAL MARKET FOR BASIC PRODUCTS.....	11
1. Sugar	18
2. Bananas	24
3. Cacao	28
4. Coffee	32
5. Wheat	40
6. Corn	43
7. Meat	47
8. Cotton	52
9. Wool	57
10. Copper	61
11. Lead and Zinc	65
12. Tin	69
13. Silver	74
14. Petroleum	76
C. TOTAL EXPORTS AND THEIR GEOGRAPHIC STRUCTURE.....	81

SYMBOLS USED

Three dots (...) indicate that data are not available or are not separately reported.

A dash (--) indicates that the amount is nil or negligible.

A minus sign (-) indicates a deficit or decrease.

A stroke (/) indicates a crop year or fiscal year--e.g., 1954/55.

A full stop (.) is used to indicate decimals.

A space is used to distinguish thousands and millions (3 421 520).

Use of hyphen (-) between two dates--e.g., 1950-1954--normally signifies an annual average for the calendar years involved, including the beginning and end years.

"to" between the years indicates the full period--e.g., "1950 to 1954"--means 1950 to 1954 inclusive.

Reference to "tons" indicate metric tons; and to "dollars," United States dollars, unless otherwise stated.

Totals do not necessarily correspond to the sum of their components, because of rounding.

An asterisk (*) is used to indicate figures partially or wholly estimated.

The term "billion" signifies a thousand million.

Chapter I

LATIN AMERICAN EXPORTS AND THE MARKETS FOR BASIC PRODUCTS

A. ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN THE INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRIES

Economic expansion continued in the industrialized part of the world in 1962, although the degree of expansion was quite different in each region. In the countries that had been affected by a slight recession in 1960/61, economic activity recovered in the first half of 1962. Although this movement slowed down in the second half of 1962 and the first quarter of 1963, the increases for the year as a whole in the various countries were generally higher than those for the previous year. The opposite occurred in the European Economic Community, where the rate of growth of economic activity continued to decline; the annual percentages of increase in the national product of the various countries of the Community were lower than those for 1961, and much lower than those during the accelerated expansion of 1959/60.

The over-all demand increase in the industrialized regions contributed to the progress of imports from the underdeveloped areas. However, the intensity of this phenomenon varied a great deal from one industrial country to another, not only because the rates of growth of the total expenditure were not the same in the various countries but also because the component parts of that expenditure did not increase in the same manner everywhere. In addition, in certain countries, specific factors affected the amount of purchases from the developing regions.

In the United States, the recovery of economic activity, begun after the 1960/61 recession, continued in 1962 and the first half of 1963; but it tapered off in the second half of 1962. The real gross national product, which had increased 7.7 percent, in the first quarter of 1962 and 6 percent in the second quarter of that year over the levels for the same quarters of 1961, grew only 4.7 percent and 3.1 percent in the last two quarters.^{1/}

This relatively small increase in the volume of the total demand was essentially the result of the increase in private consumption expenditures and investment by business. The change in consumption expenditures reflected simultaneously a constant increase in expenditures for services, the import content of which is insignificant, and a strong

1. These percentages were computed on the basis of the seasonally adjusted index of volume of the gross national product at 1954 prices (see Table 1).

Table 1

UNITED STATES: VARIATIONS IN CERTAIN COMPONENTS OF THE GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT

(In billions of dollars at 1954 prices)

	G. N. P.	Personal consumption expenditures				Government purchases of goods and services	Gross domestic formation of private capital ^{b/}	Exports of goods and services	Imports of goods and services
		Durable goods	Nondurable goods	Services	Total				
1960	440.2	42.2	141.4	114.7	298.3	79.8	60.7	24.9	23.4
<u>Years</u>			(Indices for preceding period = 100.0)						
1961	101.7	98.6	101.3	104.1	102.0	105.3	95.2	101.6	100.4
1962	105.3	108.6	103.6	104.3	104.6	107.0	109.5	103.9	109.4
<u>Quarters a/</u>									
1961) I	98.4	92.0	100.6	104.5	100.9	104.8	75.0	108.0	94.1
) II	100.4	96.0	100.0	103.7	100.9	104.1	91.9	98.0	95.0
) III	102.4	99.8	101.8	104.0	102.3	103.5	103.1	99.6	102.9
) IV	105.9	106.2	103.0	104.4	103.9	109.1	114.9	101.1	109.7
1962) I	107.7	113.1	103.9	104.3	105.3	108.1	125.6	101.5	111.7
) II	106.0	108.0	104.1	104.3	104.8	107.1	113.4	108.6	113.6
) III	104.7	106.9	103.5	104.2	104.2	108.6	103.3	104.4	108.6
) IV	103.1	107.2	103.0	103.8	103.9	105.0	98.0	100.4	104.0

a. Seasonally adjusted quarterly totals at annual rates.

b. Includes gross domestic formation of fixed capital plus changes in stocks.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business, February 1963.

recovery in purchases of durable goods, which were 8.6 percent higher than in 1961. Purchases of automobiles increased 20 percent over the previous year, reaching a particularly high level in the last quarter of 1962. Moreover, the gross formation of private capital increased 9.5 percent over 1961, but did not reach the high proportion of gross national product attained in 1955 and 1959. Its most dynamic component was investment in fixed capital, which increased 13 percent over the previous year, while construction and the policy of private enterprise regarding stocks progressed at an uneven rate. In effect, private business increased its reserves of goods in the first two quarters of 1962, in the expectation of a strike in the steel industry, and then maintained them at a relatively constant level, so that the increase in stocks for the whole year was slightly above that for 1961. This fact is particularly important because it had an unfavorable effect on the volume of imports from the lesser developed countries.

Parallel to the increase in private consumption expenditures and investments by private enterprise, a slightly higher rate of growth was noted in governmental expenditures than in the previous year. In addition, the volume of exports rose 3.9 percent instead of 1.6 percent in 1961, but this phenomenon did not have any appreciable effect on the level of economic activity since foreign sales constitute a marginal element in United States total expenditures.

The recovery to a relatively satisfactory rate of total demand helped stimulate production, especially industrial production. Actually, in the second half of the year, industrial production did not increase at the same accelerated rate as in the first half, and the rate of increase continued to diminish in the first half of 1963. However, the total increase for 1962 was 8.3 percent, as compared with only 0.8 percent in 1961, and in the first half of 1963, it continued growing at an annual rate of nearly 4 percent (see Table 2).

Progress in the manufacturing industry was considerable--8.5 percent higher than in 1961--and was even greater in basic metals--8.4 percent--and in the other metals industries whose activity is directly connected with the automobile industry. Expansion was also rapid in the chemical industries and, although to a lesser degree, in the textile industry. Production in the food-stuff industries increased at the same rate as during the previous year. This distribution of the percentages of growth of the various industrial sectors undoubtedly favored the expansion of imports of minerals and hydrocarbons, which are traditionally supplied largely by certain Latin American countries.

In Canada, in general, the recovery was more or less the same as that of the United States. The gross national product increased 6.2 percent in 1962 as compared with 2.6 percent in 1961 (see Table 3). The various components of total expenditure increased in widely differing proportions. Governmental expenditures increased more slowly than in the previous year--3.3 percent in 1962 as compared with 4.6 in 1961--and the same phenomenon was noted with respect to the volume of exports of goods and services, which increased 4.4 percent in 1962 as compared with 7.5 percent in 1961. The increase in consumer

/Table 2

Table 2

INDICES OF INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION IN CERTAIN DEVELOPED COUNTRIES

(Base of previous period = 100)

	United States	Canada	EEC	Belgium	France	Western Germany	Italy	Netherlands	European Free Trade Association	United Kingdom
<u>Years</u>										
1961	100.8	103.1	106.4	104.6	104.8	105.5	109.9	101.3	101.5	100.8
1962	108.3	107.5	...	105.9	...	104.7	111.0	103.8	...	100.0
<u>Quarters a/</u>										
1961) I	93.4	97.0	107.1	97.7		108.5	109.0	106.5	101.5	100.8
) II	99.2	102.3	106.4	106.9		106.1	109.4	100.0	102.2	101.5
) III	103.4	106.2	104.6	104.6	104.6	104.4	109.8	100.0	102.2	100.0
) IV	109.6	107.7	105.6	109.4	105.1	103.2	115.0	101.2	100.0	99.2
1962) I	112.4	109.3	105.5	111.1	106.2	102.1	113.5	101.8	100.7	99.2
) II	109.2	109.1	105.5	101.4	105.5	104.7	110.6	103.1	100.7	100.0
) III	106.5	107.3	106.0	105.8	105.5	105.8	108.4	105.2	101.4	102.3
) IV	104.0	105.0	105.3	104.3	105.4	102.0	106.5	103.7	101.5	100.8
1963) I	103.5	105.5		101.0	100.5	101.9	105.4	100.5		97.4
) II	105.0

a. Seasonally adjusted.

Source: OECD, General Statistics, March 1962.

Table 3

CANADA: VARIATIONS IN CERTAIN COMPONENTS OF THE GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT

(In millions of dollars at 1957 prices)

	G.N.P.	Consumer expendi- tures for goods and services	Government expendi- tures for goods and services	Gross domestic formation of private capital b/	Exports of goods and services	Imports of goods and services
1960	34 144	22 357	6 255	6 576	6 884	8 020
(Indices: for preceding period = 100)						
<u>Years</u>						
1961	102.6	103.2	104.6	90.9	107.5	101.2
1962	106.2	103.7	103.3	113.8	104.4	101.3
<u>Quarters</u> ^{a/}						
1961) I	98.4	102.0	104.1	78.7	99.9	97.7
) II	103.0	103.5	106.1	91.0	110.0	98.7
) III	103.6	103.7	102.6	95.2	108.7	103.4
) IV	95.0	103.5	105.7	100.7	111.9	105.0
1962) I	108.5	105.9	101.1	115.6	105.9	102.1
) II	106.3	103.6	107.5	110.6	105.9	105.4
) III	106.0	102.2	103.5	127.2	101.8	101.7
) IV	104.7	103.1	101.2	103.5	104.1	96.4

a. Totals seasonally adjusted quarterly at annual rates.

b. Includes gross domestic formation of fixed capital plus variations in stocks.

Source: Canadian Statistical Review, April 1963.

/expenditures

expenditures was scarcely more rapid than in previous years, since the expansion in purchases of certain durable goods (automobiles) was counteracted by the decrease in the rate of growth in service expenditures. In contrast, very rapid progress was made in the gross formation of private capital, which rose 14 percent in 1962 as compared with the previous year when it declined slightly. This renewal of activity in private investment resulted essentially from the increase in purchases of capital equipment, while stocks remained near the relatively low level of 1961.

In the United Kingdom, the measures adopted by the Government, in July 1961, in order to meet the crisis in external payments and to reduce the pressure of domestic demand on productive capacity had halted the increase in the gross domestic product at the end of 1961. In the first half of 1962, some recovery was noted, but it was of short duration, since the economic expansion was again interrupted in the last quarter. In short, the gross domestic product for 1962 as a whole scarcely reached a level above that of 1961.

Actually, the rate of growth of almost all the components of total demand decreased (see Table 4). This trend was not very significant in the area of private consumption since, although the expenditures for non-durable goods and services increased at a lower rate, there were more substantial increases in the purchases of certain durable goods, especially automobiles. Government expenditures increased less than in the past and the same was true of exports. Above all, gross capital formation decreased, by 5.8 percent compared with the 1961 figure. The vigorous growth in public investment, which increased 5 percent over 1961, did not compensate for the sharp reduction in private investment in fixed capital, which in the last quarter of 1962, was 18 percent less than the previous year. Moreover, the pronounced quarterly variations in stocks represented only a slight increase for the year as a whole.

As is natural under such conditions, the expansion of industrial production was interrupted. In the first five months of 1963, it remained stationary at the level reached in the same period of the preceding year. In 1962, only the chemical industry registered a relatively favorable rate of growth. In all the other sectors, production remained stationary (manufacturing industry) or declined (metalurgical and textile industries).

In the European Economic Community, the rate of growth of the economy continued to decline. In fact, the gross national product increased only 4.5 percent in 1962, while in 1961 it had increased 5.2 percent, and in 1960 7.1 percent.

The causes of this unusual development have been fully analyzed in reports by the Community Commission, and are therefore mentioned here only briefly.

Table 4

UNITED KINGDOM: VARIATIONS IN CERTAIN COMPONENTS OF THE GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT

(In millions of pounds at 1958 prices)

	G.D.P.	CONSUMER EXPENDITURES				Current expendi- tures of the government	Gross domestic capital formation ^{b/}	Exports of goods and services	Imports of goods and services
		Durable goods	Nondurable goods	Services	Total				
1960	24 616	1 474	12 747	2 313	16 534	3 850	4 717	5 093	5 578
(Indices: previous period = 100)									
<u>Years</u>									
1961	102.9	95.4	102.3	102.1	101.7	105.8	101.1	103.1	99.9
1962	100.1	104.7	101.0	101.3	101.3	102.2	94.2	101.3	101.7
<u>Quarters^{a/}</u>									
1961) I	102.6	88.5	103.8	101.6	102.0	106.4	105.7	102.5	106.1
) II	103.6	98.6	102.2	102.9	102.0	104.8	104.1	104.2	100.4
) III	103.9	99.2	102.0	102.2	101.8	108.2	99.5	103.8	97.1
) IV	101.6	96.2	101.4	101.5	101.0	103.7	95.6	101.9	96.2
1962) I	99.0	96.1	100.1	99.5	99.7	102.9	90.0	98.2	95.5
) II	100.8	100.5	101.3	101.8	101.3	104.4	94.2	102.1	100.4
) III	100.2	104.2	100.9	101.6	101.3	102.8	99.3	101.9	106.2
) IV	100.1	119.2	101.6	102.2	103.1	98.8	93.2	102.8	105.1

a. Seasonally adjusted quarters.

b. Includes gross domestic formation of fixed capital plus variations in stocks.

Source: Central Statistical Office, Monthly Digest of Statistics, London, March, 1963.

On the one hand, foreign demand ceased to stimulate the economic cycle, since Community exports increased little from 1961 to 1962. The renewal of economic activity in the United States was actually insufficient, and that of Great Britain did not last long enough to compensate for the impact of previous recessions on world trade. In addition, the developing countries could not increase further their purchases from the European Common Market because of their difficult foreign payments situation and the less liberal policy of the Six regarding medium-term financing of exports.

On the other hand, certain components of the domestic demand progressed less rapidly than in the past. First of all, the gross formation of fixed capital rose only 5.5 percent in 1962 compared with a rise of 9 percent in 1961. Actually, the more rapid expansion of public investment was sufficient to make up for the decrease in private investment, for which there were various causes, such as the results of the last recession in the United States; the not too favorable outlook for exports to the underdeveloped countries; the sharp increase in production capacity; the decline in profits resulting from higher wages and salaries that were not always matched by a real improvement in productivity; and credit restrictions in certain countries. In the second place, the trend in inventories did not contribute to an expansion of total demand, despite a small increase in the stocks of raw materials at the end of the year.

The moderate expansion of demand contributed to lowering the rate of growth of the total industrial production of the Community, which increased only 5 percent in 1962, as opposed to 7 percent in 1961. However, this occurred only in France and Germany; in Belgium, Netherlands, and Italy, progress was somewhat greater than the preceding year. In the first quarter of 1963, the rate of expansion of most of the countries of the Community continued to decline.

The behavior of several sectors of the industrial activity was quite diverse. Expansion was relatively weak in certain basic industries--iron and steel, non-ferrous metals industries--in those producing capital equipment, or those that are affected by a structural trend toward recession--extractive industries. In contrast, there was a sharp increase in the chemical and electrochemical industry and in certain sectors of the production of durable goods. In the Community, as in the United States, 1962 was an excellent year for automobile production, which increased 15 percent compared with 2 percent in the preceding year.

It is clear that the decline in the rate of growth of exports--only 1 percent in the first quarter of 1963--domestic demand, and industrial production could endanger the long-term expansion of Community purchases abroad, especially from the developing countries.

Despite these dangers in Continental Europe and the uncertainties of the economic cycle in other industrialized countries, 1962 was generally characterized by an increase in imports by the more developed areas (see Table 5).

Table 5

IMPORTS OF INDUSTRIALIZED AREAS: TOTAL WORLD AND FROM LATIN AMERICA. (MONTHLY AVERAGES)

	<u>United States</u>		<u>European Economic Community</u>		<u>European Free Trade Association</u>	
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Latin America</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Latin America</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Latin America</u>
1960 (millions of dollars)	1 221.0	291.8	2 467.7	151.2	1 923.4	106.3
Indices of previous period = 100						
1961	98.0	90.0	108.6	99.8	102.0	92.2
1962	113.2	106.7	111.2	117.1	104.5	104.1
1961 I	88.6	95.1	107.4	99.9	105.7	102.4
II	90.2	82.0	111.0	103.3	103.5	93.0
III	103.3	86.7	106.6	97.0	100.0	87.3
IV	111.3	96.9	109.1	99.0	99.0	85.9
1962 I	115.9	104.6	113.4	115.4	102.7	99.9
II	118.2	105.1	108.4	118.6	103.7	107.8
III	110.3	107.3	111.6	119.4	107.0	115.8
IV	109.2	110.1	111.0	115.0	106.3	113.2
1963 I	99.5	93.6	105.1	104.1

Source: OECD, Foreign Trade, Series A, December 1962, July 1963.

B. THE INTERNATIONAL MARKET FOR BASIC PRODUCTS

The year 1962 brought about a halt in the downward trend of recent years for many Latin American export products.^{2/} In the first half of 1963, a marked increase began to be noted in several markets, including sugar, cacao, and wool, while the rise in the price of silver continued (see Table 6). If the total index for export prices continued to decline, it was because the two most important products of the region either remained stationary (petroleum), or continued to decline, and did not become stabilized until the first quarter in 1963 (coffee).

In 1962, the impact of price changes even caused a slight fall, but this was not reflected in the income from exports in the region, since the volume exported increased markedly. Thus, the value of exports rose for the first time above the 1957 level and exceeded the 1961 level by more than \$500 million, on about 7 percent.

In short, the increases in prices for manufactured products caused the terms of trade of the region to remain stationary in 1962. The analysis of specific products that follows will illustrate the degree in which this is the result of opposing trends in several products and countries (see Table 7). The preliminary estimates for the first and second quarters of 1963 point to the possibility of some improvement in the terms of trade although, as will be shown later, continued improvement for certain products is uncertain.

The study of the problems relating to exports of basic products continued actively in the various international agencies that have been examining the subject. At the regional level, activities in this field were concentrated chiefly on implementing resolutions adopted by the Inter-American Economic and Social Council at its 1961 and 1962 meetings. These activities included the following:

a. A meeting of the Group of Experts on the Stabilization of Export Proceeds, was held in the first quarter of 1962. At that meeting, the bases of a proposal for the establishment of an international fund for the stabilization of export proceeds were adopted.^{3/} This proposal was submitted to the United Nations Commission on International Commodity Trade for consideration, and that Commission decided to assign a technical working group to examine the proposals of the OAS Group of Experts and of the United Nations Group of Experts.

2. For a detailed analysis of the market trends in these products between 1957 and 1961, see OAS/ECLA Economic Survey of Latin America, 1961, Pan American Union, Washington, D.C., 1962.

3. Final Report of the Group of Experts on the Stabilization of Export Proceeds, (OEA/Ser.H/X.3, Doc. 7).

Table 6

BASIC PRODUCTS: PRICES ON THE INTERNATIONAL MARKET FOR SELECTED COMMODITIES,
ANNUAL AVERAGES 1960-1962; QUARTERLY AVERAGES 1962 AND 1963

Commodity	Market	Unit	1960	1961	1962	1962				1963
						I	II	III	IV	I
Crude sugar	F.O.B. New York	U.S. cents per lb.	5.35	5.36	5.56	5.51	5.56	5.57	5.61	5.96
Crude sugar	F.O.B. world free market	" " " "	3.10	2.91	2.97	2.44	2.64	3.11	3.74	6.03
Chilled meat (Argentina)	London	Pence per lb.	29.0	27.4	28.5	29.7	28.6	30.3	26.7	—
Copper	"	Pounds per long ton	246	230	234	233	234	234	234	234
Tin	"	" " " "	797	888	897	954	915	856	863	853
Silver	New York	U.S. cents per ounce	91.4	92.4	107.8	102.7	101.8	106.7	119.9	124.2
Wool (Uruguayan, 58-60's, clean basis)	Boston	Dollars per lb.	0.95	0.88	0.97	0.90	0.98	1.00	1.00	1.5
Coffee (Santos No. 4)	New York	U.S. cents per lb.	36.6	36.0	34.0	34.1	34.4	34.0	33.3	33.4
Coffee (Manizales)	New York	" " " "	44.9	43.6	40.8	42.5	40.4	40.2	39.9	39.9
Cacao (Bahia)	"	" " " "	26.6	22.4	21.3	21.2	20.9	21.2	22.1	26.2
Cotton (Mexican, Matamoros S.M.1-1/16w)	Liverpool	" " " "	29.4	30.2	29.3	29.7	29.6	28.7	28.6	29.8
Cotton (Sao Paulo type 5)	"	" " " "	26.3	28.0	26.7	27.9	26.6	25.7	26.2	26.7
Cotton (Peruvian Pima No. 1)	"	" " " "	46.2	42.7	40.0	41.8	40.0	38.6	38.4	39.4
Corn "La Plata" (Argentina)	C.I.F. London	Pence per lb.	21.6	21.1	20.8	21.0	21.0	20.3	21.1	—
Lead	London	Pounds per long ton	72.1	64.2	56.3	59.5	59.3	52.2	54.2	54.9
Zinc	"	" " " "	89.3	77.8	67.5	69.5	68.3	64.9	67.1	69.6
Wool (Argentina, 40-36's, clean basis)	Boston	Dollars per lb.	0.80	0.77	0.66	0.72	0.67	0.63	0.64	0.73
Bananas	United States	Dollars per cwt.	6.48	6.29	6.03	6.29	6.16	5.41	6.27	—
Crude petroleum (35.0-35.9, gravity API)	F.O.B. Venezuela	Dollars per barrel	2.80	2.80	2.80	2.80	2.80	2.80	2.80	2.80
Wheat (Argentina, "up-river")	C.I.F. London	Pence per lb.	24.7	25.8	25.9	25.8	26.2	26.1	25.1	24.6

Source: United Nations, Boletín Mensual de Estadística; FAO, Boletín Mensual de Economía y Estadística Agrícolas.

Table 7

LATIN AMERICA: VALUE, VOLUME, AND UNIT PRICE
OF FOREIGN TRADE, 1960-1963

(Indices: 1961 = 100)

	Exports			Imports			Terms of Trade
	Value	Unit price	Volume	Value	Unit price	Volume	
1960	98	101	97	97	100	97	101
1961	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1962	107	99	108	104	102	102	97
<u>1961</u>							
I	100	100	100	92	99	93	101
II	101	101	100	98	101	97	100
III	98	101	97	103	101	102	100
IV	100	98	102	108	101	107	97
<u>1962</u>							
I	107	102	105	98	102	96	100
II	107	101	106	99	102	97	99
III	106	97	109	107	102	105	95
IV	106	97	109	110	102	108	95
<u>1963</u>							
I	109	98	111	91	102	89	96
II	...	101	102	99

Notes: The unit prices for exports, with the exception of the figures for Peru and Venezuela, have been computed on the basis of the figures for value and the Laspeyres de quantum indices of the Monetary Fund, thereby obtaining Paasche indices for 16 countries. The unit values for imports are based on figures for nine countries. The quarterly variations for these nine countries were obtained by making an adjustment, on the basis of the unit value of world exports of manufactured products shown in the June 1963 edition of the above-mentioned Bulletin.

The 1963 export indices show the variations in the International quotations for 16 principal products, weighed according to their exported value in 1961. These variations were incorporated in the last quarter of 1962.

Sources: Official information in the cases of Peru and Venezuela; in the others, information published by the United Nations in Monthly Bulletin of Statistics and by the International Monetary Fund in International Financial Statistics. Although mentioned in the sources, Cuba is not included in this table.

This development was noted particularly in the United States whose imports rose 13.2 percent over the previous year, whereas they had decreased 2 percent in 1961 over those for 1960. However, the imports coming from Latin America increased to a lesser extent than those from the world as a whole, and so the Latin American proportion of foreign purchases made by the United States dropped slightly (from 21.9 percent in 1961 to 20 percent in 1962). Imports from the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Chile, and Venezuela increased, while those from certain other countries, such as Peru and Brazil, declined.

The increase in imports was smaller in Canada than in the United States as a result of the devaluation of the Canadian dollar (May 1962) and of the levying of temporary surcharges on imports in the second half of the year. A similar development occurred in the countries of the European Free Trade Association, with the difference that imports by those countries from Latin America increased more rapidly than from the rest of the world (see Table 5).

In the European Economic Community, the expansion in foreign purchases continued to rise despite the slower economic growth. In 1962, imports increased 11.2 percent as compared with 8.6 percent in 1961. This growth did not affect raw materials, because the drop in prices did not induce buyers to increase their stocks. However, it affected considerably finished industrial products and foodstuffs. It should be noted, however, that purchases of foodstuffs were heavy, especially in the first half of 1962, as a result of the poor harvests in 1961 in several European countries, but that, in the second half of 1962, they declined as the 1962 harvest was particularly good in those countries. Therefore, it is likely that the more rapid increase in imports by the Six resulting from purchases of foodstuffs will be of short duration. At its Eleventh Meeting, United Nations Commission on International Commodity Trade decided that, in view of the prospects for a possible solution of the problem through the action adopted by the International Monetary Fund on compensatory credits ^{4/} and the difficulties in adopting any of the proposed stabilization solutions, it would not recommend any of them to the governments for approval for the time being.^{5/} This naturally does not exclude the possibility that the Latin American countries may continue considering the desirability and possibility of creating such a fund as the one proposed on a regional basis; however, the OAS also decided to postpone any action until the efficacy of the Fund's new policy can be evaluated in practice.^{6/}

4. See International Monetary Fund, Financiamiento compensatorio de las exportaciones.

5. United Nations, Economic and Social Council, Commission on International Commodity Trade, Report of the Eleventh Session, April 29-May 10, 1963, (Doc. E/3763).

6. See Pan American Union, Washington, D.C., 1963 (Doc. UP/G.35/2).

b. The IA-ECOSOC Special Committee on Basic Products held its first meeting from July 16 to August 15, 1962 at which time is examined various aspects of the situation of the international commodities markets, especially with respect to those subject to discriminatory restrictions and tariffs by the countries of the European Economic Community. The Committee recommended that the IA-ECOSOC set up Action Groups for meat and bananas, to make overtures to the countries of the Community in order to eliminate or reduce restrictions placed on the imports of these products from Latin America.^{7/} On the basis of these recommendations, the First Annual Meeting of the Inter-American Economic and Social Council at the Ministerial Level in October 1962 approved the establishment of Action Groups for meat, bananas, and cacao, as well as a special group of experts to examine the problems of marketing sugar at the Hemisphere and world levels.^{8/}

c. The Committee to negotiate the elimination of restrictions on coffee consumption, established by the IA-ECOSOC by a resolution annexed to the Punta del Este Charter, held several meetings in the first half of 1962, at which the nature of the restrictions applied by the countries of the European Economic Community to coffee imports from Latin America was studied and a plan of action was adopted. By virtue of the recommendations of this Committee, the Secretary General of the OAS made overtures to the member countries of the Community requesting the elimination or reduction of such restrictions; these efforts were supported jointly by the government of all the member countries of the OAS through their diplomatic representations to the EEC.

d. The Meat Action Group held its first meetings in December 1962. First, it examined the draft regulations on the beef market, which had been pending approval by the Council of Ministers of the EEC, and then it studied the implications the entry of the United Kingdom into the Community would have for Latin American exporters.^{9/}

7. Final Report of the First Meeting of the Committee on Basic Products, Pan American Union, Washington, D. C., August 16, 1962.

8. First Annual Meeting of the Inter-American Economic and Social Council at the Ministerial Level, Final Report, Pan American Union, Washington, D. C., 1963.

9. See First Annual Meeting of the IA-ECOSOC at the Expert and Ministerial Levels, The Effects of the European Economic Community on the Latin American Economies (OEA/CIES, Doc.10).

/With respect

With respect to the first point, the Group pointed out that the draft regulations could have restrictive effects on Latin American exports of beef to the Community, but that such effects could be reduced if the so-called "guiding prices" and the corresponding "sluice-gate prices" were fixed at levels close to the lowest prevailing prices in the Community at the time. Accordingly, the Group decided to promote consultation at the governmental level with the authorities of the EEC regarding the draft regulations. The approval of the regulations has been postponed because of the disagreement that developed among EEC members regarding the suspension of negotiations with the United Kingdom.

e. The Banana Action Group held its first meetings in January 1963 and made a detailed examination of existing regulations on imports of bananas in several European countries, especially in the markets of France, Italy, and the United Kingdom where quantitative or other restrictions on imports of Latin American bananas are the most severe. A document prepared by the Secretariat, The Impact of Trade Restrictions in Europe on Latin American Banana Producers was submitted and discussed during recent meetings of GATT; this was the first time that GATT had concerned itself extensively with this product. In another significant event, brought about through the support of OAS member countries, the Commodities Committee of the FAO, at its meetings in May 1963, agreed to ask the Secretariat of the FAO to prepare a study on the banana market problems and at the same time to ask the Director of the FAO to call a meeting of the Ad Hoc Banana Group to consider said study at the beginning of 1964.

f. The Cacao Action Group, whose meetings were held in March 1963, concentrated its efforts on an analysis of the preliminary draft of the international agreement prepared by the FAO Cacao Study Group, especially in a discussion of certain aspects of this preliminary draft about which there has not been price unanimity among the Latin American countries. This group's final report incorporated a declaration by Ecuador proposing certain rules in relation to export quotas and the election of directors; however, these did not obtain the unanimous approval of the delegates to this meeting. The Cacao Group agreed to hold a second meeting before the meeting of the conference convoked by the United Nations Secretary General to negotiate the International Cacao Agreement, scheduled for the end of 1963.

g. The Group of Experts on Sugar, which was charged with studying the sugar marketing problems at the Hemisphere and world levels held its meetings between the end of April and the middle of July 1963.

This Group's final report will be submitted to the member governments before the meeting of the authorities charged with formulating the sugar policy, which is scheduled for the end of 1963.^{10/}

10. For further details regarding the above developments, see IA-ECOSOC Special Committee on Basic Products, Final Report of the Second Meeting, Doc. CIES/Com. VIII/17, Rev. Washington, D.C., August 21, 1963.

h. The activities of the various commodity groups were examined by the IA-ECOSOC Special Committee on Basic Products at a second meeting (August 5-12, 1963) during which the Committee agreed to give its full support to the work carried out and to recommend that it be intensified. Other topics examined in detail by the Committee included the activities of GATT; trade relations with the European Economic Community; the position of the member countries with respect to the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development; and the policy of compensatory credits announced by the International Monetary Fund at the start of 1963. (See above note.)

/1. Sugar

1. Sugar

The quotations for raw sugar on the free world market having declined in the first quarter of 1962 to the lowest levels recorded since 1941, later began a slow recovery which picked up a certain momentum in the final months of 1962. Nevertheless, the average 1962 price, which was 2.98 cents (United States) a pound, was barely 2.4 percent above the 1961 average price, which was 2.91 cents a pound.

However, in the first months of 1963, the recovery process continued, and in the course of a few weeks, prices exceeded those for previous years, including the maximum prices reached during the Suez crisis in the first half of 1957. At the end of May 1963, the quotations on the New York market for sugar going to the free market had already risen above 11.0 cents a pound, the maximum figure for any previous period, as compared with the maximum price of 4.64 cents at the end of December 1962. After reaching a maximum of 13.5 cents a pound, the quotations began to decline slowly at the beginning of the second half of 1963.

The radical change that occurred in the market conditions was due basically to the declining trend in production in the two preceding years and the prospects for a greater shrinkage in stocks for the free market area in 1963. In the figures for world production are studied it is seen that the reduction last year was only 5.3 percent with respect to the maximum level reached in 1960/61. However, in examining production figures by region, it is noted that the drop in production in Western Europe--the most important importing region in the free market area-- was 26.4 percent in the period under discussion, while Cuban production dropped 33.5 percent in the same period (see Table 8). That is to say that, although the drop in world sugar production has not been exceptionally great, sugar trade in the free market area has declined considerably. The countries where sugar production has continued to increase (Philippines and the Latin American countries, with the exception of Cuba) ship their exports to the preferential market of the United States, and the same thing has occurred with the countries that supply the preferential market of the United Kingdom, chiefly Australia.

Added to the impact of the drop in production in certain exporting regions and the increased import requirements of Western Europe are the effects of a substantial drop in stocks in most of the exporting countries. It should be stressed again that the changes that have occurred in the level of stocks in Cuba have been one of the most important factors in the recent price development. The Cuban sugar stock, which previously amounted to more than one million tons, dropped at the end of 1962 to 91 000 tons (see Table 9). A sharp drop in the stocks of countries such as Argentina, Mexico, Peru, and others outside the Latin American region is also noted.

On the United States market, 1962 quotations for sugar imports remained at levels slightly above those for the previous year, although the premium prices granted by this market over free market prices dropped sharply at the end of the year owing to a more rapid increase in prices in the free market area. It should also be noted that in the last months of 1962, circumstances

Table 8

CENTRIFUGAL SUGAR: WORLD PRODUCTION BY PRINCIPAL REGIONS

(1000 metric tons)

	Indices = 1959/60 = 100.0			
	1959/60	1960/61	1961/62	1962/63*
Cuba	5 860	115.5	82.2	76.8 <u>a/</u>
Other Latin American Countries	8 586	99.1	102.3	107.7
All of Latin America	14 446	105.7	94.1	95.1
Western Europe	7 967	135.5	105.0	99.8
Eastern Europe and Soviet Union	9 401	110.9	116.4	112.9
Africa	2 646	90.3	106.7	112.1
Taiwan	798	118.8	90.7	95.2
Philippines	1 387	94.9	105.8	117.0
Australia	1 271	107.3	107.0	141.4
Total world production	50 084	110.5	103.5	104.6

a. Colombia had no exports in 1960. In 1961, it exported 46 000 tons, and in 1962, 65 700 tons.

Sources: Estimates by F. O. Licht, reproduced in International Sugar Council, Press Summary, London, September 14, 1962, April 3, 1963.

Table 9

CENTRIFUGAL SUGAR: STOCKS IN PRINCIPAL EXPORTING COUNTRIES^{a/}
(1000 short tons)

	Indices = 1959/60 = 100.0			
	1959/60	1960/61	1961/62	1962/63*
Argentina	142	264.1	246.5	62.0
Brazil	572	106.6	67.5	105.4
Colombia	24	154.1	304.2	145.8
Mexico	456	110.1	67.8	35.3
Peru	96	116.7	40.6	48.9
Cuba	1 222	89.5	84.1	7.4
Australia	171	119.3	117.5	81.9
Philippines	249	125.7	50.6	70.7
Taiwan	141	70.9	66.0	92.2
Total of countries enumerated	3 265	105.0	82.4	48.2

a. At the start of the respective production year.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agriculture Circular, April 1963, FS-1-63.

/arose that

arose that had a very temporary effect on United States market prices due to the fact that the supply shortage that was noted at the end of the first quarter of 1963 still prevailed. These circumstances were (1) the so-called Cuban crisis of October 1962, and (2) the increased demand for imports in order to provide a stockpile in anticipation of the longshoreman strike which did occur at the end of the year. The rapid rise in prices in the free market area affected the price level in the United States market. Nevertheless, the increases in this market have been smaller, and consequently the prices of imported sugar in the United States have not included a premium, but rather a discount with respect to prices on the free world market since February 1963.

United States sugar legislation was amended in the middle of 1962. Even though the system of basic import quotas assigned by country was retained, each government was authorized to suspend quotas for countries with which it does not have diplomatic relations (at present, Cuba). Unused quotas are pooled to form a global quota and are assigned to other countries, but the imports thus redistributed are subject to a duty equal to the difference between United States market prices and world free market prices. Since this legislation went into effect, imports charged against the basic quotas assigned by country have been subject to a duty equal to 10 percent of the total fixed duty for imports charged against the global quota.^{11/} This percentage of the duty on imports charged against the basic quotas would rise to 20 percent in 1963, and to 30 percent in 1964 (always based on the complete duty levied on imports charged against the global quota).

As established, the effect of the operation of these duties is to decrease the margin of preference enjoyed by Latin American sugar exports to the United States market over exports to the rest of the world. However, the development of the world free market at the end of 1962 and the beginning of 1963 has, for the time being, reduced the significance of these duties. The exclusion of Cuba from the United States market acted as a stimulus to increase production and the exports of a good number of Latin American countries. Actually, production in the rest of Latin America increased in the last two years by 3 percent and 5 percent, respectively (see Table 10). Total exports declined by 2 percent in 1961 and 18 percent in 1962. This is chiefly a reflection of the decreases that had occurred in Argentina, Brazil, Mexico, the Dominican Republic and Peru, which furnish the largest percentage of the region's exports.

The geographic distribution of Latin American sugar exports underwent important changes in the last two years which, as has already been pointed out, were caused by the exclusion of Cuba from the United States market. Part of the import quota that had been allocated to Cuba was redistributed to other Latin American countries. When these countries increased their exports to the United States, they decreased those they shipped to the free market region (in this case, the rest of the world). At the same time, the Cuba trade shifted toward the market of the Soviet Union, the countries of Eastern Europe, and Continental China (see Table 11).

11. For example, if in 1962 the existing premium between the United States market prices and world free market prices had been 2 cents per pound, the duty on imports charged against the global quota would be 2 cents per pound and the duty on imports charged to the basic quotas would be 0.2 cents per pound.

Table 10

SUGAR. PRODUCTION AND EXPORTS^{a/} OF SELECTED COUNTRIES
(1000 metric tons)

		Indices: 1959/60 = 100.0			
		1959/60	1960/61	1961/62	1962/63*
Argentina	Production	991	86.1	71.4	82.2
	Exports	113.0	165.1	88.5	
Brazil	Production	3 052	106.8	110.9	110.9
	Exports	854.8	87.1	56.0	
Colombia	Production	336	108.3	122.0	125.0
	Exports	-	b/	b/	
Costa Rica	Production	60	116.7	153.3	158.3
	Exports	20.4	148.0	137.2	
Cuba	Production	5 860	115.5	82.2	76.8 ^{a/}
	Exports	5 634.5	113.8	91.1	
Dominican Republic	Production	986	88.5	91.5	101.4
	Exports	1 099.1	72.2	77.0	
Ecuador	Production	488	108.2	131.3	145.1
	Exports	14.2	335.9	457.7	
El Salvador	Production	51	96.1	125.5	129.4
	Exports	10.9	126.6	183.5	
Guatemala	Production	71	116.9	174.6	176.0
	Exports	6.1	114.7	442.6	
Haiti	Production	60	123.3	116.7	108.3
	Exports	30.1	131.9	116.3	
Mexico	Production	1 620	92.0	95.5	101.8
	Exports	462.4	132.3	75.7	
Nicaragua	Production	64	98.4	128.1	120.3
	Exports	34.9	80.2	106.0	
Peru	Production	807	99.0	94.2	104.1
	Exports	513.7	107.5	97.3	
Subtotal	Production	8 586	99.1	102.3	107.7
	Exports	3 159.6	98.1	80.9	
Total Latin America	Production	14 446	105.7	94.1	951
	Exports	8 794.1	108.2	87.4	

- a. In all cases, the exports are for the second calendar year mentioned in each column heading.
- b. Colombia had no exports in 1960. It exported 46 000 tons in 1961 and 65 700 tons in 1962.
- c. Later data indicate an even sharper drop, and it is considered probable that the crop will yield no more than 2.9 million tons.

Sources: International Sugar Council, Statistical Bulletin; Press Summary, London, September 14, 1962; April 3, 1963.

/Table 11

Table 11

CENTRIFUGAL SUGAR: EXPORTS OF SELECTED LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES
BY PRINCIPAL REGIONS OF DESTINATION

		United States	European Economic Community	European Free Trade Association	Soviet Union and Eastern Europe <u>a/</u>	Japan	Others	Total
Brazil	1960 (1000 Tons.)	103.4	130.1	49.8	10.2	288.3	273.0	854.8
	1961 Index <u>b/</u>	283.6	6.0	67.1	-	89.7	55.6	87.1
	1962 "	349.6	-	76.3	-	7.3	21.2	56.0
Cuba	1960 (1000 Tons.)	1 948.6	315.3	215.6	2 260	204.6	690.3	5 634.5
	1961 Index	-	24.1	66.7	212.0	206.9	141.8	113.8
	1962 "	-	12.6	72.9	162.1	210.9	121.3	91.1
Dominican Republic	1960 (1000 Tons.)	424.9	102.2	348.9	-	84.8	138.3	1 099.1
	1961 Index <u>b/</u>	81.1	2.5	67.9	-	131.1	71.1	72.2
	1962 "	192.9	4.4	-	-	10.5	9.2	77.0
Mexico	1960 (1000 Tons.)	382.4	43.1	4.1	-	-	32.8	462.4
	1961 Index <u>b/</u>	159.7	-	-	-	-	3.0	132.3
	1962 "	91.6	-	-	-	-	-	75.7
Nicaragua	1960 (1000 Tons.)	34.9	-	-	-	-	-	34.9
	1961 Index <u>b/</u>	80.2	-	-	-	-	-	80.2
	1962 "	106.0	-	-	-	-	-	106.0
Peru	1960 (1000 Tons.)	285.4	15.8	49.9	-	80.6	82.0	513.7
	1961 Index <u>b/</u>	191.3	6.3	4.0	-	3.1	-	107.5
	1962 "	175.2	-	-	-	-	-	97.3
Total six countries	1960 (1000 Tons.)	3 179.6	606.5	668.3	2 270.3	658.3	1 216.4	8 599.4
	1961 (Index <u>b/</u>)	57.3	14.4	62.3	211.0	120.8	101.2	106.3
	1962 "	64.9	7.3	29.2	161.4	70.1	74.7	85.3

a. Includes Continental China.

b. Index: 1960 = 100.0

Source: International Sugar Council, Statistical Bulletin.

2. Bananas

Banana prices on the most important markets for Latin American exporters have exhibited different tendencies in the past three years. In the United States, which absorbs most of the Latin American exports, there was a moderate but persistent decline accompanied by a slight decline in the total volume of imports. In the Federal Republic of Germany--the second largest market for Latin American bananas--the average 1960-62 prices were practically stable despite the fact that the monthly averages showed rather sharp fluctuations. Latin American exports to that country in 1962 reached a level similar to 1960, but dropped 4.5 percent from the volume in 1961.

These developments in the markets of the two countries that absorb most of Latin American banana exports justify the concern with which the immediate outlook for the foreign market of this product is being viewed in these countries. In the case of the United States, all of whose imports come from Latin America and are free of restrictions and duties of any kind, the problem is related to changes in consumer habits, which are diminishing the per capita consumption; supply restrictions and price increases have played no part in this development. Rather, banana prices have remained relatively stable for long periods, and in recent years have tended to decline. The problems are more complex in the case of the European markets. In some cases, the Latin American product faces quantitative restrictions and discriminatory practices while in other, the level of tariffs makes the price of the fruit to the consumer excessive. Furthermore, bananas were practically the only tropical product excluded from the reductions in the common external tariff of the European Economic Community for imports from "third countries," whereas the "duty free quota" authorized for imports of the Federal Republic of Germany from countries not members of the Community remained unchanged.^{12/}

This means that the highly discriminatory treatment given imports coming from Latin America was not liberalized with respect to bananas as it was for other tropical products.

These problems of Latin American banana exports to the European countries, particularly the countries of the Community, were studied by the Banana Action Group--created by the Inter-American Economic and Social Council--at meetings of the Group held at the beginning of 1963. In the conclusions adopted by the countries making up this Group (Costa Rica, Ecuador, United States, Honduras, and Panama),^{13/} it was pointed out that the present structure of the European banana markets is unfavorable to the development of Latin American production. The opinion was expressed that existing regulations can have a negative effect of considerable magnitude on the income derived from these exports. At the same time, the conclusions indicate that the restrictions adopted will reduce

12. However, both in 1961 and 1962 as a special dispensation the Community granted Western Germany an increase in the amount of this quota.

13. Delegates from Brazil, Colombia, and Nicaragua participated as observers.

consumption levels and will lead to complete exclusion of the more efficient producers from some of the most important European markets.^{14/}

In most of the Latin American countries, the volume of banana exports dropped in 1962 from previous years, but due to a lack of complete statistics on production, the extent to which these exports reflect a decrease in production or a contraction in foreign demand cannot be measured accurately (see Table 12). In certain markets, such as that of United States, it is obvious that the contraction in demand must have been the cause of the reduction in imports, since in this country, as already stated, there are no quantitative restrictions or duties on such imports. In the European markets, the situation is different. In countries such as France, Italy, and the United Kingdom, the Latin American producers are practically excluded from the market because of the preferential treatment granted to other suppliers (see Table 13). In other importing countries (Belgium, Netherlands, Austria, and Switzerland), purchases from Latin America continued to increase, but the volume of their total imports is relatively small compared with that of the other European countries mentioned above.

14. See The Impact of Trade Restrictions in Europe on the Banana Producing Countries of Latin America, Pan American Union, Washington, D.C., March 11, 1963 (UP/G. 27/12. Rev.)

Table 12

BANANAS: EXPORTS OF LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES, VALUE AND VOLUME a/

		1960	Index: 1960 = 100.0	
			1961	1962
Brazil	Value	4.6	82.6	...
	Volume	241.9	103.1	...
Colombia	Value	13.7	102.9	77.4
	Volume	209.9	107.1	79.9
Costa Rica	Value	20.3	103.0	103.9
	Volume	225.5	91.3	106.5
Dominican Republic	Value	11.2	100.9	...
	Volume	180.4	90.1	...
Ecuador	Value	88.9	91.0	94.7
	Volume	1 149.1	93.8	90.8
Guatemala	Value	19.9	82.4	42.7
	Volume	251.3	81.9	42.8
Honduras	Value	28.7	116.0	119.2
	Volume	379.5	117.5	105.0
Panama	Value	18.2	109.9	96.2
	Volume	263.3	115.6	100.0

a. Value in millions of dollars; volume in thousands of tons.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics and additional information obtained from statistical publications of the countries concerned.

/Table 13

Table 13

BANANAS: IMPORTS OF SELECTED COUNTRIES BY REGION OF ORIGIN

Origin	Importers	Belgium-Luxembourg	France	Netherlands	Italy	Fed. Rep. of Germany	United Kingdom	Austria	Switzerland	Canada	United States	Total
Brazil	1960 (1000 tons)	-	-	-	1.0	-	4.7	-	-	-	-	5.7
	1961 Indices a/	-	-	-	-	-	110.6	-	-	-	-	91.2
	1962 " "	-	-	-	-	-	110.1	-	-	-
Colombia	1960 (1000 tons)	11.9	-	15.6	-	147.2	-	2.4	9.6	0.2	4.3	191.2
	1961 Indices a/	109.2	-	128.2	-	110.0	-	91.7	117.7	-	79.1	110.8
	1962 " "	...	-	74.4	-	88.6	-	54.2	75.0	...	107.0	-
Costa Rica	1960 (1000 tons)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.3	256.3	279.6
	1961 Indices a/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92.3	86.9	87.3
	1962 " "	...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	95.1	-
Dominican Republic	1960 (1000 tons)	4.2	-	7.9	-	56.4	3.5	1.0	4.4	3.6	77.3	158.3
	1961 Indices a/	211.9	-	177.2	-	96.1	117.1	303.0	113.6	44.4	46.4	80.2
	1962 " "	...	-	206.3	-	114.2	105.7	660.0	259.1	-	26.0	-
Ecuador	1960 (1000 tons)	22.5	-	12.4	-	200.6	-	21.2	19.4	68.1	615.1	959.3
	1961 Indices a/	116.0	-	104.0	-	102.2	-	87.7	117.0	72.2	86.5	90.4
	1962 " "	...	-	103.2	-	98.3	-	77.8	78.4	...	113.7	-
Guatemala	1960 (1000 tons)	3.4	-	6.4	-	15.9	-	1.1	3.6	11.2	137.0	178.6
	1961 Indices a/	20.6	-	56.3	-	117.0	-	63.6	25.0	61.6	78.7	77.9
	1962 " "	-	-	159.4	-	206.9	-	163.6	197.2	...	33.8	-
Honduras	1960 (1000 tons)	5.9	-	6.3	-	15.1	-	0.4	2.4	20.9	291.6	342.6
	1961 Indices a/	59.3	-	57.1	-	86.0	-	175.0	58.3	202.9	124.3	124.7
	1962 " "	...	-	73.0	-	53.0	-	650.0	154.2	...	112.6	-
Panama	1960 (1000 tons)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41.5	255.5	297.0
	1961 Indices a/	b/	-	c/	-	d/	-	-	-	96.1	96.8	97.7
	1962 " "	...	-	c/	-	d/	-	-	-	...	78.6	-
Subtotal	1960 (1000 tons)	47.9	-	48.6	1.0	435.2	8.2	26.1	39.4	168.8	1637.1	2412.3
	1961 Indices a/	109.6	-	113.0	-	104.5	113.4	97.7	104.8	95.7	92.3	95.8
	1962 " "	...	-	117.7	-	100.1	103.7	110.3	113.2	...	95.6	-
Others	1960 (1000 tons)	17.2	347.1	10.1	84.5	10.0	335.9	1.6	4.7	0.2	-	811.3
	1961 Indices a/	82.0	103.9	120.8	119.6	106.0	105.8	137.5	117.0	50.0	-	106.2
	1962 " "	...	112.8	120.8	157.0	242.0	107.9	93.8	161.7	...	-	-
Total	1960 (1000 tons)	65.1	347.1	58.7	85.5	445.2	244.1	27.7	44.1	169.0	1637.1	3223.6
	1961 Indices a/	102.3	103.9	114.3	118.2	104.6	106.0	100.0	106.1	95.6	92.3	98.5
	1962 " "	...	112.8	118.2	155.2	103.3	107.8	109.4	118.4	...	95.6	-

a. Indices: 1960 = 1000.

b. Imports of 300 tons in 1961.

c. Imports of 800 tons in 1961 and 1700 tons in 1962.

d. Imports of 2100 tons in 1961 and 2700 tons in 1962.

Sources: Commonwealth Economic Committee, Fruit Intelligence, editions January-May 1963, London; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Crops and Markets, (various issues).

3. Cacao

After a decline that lasted three years and which in 1962, brought the price of cacao beans to the lowest levels recorded since 1949, the market began to recover in the first months of 1963 as a result of the change that occurred in the general outlook for production and consumption.

The period of price decline reflected principally the sharp growth in world production between 1958/59 and 1960/61. In the same period, consumption expanded less than production and, to a certain extent, represented only a recovery from the 1957/58 decline. Consequently, during the period 1958/59 - 1960/61 very large stocks were accumulated chiefly in the consumer countries. However, in the past two years, although world production remained above the 1959/60 level, there was a downward trend with respect to the maximum volume reached in 1960/61. Moreover, as since that time expansion in consumption continued at a higher rate than in the two preceding years, a deficit of approximately 77 000 tons between world production and consumption is predicted for 1962/63. Between 1958/59 and 1961/62, an excess of world production over total consumption was recorded (see Table 14).

Within this general picture, the position of the Latin American countries was particularly critical during the 1960/62 period, since, in some, added to the effects of the drop in cacao prices was a contraction in production, especially in Brazil--the chief cacao exporter of the region (see Table 15). Accordingly, both Latin American production and exports developed quite differently from the trend observed in the African countries. Although there has also been a certain decline in production in the African countries in the last two years, the total volume continues to be considerably higher than that of 1959/60.

The decrease in Latin American exports was primarily due to a decrease in exports to the United States and secondarily to a decrease in exports to the European Economic Community and countries having centrally planned economies (see Table 16). Since the decrease in exports to those regions was due to smaller stocks in the Latin American countries and not to a contraction in foreign demand, African exports of cacao to the above-mentioned regions increased substantially.

The possibility of concluding an international cacao agreement continued to be discussed in 1962. The Cacao Study Group held its Fifth Meeting (Switzerland, May 1962) and studied the draft agreement prepared the year before by a group of experts. However, at these meetings as well as in others held later by the Executive Committee of the Study Group, it was decided merely to continue the preparatory work and to postpone until the middle of 1963, ^{15/} the decision to hold an international conference to negotiate the Agreement.

15. See Situación de los productos básicos 1963, FAO, Rome, 1963.

Table 14

CACAO BEANS: PRODUCTION, CONSUMPTION AND STOCKS
(Thousands of metric tons)

	1959/60	1960/61	1961/62	1962/63*
Production <u>a/</u>	1 045	1 172	1 131	1 109
Consumption <u>b/</u>	939	1 041	1 110	1 186
Surplus or deficit	+ 106	+ 131	+ 21	- 77
Stocks in consumer countries <u>b/</u>	326	446	466	390

a. According to the source cited above, the production figures were reduced one percent for weight loss.

b. The consumption figures refer to the calendar year and the stocks to the end of the second calendar year indicated in the column heading.

Source: Gill & Duffers, Ltd., Cocoa Market Report, London, May 6, 1963.

Table 15

CACAO BEANS: PRODUCTION AND EXPORTS OF SELECTED COUNTRIES a/

	Thousands of metric tons 1959/60	Indices: 1959/60 = 100		
		1960/61	1961/62	1962/63 b/
Brazil				
Production	199	61.3	59.3	52.3
Exports	125.5	83.3	40.0	
Colombia				
Production	19	100.0	100.0	105.3
Exports	-	-	-	-
Costa Rica				
Production	12	108.3	83.3	100.0
Exports	12.2	85.2	98.0	
Dominican Republic				
Production	42	88.1	85.7	92.8
Exports	26.4	44.3	73.8	
Ecuador				
Production	35	120.0	108.6	108.6
Exports	35.6	91.3	88.0	
Mexico				
Production	23	117.4	121.7	121.7
Exports	3.1	177.2	393.7	
Venezuela				
Production	14	85.7	85.7	85.7
Exports	8.0	122.7	131.3	
Other Latin America				
Production	14	100.0	92.8	107.1
Total Latin America				
Production	358	79.9	76.5	74.9
Exports	210.9	81.6	64.0	
Cameroon				
Production	64	110.9	118.7	114.1
Exports	58.9	99.0	83.8	
Ghana				
Production	322	136.3	129.5	125.1
Exports	307.6	133.9	139.1	
Ivory Coast				
Production	62	151.6	132.2	156.4
Exports	62.9	140.6	160.6	
Nigeria				
Production	157	126.1	123.6	110.2
Exports	159.5	117.1	124.0	
Other Africa				
Production	57	108.8	108.8	110.5
Total Africa				
Production	662	130.7	125.5	122.2
Exports b/	588.9	126.6	131.8	
World Total				
Production	1 056	112.2	108.2	106.1
Exports	876.8	114.0	-	

a. Production figures refer to the crop year, while those for exports refer to the second calendar year indicated in the column.

b. Includes only those countries listed.

Source: Gill & Duffers, Cocoa Market Report, London, May 6, 1963.

/Table 16

Table 16

CACAO BEANS: EXPORTS OF SELECTED COUNTRIES, BY PRINCIPAL
REGION OF DESTINATION

Importers Exporters	United States	European Economic Community	European Free Trade Association	Soviet Union and Eastern Europe	Others	Total
Brazil						
1960 (Tons)	54 444	35 425	2 405	24 266	8 911	125 451
1961 Indices <u>a/</u>	84.6	66.4	91.5	88.3	127.5	83.3
1962 "	23.7	35.6	125.3	61.4	75.2	40.0
Ecuador						
1960 (Tons)	22 852	8 475	1 124	-	3 131	35 582
1961 Indices <u>a/</u>	72.7	84.9	102.8	<u>b/</u>	224.1	91.3
1962 "	88.0
Dominican Republic						
1960 (Tons)	26 400
1961 Indices <u>a/</u>	44.3
1962 "	73.8
Venezuela						
1960 (Tons)	6 832	1 070	59	-	51	8 012
1961 Indices <u>a/</u>	78.2	391.4	196.6	-	-	122.7
1962 "	131.3
Cameroon						
1960 (Tons)	5 698	51 992	135	674	396	58 894
1961 Indices <u>a/</u>	150.9	93.9	122.2	44.8	105.8	99.0
1962 "	107.0	78.4	1 014.8	55.9	185.6	83.8
Ghana						
1960 (Tons)	58 110	125 972	57 689	34 492	26 383	307 646
1961 Indices <u>a/</u>	243.9	119.1	103.6	69.2	124.8	133.9
1962 "	196.8	119.2	116.4	147.6	144.1	139.1
Ivory Coast						
1960 (Tons)	13 443	42 156	485	4 603	2 206	62 892
1961 Indices <u>a/</u>	168.7	140.0	676.5	-	158.3	140.6
1962 "	263.4	136.6	342.7	-	288.9	160.6
Nigeria						
1960 (Tons)	34 696	64 094	40 647	11 582	8 473	159 493
1961 Indices <u>a/</u>	196.6	97.3	126.2	-	58.5	117.1
1962 "	181.9	115.8	120.3	4.4	130.1	124.0
Total						
1960						704 386
1961						115 115.2
1962						113.2

a. Indices: 1960 = 100.0

b. In 1961, 524 tons were exported to this group of countries.

Source: Commonwealth Economic Committee, Tropical Products Quarterly, London, March 1963;
Gill & Duffers, Ltd., Cocoa Market Report London, April 5, 1963, No. 159.

/4. Coffee

4. Coffee

The decline in international prices for green coffee, rather moderate in 1960 and 1961, continued in 1962 and did not appear to halt until the first months of 1963, despite certain events that pointed to greater firmness of the market and a relative degree of stability in the foreign prices for this product. In fact, world production in 1960/61-1962/63 remained at much lower levels than in 1959/60 and in the producing countries both consumption and exports increased slightly in the past two years. However, the accumulation of stocks continued at an increasing rate, since, in absolute terms, total production, continued to exceed the demand of domestic consumption and export demand, despite recent drops (see Table 17). Thus, at the beginning of the 1962/63 commercial year, stocks were double what they were in 1959/60 and represented the equivalent of approximately two years of world exports.

The new International Coffee Agreement which, it was hoped, would have a certain stabilizing effect on prices, does not appear to have helped alter the market behaviour as of yet, although the last quarter of 1962 was already covered by the Agreement. It is clear, however, that this new agreement is, to a certain extent, a continuation of previous agreements, reinforced now by the participation of importing countries to give greater effectiveness to strict compliance with export quotas; accordingly it does not constitute a completely new element in the market. Moreover, unlike the other international commodity agreements (sugar and tin, for example), the Coffee Agreement does not provide for a mechanism for altering export quotas in direct ratio to a certain price level, and so the quotas do not perform a strictly price stabilizing function, but rather a function of regulating competition between producers. Finally, since the accumulation of stocks has continued to increase, the market could in practice "ignore" recent drops in production.

From the standpoint of foreign demand, the development in the coffee market was more active, and this has been expressed in an increase of 6 percent in 1962 world imports over those of the previous year. Most of this increase was due to United States imports brought about in part, by increased consumption and, in part, by an accumulation of stocks in that country in anticipation of a long-shoremen's strike, which actually occurred at the end of December 1962. ^{16/} In connection with the increased import volume, and despite the fact that imports from Latin America shared in the increase, it should be noted that the rapid growth in imports from Africa continued to take place (see Table 18). In 1960, Latin America furnished 80 percent of total United States imports, but this figure dropped to 75.8 percent in 1962. In contrast, imports from Africa represented 17.3 percent of the total imports in 1960, and 20.9 percent in 1962. Imports by most of the European countries also reflected increases of varying percentages, especially those of the Federal Republic of Germany, while the imports of the countries having a centrally planned economy dropped slightly (see Table 19).

16. Stocks of green coffee in the United States at the end of December 1962 totalled 4 million bags, as compared with 2.8 million bags at the end of December 1961.

Table 17

GREEN COFFEE: WORLD PRODUCTION, STOCKS, AND EXPORTS

(Commercial year July-June)

	(Millions of bags of 60 kilos) 1950/60	Index: 1959/60 = 100.0		
		1960/61	1961/62	1962/63*
Initial stocks	40.4	156.2	169.6 <u>a/</u>	200.2
Production	78.9	83.0	90.2	83.0
Total amounts available	119.3	107.8	117.1	122.7
Exports	43.8	101.4	103.9	...
Consumption in Producer Countries	12.5	101.6	106.4	...

a. Reduced by 3 million bags distributed in Brazil.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Circular,
December 1962, FCOF 4-62.

Table 18

TOTAL UNITED STATES GREEN COFFEE IMPORTS, BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

	(Thousands of bags of 60 kilos) 1960	Index: 1960 = 100.0	
		1961	1962
Brazil	9 261	92.6	98.2
Colombia	4 254	95.9	101.8
Costa Rica	271	136.1	142.1
Dominican Republic	403	65.3	103.7
Ecuador	317	63.7	116.4
El Salvador	416	140.1	202.6
Guatemala	798	118.9	121.2
Haiti	64	118.8	240.6
Honduras	332	43.4	48.2
Mexico	1 097	114.3	122.3
Nicaragua	170	132.4	111.8
Panama	16	37.5	5.0
Peru	347	110.1	134.6
Venezuela	345	99.7	78.6
Subtotal	18 091	96.5	105.0
Africa	3 824	119.7	133.7
Others	186	162.9	209.1
Total	22 101	101.0	110.8

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agriculture Circular,
March 1963, FCOF 1-63.

/Table 19

Table 19

COFFEE BEANS: WORLD IMPORTS BY SELECTED
COUNTRIES AND REGIONS

	(Thousands of bags of 60 kilos) 1960	Index: 1960 = 100.0	
		1961	1962
Canada	995	112.5	119.3
United States	22 101	101.0	110.8
Western Europe	15 247	104.8	108.5
European Economic Community	10 479	103.4	106.0
Federal Republic of Germany	3 323	105.1	116.6
Belgium-Luxembourg	1 109	93.4	75.4
France	3 477	98.0	100.0
Netherlands	917	125.1	115.9
Italy	1 653	106.0	112.2
Free Trade Association	4.208	105.0	113.8
Austria	203	107.4	112.8
Denmark	698	104.2	111.5
Norway	483	93.2	106.8
Portugal	185	113.5	104.3
United Kingdom	919	106.4	125.6
Sweden	1 222	106.0	113.4
Switzerland	498	108.4	106.8
Other Western Europe a/	560	130.2	115.5
Eastern Europe	861	133.1	128.3
Eastern Germany	289	106.9	108.0
Czechoslovakia	133	174.4	136.1
Hungary	55	72.7	103.6
Poland	65	107.7	115.4
Soviet Union	319	155.2	150.5
Latin America b/	602	118.8	108.8
Japan	178	141.6	133.1
Other Countries	2 392	103.3	104.8
Total World Imports	42 440	103.9	110.1

a. Includes Spain, Greece, Turkey, and Yugoslavia.

b. Includes Argentina, Chile, and Uruguay.

Source: George Gordon Paton, Complete Coffee Coverage, New York, March 4, 1963.

/It should

It should be mentioned, with respect to imports by the Federal Republic of Germany, that it submitted a proposal to the Commission of the European Economic Community to replace the ad valorem duty on imports of green coffee from third countries with a specific duty, thereby decreasing the proportionally more restrictive effect the ad valorem tariff has on imports of more expensive grades of coffee, but without injuring imports from the associated countries of the Community since, in any case, such imports are duty free; to date, no decision has been reached on that proposal.

The Latin American countries increased their exports to Western Europe and Canada, in some cases in larger proportions than shown in the import figures for those areas, while they decreased their exports to those countries having centrally planned economies, almost all of whose exports come from Brazil and Colombia (see Table 20). The figures for Latin American exports to the United States do not agree with the figures for United States imports in 1962, especially in the list by country. The 9 percent increase shown in the figures for 1962 United States imports from Latin America appears as only one percent in the figures for Latin American exports to that country. 17/

The decline in world coffee production reflects above all the changes that have been occurring in Brazilian production; these have been aggravated by the decline in Colombian production and, at times, by that of other smaller producers (see Table 21). Most of the Latin American countries had registered increases in their exports, with Brazil the main exception, although, as has been stated, this was not due to a decline in production, since Brazil has large surpluses from previous harvests. However, although the volume of exports of most Latin American countries increased over the previous years, the drop in internal prices in 1962 was expressed in a decrease in the value of coffee exports, or in a lower increase than that recorded by the volume of exports. For the region as a whole, in 1962 the value of exports fell 2 percent below that of 1961, despite an increase of 4.3 percent in volume (see Table 22).

17. The discrepancy is especially noticeable in countries such as Brazil, Guatemala, Costa Rica, and Mexico. This difference is due, in part, to the lag that occurred in recording the export and import statistics in the various countries, and, in part, to the fact that the 1962 figures are only tentative. It is possible, however, that there are other causes that cannot be determined for the moment. Therefore, the differences between Table 18 and 19, on the one hand, and Table 20, on the other hand, should be noted.

Table 20

GREEN COFFEE: EXPORTS OF SELECTED LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES,
BY PRINCIPAL REGION OF DESTINATION

	United States	Canada	European Economic Community	Free Trade Ass'n.	Soviet Union Eastern Europe	Other	Total
Brazil							
1960 (1000 bags)	9 361	294	2 736	2 075	621	1 712	16 819
1961 Indices ^{a/}	91.6	108.8	117.0	105.8	122.1	111.2	100.9
1962 "	87.0	114.6	118.3	112.0	107.2	96.6	97.4
Colombia							
1960 (1000 bags)	4 350	109	857	321	144	157	5 938
1961 Indices ^{a/}	90.8	107.3	106.0	110.9	29.9	177.1	95.2
1962 "	99.5	115.6	131.9	144.5	44.4	284.7	110.5
Costa Rica							
1960 (1000 bags)	274	9	439	40	1	3	766
1961 Indices ^{a/}	131.8	77.8	95.7	97.5	-	266.7	109.0
1962 "	128.1	111.1	110.0	117.5	-	366.7	117.8
Dominican Rep.							
1960 (1000 bags)	413	6	59	2	-	1	481
1961 Indices ^{a/}	68.3	66.7	81.4	50.0	-	-	69.6
1962 "	101.0	-	113.6	50.0	-	200.0	101.2
Ecuador							
1960 (1000 bags)	323	1	190	16	-	9	539
1961 Indices ^{a/}	59.1	200.0	90.5	43.8	-	100.0	70.7
1962 "	115.5	100.0	83.2	50.0	-	111.1	102.2
El Salvador							
1960 (1000 bags)	371	5	740	46	-	16	1 178
1961 Indices ^{a/}	179.8	240.0	96.6	76.1	-	12.5	121.5
1962 "	180.6	260.0	97.4	100.0	-	175.0	125.4
Guatemala							
1960 (1000 bags)	825	4	427	58	-	15	1 329
1961 Indices ^{a/}	96.0	150.0	85.7	124.1	-	126.7	94.4
1962 "	90.4	200.0	143.3	148.3	b/	640.0	116.8
Haiti							
1960 (1000 bags)	60	-	296	33	1	4	394
1961 Indices ^{a/}	141.7	-	79.4	75.8	-	75.0	88.3
1962 "	231.7	-	114.5	93.9	-	125.0	130.5
Honduras							
1960 (1000 bags)	149	-	70	17	-	22	258
1961 Indices ^{a/}	89.3	-	55.7	41.2	-	140.9	81.4
1962 "	100.7	c/	107.1	23.5	-	163.6	103.1
Mexico							
1960 (1000 bags)	1 128	16	160	36	1	43	1 384
1961 Indices ^{a/}	118.3	100.0	65.0	77.8	-	2.3	107.2
1962 "	115.6	106.3	45.0	158.3	-	16.3	105.3
Nicaragua							
1960 (1000 bags)	188	1	170	2	-	-	361
1961 Indices ^{a/}	111.2	100.0	79.4	100.0	-	d/	96.7
1962 "	100.0	100.0	84.1	50.0	-	d/	93.6
Peru							
1960 (1000 bags)	332	3	92	6	-	7	440
1961 Indices ^{a/}	117.5	33.3	142.4	333.3	-	357.1	128.9
1962 "	141.0	200.0	112.0	400.0	-	328.6	141.8
Venezuela							
1960 (1000 bags)	359	-	33	16	-	-	408
1961 Indices ^{a/}	97.8	e/	118.2	93.8	-	-	99.5
1962 "	71.6	e/	133.3	93.8	-	f/	78.2
Total							
1960 (1000 bags)	18 153	448	6 270	2 668	768	1 988	30 295
1961 Indices ^{a/}	95.5	108.7	103.9	105.1	104.3	114.7	99.8
1962 "	96.7	116.3	114.6	116.5	95.7	116.9	103.7

a. Indices: 1960 = 100.0

b. Exports of 4000 bags in 1962.

c. Exports of 1000 bags in 1963

d. Exports of 2000 and 5000 bags in 1961 and 1962, respectively.

e. Exports of 1000 bags in 1961 and 1962.

f. Exports of 2000 bags in 1962.

Source: Pan American Coffee Bureau, *Coffee Statistics*, New York, 1962.

/Table 21

Table 21

GREEN COFFEE: WORLD PRODUCTION AND EXPORTS,
BY COUNTRY AND REGION ^{a/}

	1959/60 (1000 bags)	Index: 1959/60 = 100.0		
		1960/61	1961/62	1962/63*
Brazil				
Production	37 000	59.5	75.7	54.1
Exports ^{b/}	16 819	100.9	97.4	
Colombia				
Production	7 000	100.0	97.1	94.3
Exports ^{b/}	5 938	95.2	110.5	
Costa Rica				
Production	800	131.2	121.2	116.9
Exports ^{b/}	766	109.0	117.8	
Dominican Republic				
Production	460	81.5	97.8	87.0
Exports ^{b/}	481	69.6	101.2	
Ecuador				
Production	475	105.3	126.3	136.8
Exports ^{b/}	539	70.7	102.2	
El Salvador				
Production	1 475	91.5	122.0	96.6
Exports ^{b/}	1 178	121.5	125.4	
Guatemala				
Production	1 400	92.8	108.9	110.7
Exports ^{b/}	1 329	94.4	116.8	
Haiti				
Production	500	55.0	105.0	85.0
Exports ^{b/}	394	88.3	130.5	
Honduras				
Production	300	75.0	96.7	108.3
Exports ^{b/}	258	81.4	103.1	
Mexico				
Production	1 550	93.5	96.8	96.8
Exports ^{b/}	1 384	107.2	105.3	
Nicaragua				
Production	325	136.3	121.5	135.4
Exports ^{b/}	361	96.7	93.6	
Peru				
Production	375	110.7	160.0	173.3
Exports ^{b/}	440	128.9	141.8	
Venezuela				
Production	410	103.7	85.4	109.8
Exports ^{b/}	408	99.5	78.2	
Latin America				
Production	52 070	70.7	84.2	67.9
Exports	30 295	99.8	103.7	
Africa				
Production	11 996	111.0	98.1	115.8
Exports	10 879	103.8	114.9	
Total World				
Production	66 421	79.5	87.2	77.9
Exports	42 658	102.2	107.2	

a. Exportable production for commercial years July-June.

b. Exports refer to the second calendar year indicated in the column.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agriculture Circular, March 1963, FCOF 1-63. G. Gordon Paton, Complete Coffee Coverage, New York, May 23, 1963.

/Table 22

Table 22

GREEN COFFEE: VALUE a/ AND VOLUME b/ OF EXPORTS
OF LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES

	1960	Index: 1960 = 100.0	
		1961	1962
Brazil			
Value	713.0	99.6	90.2
Volume	16 819	100.9	97.4
Colombia			
Value	333.5	92.3	99.5
Volume	5 938	95.2	110.5
Costa Rica			
Value	45.4	98.9	103.1
Volume	766	109.0	117.8
Dominican Republic			
Value	22.6	61.1	88.0
Volume	481	68.0	105.6
Ecuador			
Value	21.9	65.3	95.4
Volume	539	70.7	102.0
El Salvador			
Value	76.7	91.5	83.7
Volume	1 178	121.5	125.5
Guatemala			
Value	78.6	88.0	86.8
Volume	1 329	94.4	116.8
Haiti			
Value	17.3	77.5	119.7
Volume	394	88.3	130.5
Honduras			
Value	11.8	75.8	97.0
Volume	258	81.4	102.3
Mexico			
Value	71.7	100.0	100.7
Volume	1 384	107.2	105.3
Nicaragua			
Value	19.2	90.6	80.2
Volume	371	93.5	96.0
Total 11 countries			
Value	1 411.7	95.0	93.1
Volume	29 447	99.3	103.5

a. Millions of dollars.

b. Millions of bags.

Source: Values. International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics; Volumes: G. Gordon Paton, Complete Coffee Coverage, New York, May 23, 1963.

5. Wheat

World wheat production in 1962/63 stood 3 and 2 per cent higher respectively, than the shortfall levels of the two previous years. Australia, Canada, and Western Europe led this recovery, while the production of both the United States and Argentina again declined (see Table 23).

Because of the reduction in the stocks of the principal exporters, owing to previous production drops, and the increase in the import needs of Western Europe--especially the Common Market--and Continental China, prices rallied slightly for Argentine wheat, and much more markedly for Canadian and Australian wheat. In view of the recovery in production in the importing regions, it is to be expected that the rising trend in the world market will not continue.

At the beginning of the 1962/63 crop year, stocks in the United States were lower than in the two previous years, but they remained at levels slightly above those of 1959/60. It is estimated that 1962/63 production will be approximately 13 per cent less than that of the preceding year, but a decrease in exports is also anticipated, so that, on the whole, the change in total stocks may be very slight. Of greater importance--but as yet unpredictable consequences--is the fact that United States wheat production controls will be eliminated in 1964, as a result of the defeat of the referendum conducted at the end of May 1963 by the farmers of the United States. Since the elimination of production controls means also the elimination of the guaranteed prices at 80 percent of parity, it is believed that a sharp drop in wheat prices on the domestic market is likely. Wheat prices on the export market are subject to minimum and maximum limits, fixed in the 1962 International Wheat Agreement,^{18/} so that the effect of an eventual drop in the domestic prices of the United States will not necessarily lead to a drop in export prices.

The largest export increases in both 1960/61 and 1961/62 occurred in the United States, Canada, and Australia; in 1961/62 they also occurred, in lower percentages, in Argentina and France (see Table 24). The Soviet Union was therefore the only exporting country that did not contribute to the increase in the world wheat trade. It should be noted, also, that the increase in exports was concentrated chiefly in those going to the EEC countries, Eastern Europe and Continental China, with a considerable increase in 1961/62 in exports to Latin America, chiefly Brazil, whose production declined in 1961/62.

18. It should be mentioned, in this connection, that the Soviet Union participates as an exporting member in the new International Wheat Agreement, thereby somewhat strengthening the position of this Agreement in the regulation of the world wheat market.

Table 23

WHEAT: WORLD PRODUCTION AND STOCKS IN SELECTED COUNTRIES

	(1 000 metric tons) 1959/1960	Index: 1959/60 = 100.0		
		1960/61	1961/62	1962/63*
A. <u>Production</u>				
United States	30 512	121.1	110.1	97.4
Canada	11 254	125.4	68.5	134.8
Western Europe <u>a/</u>	42 665	92.8	88.2	110.6
Eastern Europe	13 955	92.7	97.1	97.4
Soviet Union	69 101	93.0	96.2	102.2
Australia	5 402	137.9	124.5	151.1
Latin America	9 386	83.2	94.6	...
Argentina	(5 837)	(67.8)	(87.4)	(83.9)
World Total	249 200	98.2	95.0	104.5
B. <u>Stocks</u> <u>b/</u>				
Argentina	1 633	100.0	58.3	21.7
Australia	1 851	94.1	41.2	26.5
Canada	14 941	109.3	110.7	72.1
United States	35 244	101.5	108.9	100.8
Total	53 669	103.3	105.6	87.8

a. Includes Yugoslavia.

b. At the beginning of the crop year of each country.

Sources: FAO, Boletín Mensual de Economía y Estadística Agrícolas;
U.S. Department of Agriculture, The Wheat Situation, Feb. 1963.

/Table 24

Table 24

WHEAT AND WHEAT FLOUR: EXPORTS BY PRINCIPAL REGION OF DESTINATION

Importers Exporters		European Economic Community	European Free Trade Associa- tion	Eastern Europe and Continental China	Latin America	Japan	Others	Total
Argentina	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	555.8	325.5	-	1 198.2	-	29.5	2 109.0
	1960/61 Indices <u>a/</u>	71.0	86.0	-	91.9	-	451.5	90.5
	1961/62 "	184.9	120.3	<u>b/</u>	66.2	<u>c/</u>	141.0	111.6
Australia	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	115.1	656.0	-	-	378.6	2 151.9	3 301.6
	1960/61 Indices <u>a/</u>	504.2	128.6	<u>d/</u>	-	94.4	96.0	153.0
	1961/62 "	482.6	120.1	<u>d/</u>	<u>e/</u>	112.8	117.5	189.4
Canada	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	1 408.8	2 961.2	132.6	298.4	1 254.8	1 519.5	7 575.3
	1960/61 Indices <u>a/</u>	145.0	95.3	934.2	104.5	122.7	92.2	123.5
	1961/62 "	130.2	89.7	2 052.9	87.7	106.3	74.5	131.2
United States	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	723.5	786.3	723.9	2 145.1	109.2	8 500.8	13 788.8
	1960/61 Indices <u>a/</u>	290.2	103.8	154.7	94.6	100.7	129.3	130.3
	1961/62 "	260.5	134.6	64.5	131.4	111.0	144.8	141.8
France	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	510.7	227.8	-	59.6	-	957.2	1 755.3
	1960/61 Indices <u>a/</u>	108.2	74.1	<u>f/</u>	28.0	-	80.6	87.7
	1961/62 "	118.9	116.6	<u>f/</u>	43.3	-	78.8	105.6
Soviet Union	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	261.8	243.3	3 934.9	-	67.3	395.7	4 900.0
	1960/61 Indices <u>a/</u>	240.8	192.5	52.8	<u>g/</u>	81.0	29.0	75.0
	1961/62 "	111.3	178.1	75.5	<u>g/</u>	22.3	106.3	96.7
Subtotal	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	3 575.7	5 197.1	4 791.4	3 701.3	2 609.9	13 554.6	33 430.0
	1960/61 Indices <u>a/</u>	176.2	103.8	118.3	102.5	109.9	114.2	118.2
	1961/62 "	173.4	107.5	175.5	122.0	106.7	126.8	133.7
Total	1959/60 (1 000 tons)							36 049.9
World	1960/61 Indices							114.6
Exports	1961/62 "							130.9

a. Index 1959/60 = 100.0.

b. 98 700 tons in 1961/62.

c. 700 tons in 1961/62.

d. Exports of 1.20 and 1.95 million tons in 1960/61 and 1961/62, respectively.

e. Exports of 1 700 tons in 1961/62.

f. Exports of 29 400 and 200 100 tons in 1960/61 and 1961/62, respectively.

g. Exports of 150 000 tons to Cuba and 186 200 to Brazil in 1960/61 and 330 000 tons to Cuba and 282 900 to Brazil in 1961/62.

Sources: FAO, World Trade Grain Statistics 1959/60, 1960/61; U.S. Department of Agriculture, The Wheat Situation, Feb. 1963.

6. Corn

World corn trade represents a small proportion of world production. Most of the corn exports are concentrated in the United States, and most of the corn imports in the countries of Western Europe. The quotations for this product in the United Kingdom have fluctuated relatively little in the past three years, although a downward trend in that period has been evident, especially if the prices are compared with those for the 1950-1957 period. The high level of stock in the United States has undoubtedly affected this situation.

In 1961/62, world production remained almost at the same level as the previous year, although there were significant changes in certain countries. Western Europe, and particularly Yugoslavia--the largest exporter in the region--registered considerable decreases. The production of Thailand, South Africa, and other countries in those areas increased moderately. The greatest expansion occurred in the Soviet Union. The production of the United States, the largest world exporter, dropped in 1961/62, and is expected to remain at almost the same level in 1962/63 (see Table 25).

There were certain increases in Latin American production, especially in Argentina, Brazil, and other minor producers. In this region, Argentina is the only exporting country of any significance, and although its production increased in the past two years, exports decreased in relation to the volume reached in 1959/60. Apparently, the drop was caused by a decrease in the total production of other cereals, owing to severe droughts, which made it necessary to hold back a part of the exportable balances of corn for domestic consumption.

The sharp rise in corn exports in 1961/62 in relation to previous years was caused by increased import requirements in the EEC countries and other countries of Western Europe. Another considerable part was derived from an increase in world imports from Japan. The United States, which almost doubled its exports between 1959/60 and 1961/62, supplied a large part of these increased needs.

Argentina was unable to profit from the expansion in the international demand for corn, and its exports to Western Europe and Japan have, in the past two years, been considerably below those of 1959/60 (see Table 26).

In the first quarter of 1963, the situation of the international corn prices continued practically the same as the previous year. In the first half of the 1962/63 commercial year, United States exports continued at the high level of the previous year, and, although at the beginning of 1963 its stocks were lower than at the beginning of 1962, the volume of the stocks insures an adequate supply for the near future (see Table 27).

Table 25

CORN: WORLD PRODUCTION, BY SELECTED REGIONS AND COUNTRIES

	(1 000 metric tons)	Index: 1959/60 = 100.0		
	1959/60	1960/61	1961/62	1962/63*
Western Europe	14 294	103.8	96.5	...
Eastern Europe	11 456	98.1	91.6	...
Soviet Union	12 020	155.6	200.2	...
United States	97 149	102.2	94.8	93.9
Asia	10 930	106.1	106.2	...
Africa	10 950	113.3
Latin America				
Argentina	4 108	118.1	127.1	...
Brazil	8 554	105.2
Mexico	5 563	93.5	100.0	...
Total World Production	207 700	103.1	102.7	...

Source: FAO, Boletín Mensual de Economía y Estadística Agrícolas, December 1962.

Table 26

CORN: EXPORTS OF SELECTED COUNTRIES, BY PRINCIPAL
REGION OF DESTINATION

(Commercial years July-June)

		European Economic Community	European Free Trade Associa- tion	Canada	Japan	Other Asia (except Continen- tal China)	Others	Total
Argentina	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	2 278.1	463.4	-	420.7	-	8.3	3 170.5
	1960/61 Indices a/	62.6	28.7	-	66.5	-	680.7	59.8
	1961/62 Indices	75.0	29.2	-	42.9	-	2 754.2	71.1
United States	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	1 703.6	2 308.4	541.0	199.9	236.1	476.9	5 465.9
	1960/61 Indices	120.2	93.8	149.5	303.8	101.8	154.9	120.9
	1961/62 Indices	182.5	129.0	245.7	446.1	220.1	320.2	189.4
France	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	11.7	81.3	-	0.3	-	1.2	94.5
	1960/61 Indices	2 996.5	362.4	-	-	a/	1 708.3	709.4
	1961/62 Indices	1 391.4	196.8	-	-	-	1 675.0	362.8
Yugo- slavia	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	288.4	185.6	-	15.1	2.5	16.0	507.6
	1960/61 Indices	40.4	85.3	-	-	-	690.0	75.9
	1961/62 Indices	19.2	38.1	-	-	200.0	90.0	28.6
Thailand	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	-	-	-	223.7	51.2	4.8	279.7
	1960/61 Indices	-	b/	-	182.4	142.4	-	185.5
	1961/62	-	b/	-	143.8	398.2	91.7	210.6
South Africa	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	32.9	167.4	-	167.8	-	2.8	370.9
	1960/61 Indices	288.7	141.7	-	273.1	c/	314.3	215.9
	1961/62 Indices	2 381.4	120.9	-	304.2	c/	2 489.3	424.1
Total 6 countries	1959/60 (1 000 tons)	4 314.7	3 206.1	541.0	1 027.5	289.8	510.0	9 889.1
	1960/61 Indices	93.6	94.4	149.5	170.6	110.3	183.3	110.0
	1961/62 Indices	134.9	112.4	245.7	185.3	253.8	365.6	154.3
Total World Exports	1959/60 (1 000 tons)							10 870.0
	1960/61 Indices							11.3
	1961/62 Indices							166.0

a. Exports of 4 700 tons in 1960/61.

b. Exports of 37 900 and 59 200 tons in 1960/61 and 1961/62, respectively.

c. Exports of 1 600 and 7 000 tons in 1960/61 and 1961/62, respectively.

Sources: FAO, World Trade Grain Statistics, 1959/60 - 1960/61; US Department of Agriculture, World Agricultural Production and Trade, April 1963.

/Table 27

Table 27

CORN: STOCKS IN SELECTED EXPORTING COUNTRIES
ON JANUARY 1 OF EACH YEAR

	(1 000 metric tons)	Index 1960 = 100.0		
	1960	1961	1962	1963*
Argentina	1 397	45.4	81.8	63.6
United States	110 344	107.9	103.5	97.2
Total 2 countries	111 741	107.1	103.2	96.8

Source: US Department of Agriculture, World Agricultural Production and Trade, March 1963.

7. Meat

In 1962, the developments in beef prices on the international market showed certain erratic fluctuations. Within these fluctuations, in the first nine months of the year prices remained above those in the previous year, but in the final months of 1962 there was a sharp price fall, which continued in the first quarter of 1963. In May 1963, another price recovery began. However, the average price in the first four months of the year was almost 20 percent below the level for the corresponding period of 1962.

From the standpoint of the Latin American exporters, certain circumstances created a climate of uncertainty in the immediate outlook for the foreign meat market: 1) the import regulations adopted by the countries of the European Economic Community, and 2) the effects that would result from the eventual entry of the United Kingdom into the Community. To study the possible implications of this market development, the member countries of the Meat Action Group held a meeting in Buenos Aires at the end of 1962. During that meeting, it was agreed to encourage negotiation at the governmental level in the member countries of the Community so that the regulations finally adopted on meat imports would not have an excessively severe effect on Latin American exports.

In 1962, total Argentine exports were higher than in the two previous years, partially as a result of increased imports by the EEC, the United Kingdom, and Spain. In Uruguay, exports to other markets increased more, but there was also an increase in the total value. In the first quarter of 1963, there was a marked expansion in Argentine exports to Great Britain and Italy resulting partly from the drought in the exporting country; at the same time, British imports of refrigerated beef from Uruguay recovered.

Despite the increase in 1962, total imports of beef and other cattle on the hoof by the EEC countries did not remain at the 1960 level. This decline, which was relatively serious if the net imports of this group of countries is considered, was due chiefly to the expansion of French production and exports. However, this market development was not unfavorable to the Latin American exporting countries, since their total 1962 beef exports to the Community were higher than those in the two previous years ^{19/} (see Table 28), due chiefly to the recovery of Italian imports. Italy not only increased its purchases from Argentina by almost 10 000 tons but also imported more than 3 000 tons from Brazil. However, it should be remembered that there is no assurance that the position of the Latin American countries on the Community market will remain unchanged in the immediate future due to the fact that the common agricultural policy regulations on meat have not been effected as yet.

Total exports to the United Kingdom, which before 1962 had been the most important single market for Latin American exporters, increased considerably,

^{19/} Latin American countries do not supply cattle on the hoof to the European Economic Community.

Table 28

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY: IMPORTS AND EXPORTS OF BEEF
AND CATTLE ON THE HOOF

	Beef			Cattle on the hoof		
	(Thousand tons)			(Thousand head)		
	1960	1961	1962 ^{a/}	1960	1961	1962 ^{a/}
A. Imports, by origin						
Belgium-Luxembourg	2	1	2	8	7	7
France	44	58	58	116	77	50
Federal Republic of Germany	14	3	4	-	-	-
Italy	-	-	-	-	-	1
Netherlands	<u>32</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>133</u>	<u>55</u>
Subtotal	92	79	91	166	217	113
Argentina	52	66	82	-	-	-
Brazil	4	3	5	-	-	-
Uruguay	14	9	7	-	-	-
Other countries	<u>85</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>680</u>	<u>659</u>	<u>574</u>
Total	247	180	229	846	876	687
B. Total exports ^{b/}	122	137	200	225	273	166
C. Net imports, (A - B)	125	43	30	621	603	521

a. The figures for Germany and Italy cover 11 months, and those for Belgium-Luxembourg, 10 months.

b. Including exports to the member countries of the Community.

Source: Commonwealth Economic Committee, Intelligence Bulletin, London, April 1963.

/although the

although the 1960 levels were not reached, especially of beef imports. To a great extent, this decline in imports was due to an increase in domestic production. However, Latin American participation within that reduced import volume improved in relation to that of the United Kingdom's other suppliers (see Table 29). The continued increase in imports at the beginning of 1963 led to an official British statement that consideration was being given to the possibility of applying quantitative restrictions. If this were done, it could have very grave consequences for Latin American exporters.

The demand for imported meat continued to expand in the United States. This increased demand was chiefly for fresh, chilled and frozen beef, items of trade in which Mexico alone among the Latin American countries has a share of any importance (see Table 30). This trade continued to expand rapidly in the first quarter of 1963. Imports from Argentina, Uruguay and from other Latin American exporters are primarily in the form of canned meats, whose total importation in 1962 declined in relation to the preceding year, but continued at a higher level than in 1960. However, another of the important products in the meat trade--imports of cattle on the hoof--again showed a substantial gain, coming largely from Mexico.

/Table 29

Table 29

UNITED KINGDOM: TOTAL MEAT IMPORTS, BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

	1960 = 1 000 long tons	Indices (1960 = 100.0)	
		1961	1962
A. Beef^{a/}			
Argentina	183.6	77.5	88.3
Brazil	0.8	157.3	168.6
Uruguay	<u>32.6</u>	61.9	52.4
Subtotal	216.9	75.4	83.2
Australia	64.6	49.8	54.1
New Zealand	23.2	74.4	50.3
Ireland	14.7	223.7	146.3
Other countries	<u>33.3</u>	125.5	235.7
Total	352.8	81.6	92.7
B. Lamb^{b/}			
Argentina	30.5	65.4	69.3
Chile	1.8	181.6	47.1
Uruguay	-	-	-
Australia	30.9	83.1	71.9
New Zealand	300.0	96.5	97.3
Others	<u>12.0</u>	69.3	134.2
Total	375.3	92.4	93.9
C. Canned Beef			
Argentina	19.8	116.8	129.5
Brazil	4.1	100.9	49.5
Paraguay	4.7	115.1	134.5
Uruguay	3.3	54.0	102.0
Australia	19.0	80.8	53.6
New Zealand	0.8	112.7	118.9
Ireland	9.2	94.1	62.8
Others	<u>16.4</u>	142.1	150.5
Total	77.3	106.9	102.1

a. Beef and veal.

b. Mutton and lamb.

Source: Commonwealth Economic Committee, Intelligence Bulletin, London, February 1963, March 1963.

/Table 30

Table 30

UNITED STATES: IMPORTS OF BEEF AND CATTLE ON THE HOOF, BY ORIGIN

	1960 Tons	Indices (1960 = 100.0)	
		1961	1962
Fresh, chilled, and frozen beef:			
Australia	63 670	155.5	315.0
New Zealand	58 824	113.7	160.0
Canada	8 751	148.1	100.2
Ireland	23 763	128.7	126.9
Mexico	17 408	135.5	152.6
Others	15 075	98.0	159.3
Total	187 491	132.2	204.9
Beef, cured			
Canada	100	28.0	51.0
Argentina	557	74.3	43.8
Brazil	87	125.3	100.0
Paraguay	22	-	-
Uruguay	-	a/	-
Others	90	173.3	135.5
Total	856	95.6	58.9
Beef, processed			
Argentina	2 448	154.4	180.3
Brazil	272	244.8	436.8
Paraguay	-	-	b/
Total	2 720	163.4	207.7
Beef, canned			
Argentina	21 775	110.2	91.5
Brazil	3 911	147.6	153.2
Paraguay	4 021	105.8	96.6
Uruguay	4 400	139.6	171.7
Others	534	144.9	166.3
Total	34 641	118.1	110.4
Beef cattle on the hoof ^{c/}			
Canada	273	183.1	182.4
Mexico	391	138.9	192.3
Total	664	157.1	188.2

a. 111 tons.

b. 48 tons.

c. Thousands of heads.

Source: Commonwealth Economic Committee, Intelligence Bulletin, London, March 1963;
U.S. Department of Agriculture, Livestock and Meat Situation, May 1963.

8. Cotton

On the international cotton market, a relatively significant drop in world exports and a moderate price decline, especially for extra-long staple cotton were noted. During the period 1959/60 to 1961/62, world production remained practically stable, but an increase of approximately 4 percent is forecast for 1962/63. This probable increase, added to the stock increases of the importing countries in the two previous years is one of the chief causes for the weakness of the market, especially during the second half of 1962. In addition, United States stocks, which had declined in 1960 and 1961, again increased in 1962, the same occurred in other exporting countries (see Table 31).

Nor have the developments in world consumption been very encouraging, since, in 1959/60 and 1961/62 it remained practically stationary. However, within this world situation, the Latin American position has been improving, in relation to both production and exports. Brazilian production, which continued its long-term recovery of recent years, despite a slight decline in 1962/63, is significant. The production of Mexico and Colombia increased substantially in the same year. The increased importance cotton has acquired in Central America where, in recent years, it has become the second most important export product, is also significant. ^{20/} The production of the Latin American countries as a whole has increased more rapidly than total world production (see Table 32). With respect to exports, it is interesting to note that, despite the 1960/61 and 1961/62 decline in world exports, Latin American exports increased in both periods, an increase to which practically all the countries in the region contributed.

The decrease in world exports occurred chiefly in the United States, Egypt, and Pakistan (see Table 33). The drop in exports coincides with a decrease in production in 1961/62 only in the case of Egypt. In the United States, production has remained relatively unchanged in the last three years. However, world production fluctuated significantly in 1959/60 and 1961/62. It can be concluded that the decrease in world exports was caused principally by decreased demand in the chief importing areas which had already large stocks. This contraction in demand had already reached significant proportions in 1960/61 and acquired greater intensity in 1961/62 in the two European regional economic groups, and in the same year spread to Japan and to those countries having a centrally planned economy (see Table 33). It should be noted, however, that in 1961/62 Latin American exports to the European Economic Community, countries having a centrally planned economy, and other markets, continued to be higher than in the two previous years, thereby compensating for the decline in exports to the European Free Trade Association, Japan, and the United States.

20. See Part II, Chapter I, of this Survey.

Table 31

COTTON: WORLD STOCKS, PRODUCTION, AND CONSUMPTION
(Crop years August/July)

	Millions of bales <u>a/</u>	Indices (1959/60 = 100.0)			
		1959/60	1960/61	1961/62	1962/63 ^{b/}
A. <u>Stocks</u>					
United States	8.9	85.4	80.9	87.6	
Other exporters	3.6	88.9	97.2	102.8	
Importing countries	<u>5.3</u>	<u>113.2</u>	<u>122.6</u>	<u>103.8</u>	
Subtotal	17.8	94.4	96.6	95.5	
Centrally planned countries <u>c/</u>	<u>3.2</u>	<u>106.2</u>	<u>78.1</u>	<u>68.7</u>	
World total	21.0	96.2	93.8	91.4	
B. <u>Production</u>					
United States	14.5	99.3	99.3	102.8	
Other countries	<u>16.7</u>	<u>113.8</u>	<u>116.8</u>	<u>125.7</u>	
Subtotal	31.2	107.0	108.6	115.1	
Centrally planned countries <u>c/</u>	<u>16.0</u>	<u>87.5</u>	<u>86.2</u>	<u>86.2</u>	
World total	47.2	100.4	101.1	105.3	
C. <u>Consumption</u>					
United States	9.0	92.2	100.0	91.1	
Other countries	<u>22.2</u>	<u>104.9</u>	<u>105.8</u>	<u>104.0</u>	
Subtotal	31.2	101.3	104.2	100.3	
Centrally planned countries <u>c/</u>	<u>17.1</u>	<u>95.9</u>	<u>91.8</u>	<u>93.0</u>	
World total	48.3	99.4	99.8	97.7	

a. Bales of 478 pounds net weight.

b. Preliminary estimates.

c. Includes Soviet Union, Continental China, and the countries of Eastern Europe.

Source: International Cotton Advisory Committee, Cotton, Monthly Review of the World Situation, Washington, D.C., April-May, 1963.

/Table 32

Table 32

COTTON: PRODUCTION AND EXPORTS OF SELECTED COUNTRIES

		Thousands of bales of 478 lbs. 1959/60	Indices (1959/60 = 100.0)		
			1960/61	1961/62	1962/63 ^a
Argentina	Production	430	130.0	116.3	116.3
	Exports	31.1	246.0	456.3	-
Brazil	Production	1 700	114.7	147.0	135.3
	Exports	448.2	155.6	159.2	-
Colombia	Production	310	99.3	113.9	121.0
	Exports	31.4	379.9	460.2	-
El Salvador	Production	140.0	132.1	185.7	...
	Exports	112.7	123.4	186.1	-
Guatemala	Production	65.0	146.1	223.1	...
	Exports	52.0	144.2	221.1	-
Mexico	Production	1 660	126.5	119.9	142.8
	Exports	1 265.6	115.3	112.1	-
Nicaragua	Production	130	115.4	196.1	...
	Exports	114.8	121.0	209.1	-
Paraguay	Production	20	175.0	250.0	...
	Exports	5.0	400.0	700.0	-
Peru	Production	643	86.6	100.8	93.3
	Exports	544.7	116.8	134.1	-
Latin America	Production	5 098	116.5	131.4	...
	Exports	2 605.5	129.0	143.9	-
World Total	Production	47 249	100.4	101.0	105.2
	Exports	17 325	97.1	87.6	-
Latin American percentage of total world	Production	10.8	12.5	14.0	-
	Exports	6.6	20.0	24.7	-

a. Preliminary figures.

Source: International Cotton Advisory Committee, Cotton, World Statistics, Washington, D.C., April 1963.

Table 33

COTTON: EXPORTS OF SELECTED COUNTRIES AND REGIONS, BY DESTINATION
(Crop year August/July)

			United States	European Economic Community	European Free Trade Association	Soviet Union and Eastern Europe <u>a/</u>	Japan	Others	Total
Latin America <u>c/</u>	1959/60	(1000 bales)	83.5	951.5	322.1	79.0	764.7	347.7	2 548.5
	1960/61	Indices <u>b/</u>	132.8	116.3	112.4	63.3	140.8	161.0	128.2
	1961/62	Indices	102.5	126.2	105.0	168.1	121.5	193.4	141.2
United States	1959/60	(1000 bales)	-	2 291.7	882.4	71.2	1 755.0	2 181.3	7 181.6
	1960/61	Indices <u>b/</u>	-	77.8	75.7	320.4	99.4	101.3	92.3
	1961/62	Indices	-	47.5	59.0	195.2	58.6	98.1	68.4
Other Countries <u>d/</u>	1959/60	(1000 bales)	112.4	922.4	390.4	1 341.2	255.5	541.7	3 563.6
	1960/61	Indices <u>b/</u>	103.4	65.2	69.3	101.5	68.9	85.3	83.8
	1961/62	Indices	75.9	94.6	80.3	71.5	75.9	106.0	84.1
Subtotal	1959/60	(1000 bales)	195.9	4 165.6	1 594.9	1 491.4	2 775.2	3 070.7	13 293.7
	1960/61	Indices <u>b/</u>	115.9	83.8	81.5	109.9	108.0	105.2	96.9
	1961/62	Indices	87.2	75.9	73.5	82.5	77.5	110.3	86.6
World total	1959/60	(1000 bales)	--	--	--	--	--	--	17 325.0
	1960/61	Indices <u>b/</u>	--	--	--	--	--	--	97.1
	1961/62	Indices	--	--	--	--	--	--	87.6

a. Includes Continental China.

b. Indices 1959/60 = 100.0

c. Includes Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, El Salvador, Mexico, Nicaragua, and Peru. The difference from the total for Latin America in the preceding table is due to the exclusion of Guatemala and Paraguay, for which figures on country of destination are not available.

d. Other countries include Egypt, Pakistan, Syria, Sudan, and Turkey.

Source: International Cotton Advisory Committee, Cotton, World Statistics, April 1963.

One thing that in the near future, will have definite implications on the progress of cotton fiber trade is the Agreement on International Trade in Cotton Textiles, which entered into force in October 1962 for a period of five years, and was signed by a number of industrialized countries. ^{21/} This Agreement is an important instrument for regulating trade in a branch of the manufacturing industry that is in a stage of full development in Latin America, and one to which the countries of the region do not want any conditions to be applied that could limit possibilities for diversifying the export structure. However, no Latin American country took part in the negotiations that led to the Agreement.

21. See United Nations Commission on International Commodity Trade. Hechos recientes en materia de productos básicos, Memorandum No. 44.

9. Wool

In the past two years wool prices have varied somewhat, depending on the type of fiber. Prices for coarse wools, in general, dropped sharply, while those for fine wools, which had declined less sharply in 1961, rose to levels slightly above those of 1960.

These price tendencies appear to reflect chiefly qualitative changes in demand, since there have been no major changes in world production and consumption as compared with 1960. Figures for wool consumption in a representative group of countries show that, although there have been significant changes, in the recent period among the various countries, total consumption has remained stable (see Table 34).

For several years now, competition between the cotton and wool industries and artificial fibers has been a factor restricting the expansion of consumption of natural fibers, and progress in research in recent years has improved even further the competitive position of artificial fibers by reducing market prices (the most recent reductions have been for rayon and orlon) and by improving the quality of the various fibers and the ways of using them in the manufacture of articles that previously contained natural fibers. For example, the rayon fiber being used now, unlike that of the past, does not shrink, has greater resistance, and its appearance remains unaltered after several washings. A new fiber of polypropylene is being used in the manufacture of rugs, curtains, sweaters, bathing suits, and other articles of clothing, because it combines the qualities of wool and nylon. Rayon production in the United States, for example, increased from 314 million pounds in 1960 to 400.5 million pounds in 1961 (27.5 percent), and it is estimated that it will reach 510 million pounds in 1962.

The International Wool Study Group, at the request of the Government of the United States met in London in mid-December 1962 to study the problems of the wool textile industry. The meeting was exploratory in nature and did not adopt recommendations of any kind, however, it agreed to meet again at the beginning of 1964.^{22/}

The exports of the chief wool producers increased 4 percent in both 1961 and 1962. However, in the case of Argentina and Uruguay, 1962 exports were lower than in the preceding year; this was reflected in an increase in the stocks of those two countries, but not in a significant proportion, because production dropped that year (see Table 35).

The distribution of exports by regions of destination shows that the increase in exports in the past two years was concentrated in the United States, the EEC countries, and Japan (included in "other countries" in Table 36). Exports to the Soviet Union--where production has been increasing--and to the countries of Eastern Europe decreased substantially, as did those to the United Kingdom and to other countries of Continental Europe, where the textile industry has been in a period of decline.

22. See: Hechos recientes en materia de productos básicos, Memorandum No. 45, United Nations Commission on International Commodity Trade, 1962.

Table 34

WOOL: CONSUMPTION OF VIRGIN WOOL IN SELECTED COUNTRIES

	Millions of pounds <u>a</u> /	Indices: (1960 = 100)	
	1960	1961	1962
1. Federal Republic of Germany	151	99.3	97.3
2. Australia	74	87.8	98.6
3. Belgium	86	95.3	109.3
4. United States	415	100.7	105.1
5. France	301	100.0	96.7
6. Netherlands	22	95.4	100.0
7. Italy	198	94.4	100.5
8. Japan	301	116.3	106.3
9. United Kingdom	481	98.1	93.1
10. Sweden	12	91.7	83.3
Total 10 countries	2 041	100.8	100.0

a. Clean basis.

Source: Commonwealth Economic Committee, Wool Intelligence,
February 1963, London.

/Table 35

Table 35

WOOL: STOCKS IN PRINCIPAL EXPORTING COUNTRIES AT THE BEGINNING
OF THE RESPECTIVE COMMERCIAL YEAR a/

	Millions of pounds	Indices: (1960 = 100)	
	1960	1961	1962
Argentina	50	50.0	60.0
Uruguay	30	36.6	50.0
Australia	31	112.9	96.8
New Zealand	10	100.0	100.0
South Africa	1	400.0 <u>b/</u>	100.0 <u>a/</u>
Total 5 countries	122	69.7	70.5

- a. To October 1 in Argentina and Uruguay and to July 1 in the other countries.
b. To May 30.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Crops and Markets,
World Summaries, September 27, 1962.

Table 36

WOOL: EXPORT OF PRINCIPAL PRODUCER COUNTRIES, BY
REGION OF DESTINATION

	United States	European Economic Community	European Free Trade Association <u>c/</u>	Soviet Union and East- ern Europe <u>d/</u>	Others	Total
Argentina <u>a/</u> 1959/60 (millions of lbs.)	69	103	53	27	28	280
1960/61 Indices <u>b/</u>	82.6	140.8	132.1	88.9	157.1	121.4
1961/62 Indices	94.2	115.5	124.5	88.9	114.3	109.3
Uruguay <u>a/</u> 1959/60 (millions of lbs.)	13	21	20	6	5	65
1960/61 Indices <u>b/</u>	169.2	233.3	325.0	83.3	180.0	230.8
1961/62 Indices	146.1	161.9	155.0	200.0	240.0	166.1
Australia <u>a/</u> 1959/60 (millions of lbs.)	39	495	327	139	396	1 396
1960/61 Indices <u>b/</u>	92.3	91.3	82.3	77.0	124.7	97.3
1961/62 Indices	143.6	98.6	77.4	87.0	129.3	102.4
New Zealand <u>a/</u> 1959/60 (millions of lbs.)	66	203	180	45	34	528
1960/61 Indices <u>b/</u>	107.6	94.1	93.3	62.2	155.9	96.8
1961/62 Indices	125.7	123.6	100.5	22.2	152.9	109.3
South Africa <u>a/</u> 1959/60 (millions of lbs.)	33	131	64	19	19	266
1960/61 Indices <u>b/</u>	103.0	113.0	117.2	21.0	89.5	104.5
1961/62 Indices	145.4	131.3	101.6	21.0	142.1	118.8
Totals 1959/60 (millions of lbs.)	220	953	644	236	482	2 535
1960/61 Indices <u>b/</u>	100.0	103.3	100.5	71.2	128.0	104.0
1961/62 Indices	123.2	111.6	92.5	72.4	131.7	108.0

a. Crop years, October-September for Argentina and Uruguay, and July-June for the other countries.

b. Indices 1959/60 = 100.0.

c. Most of the amounts correspond to the United Kingdom.

d. Includes Continental China.

Sources: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Crops and Markets, World Summaries, Dec. 28, 1961;
Dec. 27, 1962.

10. Copper

Price trends in the group of non-ferrous metals varied considerably. New York prices for copper in 1962 remained at the level reached in the second half of 1961, that is to say, they continued to be lower than in 1959-1960. Quotations on the London metals exchange also remained stationary at a level slightly above that of the preceding year, although in reliable trade circles it is maintained that this stability resulted largely from the purchases made by the Rhodesian Selection Trust. Actually, market conditions were not very satisfactory, and price stability was influenced somewhat by measures to reduce production, announced by the large producers in Africa, the United States, and Chile. Such announcements did not mean, however, an actual reduction in world copper production in 1962 as compared with the previous year, but it did constitute a decline relative to total installed productive capacity, which increased slightly more than 100 000 tons with respect to 1961.^{23/} World production of primary copper increased slightly in 1962 above the level of the two previous years, while consumption remained almost at the same level as 1961 (see Tables 37 and 38). Accordingly, stocks of refined copper in the importing countries at the end of 1962 had increased 16 percent over the previous year.

Copper production in Chile increased in 1962 as compared with 1961, despite the reductions announced by the large mining concerns in that country. Peruvian production decreased, however, as a result of a strike of mine workers and because of the damage caused by a plant explosion. The total exports of these two countries showed the same trends as their respective production, that is to say, an increase in Chile and a decrease in Peru.

Imports remained rather stable, except in the case of Italy and Japan, which continued their vigorous industrial expansion. However, Japan increased only its imports of concentrates while its imports of refined copper declined appreciably (see Table 39).

23. See American Metal Market, Supplement, New York, January 14, 1963.

Table 37

COPPER: PRODUCTION BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

	(1 000 short tons)	Index: 1960 = 100.0	
		1961	1962
United States	1 092.5	106.1	112.0
Canada	439.3	100.0	105.9
Chile	586.6	102.9	110.1
Mexico	66.5	81.8	78.0
Peru	200.3	109.0	91.3
Republic of the Congo (Leopoldville)	332.9	97.4	97.0
Northern Rhodesia	635.3	99.7	97.6
Australia	117.7	82.2	92.4
Centrally planned countries	602.2	104.2	108.7
Total world production	4 541.6	102.3	105.7

Source: Yearbook of the American Bureau of Metal Statistics, New York, June 1963.

/Table 38

Table 38

COPPER: CONSUMPTION IN SELECTED COUNTRIES
AND TOTAL WORLD CONSUMPTION

	(1 000 short tons) 1960	Index: 1960 = 100.0	
		1961	1962
United States ^{a/}	1 279.7	111.4	118.6
Canada	117.6	120.6	128.8
France	261.0	102.9	103.0
Federal Republic of Germany	593.3	106.1	91.6
Italy	212.2	102.9	124.6
Netherlands	62.5	75.5	46.9
Belgium	96.5	97.1	76.4
Sweden	87.0	90.6	97.4
Switzerland	40.4	141.8	107.2
United Kingdom	617.6	94.4	93.9
Centrally planned countries	729.7	103.8	105.1
Total world production	4 843.7	104.6	104.0

a. Primary and secondary copper.

Source: Yearbook of the American Bureau of Metal Statistics, New York,
June 1963.

Table 39

UNWROUGHT COPPER: EXPORTS AND IMPORTS OF SELECTED COUNTRIES

	(1 000 metric tons)	Indices: 1960 = 100.0	
		1961	1962
<u>Exporters</u>			
Chile	512.8	105.7	109.8
Mexico	36.9	66.4	79.9
Peru	176.1	110.0	92.2
Northern Rhodesia	563.3	97.2	94.2
Republic of the Congo (Leopoldville)	149.4	-	-
Canada	303.1	89.1	98.8
United States	403.6	98.2	76.1
<u>Importers</u>			
United States	473.2	87.1	90.9
Belgium	274.5	101.0	90.3
France	206.6	107.8	109.7
Italy ^{a/}	193.2	102.5	124.2
Netherlands	321.1	93.5	67.0
Federal Republic of Germany ^{a/}	430.3	109.0	93.4
United Kingdom ^{a/}	556.5	96.3	96.2
Sweden ^{a/}	75.5	95.9	95.6
Japan ^{b/}	59.4	175.6	70.2
" ^{c/}	463.3	93.7	112.8

a. Refined and blister copper.

b. Refined copper only.

c. Copper ore and concentrates, gross weight.

Source: British Bureau of Non-Ferrous Metals, World Non-Ferrous Metals Statistics, March 1963.

11. Lead and Zinc

Prices for lead and zinc, which had been declining since the middle of 1957, dropped even further in 1962, despite increases of 4 percent and 3 percent, respectively, in world consumption of the two metals. In 1962, the average prices for these metals on the London metal market were 12.3 percent and 13.2 percent, lower, respectively, than the 1961 levels. In the second half of 1962, they dropped sharply when British industrial expansion came to a halt. This weakness continued during the first quarter of 1963, but the prices for both metals began to rise in the second quarter, exceeding the levels for the corresponding period of 1962 by 3 and 11 percent, respectively, for lead --whose drop in price had been greater--and for zinc.

In the United States market, which is protected by the quotas established on commercial imports of these two metals, zinc prices remained unchanged in the two years cited and lead prices dropped 12 percent until October 1962. Later, a trend toward price recovery was noted, which continued throughout the first half of 1963, partially influenced by the increased demand in the United States as a result of the high production level of the automobile industry.

Moreover, there was a certain reduction in stocks of the metals, particularly lead, whose world production registered certain increases between 1960 and 1962. Zinc production, although also higher in the past two years, increased at a slower rate (see Tables 40 and 41). The meetings of the International Lead and Zinc Study Group in 1962 did not result in an agreement to give greater effectiveness to the recommendations for production restrictions, up to now announced voluntarily and unilaterally by certain countries, but apparently without strict compliance.

In addition to increased demand, already mentioned as one of the factors that helped soften the trend toward price depression in the second half of 1962, the announcement made by the representatives of the Soviet Union before the International Lead and Zinc Study Group to the effect that in 1962 the Soviet Union would maintain its exports at lower levels than in 1961 also had an effect.^{24/}

In 1962, exports of lead and zinc from Mexico and Peru declined by rather high percentage from the levels reached in 1961. With the exception of Mexican lead, the production of these metals in these two countries was less than that of 1961 (see Table 42).

24. Soviet Union exports in 1960 and 1961 were: lead, 69 800 tons and 102 300 tons, respectively; zinc, 90 400 and 116 200 tons, respectively (American Metal Market, New York, September 6, 1962).

Table 40

LEAD AND ZINC: STOCKS IN THE UNITED STATES
AND THE UNITED KINGDOM

	(1 000 metric tons) 1960	Indices: (1960 = 100.0)	
		1961	1962
<u>Lead</u>			
United States:			
Smelters and refiners	277.4	102.2	77.3
Consumers	<u>88.3</u>	<u>101.8</u>	<u>92.5</u>
Total	365.7	102.1	81.0
United Kingdom:			
Consumers	21.6	98.1	107.5
Others	<u>50.3</u>	<u>110.9</u>	<u>69.1</u>
Total	71.9	107.1	80.6
<u>Zinc</u>			
United States:			
Producers	189.0	80.1	86.5
Consumers	<u>66.0</u>	<u>139.7</u>	<u>112.8</u>
Total	255.0	95.6	93.3
United Kingdom:			
Consumers	20.5	100.5	86.1
Others	<u>38.4</u>	<u>140.2</u>	<u>149.2</u>
Total	58.9	126.2	127.1

Source: Yearbook of the American Bureau of Metal Statistics, New York,
June 1963.

Table 41

LEAD AND ZINC: PRODUCTION BY SELECTED COUNTRIES
AND TOTAL WORLD PRODUCTION a/

	(1 000 metric tons)	Indices: (1960 = 100.0)	
		1961	1962
<u>Lead</u>			
United States	223.8	106.2	96.2
Canada	186.6	112.0	102.7
Argentina	23.6	114.2	121.9
Mexico	190.7	95.1	101.4
Bolivia	21.4	94.9	86.9
Peru	131.6	103.7	95.0
Total world production	2 299.8	102.1	105.6
<u>Zinc</u>			
United States	395.0	106.7	116.1
Canada	390.1	103.0	116.7
Mexico	271.4	99.1	92.3
Argentina	28.6	105.7	115.5
Peru	178.1	97.7	90.1
Bolivia	4.0	132.4	90.6
Total world production	3 215.0	101.8	104.4

a. Metallic content of the ore production.

Source: Yearbook of the American Bureau of Metal Statistics, New York, June 1963.

Table 42

LEAD AND ZINC: LATIN AMERICAN EXPORTS

	(1 000 metric tons) 1960	Indices: (1960 = 100.0)	
		1961	1962*
<u>Lead</u>			
Mexico	148.6	115.8	92.8
Peru	117.8	121.0	110.5
<u>Zinc</u>			
Mexico	408.5	105.1	85.5
Peru	157.8	130.9	113.0

Sources: Banco de Comercio Exterior, México, Revista de Comercio Exterior;
Banco Central de Reserva del Peru, Boletín Mensual.

12. Tin

Contrary to what happened to the metals examined above, the international tin market continued to be dominated by a situation in which consumer demand exceeded current production. This imbalance, which caused a substantial price recovery in 1961 after the decline of the previous three years, permitted prices to remain in 1962 at levels slightly higher than those for the previous year, even though the relative deficit in the stocks decreased considerably. As a matter of fact, whereas world tin production increased moderately between 1960 and 1962, total consumption declined in the next two years (see Table 43). Even more significant, however, is the fact that world production in 1962 continued to be 16 percent below that of 1956 and was exceeded by world consumption; this is why the market continued to show signs of an upturn in the first half of 1963.

One of the aspects of the international tin market that created an uncertainty regarding price outlook in 1961 and the first half of 1962--the decision of the Government of the United States to sell part of its strategic reserves--was finally cleared up in July 1962 when the Congress of the United States authorized the sale of 150 000 tons of its reserves. According to official statements, this amount, the equivalent of one year's world production, will be sold over the course of several years, and in a manner that will not disrupt the market. At the end of August 1962, the Government of the United States announced that the total amount of tin that it would put on sale by the end of 1962 would be 3 000 tons, distributed at the rate of 200 tons a week. According to preliminary reports, the amount actually sold was less than the fixed limit. However, the possibility that the amounts put on the market--which constitute a potential supply of great significance--may be altered by administrative decision, has had a certain influence on prices, causing them to drop moderately in the second half of the year from those in the first half. In the first six months of 1963, the sales of tin from such reserves continued to be within the limits fixed in 1962, but later it was announced that in the third quarter of 1963, 400 tons a week would be put on sale; this increase was adopted at the suggestion of the International Tin Council.

In the United States, the stocks in the hands of tin consumers at the end of 1962 were substantially lower than at the end of the previous year. In the United Kingdom, stocks in authorized warehouses were only 4 000 tons as compared with 16 600 tons at the end of 1958. Moreover, the Stabilizing Reserve administered by the International Tin Council exhausted its stocks in the first quarter of 1961, and therefore now has the necessary financial resources to participate in the market, if it should become necessary.

Tin production and exports of Bolivia continued the process of recovery noted in the two previous years. The total exported in 1962 (almost 4 percent higher than that of 1961) was affected adversely only by a rail strike, in November, that lasted 20 days.

/Table 43

Table 43

TIN: WORLD PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION, 1960-1962

	(1 000 long tons)	Indices 1960 = 100.0	
	1960	1961	1962
A. <u>Production</u>^{a/}			
Rep. of the Congo	8.9	74.2	81.0
Nigeria	7.7	101.3	106.5
Indonesia	22.6	82.3	77.9
Malaya	52.0	107.7	112.7
Thailand	12.1	109.9	121.5
Bolivia	19.4	106.7	112.4
Total world production ^{b/}	135.5	101.1	105.5
B. <u>Consumption</u>			
United States	51.5	96.5	103.7
France	11.2	90.2	100.0
Italy	4.6	113.0	117.4
Federal Republic of Germany	27.7	93.1	42.2
United Kingdom	21.8	92.7	98.2
Other Western European countries	14.1	114.2	99.3
Total world consumption ^{b/}	167.7	98.4	93.6

a. Metallic content of concentrates.

b. Excludes centrally planned countries.

Source: International Tin Council, Statistical Bulletin, May 1963.

/The consumption

The consumption decline referred to above was reflected in decreased demand in the importing countries. In the United States, decreased imports in the past two years were in ore concentrates, while imports in metallic tin increased moderately. This tendency, however, may change in the near future, since in the middle of 1962 Bolivia signed a contract to supply ore concentrates to the Texas refining plant.

In the principal European countries, 1962 imports showed a slight recovery over the low levels of 1961, but in most cases they continued to be lower than those of 1960 (see Table 44). The most important exception was the Federal Republic of Germany, whose 1962 imports were substantially lower than those for the two previous years.

One of the aspects of the international tin market that should be examined is the role played by Soviet imports and exports. The large volume of exports from the Soviet Union was one of the factors that had a preponderant effect on the drop in international prices in 1958. Most of these exports went to Western European markets. In the years to follow, exports declined markedly and in 1962 they were scarcely a quarter of the volume attained in 1958. Although initially that was the result of the informal agreement between the International Tin Council and the Soviet Union to limit exports to the markets of Western Europe, the substantial drop in Soviet exports in 1961 and 1962 was the result of decreased imports of tin from Continental China by the Soviet Union (see Table 45). In 1962, Soviet tin exports to the rest of the world were reduced to a tenth of the volume attained in 1958.

Table 44

TIN: IMPORTS OF SELECTED COUNTRIES

	(1 000 long tons)	Indices 1960 = 100.0	
	1960	1961	1962
A. <u>Concentrates</u> ^{a/}			
United States	14.0	63.6	38.6
Belgium	7.4	97.3	98.6
Netherlands	6.1	50.8	90.2
United Kingdom	24.8	89.9	70.2
Malaya	<u>21.5</u>	<u>88.3</u>	<u>111.6</u>
Total of these five	73.8	82.0	80.8
B. <u>Metal</u>			
United States	39.5	101.0	104.8
France	11.7	85.5	95.7
Federal Republic of Germany	28.0	94.6	39.6
Netherlands	2.2	100.0	113.6
Italy	4.6	106.5	102.2
Japan	11.7	102.6	99.1
United Kingdom	<u>2.9</u>	<u>62.1</u>	<u>317.2</u>
Total of these seven	100.6	96.7	91.2

a. Metallic content.

Source: International Tin Council, Statistical Bulletin, May 1963.

/Table 45

Table 45

SOVIET UNION: TIN EXPORTS AND IMPORTS

	(1 000 long tons)	Indices 1958 = 100.0			
	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 *
<u>Imports</u>					
Total ^{a/}	19.1	107.3	91.6	58.1	...
<u>Exports</u>					
Eastern Europe	3.8	110.5	78.9	97.4	...
Other countries	18.1	75.1	45.9	10.5	...
	21.9	81.3	51.6	25.6	10.0

a. Almost all from Mainland China.

Source: International Tin Council, Statistical Bulletin, May 1963.

13. Silver

Silver prices followed the rather significant course of non-ferrous metals on the international market in 1962. In the 1950's silver prices fluctuated only slightly on the New York market, owing to the intervention of the United States Treasury in the market of this metal. There has been a certain expansion in the use of silver for industrial purposes in recent years, but silver production has not increased to the same extent due, in part, to the depression that has affected the mining production of copper, lead, and zinc, which furnish part of the total silver production (see Table 46). Increased commercial demand for silver in the United States, in recent years, made the "free stocks" (that is to say, stocks not required as backing for the money in circulation) of the Treasury to drop from 222 million fine ounces, in April 1959 to 22 million in November 1961. This caused the Government of the United States to suspend sales of silver from that month on; this meant an elimination of the "ceiling" price established by the Treasury in commercial transactions of this metal. Accordingly, silver prices began a spiraling process that continued practically uninterrupted until the first months of 1963. Simultaneously with the suspension of silver sales by the United States Treasury, the Government proposed to Congress certain amendments in the laws, intended to eliminate (1) the price support that the Treasury maintains on silver produced in the United States, and (2) the use of silver as a monetary reserve (except for the minting of coins)²⁵/. In the course of 1962, no decision was adopted on these proposals, and therefore, silver prices have been approaching (in the first months of 1963) the value of silver as a metal reserve for the "silver certificates" in circulation in the United States. Between December 1961 and December 1962, the average silver prices in New York rose from 103.3 to 119.9 cents per fine ounce, that is to say, an increase of 16 percent.

25. See Economic Report of the President, Washington, January 1962.

Table 46

SILVER: WORLD PRODUCTION BY SELECTED COUNTRIES

	(Millions of fine ounces)	Indices: 1958 = 100.0		
	1958	1960	1961	1962
Bolivia	6.0	81.7	65.0	83.3
Canada	31.2	109.0	100.0	99.4
Mexico	47.6	93.5	84.7	87.2
Peru	25.9	118.9	129.7	123.6
United States	35.7	112.3	118.5	89.6
Others	58.3	95.7	93.7	98.6
Total world production <u>a/</u>	204.7	102.6	100.6	97.2

a. Countries having a centrally planned economy not included.

Source: Handy & Harman, New York, El Mercado de Valores, (Nacional Financiera México), February 11, 1963.

14. Petroleum

Prices for Venezuelan crude petroleum for export have been unchanged since 1960, even though, on several occasions, this price stability has been distorted by the granting of discounts from the producers' list prices. This practice, which the Venezuelan producers followed rather freely in 1960 and 1961, has apparently been abandoned partly owing to the opposition to it manifested by the Venezuelan Government and partly to the relative strengthening of demand for petroleum on the world market in the recent period.

World production of crude petroleum has continued to expand in practically all of the principal producing areas. In 1962, production rose 8 percent over that of the previous year, chiefly in the Soviet Union, the Middle East, and Venezuela. United States production, subject to controls, has increased to a relatively minor extent in recent years (see Table 47).

In Latin America, with the exception of Venezuela, the greatest increase in production was in Argentina, this permitted that country to increase its degree of self-sufficiency in petroleum products. There were small increases in other countries (Chile, Mexico, and Peru), while in Colombia--which has a small export surplus--Brazil, and Ecuador production dropped, production in the region as a whole increased 8.6 percent (see Table 47).

From the standpoint of the foreign market, the development in Venezuelan production and exports are the most significant. Venezuelan production in 1962 rose 9.3 percent over that of 1961. Exports of crude petroleum and petroleum products increased 8 percent between the two years cited (see Table 48). This result can be termed favorable if the condition prevailing on the world market are taken into account. In fact, the restrictions on the importation of crude petroleum and petroleum products into the United States, a country that absorbs a large part of Venezuelan exports, have raised a barrier to the expansion of Venezuelan sales, if not in absolute terms, at least regarding its percentage share of the increased consumption. In this connection, it should be pointed out that import controls put into effect in the United States for 1963, tend to restrict importation even more by changing the formula that was used in fixing import quotas. From 1963 on, import quotas will be fixed at the equivalent of 12.2 percent of domestic production of crude petroleum and natural gas, but imports from Canada and Mexico (which are not subject to controls) are added to controlled imports in computing the 12.2 percent. Thus, theoretically, Canada and Mexico may furnish constantly increasing proportions of imports, causing the quotas available for imports from Venezuela to decline.^{26/}

26. As shown in Table 49, Canadian production registered a high growth rate in recent years. In Mexico, expansion was much lower, and beginning in 1962, it established a maximum limit on exports to the United States. As a result, whereas the amount supplied by Canada increased from 11 percent in 1960 to 21 percent in 1962, that of Latin America dropped from 51 percent to 44 percent in the same period.

Table 47

CRUDE PETROLEUM: WORLD PRODUCTION BY SELECTED COUNTRIES

	(1,000 metric tons)	Indices: (1960 = 100.0)	
	<u>1960</u>	1961	1962
Argentina	9 146	132.8	147.6
Bolivia	415	85.3	94.0
Brazil	3 871	117.4	111.1
Chile	945	127.7	156.6
Colombia	7 821	95.3	92.1
Ecuador	361	108.3	91.4
Mexico	14 125	107.7	114.7
Peru	2 530	101.5	114.6
Venezuela	<u>148 831</u>	<u>102.2</u>	<u>111.9</u>
Total		104.2	113.2
United States	347 121	101.8	103.4
Canada	25 827	115.1	131.6
Middle East	264 994	107.2	117.3
Soviet Union	147 900	112.2	125.8
Total world production	1 052 042	106.4	115.1

Source: Petroleum Press Service, London, January, 1963.

/Table 48

Table 48

CRUDE PETROLEUM AND PETROLEUM PRODUCTS: EXPORTS OF
LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES

	Units <u>1960</u>	Indices: (1960 = 100.0)	
		<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>
Venezuela: Crude petroleum	116.2 a/	101.9	111.0
Petroleum products	<u>40.0 a/</u>	<u>105.5</u>	<u>111.3</u>
Total	156.2 a/	102.8	111.1
Colombia Total	31 332 b/	87.9	77.6
Mexico Total	2 256 c/	133.1	185.1
Peru Total	786 c/	87.2	79.3

a. Millions of cubic meters.

b. Thousands of barrels.

c. Thousands of metric tons.

Sources: Banco Central de Venezuela, Boletín Estadística; Departamento Nacional de Estadística, Boletín Mensual; Bogotá, Colombia; Banco de Comercio Exterior, México, Revista de Comercio Exterior; Banco Central de Reserva del Perú, Boletín.

Table 49

UNITED STATES: FOREIGN TRADE IN CRUDE PETROLEUM AND
PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

	(Millions of barrels)	Indices: 1960 = 100	
	1960	1961	1962
A. <u>Exports</u>			
Crude petroleum	3.1	103.2	58.1
Petroleum products	70.8	85.2	84.0
Total	73.9	85.9	82.9
B. <u>Imports</u>			
Crude petroleum	371.6	102.7	110.6
Petroleum products	292.5	108.7	119.0
Total	664.1	105.3	114.3
C. <u>Origin of Imports a/</u>			
Colombia	14.8	67.6	58.1
Mexico	0.9	400.0	400.0
Venezuela	172.9	90.1	97.7
Latin America <u>b/</u>	188.6	90.8	96.8
Canada	41.3	161.3	206.3
Middle East <u>c/</u>	113.2	105.2	95.9
Others	28.5	86.3	121.7
Total	371.6	102.7	110.6
D. <u>Percentage of Imports from:</u>			
Latin America	50.8	44.9	44.4
(Venezuela)	(46.5)	(40.8)	(41.1)
Canada	11.1	17.5	20.7

- a. Imports of crude petroleum only. There is no information available on the origin of imports of petroleum products.
- b. In 1961 and 1962, includes 1.8 and 1.3 million barrels imported from Brazil.
- c. Includes imports from Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and the Neutral Zone.

Source: American Petroleum Institute, Annual Statistical Bulletin, New York, April 1963.

Another important aspect of the growing change in the international petroleum market is Soviet exports. The rapid expansion in that country's production--in 1961 and 1962 it exceeded Venezuelan production, becoming the second largest producer of crude petroleum in the world--has been accompanied by a definite effort to penetrate the markets of Western Europe. The most recent figures available on the volume of exports from the Soviet Union go only as far as 1961, but by then its total exports of crude petroleum and petroleum products were 155.5 percent and 93.6 percent, respectively, higher than the figures for 1958.

Relatively speaking, the development of greatest significance within the Latin American region was with Mexican exports, which were 39 percent higher in 1962 than in 1961. This is a result of the exemption from the above-mentioned United States controls on imports from Mexico. Colombian and Peruvian exports, however, declined sharply in the last two years, partly due to the tendency for domestic consumption to grow more than production capacity.

/C. TOTAL

C. TOTAL EXPORTS AND THEIR GEOGRAPHIC STRUCTURE

The total value of Latin American exports in 1962 increased 6 percent over the figure for the previous year, and for the first time exceeded that reached in 1957 (see Table 50). The study of the foreign market conditions of the chief export products appearing on preceding pages, provides certain facts for determining the prevailing trends in the exportation of each of the countries, but undoubtedly, in addition to the external factors, there are other domestic factors that play an important role under certain circumstances. As has already been pointed out, generally, it should be noted that in most cases the increased value of exports reflects increases in the volume of products exported, more than an improvement in price level. Only in two cases, sugar and tin, was the improvement in foreign prices more important than the volume exported in the total contribution to the value of the exports of the various countries.

Thus, it is obvious that, although the 1962 exports show a marked improvement over the conditions of the immediately preceding years, they have not yet recovered the dynamic growth trend of the years prior to 1956/57. This is particularly evident if it is noted that the over-all improvement that occurred in 1962 in four countries--Argentina, Mexico, Peru and Venezuela--exceeded that of the region as a whole.^{27/}

Within this group of countries, the increase in the exports of Peru is a singular case. In 1960, large-scale exploitation of new copper deposits was begun; copper exports were three times the amount of the previous years. To that was added, in 1961 and 1962, the transfer of sales of sugar from the free market to the United States market, at higher prices. Moreover, there has been a considerable expansion in exports of fishery products in the past three years. Finally, the rise in silver prices in 1962 also increased income from exports of lead and zinc that year. This combination of substantial increases in the volume of exports of certain products and improved prices in others, which has occurred in practically no other Latin American country in recent years, explains the high rate of expansion in Peru's export value since 1958.

In Mexico, whose basic exports are not very different, in over-all terms, from those of Peru, the copper, lead, and zinc mines have not contributed to the increase in exports in recent years. The improvement in silver prices in

27. In absolute terms, these four countries obtained the largest increases. In relative terms, certain other countries obtained larger increases.

Table 50

LATIN AMERICA: EXPORTS BY PRINCIPAL REGIONS OF DESTINATION, 1960-1962

	Millions of dollars	Indices		Percentages of the total		
		1960 = 100		1960	1961	1962
		1961	1962			
United States	3 600	90.8	93.9	41.8	37.7	37.7
Canada	145	110.3	120.7	1.7	1.8	1.9
Western Europe	2 720	101.5	112.5	31.6	31.8	33.3
European Economic Community	1 580	101.3	114.6	18.3	18.5	19.7
European Free Trade Ass'n.	1 010	97.0	102.0	11.7	11.3	11.2
Other Western European Countries	130	138.5	169.2	1.5	2.1	2.4
Japan	240	141.7	139.6	2.8	3.9	3.6
Other Asian countries	36	197.2	161.1	0.4	0.8	0.6
Soviet Union	135	255.6	277.8	1.6	4.0	4.1
Eastern Europe	130	123.1	146.2	1.5	1.8	2.1
Continental China	41	185.4	243.9	0.5	0.9	1.1
Africa	75	101.3	122.7	0.9	0.9	1.0
Latin America	680	83.8	91.2	7.9	6.6	6.7
Others <u>a/</u>	808	104.2	100.9	9.4	9.7	8.9
T o t a l	8 610	100.7	106.8	100.0	100.0	100.0

a. Most of these figures are for exports of crude petroleum from Venezuela to the Netherlands Antilles.

Source: United Nations, Monthly Statistical Bulletin, June 1963.

/1962 was

1962 was a stimulus to the exportation of silver, whose production had been dropping since 1958. Just as Peru, Mexico benefited from the change that occurred in the United States sugar market, since its exports to that country increased substantially, along with exports of cattle on the hoof and petroleum products. Finally, cotton exports--which declined in 1960 and 1961--reached, in 1962, the highest level in the past five years. Mexico, moreover, is one of the countries that has succeeded in increasing its trade with other countries within the region, especially with some of the other members of the Latin American Free Trade Association (LAFTA).

Argentine exports, which declined in 1961 because of the substantial drop in grain production caused by a prolonged drought, recovered in 1962, reaching levels not attained since 1953. The principal export products of this country were not subject to excessive price fluctuations on the foreign markets in 1962, although at the end of that year and in the beginning of 1963, there were sharp drops in meat prices. Wool was the only important exception. To a large extent, the changes in the value of total exports have reflected changes in the volume of available surplus for export.

Finally, Venezuela is one of the few countries where the relative price stability of its principal export product--petroleum and petroleum products--has coincided with an increasing volume of production and exports, despite certain unfavorable aspects brought about by the conditions on the international petroleum market.

The trend in Latin American exports by principal region of destination showed certain changes in 1961-62, which were largely due to the interruption of trade between Cuba and the United States and the shift in Cuban exports to countries having a centrally planned economy. This partially explains the reduction noted in exports to the United States and, at the same time, the increase in exports to the centrally planned countries. Other Latin American countries have also succeeded in expanding their exports to centrally planned countries, chiefly in products such as coffee, cacao, cotton, wool, and cow-hides, although the absolute values continue to be relatively low 28/ (see Table 50).

A significant development, however, is that of exports to the European Economic Community. In 1962, these exports increased markedly, as a result, as has already been seen, of the expansion--and in certain cases, the recovery--of sales of cotton, beef, corn, wheat, coffee, and certain other products. It

28. Both Argentina and Brazil--which supply about 85 percent of non-Cuban Latin American exports to the Soviet bloc--sell three times as much to Eastern Europe as to the Soviet Union. Their sales to Continental China are insignificant by comparison.

/is obvious,

is obvious, however, that the preferential arrangements with the African countries that are associated to the Community, and the regulations of the common agricultural policy have not been completed, and that, therefore, the expected restrictions have not yet been fully manifested.

In 1962, there was a 12 percent increase in Latin American intra-regional exports over those of 1961, representing a partial recovery from the 1961 decline. However, within the totals for these last two years, there are certain changes that should be examined. First, the drop in 1961 reflected chiefly a decline in exports from Argentina and Venezuela, which, in turn, resulted from widely differing causes. In the case of Argentina, it was caused by a reduction in the exports of grain owing to a short harvest; and in Venezuela, a decrease in exports of petroleum and petroleum products, owing to the disappearance of markets such as those of Cuba and Argentina. ^{29/} Owing to the high proportion of Venezuelan and Argentine exports in the total regional exports (34 percent and 25 percent, respectively, in 1960), the drop in these two countries affected considerably the total figures for the region, despite the fact that other countries--Brazil, Chile and Mexico, principally--increased their exports to the region in that year (see Table 51).

Argentine and Venezuelan exports recovered partially in 1962 from the decline of the previous year. The same thing happened to Peruvian exports, which exceeded substantially those of the two previous years. This situation, combined with the increases in the exports of Chile, Colombia, Mexico, Paraguay, and Uruguay was the reason for the favorable result already mentioned in Latin American intraregional trade as a whole.

Of special interest is the development of LAFTA trade. Unfortunately, as yet, only fragmentary data are available. In 1962, Latin American intra-regional exports increased in seven of the nine member countries, the exceptions being Brazil and Ecuador. However, if account is taken of the fact that in Argentina the 1962 figures reflect only a partial recovery and that, to a certain extent, this is also true of Peru, it would appear that actually the expansion in Latin American intraregional exports has not yet acquired a sustained growth rate. However, it is significant that it is the LAFTA member countries that, in 1962, exceeded, as a whole, the 1960 figures. In short, exports from Mexico to Brazil increased considerably (from 1.8 million dollars in 1961 to 7.6 million dollars in 1962), a trend that apparently continued during the first half of 1963.^{30/}

29. In Cuba, supplies from the Soviet Union replaced those from Venezuela, and in Argentina, expansion of domestic production decreased import requirements.

30. See Banco de Comercio Exterior, Comercio Exterior, Mexico, July 1963.

/In the Central

In the Central American countries, trade with the rest of Latin America declined in 1962. An increase was recorded in intra-area trade, according to the over-all figures 31/ (see Table 51).

31. For a more detailed analysis of Central American intraregional trade, see Part II of this Survey, especially Chapter III.

Table 51

LATIN AMERICAN INTRAREGIONAL EXPORTS, 1960-1962 a/

	Millions of dollars	Indices: 1960 = 100.0	
		1961	1962 *
Argentina	171.1	65.8	89.2
Brazil	89.0	109.6	88.4
Chile	38.2	118.6	134.8
Colombia	8.9	105.6	125.8
Ecuador	9.1	91.2	68.1
Mexico	24.2	124.8	158.7
Paraguay	8.9	110.1	121.3
Peru	43.3	88.7	120.3
Uruguay	4.0	157.5	227.5
Subtotal	396.7	90.3	103.5
Costa Rica	4.8	104.1	79.2
El Salvador	12.6	119.8	103.2 <u>b/</u>
Guatemala	6.0	145.0	58.3
Honduras	12.9	89.9	121.7 <u>b/</u>
Nicaragua	4.1	87.8	114.6
Subtotal	40.4	108.9	100.7
Bolivia	8.4	78.6	83.3
Dominican Republic	0.8	175.0	187.5
Haiti	-	-	-
Panama	0.1	100.0	100.0
Venezuela	231.8	72.2	82.0 <u>c/</u>
Subtotal	241.1	72.8	82.4
Total	678.9	85.2	95.8

a. The totals given in this table for 1961 and 1962 are slightly higher than those in Table 50, because they include revisions and estimates that came after the publication of the total figures for the region.

b. Estimates based on nine months.

c. Estimates based on seven months.

Source: United Nations, Direction of International Trade. The 1962 figures include certain estimates based on incomplete data.



IA-ECOSOC

INTER-AMERICAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL

OEA/Ser.H/X.4
CIES/299 (English)
1 September 1963
Original: English/Spanish

PART I
Chapters II - III

SECOND ANNUAL MEETINGS OF THE IA-ECOSOC
AT THE EXPERT LEVEL AND AT THE MINISTERIAL LEVEL
OCTOBER-NOVEMBER 1963
SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance
for Progress in 1962

CHAPTER II. Latin America's Capacity to
Import, and its Balance of
Payments

CHAPTER III. Total Production, Capital
Formation and Monetary Development

PROVISIONAL

N O T E

This document contains Chapters II and III of Part I of the Economic and Social Survey of Latin America for the year 1962. The Survey is being distributed in parts in order to make this material available at the earliest possible moment. Chapters I, IV and V plus Parts II and III will be distributed as soon as possible.

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

- PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance for Progress
- Chapter I. Latin American Exports and the Markets for Primary Products
- Chapter II. Latin America's Capacity to Import, and its Balance of Payments
- Chapter III. Total Production, Capital Formation, and Monetary Developments
- Chapter IV. Manufacturing Sector
- Chapter V. The Execution of Economic Programs under the Alliance for Progress
- PART II. Principal Characteristics and Development of the Central American Productive Structure
- PART III. Social Survey

I N D E X

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER II. LATIN AMERICA'S CAPACITY TO IMPORT, AND ITS BALANCE OF PAYMENTS	1
A. TOTAL CAPACITY TO IMPORT, ITS UTILIZATION, AND THE NET BALANCE OF PAYMENTS	1
B. NON-COMPENSATORY CAPITAL MOVEMENTS	13
1. Official loans and grants	16
2. Private capital	33
C. COMPENSATORY FINANCING OF THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS .	47
CHAPTER III. TOTAL PRODUCTION, CAPITAL FORMATION AND MONETARY DEVELOPMENT	55
A. VARIATIONS IN TOTAL PRODUCT AND CAPITAL FORMATION	55
B. MONETARY DEVELOPMENTS	63
1. Money supply trends	63
2. Factors of monetary expansion	65
3. Balance of payments and price pressures	69
4. Fiscal pressures	73
5. Bank credit to the private sector	76
6. Institutional reforms	79

SYMBOLS USED

Three dots (...) indicate that data are not available or are not separately reported.

A dash (—) indicates that the amount is nil or negligible.

A minus sign (-) indicates a deficit or decrease.

A stroke (/) indicates a crop year or fiscal year--e.g., 1954/55.

A full stop (.) is used to indicate decimals.

A space is used to distinguish thousands and millions (3 421 520).

Use of a hyphen (-) between two dates --e.g., 1950-1954--normally signifies an annual average for the calendar years involved, including the beginning and end years.

"to" between the years indicates the full period--e.g., "1950 to 1954"--means 1950 to 1954 inclusive.

Reference to "tons" indicates metric tons; and to "dollars," United States dollars, unless otherwise stated.

Totals do not necessarily correspond to the sum of their components, because of rounding.

An asterisk (*) is used to indicate figures partially or wholly estimated.

The term "billion" signifies a thousand million.

CHAPTER II

LATIN AMERICA'S CAPACITY TO IMPORT, AND ITS BALANCE OF PAYMENTS^{1/}

A. TOTAL CAPACITY TO IMPORT, ITS UTILIZATION, AND THE NET BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

Changes during 1962 in total capacity to import have varied so widely between individual Latin American countries^{2/} that most generalizations about the region as a whole can be dangerously misleading. Total foreign exchange receipts from current and non-compensatory financial sources rose in 12 countries of the region between 1961 and 1962 (see Table 52); in eight--Bolivia, Colombia, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Nicaragua, Panama and Peru--the rate of increase was particularly high. On the other hand, sharp declines in total capacity to import were recorded in Argentina, Brazil and Uruguay. As a combined result of these different trends from one country to another, the total of Latin America's import capacity was lower in 1962 than in 1961, but did not exceed 2.2 percent, however, even if the rising, negative errors and omissions item in the balance of payments is considered to be related mainly to the movements of non-compensatory capital.

1. The terminology and the tools of analysis used throughout this chapter are the same as those used and defined in details in Chapter II of the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1961, (Pan American Union, Washington 1962). The capacity to import is the algebraic sum of the export of goods, the net service balance and the net balance of donations and non-compensatory capital movements. The net service balance includes remittances of profit and interest but excludes gross foreign exchange expenditures for transportation and insurance of imports. That is, the import capacity and the imports referred to in this chapter are on a c.i.f. basis. The expenditures for transportation and insurance in practice are inseparable from imports. In addition, for certain Latin American countries chronological series of f.o.b. import values are not available. While compensatory accounts are defined as financing operations carried out by monetary authorities for the exclusive purpose of equalizing the credits and debits of the balance of payments, the movements of non-compensatory (or autonomous) capital correspond to a commercial operation or a specific investment. More details on the composition of non-compensatory and compensatory movements are given in sections B and C, respectively. According to the general definition given above, the difference between total capacity to import and actual imports represents the over-all deficit or surplus in the balance of payments. This deficit or surplus generally differs from the net balance on compensatory accounts due to errors and omissions which would be related mainly to capital movements induced by speculative or extra-economic motives. In some countries, however, overvaluation or undervaluation of exports, imports or foreign tourism transactions seems to be another source of errors and omissions. Official data from the IMF's Balance of Payments Yearbooks include adjustments for contraband.

2. Because of the lack of data on Cuba's balance of payments in 1960, 1961 and 1962, this country is not included in the analysis except where specific indication is made to the contrary.

/Table 52

2
Table 52

LATIN AMERICA: TOTAL CAPACITY TO IMPORT, 1961 AND 1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1961	1962*
<u>Countries whose total capacity to import increased</u>		
Bolivia	86	114
Chile	530	556
Colombia	424	519
Costa Rica	100	114
Dominican Republic	108	195
Ecuador	105	142
El Salvador	120	129
Honduras	71	81
Mexico	1 343	1 448
Nicaragua	65	95
Panama	180	212
Peru	492	549
<u>Countries whose total capacity to import decreased</u>		
Argentina	1 239	1 059
Brazil	1 563	1 224
Guatemala	133	122
Haiti	47	41
Paraguay	59	52
Uruguay	190	140
Venezuela	1 185	1 133
<u>Total for Latin America</u>	8 040	7 925

Sources and Method of Estimates; For 1961: International Monetary Fund, Balance of Payments Yearbook, Vol. 14. For 1962; Provisional balance of payments data from IMF's, International Financial Statistics, August 1963 and Balance of Payments Yearbook Vol. 15. July and August 1963. For the following countries; Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Chile, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama and Paraguay. In estimating total capacity to import of the other countries--Argentina, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela--the following method was used: the value of imports was known as well as the net balance of payments through the variations in the compensatory accounts, and the approximate value of the total capacity to import was obtained by subtraction. Next, by subtracting the value of commodity exports--which also were known--the over-all net balance for service and capital accounts was obtained. An estimate of the

/net service

(cont. Table 52)

net service balance was made, taking into account the relative stability of this item as well as the changes in the service transactions shown by the United States balance of payments with Latin America. Finally, the net balance for donations and non-compensatory capital accounts was calculated as a residual figure. These calculations were based on data from the IMF's, International Financial Statistics and from the following non-official sources: Economic Survey, Buenos Aires, March 1963 for Argentina; and Memoria del Banco Central de Venezuela for the year 1962 for Venezuela.

/There was

There was, however, a considerable shift in the distribution of the region's foreign exchange receipts between current income and financial receipts. While Latin America's total exports rose by approximately five percent, the net inflow of capital and donations registered a sudden downturn during the past year (see Table 53). The improvement in export trade, which took place in 14 out of 19 Latin American countries was the main factor responsible for the strengthening of the total capacity to import in El Salvador, Mexico, Nicaragua and Peru.^{3/} In some other countries--Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador and Honduras--there also were favorable changes in the total net balance of donations and capital transactions. Only in Bolivia, however, did the rise in import capacity result almost exclusively from an increase in the net inflow of external financial resources. On the other hand, a net outflow of capital took place in Argentina, Haiti and Venezuela in 1962. As a result, the import capacity of these three countries declined during the past year in spite of the sizeable increment recorded by their exports. Guatemala, Brazil and Uruguay suffered from both a fall in their exports and a worsening in the capital account of their balance of payments; the latter two countries were those registering the greatest declines in import capacity.

Changes in the value of imports also differed from one Latin American country to another. In only four out of 19, however, was there a decline in 1962 over 1961 (see Table 54); and in only two of these--Argentina and Chile--could the drop be described as large. In the aggregate, Latin America's imports increased but slightly between 1961 and 1962.

For several consecutive years in the recent past, Latin America's overall balance of payments has shown a deficit. Since in 1962 there was a slight decline in the region's import capacity and an increase--also small--in its imports, the negative balance of external transactions rose in relation to 1961. It amounted to approximately 10 percent of non-compensatory foreign exchange receipts available for financing purchases abroad, against 7.5 percent in the previous year. This worsening of the region's external disequilibrium mainly reflected the shift from a surplus to a deficit in Brazil's balance of payments (see again Table 54). In 1962 a total of 10 Latin American countries registered imports in excess of non-compensatory foreign exchange receipts. Moreover, although the nine remaining countries recorded surpluses in their

3. Due to an increase in the negative balance of errors and omissions it is doubtful that capacity to import actually improved in Chile, although export value was greater than in 1961.

Table 53

LATIN AMERICA: TOTAL CAPACITY TO IMPORT AND PRINCIPAL CONTRIBUTING FACTORS, 1960-62

(in millions of dollars)

	EXPORTS			SERVICES			NET BALANCE OF NON- COMPENSATORY CAPITAL MOVEMENT AND GRANTS			TOTAL CAPACITY TO IMPORT		
	1960	1961	1962*	1960	1961	1962*	1960	1961	1962*	1960	1961	1962*
Argentina	1 079	964	1 210	- 27	- 75	-104	337	350	47	1 389	1 239	1 059
Bolivia	55	60	62	- 7	- 5	- 3	27	31	55	75	86	114
Brazil	1 270	1 405	1 214	-346	-236	-148	170	394	158	1 094	1 563	1 224
Chile	481	443	484	-110	-116	- 94	73	203	116	444	530	556
Colombia	495	477	492	- 39	- 37	- 27	59	- 16	54	515	424	519
Costa Rica	87	83	90	4	6	1	11	11	23	102	100	114
Dominican Republic	163	138	173	- 15	- 15	- 11	- 19	- 15	33	129	108	195
Ecuador	148	133	140	- 41	- 41	- 37	21	13	39	128	105	142
El Salvador	103	119	136	- 9	- 12	- 13	9	13	6	103	120	129
Guatemala	116	114	114	- 5	- 7	- 8	33	26	16	144	133	122
Haiti	38	32	42	6	-	2	7	15	- 3	51	47	41
Honduras	64	74	79	10	-	- 2	- 1	- 3	4	73	71	81
Mexico	778	839	942	233	225	268	132	279	238	1 143	1 343	1 448
Nicaragua	64	70	89	- 8	- 8	- 13	9	3	19	65	65	95
Panama	102	116	133	34	52	61	24	12	18	160	180	212
Paraguay	37	44	40	- 1	- 2	- 2	12	17	14	48	59	52
Peru	445	511	556	- 55	- 43	- 53	- 4	24	46	386	492	549
Uruguay	129	175	153	21	19	7	51	- 4	- 20	201	190	140
Venezuela	2 454	2 500	2 565	-671	-824	-879	-417	-491	-553	1 366	1 185	1 133
Total for Latin America	8 108	8 297	8 714	-1 026	-1 119	-1 055	534	862	266	7 616	8 040	7 925

Sources: See Table 52

Table 54

LATIN AMERICA: CAPACITY TO IMPORT, IMPORTS, AND BALANCE-OF-PAYMENTS POSITION, 1960-62

(in millions of dollars)

	1960				1961				1962*			
	Total capacity to import	Imports CIF	Net total balance	Errors and omissions	Total capacity to import	Imports CIF	Net total balance	Errors and Omissions	Total capacity to import	Imports CIF	Net total balance	Errors and omissions
Argentina	1 389	1 249	140	4	1 239	1 460	- 221	- 11	1 059	1 350	- 291	...
Bolivia	75	80	- 5	3	86	82	4	- 2	114	104	10	- 14
Brazil	1 094	1 462	- 368	- 43	1 563	1 460	103	- 2	1 224	1 475	- 251	- 137
Chile	444	540	- 96	34	530	614	- 84	- 47	556	554	2	71
Colombia	515	542	- 27	- 15	424	580	- 156	- 9	519	586	- 67	- 3
Costa Rica	102	112	- 10	- 1	100	109	- 9	- 2	114	116	- 2	6
Dominican Republic	129	97	32	- 25	108	81	27	- 41	195	152	43	- 31
Ecuador	128	126	2	- 4	105	117	- 12	- 3	142	133	9	3
El Salvador	103	123	- 20	- 2	120	109	11	- 18	129	125	4	4
Guatemala	144	142	2	4	133	137	- 4	- 2	122	140	- 18	1
Haiti	51	43	8	- 6	47	42	5	- 3	41	45	- 4	- 2
Honduras	73	73	-	- 1	71	73	- 2	- 1	81	83	- 2	2
Mexico	1 143	1 192	- 49	- 32	1 343	1 143	200	- 248	1 448	1 155	293	- 221
Nicaragua	65	66	- 1	- 3	65	69	- 4	- 1	95	88	7	- 2
Panama	160	171	- 11	5	180	200	- 20	13	212	224	- 12	11
Paraguay	48	50	- 2	- 1	59	55	4	- 1	52	47	5	- 6
Peru	386	368	18	- 4	492	461	31	4	549	533	16	- 3
Uruguay	201	229	- 28	1	190	208	- 18	- 36	140	230	- 90	...
Venezuela	1 366	1 305	61	- 370	1 185	1 218	- 33	- 11	1 133	1 178	- 45	...
Total for Latin America	7 616	7 970	- 354	- 456	8 040	8 218	- 178	- 417	7 925	8 318	- 393	- 463

Sources: See Table 52.

1962 balance of payments; only Peru, however, enjoyed a truly favorable external position during the last three years.

Even though Brazil's deficit in 1962 was somewhat lower than in 1960, it represented no less than 30 percent of total import capacity. The resumption of such a basically unfavorable trend in the Brazilian economy resulted from the downward inflexibility of imports and the fall in total import capacity. It is noteworthy that for three consecutive years imports have been almost constant in spite of considerable differences in current internal conditions and in the annual rate of real economic growth. On the other hand, the chronic deficit on current account increased considerably because the great improvement registered by merchandise exports in the preceding year was not repeated (see Table 55). While in 1961 the freely fluctuating rates of exchange had helped to foster minor Brazilian exports, the introduction of a fixed rate in January 1962 apparently had the opposite effect.^{4/} Furthermore, current earnings from coffee exports diminished by approximately \$80 million. Had Brazil's net inflow of capital been as large as in 1961, it would have sufficed to cover the deficit on current account, but it was actually much lower in consequence of the combined influence of a decline in foreign direct investment, heavy amortization payments of the external debt, and some capital flight.^{5/}

The most conspicuous feature of Uruguay's external position during the last three years has been a chronic and very large deficit on current account. Both the low level of receipts and the high level of expenditures have been responsible for this situation. In 1962 a new decline in exports raised the deficit up to approximately 43 percent of the current capacity to import. At the same time, the capital account registered a growing outflow of funds, which was most likely caused in part by the basic disequilibrium affecting Uruguay's current foreign transactions. In any case, the balance of payments crisis tended to become aggravated in a cumulative way during the first months of 1963, and in May, for the second time within four years, the Uruguayan peso was devalued, this time by 50 percent.

4. See Bank of London and South America, Quarterly Review, April 1963, page 82. The coffee export rate and the free rate were as follows (in cruzeiros per dollar):

	1960	1961	1962
Coffee export rate	90	135	182
Free rate	205	318	475 I.F.S.
			July 1963

5. For more details on capital movements see section B of this chapter.

Table 55
LATIN AMERICA: CURRENT CAPACITY TO IMPORT^a/ IMPORTS, AND BALANCE ON CURRENT ACCOUNT, 1960-62
(in millions of dollars)

	1960				1961				1962 ^a			
	Current capacity to import	Imports Cif	Balance on current account	Current capacity to import	Imports Cif	Balance on current account	Current capacity to import	Imports Cif	Current capacity to import	Imports Cif	Balance on current account	Balance on current account
Argentina	1 052	1 249	- 197	889	1 460	- 571	1 106	1 350	1 106	1 350	- 244	-
Bolivia	48	80	- 32	55	82	- 27	59	104	59	104	- 45	-
Brazil	924	1 462	- 538	1 169	1 460	- 291	1 066	1 475	1 066	1 475	- 409	-
Chile	371	540	- 169	327	614	- 287	390	554	390	554	- 164	-
Colombia	456	542	- 86	440	590	- 140	465	586	465	586	- 121	-
Costa Rica	91	112	- 21	89	109	- 20	91	116	91	116	- 25	-
Dominican Republic	148	97	- 51	123	81	- 42	162	152	162	152	- 10	-
Ecuador	107	126	- 19	92	117	- 25	103	123	103	123	- 30	-
El Salvador	94	123	- 29	107	109	- 2	123	125	123	125	- 2	-
Guatemala	111	142	- 31	107	137	- 30	106	140	106	140	- 34	-
Haiti	44	43	- 1	32	42	- 10	44	45	44	45	- 1	-
Honduras	74	73	- 1	74	73	- 1	77	83	77	83	- 6	-
Mexico	1 011	1 192	- 181	1 064	1 143	- 79	1 210	1 155	1 210	1 155	- 55	-
Nicaragua	56	66	- 10	62	69	- 7	76	88	76	88	- 12	-
Panama	136	171	- 35	168	200	- 32	194	224	194	224	- 30	-
Paraguay	36	50	- 14	42	55	- 13	38	47	38	47	- 9	-
Peru	390	368	- 22	468	461	- 7	503	535	503	535	- 30	-
Uruguay	150	229	- 79	194	208	- 14	160	230	160	230	- 70	-
Venezuela	1 783	1 305	- 478	1 676	1 218	- 458	1 686	1 178	1 686	1 178	- 508	-
Total for Latin America	7 082	7 970	- 888	7 178	8 218	- 1 040	7 659	8 318	7 659	8 318	- 659	-

a. The current capacity to import is the algebraic sum of commodity exports and the net service balance such as defined in footnote 1, this chapter.

Sources: See Table 53.

Argentina and Chile have also experienced recurrent and serious difficulties in their foreign payments, hardly less acute than those of Brazil and Uruguay. Argentina's export returns in 1962, however, not only exceeded their exceptionally low level of 1961 but also each of the annual figures since 1956. Since the stagnation of exports has been a basic weakness of the country's external position during the last decade, the experience of 1962 can certainly be regarded as favorable.^{6/} Moreover, a simultaneous decline in purchases abroad helped to reduce by more than two thirds the negative balance on merchandise account (from \$496 million in 1961 to \$140 million in 1962). On the other hand, the next outflow of funds on capital account contrasted sharply with the huge inflow of external financial resources that took place in 1961. The improvement in the trade balance has its negative aspects, however, insofar as the downturn in imports resulted from an absolute decline in over-all economic activity and from a serious lack of liquidity within the country. For several years, as in the case of Brazil, a large portion of Argentine imports have been financed with short- and medium-term loans (see Table 53). In 1962, payments made for the amortization of these credits, as well as remittances of profits and interest, exerted strong pressures on the availabilities of foreign exchange. Furthermore, the maintenance until April 1962 of an overvalued exchange rate,^{7/} and, later, the growing political instability, certainly played a role in the flight of domestic and foreign capital.^{8/}

While Chile's exports increased much less than those of Argentina and only regained their 1960 level, the external transactions recorded on capital account show for 1962 a net inflow of funds much smaller than in 1961 (see again Table 53). The negative errors of the balance of payments data, moreover, record an increase from \$47 to \$71 million between these two years. Insofar as these errors and omissions represent a flight of capital, it can be assumed that total import capacity actually did not increase but was practically the same in 1961. In any case, Chile's imports decreased considerably in 1962. Accordingly, the negative balance of external transactions was reduced by approximately one half in relation to the previous

6. The official data, used in this Survey, may indeed underestimate the 1962 improvement considerably. Estimates based on the physical volume of Argentina's principal exports, and on international market quotations, indicate that exports may actually have grown by more than another \$100 million. Likewise, it is presumed that the IMF unit value figures for 1962 incorporate this bias, which may be related to the valuation of exports after the March 1962 devaluation.

7. The rate of exchange was as follows:

	1959	1960	1961	1962	1962	1962	1962
				1st. qtr.	2nd. qtr.	3rd. qtr.	4th. qtr.
In pesos per dollar	83	83	83	83	135	129	134

In the first quarter of 1962 the Central Bank intervened massively on the foreign exchange market.

8. To some extent the flight of domestic capital might have been carried out through the undervaluation of export returns referred to in footnote 6.

/year; this

year; this result was obtained in spite of a slight rise in the rate of economic growth. Still, this was the third deficit in three consecutive years and it amounted to almost 13 percent of total import capacity. Throughout 1962 the external value of the Chilean escudo fell sharply in the free market, which had been created at the end of 1961 for certain invisible transactions. From October onwards, this devaluation extended to the official exchange rates applying to exports and imports. More recently, however, the latter rate has tended to stabilize at approximately 1.9 escudos per dollar against 1.05 a year earlier.

Colombia and Venezuela also suffered from three consecutive balance of payments deficits in the last three years and had to devalue their national currencies during this period. In Colombia, however, the external disequilibrium affecting the economy was less acute and the devaluation of much smaller proportions than in Chile, as was also the rate of growth of GNP. Although the increase in Colombia's exports in 1962, and the decline in net payments for services (see Table 53), were each of limited size, together they contributed to reduce noticeably the negative balance on current account. Moreover, the net inflow of private capital rose in the last year, and the balance of official capital movements turned from negative to positive, mainly because of an extension in the period of repayment of some heavy external debts and an increase in disbursements on authorized loans. While all these circumstances determined a decline in 1962 in the over-all balance of payments deficit, a downward adjustment of the external value of the Colombian peso was carried out in November as a more basic step toward external equilibrium. Within the framework of a multiple exchange system, this devaluation mainly affected the selling and buying rates other than those applying to coffee exports.

In Venezuela, the partial devaluation of the bolivar goes back to mid-1960. Together with some direct trade restrictions, it has contributed considerably to the cutting down of the foreign deficit from the very high figures of 1959 and early 1960. As exports rose in 1962 and imports were somewhat lower than in 1961, the usual surplus on merchandise account amounted to \$1 387 million against \$1 282 million the preceding year. The increase in gross export receipts, however, was almost completely offset by a corresponding rise in remittances of profits accruing from foreign direct investment in petroleum and mining. The flight of domestic capital apparently decreased considerably,^{9/} but, on the other hand, a rather large amount of disinvestment by foreign enterprises continued to take place in the petroleum sector.^{10/}

9. See Memoria del Banco Central de Venezuela, for 1962, page 16.

10. It may be, however, that misreporting of repatriated profits partly produced this result so that the capital outflow is overstated at the same time as the negative balance on service account.

/Moreover,

Moreover, heavy repayments were made on loan accounts, mainly for the amortization of compensatory and short-term loans received in previous years. As a result, the net outflow of funds on capital account continued to be very large, and the balance of payments deficit--however small in relative terms--was practically the same as in 1961 (see Table 54).

In several other Latin American countries the balance of payments positions, though not basically weak, have tended to be rather unstable from one year to another. Among these countries only one, Ecuador, devalued its currency during the 1960-62 period.¹¹ Honduras, Panama and Paraguay practically succeeded in balancing their foreign transactions in 1962. Bolivia, Guatemala and Haiti, on the other hand, registered a worsening in their external positions in relation to 1961. For several years, these three Latin American countries have relied heavily upon foreign grants for paying their imports. In both, Guatemala and Haiti, the amount of such grants declined considerably in 1962. In Bolivia, official donations as well as the net inflow of capital actually increased in the last year. But these additional resources were not large enough to finance the extraordinary rise in imports, and the balance of payments of the country turned from positive to slightly negative. On the other hand, between 1961 and 1962, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador and Nicaragua recorded a shift from a deficit to a surplus in their foreign payments. While in 1961 purchases abroad by the first three countries had failed to adjust downward to the fall in non-compensatory foreign exchange receipts, in 1962 the reverse occurred: the increase in imports lagged behind the rise in import capacity. In El Salvador and Nicaragua, the sustained growth of merchandise exports since 1960 has been the main factor responsible for reducing the foreign deficit in 1961 and determining a surplus in 1962.

Although Mexico's balance of payments has also been unstable during the last decade, the cycle of its fluctuations has tended to be longer than in the abovementioned countries. In the long run, moreover, Mexico's external position has been much more favorable than that of other Latin American countries of comparable economic size, such as Argentina and Brazil. The last foreign exchange crisis in Mexico occurred in 1954 and resulted in a devaluation of the peso. A period of strengthening of the balance of payments followed, but this trend was reversed from 1957 onwards. During the last three years, however, a marked improvement has again taken place in the country's external position; the foreign deficit declined substantially between 1960 and 1961 and turned to a surplus in 1962. Among the positive factors contributing to this have been the increasing diversification of merchandise exports, the steady growth of tourism receipts, the large amount of foreign loans obtained from private and official sources, and the successes achieved in substituting imports by domestic production without causing strong inflationary pressures. On the other hand, erratic movements of foreign direct investment and domestic capital, increases in remittances of

11. This devaluation was carried out in 1961.

profits, fluctuations in agricultural output for export, and falls or stagnation in primary products prices, have all had an adverse impact on Mexico's import capacity. In 1962, however, there was an upturn in cotton sales, and the receipts from tourism continued to rise, while the value of imports was practically the same as in 1961. As a result, the current account of the balance of payments recorded a surplus for the first time since 1951. The positive balance of the external transactions on capital account, however, although relatively large, was smaller than in the previous years, because loan disbursements from foreign sources were offset to some extent by an increase in the outflow of short-term capital over the last two years, during which period over-all economic growth also slackened.

This decline in the rate of development of total output may, in turn, be regarded as one of the factors in the improvement of the balance of payments position insofar as it has been responsible for the relative stagnation of imports.

Like most other of the Latin American countries, Peru was unable during the fifties to escape foreign payments difficulties and avoid a loss in the external value of its currency. In the more recent past, however, its external situation has been exceptionally good. Three consecutive and large increases in Peruvian imports took place beginning in 1960, without affecting the external equilibrium of the national economy. In fact, the rise in import capacity was large and steady enough to make it possible to obtain a balance of payments surplus in these three years. It must be pointed out that the financing of additional imports did not stem from an excessive rise in foreign indebtedness but, rather, from the upward trend of Peru's foreign exchange receipts, caused, in turn, by the growth of increasingly diversified exports. Repayments on external loans were made regularly and remittances of profits and interests did not show any substantial changes between 1960 and 1962. There was, however, some flight of Peruvian capital, particularly in mid-1962. This outflow of funds, which can be attributed mainly to non-economic considerations, did not permanently affect Peru's external position adversely because of the rapid increase in foreign exchange receipts. As far as the prospects for the near future are concerned, however, it is unlikely that exports will continue to grow at quite the same rate as in past years. In particular, the recent and very large increases in the sales of Peruvian fishmeal, which started from a very low level in absolute terms, are not likely to continue indefinitely. There are, however, prospects of substantial increases in iron ore and potash exports.

/B. NON-COMPENSATORY

B. NON-COMPENSATORY CAPITAL MOVEMENTS

The net flow of non-compensatory capital into Latin America recorded a downturn in 1962 after two years of successive increases. This decline in autonomous financial receipts^{12/} amounted to several hundred million dollars in absolute terms and reduced by more than two-thirds the 1961 figure (see Table 56). It explains, moreover, why the region's foreign deficit rose in 1962 in spite of a marked improvement in the current account of the balance of payments. The total flow of capital, including the changes in liabilities on compensatory accounts,^{13/} was substantially higher than the autonomous financial receipts during the last three years (see Table 57). Furthermore, in 1962 the net inflow of external resources on compensatory accounts were greater than those of a non-compensatory nature, and not much smaller than in the previous year. Nevertheless, the total flow of capital decreased by almost one-half in relation to 1961.

Several important qualifications must be made, however, concerning the true meaning of these recent changes. As pointed out above, the over-all figures for Latin America in fact cover divergent trends as far as the various countries and items of the capital account are concerned. First, the fall in autonomous financial receipts of Latin America reflects primarily the unfavorable experiences of

12. Including donations. The data available at this time, as well as the method used in estimating the balance of payments of some countries (see footnote 1 of this chapter, and the sources for Table 52) do not allow for separating donations from capital movement for Latin America as a whole. The decline indicated above and in Table 56 refers to the region's total, also shown in column 5 of Table 55 (net balance of capital movements and grants). Since private donations are relatively small and stable, it can be assumed that they did not contribute significantly to the over-all change in the net balance of capital movements and grants between 1961 and 1962. An estimate of private donations is given in Table 58 together with data on the composition of capital movements. Table 58 also includes official data on grants made by the United States Government. These grants represent almost the totality of the official donations made to Latin America.

13. This total excludes assets on compensatory accounts since they represent the reserves of international means of payments at the permanent disposal of the national monetary authorities. On the other hand, liabilities on compensatory accounts include deferred import payments. Indeed, the non-payment of due commercial debts implies external financing although it does not necessarily constitute a loan made voluntarily by the creditor country, and certainly does not improve the external credit of the debtor country. As it will be shown in section C, this unorthodox method of financing balance of payments deficit regained some importance in 1962.

Table 56

LATIN AMERICA: NET BALANCES ON THE MAIN ACCOUNTS OF FOREIGN PAYMENTS,

1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1960	1961	1962*
Balance on Current Account	-888	-1 040	-659
Net Over-all Balance of Non-compensatory Capital and Grants	534	862	266
Errors and Omissions	-456	-417	-463
Compensatory Accounts	810	595	856

Source: See Tables 53, 54 and 55.

Table 57

LATIN AMERICA: TOTAL FLOW OF CAPITAL,^{a/} 1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1960	1961	1962*
A - Net Flow of Non-compensatory Capital and Grants	534	862	266
B - Changes in total liabilities on Compensatory Accounts	845	521	396
Total Capital A + B	1 379	1 383	662

a. For the definition of the total flow of capital see footnote 13, this Chapter.

Source: See Tables 52 and 53.

/a few

a few countries, such as Argentina and Brazil, which heavily influence the region's total. Secondly, the decline in the gross inflow of non-compensatory capital was of a lesser magnitude than the increase recorded by the gross outflow, which was considerable as a result of heavy amortization payments and a rise in the recorded flight of Latin American capital. Thirdly, insofar as the errors and omissions have corresponded to unrecorded flight of financial resources, it must be added that in 1962 there was only a slight rise in the negative balance on this account. Lastly, the net inflow in 1962 of non-compensatory official capital and donations from the United States were practically the same as in 1961 and the financial contribution of international organizations actually increased.

Loans and grants made by the United States Government now constitute the most important factor on the credit side of the capital account. This role had been played before by United States private capital, the importance of which has also recently tended to decline in absolute terms. Because of the lack of detailed data, movements of private and official capital from other sources, as well as the transactions related to Latin American capital, must be grouped under the residual item "other capital" (see Table 58). While the wide fluctuations in this item used to be partly the consequence of the erratic movements registered by Latin American private assets, its considerably negative balance in 1962 reflected also the heavy amortization payments made by Argentina and Brazil to creditor countries, especially in Western Europe, as well as a decline in the gross inflow of private capital from countries other than the United States.

1. Official loans and grants

a. The United States contribution

While the United States' non-compensatory contribution in 1961 was characterized mostly by a very large increase in its amount in relation to the previous year, in 1962 it underwent changes mainly in its structure; in 1962 it totalled almost \$500 million, practically the same as in 1961. There were, however, considerable increases in official grants and loan disbursements by the Agency for International Development (AID), and to a lesser extent by the Social Progress Trust Fund, and sharp declines in those made by the Export and Import Bank of Washington (EXIMBANK) and under Public Law 480 (see Table 59). Since AID is specifically designed to meet the needs of underdeveloped countries, its growing importance as a lending institution constitutes a positive step toward a sound financing of economic growth in Latin America.^{14/} Official grants too, are a valuable contribution, especially when they provide basic resources to countries whose foreign exchange receipts are not even sufficient to finance current imports of raw materials and essential consumers' goods.

^{14/} Low interest rates, amortization at long term (and in some cases in the currency of the debtor country), as well as a wide range of economic and social purposes, are the main features of the loans granted by the Agency for International Development.

Table 58

LATIN AMERICA: COMPOSITION OF NON-COMPENSATORY CAPITAL MOVEMENTS,
1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1960	1961	1962*
A. Grants and Non-compensatory Capital from the United States Government (net total)	245	504	492
B. Direct Investments and Transactions in Foreign Securities from United States Private Sources (net total)	189	195	3
C. Financial Transactions with International Institutions (net total)	5	- 10	70
D. Other Capital (net total) <u>a/</u>	208	284	-179
E. Total Non-compensatory Capital and Official Grants (A+B+C+D)	647	973	386
F. Private Donations (net total)	-113	-111	-120
G. Net Over-all Balance of Non-compensatory Capital and Grants (E+F)	534	862	266

- a. The item "Other Capital" includes non-compensatory private loans from the United States, non-compensatory transactions with other countries on private and official account and short-term capital movements including privately owned assets by Latin Americans.

Sources and Method: Line A. United States balance of payments published by the U.S. Department of Commerce in Survey of Current Business, June 1961, June 1962 and June 1963. In adding up grants and loans, the compensatory loans by the Export and Import Bank of Washington, the United States Treasury Department and the United States subscriptions to the Inter-American Development Bank have been subtracted. The net disbursements under the compensatory loans totalled -45, 256 and 142 millions of dollars for 1960, 1961 and 1962 respectively. Line B. U.S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business, June 1961, June 1962 and June 1963. The 1962 figure excludes a 75 million dollar bond issue floated by the Inter-American Development Bank in the United States. See pages 33 and 39. Line C. Figures taken from: Inter-American Development Bank, Annual Report, 1961 and 1962; International Development Association, Statements of Forms and Credits, December 1960, 1961 and 1962; International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, issues of February 1961, 1962 and 1963, for the loans by the International Finance Corporation and by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development. Line D. Residual figures equal to: $\sqrt{E - (A+B+C)}$, see footnote a/ of this table. Line E. Figures on this line are equal to: "Net Over-all Balance of Non-compensatory Capital and Official Grants" (line G), minus "Private Donations" (line F). Line F. These consolidated figures for Latin America as a whole have been calculated from IMF's Balance of Payments Yearbook for each individual country for 1960 and 1961. The regional figure for 1962 is a preliminary estimate. Line G. Taken from Table 57.

Table 59

LATIN AMERICA: COMPOSITION OF OFFICIAL CAPITAL RECEIPTS
AND GRANTS FROM THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, 1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1960	1961	1962*
A. Official grants by the United States Government ^{a/}	119	167	194
B. Loans by the Agency for International Development (net disbursements)	25	107	186
C. Loans from the Social Progress Trust Fund (net disbursements)	---	1	21
D. Loans under Public Law 480 (net disbursements)	28	78	33
E. Development loans by the Export-Import Bank (net disbursements)		113	32
F. Other official capital from the United States Government (net)	25	38	26
G. Total grants and non-compensatory capital from the United States Government (A+B+C+D+E+F)	<u>245</u>	<u>504</u>	<u>492</u>
H. Compensatory loans by the Eximbank and the United States Treasury (net total)	- 45	256	142
I. United States subscriptions to the Inter-American Development Bank	80	110	60
J. Net grand total taken from official U.S. balance of payments	<u>280</u>	<u>870</u>	<u>694</u>

a. Excluding military grants.

Sources and notes on method: Line A. Figures taken from the United States balance of payments published by the U.S. Department of Commerce in Survey of Current Business, June 1961, June 1962 and June 1963. Besides grants under the American Aid program, this item includes grants under Public Law 480, Title I, as well as small amounts (approximately \$13 million annually) of pension payments to Americans residing in Latin America. See Table 9, Note a/.

/(cont. Table 59)

(cont. Table 59)

Lines B and D. From the U.S. Department of Commerce, Foreign Grants and Credits by the U.S. Government, calendar years 1960 and 1961. The figure of line B for 1962, was taken from the U.S. Department of State, Report on Country Loans by the Agency for International Development, December 1962. The figure of line D, for 1962 was taken from Foreign Grants and Credits, December 31st., 1962, advance release. Figures of line D differs from those carried on AID's reports, mainly because the latter include some transactions which may be considered as grants, and also are related to the dates of actual delivery of food products.

Line C. Inter-American Development Bank, Annual Reports, 1961 and 1962.

Line E. Export and Import Bank of Washington, Statement of Loans and Credits, December 31st., 1960, 1961 and 1962. Line F. Residual figures equal to:

$\sqrt{G - (A+B+C+D)}$. Line G. See sources and method of Table 58, line A.

Line H. Eximbank's Statement of Loans and Credits, December 1960, 1961 and 1962 and U.S. Treasury Department, Treasury Bulletin, May 1960 and 1961 and April 1962 and 1963. Line I. Inter-American Development Bank, Annual Report, 1961 and 1962. Line J. These figures were obtained by adding up lines 28, 29 and 38 of the United States balance of payments published by the U.S. Department of Commerce in Survey of Current Business, June 1961, June 1962 and June 1963. Of course, these figures appear with minus signs in the balance of payments of the United States. These figures include additional items not carried in the AID report, principally: pensions and other transfers, U.S. subscription to the Inter-American Development Bank, U.S. Treasury compensatory loans and residual.

/The recent

The recent changes, first in the amount, and then in the composition of the actual flow of United States official capital into Latin America, are part of the international program tending to give substance to the Charter of Punta del Este. Even if the compensatory credits are added, however, disbursements by the United States Government in 1962 still were substantially below the \$1 billion level which is to be its average annual financial contribution to the Alliance.^{15/} Moreover, the net total disbursed under grants and non-compensatory and compensatory capital declined by approximately \$130 million between 1961 and 1962 (see again Table 59). On the other hand, the total amount of official loans and grants authorized by the United States rose from \$380 million in 1959/1960 to \$982 million in 1960/1961, \$1 100 million in 1961/1962, and approximately \$984 million in 1962/1963.^{16/} An essential condition for a further rise in the U. S. official disbursements has therefore been laid down for the years to come. In turn, the fulfillment of other conditions--such as the improvement of administrative machinery, the implementation of structural reforms and development plans in the recipient countries--could contribute to narrowing the present gap between authorizations and disbursements.^{17/}

The upward trend in total grants continued in 1962 essentially because the size of grants--as distinguished from loans--of agricultural surpluses under Public Law 480 was more than twice as large as in 1961 ^{18/} (see Table 60). As in 1960 and 1961, however, grants under the Foreign Assistance Act (which created the Agency for International Development and incorporated into the U.S. Legislation, the Alliance for Progress programs) accounted for most of the total unilateral transfers from the U.S. Government. Although Bolivia, by far the main recipient country, obtained more assistance from this source than in 1961, the amount of such grants for Latin America as a whole decreased in 1962.

15. See speech by Secretary of the Treasury, the Hon. C. Douglas Dillon on September 11, 1961 in Los Angeles cited in Inter-American Development Bank presentation to IA-ECOSOC Special Commission IV in San José, Costa Rica, July 1963.

16. Report of the First Annual Review of the Alliance for Progress 87th U.S. Congress, Second Session and unpublished information from the Agency for International Development.

17. Naturally, the disbursement rate depends to a considerable degree on the nature of the project to be financed. Large dams or irrigation projects, for instance, which may take five or even more years to finish, may imply a disbursement rate of only 20 (or less) percent per annum. This should be kept in mind also with regard to the comparable figures given below for the international financial institutions (see subsection C).

18. While Argentina, a food-exporting country, did not receive any assistance under this heading, Brazil, Colombia and Mexico were the main beneficiaries, in absolute terms, of such donations. In the two first countries agricultural production is still basically insufficient to cover domestic needs, and in the latter the volume of some crops has been experiencing rather wide fluctuations from one year to another.

Table 60

LATIN AMERICA: GRANTS BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT UNDER THE AMERICAN AID PROGRAM AND PUBLIC LAW 480, TITLE III a/, 1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1960		1961		1962	
	American Aid	Public Law 480 <u>a/</u>	American Aid	Public Law 480 <u>a/</u>	American Aid	Public Law 480 <u>a/</u>
Argentina	0 6	--	0 8	--	1 3	--
Bolivia	10 6	0 2	18 2	0 4	23 4	1 4
Brazil	9 0	2 3	6 9	5 2	10 3	8 4
Chile	4 9	9 0	19 5	8 6	10 5	3 4
Colombia	2 6	3 2	3 1	6 7	4 1	10 9
Costa Rica	1 2	--	1 5	--	1 8	--
Dominican Republic	0 1	--	--	0 1	0 4	2 7
Ecuador	2 8	0 4	3 0	1 0	2 8	1 7
El Salvador	1 1	--	1 3	0 1	1 8	1 6
Guatemala	10 9	0 3	10 8	0 4	4 0	1 0
Haiti	5 2	0 1	12 2	0 6	3 1	0 8
Honduras	2 2	0 2	2 8	0 2	3 1	0 2
Mexico	0 8	1 3	0 7	3 5	0 8	8 9
Nicaragua	1 1	--	1 4	0 3	1 5	1 1
Panama	1 6	0 2	2 9	0 2	3 9	0 5
Paraguay	2 6	0 8	2 2	1 2	2 1	0 4
Peru	2 8	2 2	2 3	3 1	2 3	2 0
Uruguay	0 1	0 2	0 1	0 3	0 1	0 4
Venezuela	0 1	--	0 2	--	1 1	1 1
Total Latin America	60 3	20 4	89 9	31 9	78 4	46 5

- a. Totals differ from Table 59, line A, because official donations by U.S. Government also include some other items such as: grants under Titles I and II of Public Law 480, grants under the Peace Corps Program, Inter-American Highway, regional donations (especially for Inter-American and Central American organizations) and pensions paid to residents in Latin America. A detailed breakdown by countries is not available as far as these other grants are concerned.

Sources: For Public Law 480 Title III grants: Agency for International Development, Operation Reports, and for American Aid grants: Agency for International Development Country Finance Reports, various years.

/Along with

Along with the increase in its disbursements, the amount of loans authorized by AID rose considerably in 1962 (see Table 61). Both developments repeated the experience of 1961 and also of 1960. Likewise, the amount of disbursements continued to increase more rapidly than that of new authorizations the former having passed from one quarter of the latter in 1960 to almost one half in 1961 and noticeably more than one half in 1962. The actual flow of funds mainly benefited Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia and the Dominican Republic, all of which have suffered from a serious lack of foreign exchange during the past years. Among these countries, Chile, which in 1962 began to put into effect its development plan and some structural reforms, 19/ received the largest share of AID's total disbursements.

In 1962 also, the Social Progress Trust Fund raised its disbursements to Latin America well above the insignificant level of 1961 (see Table 62). This \$394 million fund 20/ is administered by the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) and in less than two years of existence it has authorized total loans of \$ 320 million to all the Latin American countries, 21/ Cuba and Haiti excepted. Most of the money has been earmarked for construction of low-rent housing (48 percent), sanitation and water supply (32 percent) and land colonization (15 percent). At the end of June 1963 actual disbursements represented only a small fraction of authorized loans. The gap between authorization and disbursement in this specific case can be explained to some extent by the administrative and technical problems that are inevitably encountered when international financing extends itself to a new field.

The decline in 1962 in net disbursements by the Eximbank offset the increase in those made by AID (see again Table 59). It resulted from a reduction in gross disbursements and a simultaneous increase in amortization payments. For Latin America as a whole gross disbursements amounted to \$203 million and amortization payments totalled \$133 million, against \$213 and \$100 million, respectively, in 1961. These changes at the regional level reflected mainly the declines in the net flow to Brazil and Chile and, to a lesser extent, those to Colombia and Mexico. In Perú, amortization payments exceeded gross disbursements for the third consecutive year, but the net disbursements made by the Eximbank to Argentina increased by approximately \$8 million. Moreover, the amount of authorized loans for the region as a whole rose from \$137 to \$203 million. In 1960, also an increase in total authorizations took place, and it was followed by a substantial rise in net disbursements in 1961. Conversely, the decline in disbursements which **occurred in 1962**, was preceded by a reduction in authorizations in the year before. Thus, the actual amount of financial assistance given by the Eximbank in the 1960-62 period has been characterized by wide fluctuations from one year to another.

19. See below, Chapter V.

20. It is expected that in the course of 1963 its resources will be augmented.

21. By mid-1963 this total had risen to \$348 million.

Table 01
LATIN AMERICA: LOANS AUTHORIZED AND NET DISBURSEMENTS BY THE
AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, 1960-1962
(in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal Years									
	1960		1961		1962*		1961/62		1962/63	
	Loans Authorized	Net Dis- bursements	Loans Authorized	Net Dis- bursements	Loans Authorized	Net Dis- bursements	Loans Authorized	Net Dis- bursements	Loans Authorized	Net Dis- bursements
Argentina	--	3 3	6 0	7 3	39 0	19 9	19 9	11 0	34 4	20
Bolivia	--	0 3	7 3	0 3	7 6	3 2	7 5	21 8	16 7	2 8
Brazil	--	--	65 0	50 0	16 9	24 8	74 5	81 8	21 7	26 9
Chile	10 5	0 5	108 2	30 3	40 0	56 6	140 0	66 4	35 0	89 8
Colombia	25 0	--	--	1 5	90 0	37 7	30 0	35 6	87 6	36 3
Costa Rica	--	0 5	8 6	0 7	--	4 4	--	3 9	10 6	3 0
Dominican Republic	--	--	--	--	27 1	16 8	25 0	11 6	2 1	12 8
Ecuador	--	5 3	10 7	6 6	18 7	8 8	13 0	12 6	13 3	6 2
El Salvador	--	--	1 6	--	0 8	--	--	1 3	16 6	0 3
Guatemala	11 0	3 5	--	--	--	1 4	--	6 6	0 7	1 7
Haiti	0 3	0 6	0 3	2 9	2 8	1 0	--a/	9 2	2 8	0 4
Honduras	2 8	1 7	1 3	2 7	0 6	1 6	--	6 7	4 1	2 5
Mexico	--	--	--	--	20 0	--	20 0	0 8	--	2 0
Nicaragua	2 5	0 2	7 6	0 4	0 9	1 8	0 9	2 5	1 0	2 0
Panama	10 3	5 4	2 5	0 5	6 0	2 3	--	4 3	6 0	1 7
Paraguay	--	2 3	7 1	0 7	0 1	2 1	-0 7	2 4	--	2 6
Peru	29 5	--	1 0	0 1	12 8	-0 8	17 6	2 9	--	2 6
Uruguay	--	1 0	--	3 2	--	2 3	--	3 6	6 0	6 9
Venezuela	5 0	--	10 0	0 1	40 0	2 2	10 1	1 1	30 0	4 6
Total Latin America	96 9	24 6	237 2	107 3	323 3	186 1	357 9	276 1	393 0	225 7

a. Less than \$50 000.

b. In process of being deobligated.

c. Includes \$0.6 million IAPSP funds approved in FY1962, but included as part of FY1963 program.

Sources: For 1960 and 1961, U. S. Department of Commerce, Foreign Grants and Credits by the U. S. Government, calendar years 1960 and 1961. For 1962, U. S. Department of State, Report on Country Loans by the Agency for International Development, December 1962. For fiscal year 1961 and 1962, U. S. Foreign Assistance and Assistance from International Organizations, July 1, 1945 - June 30, 1962, Agency for International Development and unpublished information from AID.

Table 62

LATIN AMERICA: LOANS AUTHORIZED AND NET DISBURSEMENTS
 UNDER THE SOCIAL PROGRESS TRUST FUND, 1960-1962
 (in millions of dollars)

	1961		1962		1961/62		Fiscal Years 1962/63	
	Loans Authorized	Net Disbursements	Loans Authorized	Net Disbursements	Loans Authorized	Net Disbursements	Loans Authorized	Net Disbursements
Argentina	--	--	35 0	--	5 0	--	30 0	3.1
Bolivia	--	--	6 5	--	--	--	10 5	--
Brazil	4 1	--	46 7	2 4	47 0	--	5 9	4.3
Chile	13 7	--	9 3	1 9	18 7	--	4 9	3 5
Colombia	22 8	--	8 5	3 8	22 8	1 8	8 5	5 8
Costa Rica	3 5	0 4	--	1 5	3 5	0 5	--	2.5
Dominican Republic	--	--	6 5	0 5	--	--	6 5	1 9
Ecuador	--	--	13 6	--	13 6	--	9 9	1 2
El Salvador	5 5	--	6 1	1 7	11 6	0 1	--	3 8
Guatemala	--	--	8 8	--	3 5	--	7 8	0 1
Haiti	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Honduras	--	--	3 5	--	--	--	5 7	0 2
Mexico	--	--	13 6	--	10 6	--	8 0	(a)
Nicaragua	--	--	7 7	0 3	7 7	--	0 2	1 7
Panama	7 6	0 5	2 8	2 0	10 4	1 2	--	3 1
Paraguay	--	--	2 9	--	--	--	2 9	--
Peru	23 8	--	2 5	4 5	24 8	2 8	1 5	4 2
Uruguay	2 5	--	8 0	--	2 5	--	8 0	--
Venezuela	32 0	--	20 0	2 8	42 0	--	11 0	12 7
Central America (Regional)	--	--	2 9	--	...	--
Total Latin America	115 5	0 9	204 9	21 4	223 7	6 4	121 3	48 1

a. Less than \$50 000.

Sources: Inter-American Development Bank, Annual Report, 1961 and 1962. For fiscal years 1961/62 and 1962/63 U. S. Foreign Assistance and Assistance from International Organizations, July 1, 1945 - June 30, 1962 (Revised), Agency for International Development, and unpublished information from AID.

The same can be said with respect to disbursements made under Title I of Public Law 480 (see again Table 59). This law makes it possible for the U. S. Government to grant and also to lend to underdeveloped countries part of the local currency proceeds obtained through the export of agricultural surplus. Both the sales ^{22/} and loans are made in the national currency of the recipient country. Within Latin America, Brazil and Chile have in the past received the largest share of such loans. In 1962, however, Brazil did not get any financial resources under Public Law 480, while in the previous years it had obtained almost \$60 million. Accordingly, disbursements to Latin America as a whole declined by approximately \$45 million.

b. International agencies

Total net disbursements on loans from international agencies rose considerably in 1962 (see Table 63). This was the second increase in two years; but in 1961 the subscriptions to the recently established financial institutions had more than offset the foreign exchange receipts on loans account. In 1962 the net balance of loans and subscriptions was positive and much larger than in 1960. It did not account, however, for more than 15 percent of the total amount of grants and non-compensatory capital received from U. S. official sources.

During its two first years of existence the Inter-American Development Bank has directed its activities mainly toward collecting capital resources and authorizing loans. At the end of December 1962, subscriptions actually paid to the IDB totalled \$528 million out of an authorized capital of \$1 000 million. Payment in dollars amounted to \$389 million, \$250 million of which was received from the U. S. Government. Moreover, the IDB placed two bond issues on private financial markets, the first in the United States for \$75 million and the second in Italy for \$25 million.^{23/} At the same date, cumulative figures for authorized loans totalled \$290 million, while disbursements only amounted to \$38 million (see Tables 63 and 64). In the first four months

22. For Latin America as a whole total sales agreements were as follows (in millions of dollars):

	<u>1960</u>	<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>	<u>Fiscal Years</u>	
				<u>1961/62</u>	<u>1962/63</u>
Total sales agreements ^{a/}	95.5	99.5	212.3	156.5	185.4

a. These figures exclude such estimated amount for the local currency proceeds to be spent by the U. S. Government in the recipient country.

Source: Agency for International Development.

23. Interest rates for these bond issues in United States and Italy were 4-1/4 and 5 percent respectively.

/Table 63

Table 63

LATIN AMERICA: COMPOSITION OF OFFICIAL CAPITAL RECEIPTS
FROM INTERNATIONAL AGENCIES, 1960 - 1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1960	1961	1962 *
Inter-American Development Bank (net disbursements)	-	5 1	32 8
International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (net disbursements)	28 7	55 1	94 3
International Development Association (net disbursements)	-	0 6	6 0
International Finance Corporation (net disbursements)	12 4	5 2	12 4
Total net disbursements	41.1	66 0	145 5
Subscriptions a/	-36 0	-76 0	-75 0
Net Balance of Loans and Subscriptions	5 1	-10 0	70 5

a. Subscriptions in foreign exchange only, the most part of which was to the the Inter-American Development Bank.

Sources: IDB, Annual Report. 1961 and 1962. International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, February 1963, for IBRD and IFC loans. International Development Association, Statement of Loans and Credits, December 1961 and December 1962.

/Table 64

Table 64

LATIN AMERICA: LOANS AUTHORIZED AND NET DISBURSEMENTS
BY THE INTER-AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK^a/ 1961-1962
(in millions of dollars)

	1961		1962	
	Loans Author- ized	Net Disburse- ments	Loans Author- ized	Net Disburse- ments
Argentina	29.9	0.2	8.1	4.5
Bolivia	14.5	2.3	2.6	4.9
Brazil	27.4	1.7	35.5	7.1
Chile	18.7	0.3	20.1	3.0
Colombia	13.3	0.1	8.5	2.8
Costa Rica	3.0	-	8.2	0.8
Dominican Republic	-	-	-	-
Ecuador	4.7	-	6.1	0.2
El Salvador	5.8	-	-	0.4
Guatemala	4.7	0.1	-	0.1
Haiti	3.5	-	-	0.3
Honduras	3.7	0.1	6.0	0.9
Mexico	15.2	-	6.8	4.3
Nicaragua	2.2	0.1	-	0.2
Panama	2.9	-	-	-
Paraguay	4.0	-	1.2	1.6
Peru	5.1	-	2.1	1.4
Uruguay	6.3	-	8.1	0.3
Venezuela	9.2	0.2	8.3	-
Total Latin America	174.1	5.1	121.5	32.8

a. Loans from the Ordinary Capital and Special Fund are included; loans from the Social Progress Trust Fund are not included.

Source: Inter-American Development Bank, Annual Report, 1961 and 1962

/of 1963,

of 1963, however, liquid funds were made available at a quicker pace, and at the end of April the disbursement rate with loans eligible for immediate utilization exceeded 20 percent.^{24/} As far as the sectoral distribution of IBD's operations is concerned, manufacturing industries received approximately 30 percent of the loans that are to be financed with ordinary funds; the corresponding share of agriculture (including irrigation), electricity and water supply were 18, 17 and 15 percent, respectively. In the case of loans to be paid out with special funds, almost 40 percent of total authorizations went to projects of a global nature and scope, such as the development plans of Bolivia and Northeast Brazil.

Both authorized loans and effective disbursements by the World Bank ^{25/} expanded regularly during the last three years, the increase being particularly marked in 1962 (see Table 65). As in 1961, however, disbursements represented no more than 28 percent of authorized loans. In relation to total foreign exchange receipts, the largest amount of liquid funds made available by the World Bank were transferred to Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico and Peru. In the period 1960-1962 almost 97 percent of total loans authorized by the World Bank were related to the development of transportation and electricity supply. Thus, the experience of recent years indicates that the World Bank continued to deal mainly with projects tending to remedy certain basic deficiencies in Latin America's economic infrastructure.

The International Finance Corporation (IFC) and the International Development Association (IDA), both of which are affiliated with the World Bank, also expanded their activities in their own specific fields. For the time being, however, the scale of such activities is much more limited than in the case of the IBRD (see again Table 63). Assistance to private industrial enterprises by the IFC has benefited almost exclusively, until now, Latin American countries which are already at a relatively advanced stage of industrialization, such as Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Mexico. On the other hand, six out of a total of eight loans granted to Latin American countries by the IDA in 1961 and 1962, went to the following countries which are of relatively small economic size and at a stage of incipient industrialization: Costa Rica, El Salvador, Haiti, Honduras, Nicaragua and Paraguay. At the end of December 1962, loans authorized by IFC and IDA reached the cumulative figures of \$65 and \$70 million, respectively.

24. See Address by the Hon. Felipe Herrera, President of the Inter-American Development Bank in the Second Plenary Session of the Bank. The President pointed out that "we can consider this ratio (of 20 percent) as satisfactory, given the nature of the investment projects, whose average period is no less than two years."

25. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) is more commonly known as the World Bank.

Table 65

LATIN AMERICA: LOANS AUTHORIZED AND NET DISBURSMENTS BY THE INTERNATIONAL BANK
FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT 1960 - 1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1960		1961		1962	
	Loans Author- ized	Net Disburse- ments	Loans Author- ized	Net Disburse- ments	Loans Author- ized	Net Disburse- ments
Argentina	-	-	48 5	-	95 0	17 6
Bolivia	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brazil	-	6 8	-	17 4	-	7 9
Chile	-	4 5	6 0	3 1	-	5 4
Colombia	48 0	7 1	41 5	16 5	50 0	21 9
Costa Rica	2 0	0 6	17 3	1 8	-	4 1
Dominican Republic	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ecuador	-	8 8	-	5 6	-	4 4
El Salvador	3 9	0 5	-	1 2	-	1 1
Guatemala	-	-0 8	-	-1 3	-	-1 3
Haiti	-	0 6	-	0 2	-	-0 2
Honduras	8 8	2 5	-	1 2	-	3 3
Mexico	25 0	1 0	15 0	4 9	160 5	17 4
Nicaragua	12 5	-0 8	-	-0 7	-	0 1
Panama	7 2	-	-	0 7	4 0	2 4
Paraguay	-	-0 4	-	-0 5	-	-0 5
Peru	34 5	-1 9	10 0	5 2	-	9 2
Uruguay	-	0 2	-	-	18 5	-1 0
Venezuela	-	-	45 0	-0 2	-	2 5
Total Latin America	141 9	28 7	183 3	55 1	328 0	94 3

Sources: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, February 1959, February 1960, February 1961, February 1962 and February 1963. For Fiscal years 1962 and 1963 see sources for Table 61.

c. Official bilateral contributions from countries
other than the United States

The net flow in 1961 of official capital and grants from Japan and OECD countries other than the United States increased considerably over 1960; from \$6 to \$93 million ^{26/} (see Table 66). Japan's contribution was mainly responsible for the increase in 1961, accounting, in fact, for the bulk of the total. The net inflow of official capital from Western Germany ranked second in importance, while net payments on this account were made to the United Kingdom and other OECD countries for the amortization of Latin American debts. The geographic distribution of total net receipts by destination was uneven since in 1961 Brazil received over three fourths of the total (see Table 67).

For 1962, the only figure available shows a small increase in Western Germany's financial contribution (see again Table 66). Indirect evidence, however, makes it appear likely that the flow of capital from Japan declined. This country has been currently engaged in the financing of part of the foreign exchange costs related to the construction of a steelworks in Brazil (Usiminas). In 1961, this venture had been carried out at a quicker pace than before, and by the end of the year Japan had disbursed \$75 million out of its original commitment of \$100 million. Since the flow of Japanese official capital into Brazil amounted to \$56 million in the same year, it would seem realistic to assume that a major part of this had served to finance the Usiminas project. It is uncertain, however, whether the venture is regarded statistically in a strict sense, as a private direct investment or as an official transaction on loans account, since it involves the intervention of both the Japanese Government and a Japanese private firm. In any case, the disbursements related to the Usiminas project declined in 1962, and, because of their relatively heavy weight in the previous year, it may be presumed that the total flow of official and private capital from Japan has also decreased.

Some Western European governments give indirect aid to developing countries in the form of official guarantees applying to private export credits.^{27/} It can be anticipated that the credits from Western Germany to Latin America were reduced by \$90 million between 1961 and 1962.

26. The figure of \$93 million, however, represented only 20 percent of the financial receipts and donations obtained from the United States Government.

27. For a more detailed discussion of these transactions, see the next sub-section of this chapter.

Table 66

LATIN AMERICA: NET FLOW OF OFFICIAL CAPITAL^{a/} FROM JAPAN
AND OECD MEMBER COUNTRIES EXCLUDING THE UNITED STATES, 1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	<u>1960</u>	<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>
Japan	9	80	...
Federal Republic of Germany	23	30	38
United Kingdom	-26	-1	...
Other OECD Countries, excluding the United States		-16	...
Total	<u>6</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>...</u>

a. Net grants plus net loans, excluding export credits guaranteed by the government of the lending countries.

Source: Statistics from the Development Department of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

Table 67

LATIN AMERICA: NET FLOW OF OFFICIAL CAPITAL FROM
JAPAN AND OECD COUNTRIES OTHER THAN THE UNITED STATES,
BY RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

(in millions of dollars)

	<u>1960</u>	<u>1961</u>
Argentina	3 89	21 13
Bolivia	-0 39	0 08
Brazil	1 86	72 77
Central American Republics ^{a/}	0 83	0 30
Chile	7 69	11 62
Colombia	-3 37	--
Ecuador	0 02	0 04
Mexico	3 02	2 64
Paraguay	3 03	0 66
Peru	-0 48	2 41
Uruguay	-0 15	0 23
Venezuela	-2 31	-18 99
Total Latin America	<u>5 92</u>	<u>92 89</u>

a. Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama.

Source: Statistics from the Development Department of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development.

/In general,

In general, the total flow of financial resources from OECD members to all developing countries declined in 1962.^{28/} According to data compiled by the OECD, this decline has mainly reflected the changes which occurred in the capital movements from Japan and Western Germany. In view of the relatively important role played by the two latter countries in Latin America during recent years, such global developments further suggest a weakening in the effective financial aid given to the region by the industrial countries other than the United States. As far as new authorizations in 1962 are concerned, the \$150 million loan agreed upon by the Governments of Mexico and France should be singled out; it constitutes the first French official credit granted for long-term development purposes to a Latin American country.

In the period 1960-61, new loans to Latin America authorized by countries with centrally planned economies only benefited Cuba. They reached \$357 million out of a world total of \$2 157 million. In the same period, disbursements went to Argentina, Brazil and Cuba for \$27, \$2 and \$30 million, respectively.^{29/} No information is available for 1962.

2. Private capital

a. The United States contribution

The total flow in 1962 of United States private capital into Latin America amounted to \$153 million,^{30/} whereas the minimum projected amount needed for Alliance purposes has been estimated at \$300 million annually.^{31/} Moreover, even if the IDB's bond issue is added, net foreign exchange receipts from that source decreased considerably in relation to 1960 and 1961; they had been approximately \$500 million in each of those years. The recent decline in the flow of U.S.

28. Total figures are as follows (in millions of dollars):

	<u>Total DAC Countries</u>	<u>United States</u>	<u>Other Countries</u>
1961	7 841	4 246	3 595
1962	7 732	4 242	3 490

Source: OECD

29. Source: OECD

30. United States balance of payments with Latin America in Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business, June 1963. The global figure referred to in the text includes an unknown amount of compensatory capital but excludes the bond issue placed by the Inter-American Development Bank on the United States market. This bond issue certainly raised the total amount of U.S. resources contributing to the financing of Latin America's development in the near future. But it was not directly and readily available to Latin America in 1962.

31. See footnote 15, this chapter.

/private capital

private capital affected not only short- and long-term loans but also--and relatively more so--total investment (direct investment plus portfolio securities). Since the latter is by definition an autonomous financial transaction, most probably the amount of non-compensatory funds also declined in 1962.

The fall in total investment at the regional level was due exclusively to certain unfavorable changes in the amount of direct investment (see Table 68). And if the balance on the latter account turned from positive to slightly negative, it was mainly because of the developments which occurred in one economic branch in one country: investment in the petroleum sector of Venezuela alone amounted to \$167 million, that is, almost equal to the regional figure recorded by total investment in 1961 (see Table 69).^{32/} Only two other countries--Guatemala and Panama--registered a negative balance on this account. In the case of Panama it is possible that for fiscal reasons the outflow of funds has covered some transfer of profits.

Inflow of new liquid funds into the petroleum sector in Argentina ceased almost completely in 1962, after having contributed to a rapid expansion of domestic output in the preceding years. But, on the other hand, U.S. firms raised their financial contribution to manufacturing industries in such a way that the total amount of new direct investment in Argentina declined only moderately in relation to 1961 and still constituted the highest country figure within Latin America. Since current economic prospects in this country were unsatisfactory, a factor partly responsible for the expanded flow of foreign capital into manufacturing might have been the lack of internal liquidity which resulted from official restrictions on bank credit. There was also an increase in direct industrial investment in Peru, as well as in Brazil and Mexico, in spite of the stricter domestic regulations applied to foreign capital in the two latter countries. Thus the long-term prospects offered by relatively large and expanding internal markets apparently continued to play an important role in investment decisions. It is noteworthy, however, that in the two last years the new direct investments made in Brazil by the United States have represented only a small fraction of what they had been during the 1957-60 period (\$50 million as an annual average). Moreover, the problem of indemnifying certain recently-expropriated foreign-owned public utilities remains unsolved.

In several of the Latin American countries, economic sectors other than manufacturing attracted new direct investments from the United States in 1962. A certain amount of liquid funds on this account went into the petroleum sector in Colombia and into mining and smelting in Chile. The same can be said about direct investments in "other industries"^{33/} in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Honduras, Peru and Uruguay.

^{32.} See, however, footnote 10, this chapter, for a possible qualification.

^{33.} Other industries include trade, banking, public utilities, etc.

Table 68

LATIN AMERICA: DIRECT INVESTMENTS AND TRANSACTIONS IN
SECURITIES BY UNITED STATES PRIVATE CAPITAL, 1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	<u>1960</u>	<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>
Direct Investments	95	173	- 32
New Issues of Foreign Securities	107	18	27a/
Redemptions	- 20	- 9	- 5
Transactions in Foreign Securities	7	13	13
<u>Total</u>	<u>189</u>	<u>195</u>	<u>3</u>

a. See note for line B on Table 58.

Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business, June 1963.

Table 69

LATIN AMERICA: NET INFLOW OF UNITED STATES PRIVATE
CAPITAL FOR DIRECT INVESTMENT, 1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	Total 1960	Total 1961 1962		Mining and Smelting 1961 1962		Petroleum 1961 1962		Manufac- turing 1961 1962		Other Industries 1961 1962	
Argentina	70	104	94	x	x	52	x	43	73	9	21
Brazil	83	7	14	1	8	16	- 15	- 2	10	- 8	11
Chile	2	xx	13	- 14	4	x	x	4	- 1	10	10
Colombia	15	- 7	21	x	x	- 7	26	x	1	x	- 6
Dominican Republic	xx	xx	xx	- 2	x	1	x	x	x	1	xx
Guatemala	- 3	- 5	- 2	x	x	2	xx	1	x	- 8	- 2
Honduras	- 11	- 5	3	- 1	x	1	x	--	x	- 5	3
Mexico	56	45	30	x	- 5	16	16	18	23	11	- 4
Panama	30	12	- 31	--	--	4	2	2	-2	6	- 31
Peru	7	14	14	19	6	- 12	7	x	8	7	7
Uruguay	xx	xx	2	--	x	- 1	x	xx	xx	1	2
Venezuela	-150	xx	-194	24	x	- 44	-167	9	xx	11	- 27
Other Countries	- 4	8	4	4	- 16	4	30	1	1	- 1	- 11
Total	95	173	- 32	31	- 3	32	-115	76	113	34	- 27

x. Included in "Other Industries."

xx. Less than 500 000 dollars.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business, August 1963.

For Latin America as a whole, data from the U.S. balance of payments^{34/} show a substantial increase in 1962 in the purchases of securities--almost all of them new issues--which would partly offset the decline in direct investment (see again Table 68). In 1960, also, the inflow of U.S. portfolio capital reached \$100 million, essentially as a result of a sale of some \$75 million worth of Mexican bonds.^{35/} For 1962, however, United States Treasury statistics on net securities transactions do not show regional figures appreciably higher than those of 1961.^{36/} While net sales of bonds in the case of Mexico amounted to \$13 million against \$19 million in 1961, they increased from \$6 to \$8 million in Venezuela and from \$1 to \$3 million in Panama. Other Latin American countries recorded negative balances on this account; but in general, net payments for the amortization of bond issues were of small importance, the highest figure for an individual country--Brazil--not having exceeded \$4 million. Likewise, net transactions in stocks were of limited importance in 1962, as the main recipient country, Mexico, received no more than \$1 4 million from the sale of domestic shares to United States residents. In fact, the principal factor responsible for the increase in the purchase of securities as shown by the U.S. balance of payments data, was the bond issue placed by the Inter-American Development Bank on the United States market.^{37/} This means that \$75 million out of the total of \$102 million were not directly transferred to Latin American countries, but rather served to increase the IDB's loanable funds. As far as the IDB's loans authorized and actual disbursements in 1962 are concerned, they are part of the movements of official capital which have already been taken into account in sub-section 1 of this chapter.

Financial receipts from other United States private sources totaled \$149 million in 1962 against \$259 million in 1961. Net disbursements on long-term loans declined more than the net inflow of short-term capital. Indeed, long-term banking claims on Latin America declined by \$45 million between December 1961 and December 1962--that is to say, a net outflow of funds from the region actually took place on this account.^{38/} Even though long-term claims on

34. See U.S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business, June 1963.

35. See International Monetary Fund, Balance of Payments Yearbook, Volume 14. Mexico--footnote to item 12.2 of Table 1.

36. See United States Treasury, Treasury Bulletin, July 1963. Capital Movements, Section II, Tables 7 and 8.

37. See section 1.b, this chapter. In the balance of payments of the United States published by the Survey of Current Business, subscriptions by the U.S. Government to IDB are not entered into the transactions with international institutions, either, but are included in the flow of U.S. official capital into Latin America.

38. See Treasury Bulletin, various issues.

Mexico increased by \$23 million, in the case of Brazil and Venezuela they declined by \$11 and \$79 million, respectively, in part as a result of amortization payments on private compensatory loans granted in previous years.^{39/} Since, however, the U.S. balance of payments shows a net flow of "other long-term capital" to Latin America amounting to \$38 million, it appears that in 1962 the region received \$87 million from United States private sources other than the banking system.

On the other hand, net movements of short-term domestic capital such as shown in the United States balance of payments are almost equal to the net variations in short-term banking claims on Latin America, which are recorded by the Treasury Bulletin's statistics. The corresponding data from these two sources indicate a net inflow of funds into the region amounting to \$111 and \$97 million, respectively. Approximately \$65 million went to Uruguay and \$50 million more to Chile, both of which financed their external deficit mainly through an increase in their liabilities abroad.^{40/} A substantial part of the credits they have received from U.S. private banks were certainly aimed at compensatory financing and should therefore, strictly speaking, be excluded from the total flow of autonomous capital from United States private sources.

39. While disbursement of compensatory loans provides, a posteriori, a temporary remedy for insufficient import capacity, the amortization of such loans produces, a priori, a reduction of that capacity in subsequent years, as it constitutes a current financial obligation of the borrowing country.

40. See section C. on compensatory financing.

b. Flow of private capital from Western European countries and Japan

Private export loans constitute the major component of this flow in the case of Latin America. They may or may not be guaranteed by the governments of the exporting countries and include the so-called medium-term suppliers credits. In recent years the guaranteed loans have tended to be distributed more evenly between lenders and borrowers, than the direct official contributions from Western Europe and Japan (see Tables 70 and 71). To some extent this might be explained by the fact that such financial transactions are closely linked with current developments and trade promotion. On the other hand, between 1960 and 1961 the flow of credits had recorded noticeable changes both in several donor and in some recipient countries, changes that can in part be explained by fluctuations in the rate of delivery--and in the rate of utilization--of the goods imported for investment purposes. Net disbursement to Latin America as a whole, however, declined but very slightly.

It was noted above that in 1962 there occurred a fall in the credits granted by Western Germany. This country had previously been the main lending partner of Latin America and had contributed to maintain the regional total at a steady level in 1961. It is likely, therefore, that the financial resources received by Latin America on this account will show a decrease for 1962.

Incomplete information points to an equally unfavorable change in the amount of private direct investment during the last year. In Brazil, where Western European and Japanese firms had concentrated most of their Latin American ventures in the fifties, total direct foreign investment fell from \$147 million in 1961 to \$69 million in 1962, notwithstanding a small increase in the inflow of funds from the United States. Besides, direct investment made by Western Germany in all Latin American countries went down to \$17 million, and it may be that Japanese firms have also reduced their transfer of capital to Latin America.^{41/} Mexico and Panama, however, might have enjoyed an increase in the flow of funds recorded on this account. While the United States contribution in Mexico was appreciably smaller in 1962 than in 1961, and turned from positive to negative in Panama, ^{42/} the total amount of direct investment made by all foreign countries remained at the same level in the first country and increased by almost \$2 million in the latter.^{43/}

^{41.} See section 1(c), above, for the considerations leading to this conclusion.

^{42.} According to the U.S. Balance of Payments published in Survey of Current Business, August 1963. See section 2(a), above, for a possible qualification.

^{43.} Available figures on direct investment in Mexico and Panama are as follows:

	(in millions of dollars)	
	<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>
Mexico	119	116
Panama	6.2	8.0

Sources: For Mexico, Informe Anual del Banco de Mexico; for Panama, National official data on the balance of payments.

Table 70

LATIN AMERICA: PRIVATE EXPORT CREDITS GUARANTEED BY THE GOVERNMENTS
OF THE OECD MEMBER COUNTRIES, EXCLUDING THE UNITED STATES, 1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	<u>1960</u>	<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>
Belgium	5	54	...
France	56	12	...
Germany	71	120	30
Italy	43	-2	...
Japan	6	35	...
United Kingdom	9	-1	...
Others	56	18	...
Total	<u>246</u>	<u>236</u>	<u>...</u>

Source: OECD, The Flow of Financial Resources to Developing Countries in 1961, Paris, 14th March 1963; and Memorandum of Germany to the Development Assistance Committee, April 10th, 1963.

Table 71

LATIN AMERICA: NET FLOW OF GUARANTEED PRIVATE EXPORT CREDITS

(in millions of dollars)

	<u>1960</u>	<u>1961</u>
Argentina	36 61	46 43
Bolivia	- 1 56	1 23
Brazil	105 12	70 51
Central America and Caribbean ^{a/}	21 71	18 31
Chile	21 01	7 33
Colombia	- 1 76	1 82
Ecuador	- 1 27	2 80
Mexico	43 90	43 08
Paraguay	- 0 01	- 0 22
Peru	- 2 48	44 70
Uruguay	15 57	4 38
Venezuela	<u>10 55</u>	<u>- 3 65</u>
Total Latin America	237 39	236 72

a. Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama.

Source: Development Department of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, May, 1963.

/c. Movements

c. Movements of Latin American capital and the repayment of the external debt

The net outflow of Latin American capital was of considerable importance in 1962 and probably larger than in 1961. Although there is only indirect and incomplete statistical evidence of such developments, they can be reconciled with the well known existence of non-economic explanatory factors such as internal political instability and the uncertainties of the world situation during the last year. On the other hand, basic economic conditions--especially the increase in exports in many Latin American countries and the continued strength of the industrial sector--apparently would have justified less unfavorable developments in this field.

For Latin America as a whole, the decline in the net inflow of foreign capital does not suffice to explain the fall in the total net balance of autonomous financial receipts which results from comparing the respective movements of net current transactions and total compensatory financing (see again Tables 56, 57, and 58).^{44/} Secondly, private short-term assets of Latin America in United States banks increased by \$112 million against \$97 million in 1961 (see Table 72; if Brazil is excluded from the regional total, the corresponding figures are \$165 and \$94 million, respectively). Thirdly, the negative balance of errors and omissions rose from \$414 million to \$462 million. Conversely, errors and omissions in the U.S. balance of payments with Latin America increased from \$97 million to \$150 million. Of course, these figures must not be related exclusively to the unregistered flight of capital. Nevertheless, according to a long-term study made by the United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America (ECLA)^{45/} the negative errors and omissions are more probably to be found at the level of outflows of private Latin American funds than at that of other external transactions. In particular, short-term assets in United States banks presumably do not account for the most part of such funds because they enjoy neither the advantage of secrecy nor that of yielding a convenient return. Other financial ventures in the United States and Western Europe may not be so readily accessible, but do offer one or both of these advantages.

^{44.} As pointed out above, direct data on current transactions and compensatory financing in 1962 are somewhat more complete and reliable than those on non-compensatory capital movements.

^{45.} See United Nations, External Financing in the Economic Development of Latin America, E/CN.12/64a, (mimeo) p. 16.

Table 72

LATIN AMERICA: SHORT-TERM ASSETS OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR REPORTED BY
BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES, 1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1960	1961	1962
Argentina	69	69	108
Bolivia	15	17	18
Brazil	129	132	89
Chile	47	51	83
Colombia	72	71	87
Dominican Republic	24	16	18
El Salvador	19	16	15
Guatemala	17	18	15
México	132	174	197
Panama	103	74	77
Peru	41	50	61
Uruguay	30	29	54
Venezuela	185	234	233
Other Latin American Countries	80	109	117
<u>Total Latin America</u>	<u>963</u>	<u>1 060</u>	<u>1 172</u>

Source: Federal Reserve Board, Federal Reserve Bulletin. Washington, April 1961, 1962 and 1963.

/During the

During the two last years, negative errors and omissions in the balance of payments of Mexico were exceptionally large and represented more than half the regional total (see again Table 54). While the increase in this negative balance points to an intensification of capital flight, the quite unusual importance of the errors and omissions in absolute terms suggests the possibility of an overvaluation of other transactions (especially of those which are estimated, such as, for example, the tourism receipts). In any event, the recorded outflow of short-term Mexican capital--both from individuals and private banks--reached \$49 million against \$26 million in 1961.^{46/}

Short-term assets deposited in United States banks by individuals residing in Argentina, Chile, Colombia and Uruguay increased noticeably in 1962, while they had changed very little in 1961 (see again Table 72). In the case of Argentina, moreover, the total outflow may have reached \$253 million in the past year.^{47/}

For Brazil, the national balance of payments data as well as the U.S. Treasury statistics on Brazilian short-term assets record a net flow of private capital into the country. The balance of errors and omissions, however, turned from +\$2 million in 1961 to -\$137 million in 1962, and the rate of exchange of the cruzeiro on the free market fell by approximately 50 percent between the two last years. Thus, it is presumed that an increasing amount of Brazilian capital was sent abroad and probably was invested mostly in Western European countries.

On the other hand, in the cases of the Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala and Panama, the amount of short-term private assets deposited in United States banks recorded but very small variations, while errors and omissions either did not change at all or declined somewhat. Likewise, the experience of Venezuela in 1962 was relatively favorable, as the net outflow of private capital other than long-term was \$69 million smaller than in the

^{46.} See Banco de México, Informe Anual, 1962.

^{47.} According to the review, Economic Survey, Buenos Aires, March 5, 1963, amortization payments plus the outflow of short-term capital would have totalled 532 millions in Argentina. Since amortization payments alone are estimated at 279 million dollars by the Development Department of OECD, the residual figure for the outflow of short-term private capital is: \$532 - \$279 = \$253 million. This figure may include some margin of errors and duplications and constitutes a preliminary estimate.

previous year. For this country also, the annual changes in short-term assets in United States banks reflect imperfectly the total net outflow of private domestic capital during the corresponding years.^{48/}

Apart from the flight of domestic capital, amortization payments on the external debt are another expenditure which currently absorbs a substantial portion of gross foreign exchange receipts in Latin America as a whole. These payments are taken into account in the net individual figures concerning the different categories of loans analyzed above. There exist, however, some global estimates on amortization and interest payments, which show how heavy was the total servicing of the external public debt in several Latin American countries during the last year (see Table 73). In this respect, Argentina, Brazil and Chile found themselves in the most unfavorable situations within the region. On the other hand, it is noteworthy that the financial charge resulting from the servicing of the debt was small not only in Mexico and Peru, but also in a country--Uruguay--which for some time has been facing a large deficit on current account.^{49/}

To avoid an excessive weakening of their capacity to import during the next years, Argentina, Brazil and Colombia were able to agree with creditor countries upon prolonging the amortization schedules of certain loans. Several refinancing operations have concerned medium-term debts toward Western Europe, the repayment of which contributed to reduce noticeably the net balance on capital account in 1962. At the end of the same year, the so-called Paris Club refinanced half the total debt of \$270 million which should have been paid by Argentina in 1963 and 1964. More recently, the Hague Club agreed, in turn, to stagger the maturity dates of Brazil's debt over a ten-year period and up to an amount of \$210 million.^{50/}

^{48.} The figures can be compared as follows:

	<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>
	<u>(in millions of dollars)</u>	
Variations in short-term assets in U.S. banks <u>a/</u>	+ 49	- 1
Total outflow of capital other than long-term <u>b/</u>	<u>235</u>	<u>166</u>
<u>Sources:</u> a. <u>Treasury Bulletin</u> ; b. Banco Central de Venezuela.		

^{49.} See an estimate of external public long-term debts by year and country in ECLA, op. cit., page 214.

^{50.} For more details on consolidation loans see National Foreign Trade Council, Noticias, March 27, 1962 for Colombia; November 16, 1962 for Argentina; and July 23, 1963 for Argentina.

Table 73

LATIN AMERICA: FOREIGN DEBT SERVICING^{a/} IN SELECTED
COUNTRIES IN 1962

	Total amount in millions of dollars	Percentage value in relation to total capacity to import
Argentina	322	30%
Brazil	399	31%
Chile	107	20%
Colombia	68	14%
Ecuador	16	11%
Mexico	254	17%
Paraguay	4	8%
Peru	48	9%
Uruguay	12	8%
Venezuela	126	10%

a. Includes interest and amortization payments.

Sources: For all countries, Brazil excepted, Development Department of OECD mainly on the basis of estimates made by the International Monetary Fund. For Brazil, Boletins da Superintendencia da Moeda e Crédito, 1963.

C. COMPENSATORY FINANCING OF THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

Compensatory financing in Latin America underwent noticeable changes in 1962, both in its total amount and its structure. As the deficit of recorded external transactions at the regional level increased considerably and the negative balance of unrecorded receipts and payments was somewhat larger than in 1961, compensatory accounts had to provide \$261 million more in international means of payment than they had done in the previous year (see Table 74). Approximately 55 percent of Latin America's external deficit was financed through a decline in gross assets of gold and foreign exchange. Another third was accounted for by an increase in liabilities to foreign lenders other than the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and Export-Import Bank of the United States (Eximbank). In contrast, credit granted by the two latter agencies represented in 1961 more than nine-tenths of total compensatory financing for the region as a whole.

The decline in the IMF's contribution to external liquidity in 1962 was not limited to Latin America. Total gross drawings by all member countries only amounted to \$584 million, against \$2 479 million in the preceding year. Even if the exceptionally large credit of \$1 500 million granted to the United Kingdom in 1961 is excluded, the fall in gross drawings between the two last years was no less than \$500 million. In the case of Latin America repayments exceeded drawings for the first time in many years. Thus, for this region alone the net foreign exchange receipts obtained through transactions with the Fund decreased by \$329 million in relation to 1961. Net payments to the IMF were made not only by countries that recorded a surplus in their external payments (i.e., Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador and Mexico) but also by those suffering from serious exchange difficulties, like Argentina, Brasil and Chile (see Table 75). Within the latter group of countries, however, Colombia, Guatemala and Uruguay received net credits for a substantial amount during 1962. An in the first quarter of 1963 Latin America's transactions with the Fund turned back to positive, as a result of important drawings made by Chile and, once again, by Colombia.

Apart from cutting its disbursements by almost half, the Eximbank did not authorize any new compensatory loans in favor of Latin America in 1962 (see Table 76). Compensatory disbursements by the Eximbank (which like the development loans are mostly tied with the financing of U.S. exports had been exceptionally important in 1961, and they had benefitted a larger number of countries than before. In 1962, along with the decline in the actual transfer of liquid funds, the number of recipient countries in Latin America went down to no more than three. As often in the past, Brazil was the main beneficiary of these loans. Both Mexico and Venezuela, the other recipient countries in 1962, resorted to compensatory credits from the Eximbank for the first time in 1961.

The great decline in Latin America's gold and exchange reserves in 1962 came after two years in which there had been small changes in this compensatory account. Even though the region's external transaction had recorded a large deficit in both 1960 and 1961, its gross assets of international means of payment actually had increased a little in the first year and had decreased by less than 80 million dollars in the latter (see again Table 74). It would

Table 74

LATIN AMERICA: INTERNATIONAL RESERVES AND COMPENSATORY BALANCE OF PAYMENTS ACCOUNTS, 1960 - 1962

(in millions of dollars)

	1959 ^{a/}	1960 ^{a/}	1961 ^{a/}	1962 ^{a/}
Official gold and foreign exchange assets	2 759	2 851	2 737	2 254
Gold	(1 590)	(1 359)	(1 390)	(1 185)
Foreign Exchange	(1 169)	(1 492)	(1 347)	(1 069)
Total tranche position with IMF	831	1 151	945	1 017
Commercial Banks foreign exchange	356	299	339	362
Total gross monetary reserves	3 946	4 301	4 017	3 616
Annual variation of gross reserves of official and bank gold and foreign exchange		35	-74	-460
Net annual increase (-) of indebtedness to IMF		-82	-260	69
Compensatory loans by the Eximbank		-32	-282	-146
Other compensatory accounts b/ c/		-731	21	-319
Total compensatory accounts c/		-810	-595	-856
Errors and omissions c/		456	417	463
Total, balance of payments c/		-354	-178	-393

a. To December 31st.

b. Includes changes in the callable foreign exchange liabilities of official institutions and banks, balance of payments credits--except those of the International Monetary Fund and the compensatory loans by the Exports and Import Bank of Washington--and variations in the debt by importers.

c. For greater clarity, the minus sign (-) has been given to compensatory credits that cover a balance of payments deficit. However, in accordance with accounting practices for this balance, these changes should have a plus sign. Likewise "errors and omissions" carry a sign opposite to that which appears in the balance of payments.

Sources: International Monetary Fund, Balance of Payments Yearbook and International Financial Statistics, July and August 1963.

Table 75

LATIN AMERICA: NET ANNUAL VARIATIONS IN THE DEBT WITH THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND, 1960 - March 1963
(in millions of dollars)

	1960			1961			1962			January-March, 1963		
	Drawings	Repayments	Net Drawings	Drawings	Repayments	Net Drawings	Drawings	Repayments	Net Drawings	Drawings	Repayments	Net Drawings
Argentina	70.0	21.5	48.5	60.0	29.0	31.0	50.0	59.0	- 9.0	---	9.0	- 9.0
Bolivia	1.0	2.5	- 1.5	2.0	4.0	- 2.0	3.5	2.3	1.2	1.5	1.0	0.5
Brazil	47.7	---	47.7	60.0	20.0	40.0	---	17.5	-17.5	---	---	---
Chile	---	12.4	-12.4	76.0	16.7	59.3	---	12.7	-12.7	17.5	---	17.5
Colombia	---	15.0	-15.0	65.0	---	65.0	7.5	---	7.5	27.5	---	27.5
Costa Rica	---	---	---	7.5	---	7.5	2.5	6.6	- 4.1	6.0	---	6.0
Dominican Republic	9.0	---	9.0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Ecuador	---	---	---	14.0	---	14.0	4.0	6.2	- 2.2	---	---	---
El Salvador	13.2	7.5	5.7	8.0	11.2	- 3.2	---	8.0	- 8.0	---	---	---
Guatemala	---	---	---	---	---	---	5.0	---	5.0	---	---	---
Haiti	---	1.3	- 1.3	1.5	2.8	- 1.3	3.2	1.3	1.9	0.5	1.0	- 0.5
Honduras	5.0	3.8	1.2	2.4	1.2	1.2	5.0	3.7	1.3	---	---	---
Mexico	---	---	---	45.0	---	45.0	---	45.0	-45.0	---	---	---
Nicaragua	---	---	---	6.0	1.5	4.5	---	---	---	4.5	4.5	4.5
Panama	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Paraguay	1.0	0.9	0.1	---	1.6	- 1.6	---	1.8	- 1.8	---	0.2	- 0.2
Peru	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Uruguay	---	---	---	---	---	---	15.0	---	15.0	---	---	---
Venezuela	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<u>Total Latin America</u>	<u>146.9</u>	<u>64.9</u>	<u>82.0</u>	<u>347.4</u>	<u>88.0</u>	<u>259.4</u>	<u>95.7</u>	<u>164.1</u>	<u>- 68.4</u>	<u>57.5</u>	<u>15.7</u>	<u>41.8</u>

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, May 1963.

Table 76

LATIN AMERICA: COMPENSATORY LOANS BY THE EXPORT AND IMPORT BANK OF WASHINGTON, 1960 - March 1963

(in millions of dollars)

	Authorized	<u>1960</u>	Repay- ments	Authorized	<u>1961</u>	Repay- ments	Authorized	<u>1962</u>	Repay- ments	<u>Jan-March 1963</u>		
		Disburse- ments			Disburse- ments			Disburse- ments		Authorized	Disburse- ments	Repay- ments
Argentina	—	—	9 6	—	—	9 7	—	—	4 8	—	—	—
Brazil	—	3 0	16 0	239 3	110 0	—	—	81 4	—	—	15 8	—
Chile	—	25 0	7 5	17 5	16 7	12 6	—	—	4 3	15 0	7 5	3 0
Colombia	—	—	23 0	19 9	44 9	18 0	—	—	15 6	—	—	—
El Salvador	—	—	—	6 0	6 0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mexico	—	—	—	90 0	75 0	—	—	15 0	—	—	—	—
Nicaragua	—	4 0	—	—	4 0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Peru	—	—	15 5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Venezuela	50 0	—	—	25 0	25 0	—	—	50 0	—	—	—	—
<u>Total</u>	<u>50 0</u>	<u>32 0</u>	<u>71 6</u>	<u>397 7</u>	<u>281 6</u>	<u>40 3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>146 4</u>	<u>24 7</u>	<u>15 0</u>	<u>23 3</u>	<u>3 0</u>

Source: Eximbank, Statements of Loans and Credits, December 31st, 1960, 1961, 1962 and March 31st, 1963.

/seem, therefore

seem, therefore, that gold and foreign exchange reserves were resorted to in large amounts, in 1962 when compensatory credits from foreign sources had already been utilized very extensively in previous periods. This regional pattern, however, distorts substantially developments in individual Latin American countries. In the first place, changes in the gross reserves of Argentina, Brazil and Colombia only, accounted for the loss of almost \$500 million suffered by Latin America's gross assets in 1962 (see Table 77). Secondly, in Brazil, Chile and Uruguay, the monetary authorities financed the balance of payments deficits mainly through an increase in liabilities to external creditors other than the Eximbank and IMF. Thirdly, in 1960 and 1961 several individual countries had experienced large changes in their monetary reserves; but these movements had offset each other to a large extent.

In 1962, Brazil had recourse to the reserves of gold and foreign exchange that the balance of payments surplus of the preceding year had made it possible to accumulate. Most of the remaining part of the Brazilian monetary assets, however, are not freely disposable, as they guarantee the repayment of current external debts. Consequently, the Brazilian authorities made additional drawings on loans previously granted by the Eximbank, and they engaged also in costly swap operations^{51/} (see Table 78). But certainly, the distinctive feature of the Brazilian experience in 1962 was the postponement of due payments on imports for almost \$130 million. Although this step is not without precedent (especially in the mid-fifties), it deserves to be pointed out as a symptom of the liquidity crisis affecting the international transactions of the country.

The fact that the balance of payments deficit in Uruguay and Chile was financed almost exclusively through an increase in liabilities did not mean that external illiquidity in these countries was even more acute than in Brazil. Actually, at the end of 1962 gold reserves in Uruguay still were twice as large as the external deficit of the same year; but formal regulations concerning the issue of money prevented them to fall below certain minimum levels. In Chile the amount of gold and foreign exchange assets during the past year did not differ substantially from the annual average of the last decade. Since these assets did not account for more than 15 percent of the current annual value of imports, however, external credits have apparently been preferred as a method of compensation. Chile obtained sufficient quantity of such credits from other sources than the IMF and the Eximbank, and partly through the channel of Chilean private banks.

In 1961, notwithstanding the large deficit of its balance of payments, Colombia had been able to keep its monetary reserves relatively steady and at a level accounting for approximately 30 percent of the current annual value of its purchases abroad. This situation changed substantially in December 1962 when Colombia's gold and foreign exchange assets fell below

^{51/} Through such operation central banks obtain foreign currency by buying it from private sources--at a high rate of interest and with an option of repurchase within a relatively short period.

Table 77

LATIN AMERICA: MOVEMENT OF COMPENSATORY ACCOUNTS OF THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS, 1960-1962

(in millions of dollars)

	Total compensatory accounts	1960 Gross gold reserves and foreign exchange	Total net balance of other compensatory accounts	Total compensatory accounts	1961 Gross gold reserves and foreign exchange	Total net balance of other compensatory accounts	Total compensatory accounts	1962 Gross gold reserves and foreign exchange	Total net balance of other compensatory accounts
Argentina	+144	+306	-162	-232	-201	- 31	-291	-306	15
Bolivia	- 2	- 5	3	2	—	2	- 4	- 4	—
Brazil	-411	- 10	-401	105	120	- 15	-388	-142	-246
Chile	- 62	- 12	- 30	-131	- 11	-120	- 69	- 2	- 67
Colombia	- 42	- 40	- 2	-165	- 10	-155	- 70	- 30	- 20
Costa Rica	- 11	1	- 12	- 11	4	- 15	4	6	- 2
Dominican Republic	7	- 10	17	- 14	- 20	6	12	15	- 3
Ecuador	- 2	- 1	- 1	- 15	1	- 16	12	5	7
El Salvador	- 22	- 10	- 12	- 7	- 9	2	8	- 1	9
Guatemala	6	10	- 4	- 6	2	- 8	- 17	- 5	- 12
Haiti	2	1	1	2	1	1	- 6	- 3	- 3
Honduras	- 1	- 1	—	- 3	- 1	- 2	—	—	—
Mexico	- 81	- 69	- 12	- 48	37	- 85	72	- 2	74
Nicaragua	- 4	—	- 4	- 5	4	- 9	5	4	1
Panama	- 6	- 5	- 1	- 7	- 4	- 3	- 1	5	- 6
Paraguay	- 3	- 2	- 1	3	1	2	- 1	- 2	1
Peru	14	20	- 6	35	41	- 6	13	17	- 4
Uruguay	- 27	21	- 48	- 54	- 13	- 41	- 90	—	- 90
Venezuela	-309	-159	-150	- 44	- 16	- 28	- 45	5	- 50
Total, Latin America	-810	35	-845	-595	- 74	-521	-856	-460	-396

Source: International Monetary Fund, Balance of Payments Yearbook and International Financial Statistics.

Table 78

LATIN AMERICA: MOVEMENT OF COMPENSATORY ACCOUNTS OF THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS IN 1962

(in million of dollars)

	Total compensatory accounts	Gross gold reserves and foreign exchange	Net IMF	Gross Exp. and Imp. Bank of Washington	US Treasury Department	Swap Oper- ations	Deferred Import Payments	Other Lia- bilities
Argentina	-291	-306	9	--	- 17	--	--	23
Bolivia	- 4	- 4	- 1	--	--	--	--	1
Brazil	-388	-142	18	- 81	- 3	- 46	-128	- 6
Chile	- 69	- 2	13	--	--	--	--	- 80
Colombia	- 70	- 50	- 8	--	--	--	--	- 12
Costa Rica	4	6	4	--	--	--	--	- 6
Dominican Republic	12	15	--	--	--	--	--	- 3
Ecuador	12	5	2	--	--	--	--	5
El Salvador	8	- 1	8	--	--	--	--	1
Guatemala	- 17	- 5	- 5	--	--	--	--	- 7
Haiti	- 6	- 3	- 2	--	--	--	--	- 1
Honduras	--	--	- 1	--	--	--	--	1
Mexico	72	- 2	45	- 15	--	--	--	44
Nicaragua	5	4	--	--	--	--	--	1
Panama	- 1	5	--	--	--	--	--	- 6
Paraguay	- 1	- 2	2	--	--	--	--	- 1
Peru	13	17	--	--	--	--	--	- 4
Uruguay	- 90	--	- 15	--	--	--	--	- 75
Venezuela	- 45	5	--	- 50	--	--	--	--
Total, Latin America	-856	-460	69	-146	- 20	- 46	-128	-125

Sources: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, August 1963 and Balance of Payments of Brazil, 1962. Export and Import Bank of Washington, Statements of Loans and Credits, December 1961 and December 1962. Economic Survey, Buenos Aires, 3-13-63. Banco Continental, Newsletter, 1-21-63 and 2-21-63, Lima. Banco Central de Venezuela, Memoria Anual, 1962.

million of dollars

\$100 million for the first time in many years. Although reserves regained somewhat during the first months of 1963, at the end of May they represented only 20 percent of annual imports. In Argentina the corresponding proportion between assets and purchases abroad fell even more rapidly, reaching down to 12 percent at the end of 1962. The country's reserves had increased considerably in 1960. But in 1961 and above all in 1962, the loss in gross assets more than offset the gains of previous years, since Argentina relied very little on other compensatory resources to finance its huge balance of payments deficit.

In contrast, some other Latin American countries strengthened their position on compensatory account. In absolute terms the largest increase in gross assets took place in the Dominican Republic in 1962 and in Perú for the whole period 1960-1962. But in relation to the value of imports, improvement in the reserves position was much less conspicuous. While Perú, for example, enjoyed three consecutive balance of payments surpluses in 1960, 1961 and 1962, between the beginning and the end of this period the ratio of its reserves to its purchases abroad increased from 18 to 20 percent only, since the latter also expanded very rapidly.

CHAPTER III

TOTAL PRODUCTION, CAPITAL FORMATION AND MONETARY DEVELOPMENT

A. VARIATIONS IN TOTAL PRODUCT AND CAPITAL FORMATION

Latin America's gross domestic product increased in 1962 by something less than 3 percent. ^{1/} This figure is comparatively unfavorable, particularly with reference to the estimated figure for the preceding year (5.4 percent), and as against the rate of growth of the area's population. The latter rate has shown an increase during recent years, and it is estimated that during the period to which we are referring, that is the early part of this decade, it was approximately 2.9 percent. The per capita product did not increase in 1963 and it is even possible that it may have decreased slightly. If so, this will be the second year in which this happened since the beginning of the 1950's.

Another year to which reference is made is 1952, a year in which agricultural production, which then comprised a larger share of the total product than it does now, fell sharply as a result of the intense drought that struck the countries of northern and southern Latin America. The changes which have been described for 1962 have been caused, in part, by factors that are equally transitory in nature and they have occurred in more defined areas than during 1952.

In fact, the minus in the per capita product for 1962 is due primarily to the 3.9 percent drop in the product of Argentina, a country of considerable weight in the average for the region. In the majority of the other countries the rate of increase of the product tended rather to improve with respect to the preceding year, although the product-population relationship also proved negative in some other countries. (See Table 79.) The 1962 figures reveal an improvement over those for 1961 in twelve countries of that area, although in some instances the increase was rather slight. In terms of its contribution to the area's product, Venezuela was the country that stood foremost. There both agricultural and industrial production increased as much as oil production, and although the services component lagged somewhat behind, product increased by 6 percent, while during the preceding year it had barely increased by 1.5 percent. In El Salvador and Guatemala a more favorable year for agriculture was joined by the industrial expansion stimulated by the economic integration of Central America in preventing a repetition of the negative rate obtained by their product in 1961. In 1962 there was a 5.5 percent increase of product in El Salvador and a 2.0 percent increase in Guatemala. In Mexico there was also a recovery in agriculture as well as industry, and according to partial information a similar situation appears to have occurred in Haiti.

1. Data on Cuba are not available. Calculations are based upon the relative weights of the 19 other countries in 1961.

CHANGES OVER THE PRECEDING YEAR IN THE TOTAL, AGRICULTURAL AND
MANUFACTURING GROSS PRODUCT AND IN FIXED INVESTMENTS IN
1961 AND 1962

(Percentages)

Country	Increase of Population	Domestic Product		Agricultural Product a/		Industrial Product		Fixed Investments	
		1961	1962 ^{b/}	1961	1962	1961	1962	1961	1962
Argentina	1.8	5.7	- 3.9	3	1	14	- 5	6	0
Bolivia	2.2	4.8	5.0	8	...	5
Brazil	3.2	7.7	4.5	8	1	11	7	11	5
Chile	2.4	4.4	5.2	- 5	0	5	4	28	14
Colombia	2.3	4.7	5.8	0	5	7	6	7	3
Costa Rica	3.9	2.7	3.0	2	...
Dominican Republic	3.5	9.7	- 2	...
Ecuador	3.2	3.4	5.0	6	7	2	2	2	...
El Salvador	3.4	- 3.9	5.5	- 4	9	4	12	- 1	4
Guatemala	3.2	- 0.5	2.0	- 2	5	0	2	- 8	...
Haiti	2.9	- 1.6	6.8
Honduras	3.0	6.5	3.3	4	1	3	4	- 1	6
Mexico	3.1	3.5	4.2	3	5	4 ^{b/}	5 ^{b/}	3	5
Nicaragua	3.4	6.0	6.7	8	...	12	...	20	...
Panama	2.8	7.5	8.1	9	4	4	20	23	...
Paraguay	2.5	2.4	...	- 2	...	3
Peru	2.9	8.3	5.3	10	7	9	5	29	13
Uruguay	1.2	2.7	...	12	...	- 2	...	3	...
Venezuela	3.2	1.5	5.7	3	14	4	7	-19	3
Latin America	2.9	5.4	2.6-3.0	4.5	3.3	8.7	3.7	7.7	4.5

a. Fisheries included.

b. Oil refining not included.

Sources and Notes: Official sources have been used in the case of Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Guatemala*, Honduras, Mexico*, Panama, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela*. The asterisk indicates that the data obtained for 1962 was almost complete. In the case of the other countries greater statistical extrapolation has been necessary.

Bolivia, AID Mission; Brazil, Conjuntura Económica y Desenvolvimento e Conjuntura, both February 1963 as to product, the estimated changes in investments are based upon changes in imports and production of equipment and construction; Colombia and El Salvador, changes in product correspond to an extrapolation of the series worked out by ECLA up to 1960, the changes in investments to the changes in construction and imports of capital goods; Haiti, ECLA-IDB-OAS Mission; Nicaragua, extrapolation of the product series by the Banco Central de Nicaragua, with the data as to production having been supplied by the same Central Bank; Paraguay and the Dominican Republic, unofficial estimates.

In the case of the averages for Latin America the following procedures have been used: Total Product - The national indices have been weighted according to product values for 1961 at prices of that same year. Those values were obtained from Table 121 of United Nations document E/CN-12/659/Add.1. The calculation of the regional average was made on the basis of yardsticks approximating the variations of the product in those countries for which national data are not given; that average is for 19 countries (Cuba excluded) in both years.

Agricultural and Industrial Product: The available national series (some countries only publish information on National Expenditure and others do not give any breakdown by sectors) were weighted by using values obtained by applying the relation between current values of product and of those sectors to the values described in the preceding paragraph.

Sector averages for Latin America are for countries for which data covering both years are given. It is assumed that including the countries on which information is unavailable would not alter the trend of those averages.

Investments: The method used is the same as that applied for sector averages.

Increase of Population: Calculated on the basis of information given in the United Nations, Monthly Bulletin of Statistics, July 1963.

Offsetting the changes which took place in the four countries just mentioned, the economic growth rate of Brazil, Honduras and Peru fell sharply. The drop in Brazil was of a general nature, as it occurred not only in the field of agriculture, in which the increase was merely 1.3 percent, but also in manufacturing. The high rates attained by Brazil in earlier years (13 percent between 1957 and 1960) fell to 11 percent in 1961 and 7 percent in 1962. The total product, which had achieved an increase of 7.7 percent in 1961 showed an increase of only 4 percent approximately in 1962. Following the same chronological order, the rate for Honduras fell from 6.5 percent, to 3.3 percent, and that for Peru from 8.3 percent to 5.3 percent. In the former of these two countries there was an upward trend in the rate for manufacturing with the decline in total production stemming from those sectors directly affected by decreased investments in and production of bananas. In Peru's case the lesser rate of increase was general and stemmed from agricultural and fisheries production as well as manufacturing and mining.

In the remaining countries for which information is available, the 1962 rate was greater than that of the preceding year by a slight margin. The growth rate of the manufacturing sector increased in only one of them, Panama. In all the other countries it again diminished. It should be noted in this connection that in several of these countries climatic conditions or the favorable opportunity of the coffee cycle brought about a certain increase in the rate for agricultural production and in part offset the opposite trend which predominated in the remaining economic sectors.

In conclusion, the rate of growth of Latin America's economy, although more positive, is far from being satisfactory even if Argentina is excluded. Not counting the latter, the product for the 18 remaining countries may be calculated as having increased 5.0 percent in 1961 and between 3.9 and 4.5 percent in 1962. On the other hand, Argentina's population increases at an annual rate that is regarded as among the lowest in the area, which means, therefore, that in per capita terms the product of the other countries increased by 1.8 percent in 1961 instead of 2.4 percent, and between 0.8 and 1.4 percent (instead of -0.3 to 0.1 percent) in 1962. This means a regional deterioration even without Argentina, despite the fact that conditions improved in most other countries. This phenomenon is accounted for by the great weight exerted by the figures for Brazil in the regional total. At any rate, the general outlook fails to show any changes indicating that in 1962 the tendencies of the past five years were substantially altered. Although the institutional changes initiated during that year may have an important bearing upon the future evolution of the great aggregates of Latin America's economy, it would be premature to expect such results by 1962 or 1963. 2/

The changes in the gross formation of fixed capital were equally variable. On the average, those countries for which information is available show in 1962 an increase of approximately 4 percent, a percentage which is influenced by the Argentine series even more than that of domestic product. In this instance not only are the figures affected by the greater weight of that country owing

2. A summary of these undertakings will be found in Chapter V, Part I, of this study.

to its high coefficient of investment, according to the national accounts, but also by the smaller number of countries for which information was available for that year. It is therefore quite likely that the more complete regional average may be greater and vary by about 5 percent, approximately.

The data needed for a detailed analysis of what happened to fixed investments are not available. However, it should be pointed out that the small increase attained in 1962 was influenced to a considerable degree by the comparatively slight variation in fixed investments of the private sector and the difficulty encountered in most countries by the government sector in continuing the large increases undertaken in the immediately preceding years (See Table 80).

Private fixed investments show an increase in almost all those countries for which it has been possible either to obtain official data or to estimate the same, although in rather rough fashion. These increases, however, are generally considerably below the ones shown in relative terms by fixed investment of the public sector. In those countries where the relative contribution to fixed investment by the public sector was high--Chile and Mexico--the average rate of increase of total fixed investment almost quadrupled that of private fixed investment. In those countries in which the public share is small --Honduras and Peru--although public fixed investment increased at even higher rates than in the two countries just mentioned, it succeeded in offsetting only moderately the lower rate of private fixed investment.

The experience of these four countries does not necessarily reflect the situation experienced by the remainder. In fact, most other countries failed to have an equally favorably flow of official capital, nor did the prices or the volume of their exports increase.

Although the incomplete data available shows that the government sector was able to increase its investment and thus add to the total formation of capital, this was not so in those cases in which public investments were relatively small or export prices militated against government resources. In some countries there intervened also the fact, which has already been mentioned, that in 1961 there was an upsurge in government investments which could not readily be repeated in 1962.

The changes in private fixed investment in 1962 occurred in response, in addition to the known influence of the capacity to import, to internal factors which vary in importance according to each country. One of the most decisive was the net inflow of direct investments from abroad, which have contributed in recent years to the expansion and diversification of the productive capacity of the manufacturing sector of Latin America. This sector, together with construction, absorbs most of total investment in the Latin American countries.

To a certain extent, the tendency revealed in the Latin American countries in the net inflow of direct investments is reflected in private fixed investment (Again, see Table 80). While it is not claimed that the data are fully comparable or that the number of countries compared is adequate, the contrast

Table 80

LATIN AMERICA: FIXED CAPITAL FORMATION BY SECTORS
AND THE INFLOW OF DIRECT PRIVATE INVESTMENT
1961 AND 1962

	1961				1962			
	Fixed capital			Net inflow of direct investment from the United States (in millions of US\$)	Fixed capital			Net inflow of direct investment from the United States (in millions of US\$)
	Total	Private	Public		Total	Private	Public	
	(Percentage change over 1960)				(Percentage change over 1961)			
Argentina	6	6	6	34	-	-1	1	-10
Bolivia
Brazil	11	-76	5	7
Chile	28	-2	14	5	23	13
Colombia	7	...	-14	-22	3	28
Costa Rica	2
Dominican Republic	-2	-	-
Ecuador	-2	...	8
El Salvador	-1	-20	8	...	4
Guatemala	-8	-2	3
Haiti
Honduras	-1	-1	3	6	6	3	29	8
Mexico	3	-5	16	-11	5	2	7	-15
Nicaragua	20
Panama	23	12	102	-18	-43
Paraguay
Peru	29	22	73	7	13	11	20	-
Uruguay	3	1	12	-	2
Venezuela	-19	150	3	-194
Latin America	7.7			78	4-5			-205

Sources: Tables 69 and 79, Chapter II and III of this Survey.

/between both

between both series suggests that there does exist a tendency whereby contraction of the net inflow of United States investment is accompanied by similar contraction of the rate of increase in the total of private fixed investment. Mexico is the main exception in this case. It had an increase in the inflow of direct investments from different sources which compensated in part for the situation with regard to United States investment.

Chile and Venezuela are among the countries for which complete data are unavailable and in which there apparently has been a tendency similar to that described in the preceding paragraph. The difficulty of determining accurately the changes in private fixed investments as well as the size of the direct investments from other sources precludes their being included with greater detail in the present analysis, despite their importance.

A factor that has gained in importance with regard to private fixed investments is the slower rate of industrial development prevalent throughout Latin America. This slack is due to long-range factors that became more acute in 1962.^{3/} Latin America's industrialization calls for continued diversification, for otherwise there can be no coupling of the rapid growth in derived demand with the slow rate of increase of final demand for manufactured consumer goods.

The inflow of direct foreign investment during the 1950's played a dynamic role in that diversification, contributing not only to the financing of imports of capital goods, but also, to the importation of the corresponding techniques and systems. It is encouraging, therefore, that despite the decreased totals of direct investments from abroad those devoted to manufacturing do not seem to have diminished.^{4/} This fact notwithstanding, the plant capacity installed in certain countries exceeded the demand for the manufactured products. At least in two countries the contraction of fixed investment in machinery and equipment was attributed to this reason.^{5/} In two others this investment was at a considerably lower rate owing to the necessity of revising in part the implementation of projects for the expansion of manufacturing capacity, in order to adapt them to changing demand.^{6/}

3. See OAS/ECLA, Economic & Social Study of Latin America 1961, Washington, 1962, and Part I, Chapter IV, of the present study.

4. See Part I, Chapter II, of the present study. There is a possibility, however, that the decline in industrial investments in Brazil by other countries than the United States, Japan and Western Europe may have brought down the total for the area.

5. See the Reports submitted by the Governments of Honduras and Venezuela to the Special Committee of IA-ECOSOC (Planning and Drafting of Projects) at its July 16 to July 24, 1963 meeting.

6. See Part I, Chapter IV, of the present study.

B. MONETARY DEVELOPMENTS

The monetary setting in Latin America has remained essentially the same for several years now. In 1962 and during the first half of 1963, many countries, in their strivings for more rapid economic and social progress, continued to be faced with chronic fiscal disequilibria and recurrent balance of payments difficulties. In keeping with the established pattern, these pressures generally evoked what has now become a fairly uniform policy response in the area: a periodic tightening of the restrictions placed on the use of credit by the private sector of the economy. This policy, applied in an environment of rising costs and prices, has led in more than one instance to the paradox of industry and trade suffering from a serious shortage of funds while money supply was rising at a rapid pace and government deficit spending continued on a large scale.

Inflationary pressures, on the whole, went unabated, and in fact intensified in a number of countries in relation to the situation that had prevailed in 1961. Efforts were made throughout the area to improve existing financial mechanisms, establish new institutions, and perfect techniques that would permit a more efficient channelling of domestic resources into economically productive and socially useful investment. In general, however, the unstable monetary climate and the stop-gap nature of the monetary and fiscal measures adopted from day to day to relieve economic and social tensions still left relatively little scope for a positive use of financial instruments in furthering long-term development objectives.

1. Money supply trends

The supply of money increased substantially in a large number of countries during the period under review (see Table 81). As in 1961, the fastest rate of monetary expansion was recorded in Brazil, where inflationary pressures remained essentially unchecked, at least until recently. But considerable additions to the stock of money were also made in Chile and Colombia, despite the continuing stabilization efforts carried out by the authorities in these two countries. In the other South American countries--Argentina, Bolivia, Paraguay, Peru and Uruguay--where more or less formal stabilization programs have been in progress, the growth of the money supply slowed down markedly in 1962 in relation to what it had been in 1961 and earlier. The Central American states, on the other hand, finally emerged from a prolonged period of deflation and generally resumed a course of moderate to rapid monetary expansion in 1962 or the early part of 1963.

Table 81

LATIN AMERICA: PERCENTAGE CHANGES IN MONEY SUPPLY, 1957-63

	Twelve months ended						1963	
	1957-61	1961		1962				
	annual average a/	Dec.	March	June	Sept.	Dec.	March	June
Argentina	28	11	...	7	4	3	7	11 b/
Bolivia	20	18	18	17	12	12	11	13
Brazil	37	50	45	53	59	63	58	57 b/
Chile	29	13	29	20	25	29	23	46
Colombia	16	24	22	19	14	21	12	...
Costa Rica	4	-3	4	15	24	14	17	21 b/
Dominican Republic	5	1	1	6	-5	11	3	...
Ecuador	5	3	-1	3	11	12	14	15
El Salvador	-3	-4	5	4	6	--	7	6
Guatemala	-1	1	2	-1	-2	4	13	...
Haiti	-3	13	7	8	-3	4	4	2 b/
Honduras	-1	1	4	8	10	14	12	10
Mexico	9	7	6	8	10	13
Nicaragua	--	3	15	24	24	29	27	19
Panama c/	5	5	8	4	6	11	15	...
Paraguay	12	27	22	16	5	-2	--	1 b/
Peru	13	19	11	5	4	4	8	...
Uruguay	27	22	8	7	4	1
Venezuela	6	3	-1	1	-2	-2	-1	4

a. December-to-December changes.

b. May

c. Demand deposits at private and government banks. No money supply figure is available for Panama where the bulk of the circulation is in the form of US dollar notes and coin.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.

/2. Factors

2. Factors of monetary expansion

The contribution of the different sectors of the economy to the monetary expansion varied from country to country (see Table 82). Foreign operations generated important amounts of liquidity in Ecuador and in some of the Central American countries, where the balance of international payments had become favorable in 1962 after several consecutive years of deficit. The contribution of the foreign sector was also positive in Mexico, Peru and the Dominican Republic, but in these three countries its impact was overshadowed to a very large extent by the volume of liquidity created through domestic credit operations. On the other hand, in most South American countries, as well as in Haiti and Guatemala, the deficits incurred on external account generally led to a considerable absorption of domestic liquidity in 1962. More often than not, however, there were also large fiscal deficits to be financed at the banks in these countries, and bank lending to the private sector was simultaneously making some contribution of its own to the monetary expansion. The net impact on domestic liquidity was therefore always expansionary in some degree, even in the countries faced with the most severe drain of funds on balance of payments account.

It is noteworthy that in the countries with favorable balance of payments positions, the growth rate in the stock of money and quasi-money did not fall below 8 percent or exceed 16 to 17 percent in 1962, except in Nicaragua, where the expansionary impact of the external surplus was reinforced by substantial increases in bank lending both to the government and the private sectors. In contrast, in the deficit countries, where domestic credit expansion had to overcome the deflationary impact of a net outflow of funds on foreign account, the increase in money and quasi-money tended to far greater extremes. It ranged, in fact, all the way from 2 to 60 percent during the year, depending on the relative intensity of the pressures brought to bear by each of the different sectors of the economy.

The most striking examples of almost complete divorce between the external payments position and the evolution of domestic liquidity were offered by some of the larger South American countries--Brazil, Chile and Colombia: all three have experienced serious balance of payments difficulties in most recent years, but have simultaneously resorted regularly and extensively to government deficit financing. As bank credit to the private sector also expanded considerably--chiefly to permit the maintenance of current economic activity at rising levels of costs and prices--the domestic monetary expansion, feeding upon itself, proceeded at very rapid rates in 1962 and in the first half of 1963. This was particularly the case in Brazil, where the cumulative inflationary process, virtually unhampered, had already reached considerable intensity in 1961.

A different situation prevailed in the other two major countries affected by large balance of payments deficits in 1962, namely Argentina and Uruguay. In these two countries, domestic monetary expansion was not only slowed in the course of the year, but was in fact brought to a near standstill although some

Table 82

LATIN AMERICA: MAJOR FACTORS OF MONETARY EXPANSION IN 1962

	Banking system's foreign assets ^{a/}	Banking system's net claims on government ^{b/}	Banking system's claims on private sector ^{c/}	Stock of money and quasi-money
(Changes during the year expressed in percent of total stock of money and quasi-money on Dec. 31, 1961)				
Countries with balance of payments in equilibrium or surplus:				
Costa Rica	9.9	2.2 ^{d/}	1.7 ^{d/}	13.0
Dominican Republic	1.9	- 5.3	15.2	12.4
Ecuador	9.2	0.4	2.1	13.0
El Salvador	5.3	4.0	- 3.6	7.7
Honduras	x	8.3	7.1	17.3
Mexico	0.8	- 1.7	13.6	11.9
Nicaragua	11.5	10.9	10.5	26.2
Panama	2.9	...	14.7	15.2 ^{e/}
Peru	2.5	- 3.6	15.1	15.8
Venezuela	---	-7.6	4.1	1.6
Countries with balance of payments deficits				
Argentina	- 9.4	8.6	6.8	5.7
Bolivia	- 8.5	17.2	5.1	15.1
Brazil	---	26.9	37.2	59.9
Chile	---	11.1	22.1	35.8
Colombia	- 1.6	12.5	12.3	23.6
Guatemala	- 7.2	6.7	7.9	8.1
Haiti	-13.7	15.2	1.0	3.0
Paraguay	---	-15.6	22.7	5.3
Uruguay	---	...	15.7	5.9

x Less than .05 percent.

- Net of liabilities in the case of Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Haiti, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Uruguay and Venezuela.
- Net of changes in government deposits at the banks, except for Brazil and Uruguay where gross figures were used. The monetary impact of expenditures out of official counterpart fund balances was also included here for Bolivia and Haiti.
- Net of changes in prior deposits for exchange in Brazil and Paraguay.
- For Costa Rica, "claims on government" include only those held by the Central Bank, "claims on the private sector" represent all commercial bank credit.
- Demand deposits and quasi-money only.
- Changes between September 1961 and September 1962, expressed in percent of stock of money and quasi-money at the end of September 1961.

Note: This table does not present an exhaustive breakdown of the factors responsible for monetary expansion. For this reason the three components above do not usually add up to the total change in the stock of money and quasi-money shown in the right-hand column. The difference represents the net impact of miscellaneous items which vary from country to country, as well as the impact of changes in the banks' capital accounts.

It should be noted also that in a number of the Latin American countries, the nature of the exchange system and of certain transactions between the Central Bank and the Treasury is such that the monetary effects of foreign transactions are only partly reflected in the data on foreign asset holdings of the banking system, the remainder being felt only through changes in the government accounts. In this table, the foreign and government accounts have been combined in cases where this raises the most serious difficulties.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.

/preliminary signs

preliminary signs of renewed expansion began to appear in early 1963. The pressure of the fiscal deficit on the banking system was greatly intensified in each case, but in Argentina an offsetting factor was provided by the drastic curbs imposed on bank credit to the private sector. In Uruguay, on the other hand, the balance of payment deficit, on both current and capital account, took on such proportions during the year that it absorbed nearly all the liquidity created within the domestic economy by private and government borrowing at the banks.

Monetary trends in most of the remaining countries represented minor variations on the basic theme of domestic credit expansion offsetting to a smaller or greater extent the absorption of funds on foreign sector account. In Bolivia, bank credit to the private sector rose substantially while important amounts of liquidity were also generated by expenditures made out of the official balances built up in recent years as domestic currency counterpart to U.S. grant aid. In Guatemala the monetary impact of the capital outflow and a decline in foreign grants were offset by a compensatory increase in government bank borrowing, and accompanied by a moderate increase in credit to the private sector. Haiti, on the other hand, experienced virtually no net monetary expansion, as the impact of the fiscal deficit, financed at the banks and by drawing down counterpart fund balances, did little more than cancel out the deflationary pressures generated in the foreign sector.

It is clear from this brief review that inflationary deficit financing by the government sector was frequently the major factor of monetary expansion in Latin America in 1962. Although the relative importance of public versus private sector borrowing at the banks varies greatly from country to country, outstanding claims on government have shown a tendency to rise significantly faster than credit to the private sector in a large number of countries. This was particularly the case in Argentina, Chile, Colombia and the Central American countries, where the ratio of government to private sector bank lending jumped sharply in 1962 (see Table 83).

There were, however, several notable exceptions to this pattern. In Mexico, Peru and the Dominican Republic, as mentioned earlier, a fair rate of monetary expansion was achieved on the basis of a brisk increase in credit to the private sector. The operations of the government sector, on the other hand, appear to have led to a net absorption of liquidity in all three countries (see again Table 82). Similarly, in Paraguay and Venezuela, after several difficult years, the Treasuries accounts were brought into balance in 1962. This apparently permitted a badly needed acceleration of bank lending to the private sector to proceed in Paraguay without refueling the inflation. The growth of credit to the private sector was much slower in Venezuela, where bank reserve positions were still in the process of recovering from the huge deficit drains experienced during the 1958-59 flight of capital from the country, but its expansion, at any rate, was not hampered by competitive demands for funds from the government sector. An easing of the fiscal pressure also seems to have conferred relatively greater importance to private sector lending in Bolivia and Guatemala in the first months of 1963.

Table 83

LATIN AMERICA: RATIO OF PUBLIC TO PRIVATE SECTOR CREDIT EXTEND
BY THE BANKING SYSTEM ^{a/}
1961-63

	December 1961	December 1962	June 1963
Argentina	.50	.56	.62 ^{d/}
Bolivia	4.25	4.09	3.34
Brazil	.71	.66	.68 ^{e/}
Chile	.48	.73	.67
Colombia	.23	.32	.32 ^{d/}
Costa Rica	^{b/}	^{b/}	^{b/}
Dominican Republic	.92	.68	^{c/}
Ecuador	.12	.12	.14
El Salvador	.11	.15	.17
Guatemala	.10	.18	.09
Haiti	1.60	1.96	1.89 ^{d/}
Honduras	.31	.38	.43
Mexico	.22	.17	...
Nicaragua	.18	.25	.22 ^{d/}
Panama	.02	.02 ^{f/}	...
Paraguay	.48	.26	.23 ^{d/}
Peru	.29	.23 ^{f/}	...
Uruguay	.07	.11	...
Venezuela	.14	.04	.06

- a. Credit to the government is net of government deposits at the banks, except for Brazil and Uruguay for which gross figures were used. Counterpart fund balances were also netted out in the case of Bolivia and Haiti.
- b. Complete breakdown between credit to government and private sector not available.
- c. Not comparable to 1962.
- d. May.
- e. March.
- f. September.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.

/In Brazil,

In Brazil, on the other hand, the banks continued to be under intensive pressure to lend to both the government and the private sector, but the very momentum of the accelerating inflation was bringing private borrowers back in the foreground as the major cause of domestic credit expansion, after several years during which the rapidly growing deficit of the government had taken the lead in this respect.

3. Balance of payments and price pressures

Balance of payments trends continue to loom as a major factor in the determination of Latin American monetary conditions. In fact, the external deficits, because they are so large and recur so frequently, have in many countries assumed an importance that goes far beyond the direct impact they may be allowed to have on the supply of domestic liquidity in any particular year. Over a period of time, the need to correct them, or at least cut them down to a more manageable size, has often been the most important single influence involved in the formulation of over-all monetary policies. The fact that, notwithstanding occasional surpluses, virtually all Latin American countries have had a very weak balance of payments position in recent years explains the large number of formal monetary stabilization programs that were either in progress or about to be adopted in South and Central America during the period under review. Argentina, Bolivia and Paraguay, for instance, are still pursuing stabilization policies initiated in 1959, 1956 and 1957, respectively. Chile and Colombia for their part, have applied stabilization programs --with varying degrees of rigor--since the late 1950's, and planned to intensify their efforts in 1963. Similarly Uruguay, which did not implement very effectively the program adopted at the end of the last decade, was again taking up its monetary stabilization attempt in 1963. In 1961, stabilization programs had also been undertaken by Ecuador, Costa Rica and El Salvador, in an effort to redress unfavorable balance of payments positions and check exchange speculation.

The extent to which the Latin American stabilization policies were able to correct external imbalances in recent years was determined in large part by the coherence of the fiscal and monetary measures employed to cut down total effective demand and dampen inflationary pressures in the domestic economy. The record in this respect was analyzed at some length in the preceding issue of this Survey.^{7/} It was pointed out then that generally, with a strong emphasis on credit restriction to the private sector, and at a heavy cost in terms of investment, output and employment levels, substantial results were often quickly obtained in the form of an improved balance of payments position and a new found confidence in the national currency.

Devaluation of the exchange rate to a more realistic level and direct restrictions on imports had also contributed greatly to the initial successes

7. See Economic and Social Survey of Latin America, 1961, Pan American Union, Washington, D.C., Volume I, pp. 216-17 and 225-28.

of most stabilization programs in curbing external deficits. Subsequently, however, as domestic price levels had continued to rise, the new exchange rates for the most part were not allowed to depreciate and had again become substantially overvalued in a number of countries by 1960 or 1961 (see Table 84). This undoubtedly contributed to the renewal of import pressures and speculative capital outflows observed in that period in countries whose stabilization policies had initially been rewarded with success. Although the increased import volumes served for a time to ease the renewed inflationary pressures experienced in the domestic economy, large amounts of medium- and short-term foreign debt were accumulated to finance the high import levels, and the exchange rate was supported only at huge costs to official reserves.

In 1962, restrictive measures were multiplied to hold down imports and economize foreign exchange in a number of countries, especially Argentina, Chile and Colombia. Similar pressures were felt in Brazil, where, although no comprehensive monetary stabilization had been undertaken, exchange policy had preserved a certain amount of flexibility through the exchange reforms of early 1961, but had supported increasingly unrealistic rates in the subsequent period of accelerated domestic inflation.

Eventually, in each one of these countries in the course of 1962, and in Uruguay in 1963, speculation reached crisis proportions, and a new wave of exchange rate adjustments, comparable in importance to that of the 1958-59 period, swept away existing parities and, in some cases, led to major changes in the structure of the exchange markets. The Argentine peso, which had been maintained at the same level for three years after the December 1958 devaluation, was allowed to fluctuate and depreciated by more than 60 percent between April and June 1962 (in terms of number of pesos per dollar). In Brazil, the controlled free market rate was allowed to depreciate several times in the course of 1962 and once again in April 1963, so that by May 1963 the dollar was quoted in that market at nearly twice the cruzeiro value it had had in December 1961. Chile re-established a dual exchange market in January 1962, and stopped supporting the official rate in October. Both the free and official rates for the dollar rose sharply in late 1962 and early 1963. In Colombia the official import rate, which had been supported at 6.70 pesos per dollar since 1960 for fear of the impact its depreciation might have on the cost of living, was finally raised to 9.00 in November 1962. In Uruguay, the official exchange rate was not devalued until May 1963. A return to a dual rate system was then anticipated for the near future.

Domestic price and wage pressures, for their part, were largely unabated. In many countries, the rise in the cost of living continued at about the same pace as in 1961 (see Table 85), and the resulting claims for commensurate wage increases sent the inflationary spiral on yet another upward round. The exchange rate adjustments moreover, were not without impact on the price level of the countries concerned. They were probably a major factor in the acceleration of the cost of living increases observed in Argentina and Brazil throughout 1962. In Chile and Colombia, the sharp increases in the index around the turn of the year can be attributed to the devaluations of October and November 1962. Price freezes had to be imposed in some cases to check speculation in

Table 84

LATIN AMERICA: INDICES OF EXCHANGE RATE AND PRICE TRENDS
AFTER MAJOR 1958-59 EXCHANGE RATE ADJUSTMENTS

(December 1959 = 100)

	D e c e m b e r				1963
	1959	1960	1961	1962	
Argentina					
cost of living	100	112	168	175	188
exch. rate	100	99	100	161	167
Bolivia					
cost of living	100	111	119	123	118 <u>d/</u>
exch. rate	100	100	100	100	100 <u>d/</u>
Brazil					
cost of living	100	132	190	305	381 <u>e/</u>
exch. rate <u>a/</u>	100	101	156	233	304 <u>e/</u>
Chile					
cost of living	100	106	116	147	179
exch. rate	100	100	100	156	175
				230 <u>a/</u>	287 <u>a/</u>
Colombia					
cost of living	100	107	112	118	157
exch. rate <u>b/</u>	100	105	105	141	141
Paraguay					
cost of living	100	114	150
exch. rate	100	103	103	103	103
Perú					
cost of living	100	103	110	116	120 <u>e/</u>
exch. rate	100	97	97	97	97 <u>e/</u>
Uruguay					
cost of living	100	136	151	168	...
exch. rate <u>c/</u>	100	99	98	98	148

- a. Controlled free rate.
- b. Principal selling rate.
- c. Rate used in base year was free rate.
- d. March.
- e. May.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
Official rates, unless otherwise indicated.

Table 1
LATIN AMERICA: PERCENTAGE CHANGES IN COST OF LIVING INDEX

	1957-61 annual average <u>a/</u>	1961 Dec.	Twelve months ending				1963	
			1962				March	June
			March	June	Sept.	Dec.		
Argentina	42	19	22	27	34	32	36	23
Bolivia	6	7	10	7	5	3	-4	...
Brazil	31	44	46	51	57	62	67	69 <u>b/</u>
Chile	19	10	10	11	12	28	30	46
Colombia	9	5	3	-1	1	5	25	35
Costa Rica	2	--	--	2	3	5	4	4 <u>b/</u>
Dominican Republic	-1	-5	3	11	10		3	7
Ecuador	1	3	3	--	4		3	7
El Salvador	-1	-4	-2	-1	2		2	1
Guatemala	--	3	3	-2	3	--
Haiti	-2	3	-1
Honduras	1	--	1	-1	1	...	5	1
Mexico	6	-2	--	1	2	2	1	1
Nicaragua	--	-2	--	1	-1	--	-2	--
Panama	--	--	--	--	1	--	1	2
Paraguay	15	32
Peru	8	7	7	3	3	5	6	5 <u>b/</u>
Uruguay	27	10	12	9	10	11	8	...
Venezuela	2	1	3	--	-2	-2	...	1 <u>b/</u>

a. December-to-December changes.

b. May.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.

/essential food

/essential food

essential food items. Particularly drastic measures were called for in Brazil, where poor harvests combined with soaring prices to create acute shortages of staples in some northeastern cities. Concern about the implications of an extremely rapid rise in the cost of living also led the Brazilian government to re-establish in 1962 an exchange subsidy on imports of wheat, petroleum and newsprint, which had been eliminated in the course of the 1961 exchange reforms. The abolition of this subsidy, which was one of the objectives of the three-year development plan, ^{8/} was again decreed in January 1963, but in April, when the cruzeiro was devalued to 620 per dollar, the previous rate of 475 was maintained for wheat, petroleum and newsprint, marking in effect a return to preferential treatment.

4. Fiscal pressures

As already noted, the domestic inflationary pressures arose in many countries from the size of the government budget deficit. Although tax reform programs were initiated and tax yields raised in many parts of Latin America in 1962, ^{9/} the impact of these measures was often still too moderate, or came too late in the year to provide the public sector with resources commensurate with its growing expenditures. The figures on net government borrowing at the banks give an indication, albeit incomplete, of the gravity of the fiscal situation, and, in many countries, of its further deterioration in relation to 1961 (see Table 86).

A thorough evaluation of the fiscal situation would of course require a more detailed analysis of the structure of government expenditures in the different countries, as the future impact of these expenditures on the economic stability of a country depends to an important extent on the type of expenditure incurred. Considering the limitations as to information, however, the following discussion will limit itself to a partial review of the problem.

Only in isolated cases were government budgets balanced in 1962. One outstanding example was that of Venezuela, where a rise in oil revenues took place in 1962 and the first months of 1963. Revenue from exchange profits also rose sharply, as a result of a further tightening of exchange control regulations which transferred a large number of non-essential imports to the free market, where dollars could be bought at about 4.5 bolivares, while the government continued to purchase the bulk of the country's export proceeds at the petroleum rate of 3.09 bolivares per dollar.

Examples of non-inflationary financing through the domestic capital market were also rare. Except for Mexico, where government and official entities have continued to finance a large part of their investments needs by borrowing

8. See below, Chapter V, section A.

9. See below, Chapter V, section C.

Table 86

LATIN AMERICA: PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN BANKING SYSTEM'S NET CLAIMS ON GOVERNMENT^{a/}
1961-1963

	1961	1962	1963 First half
Argentina	9	24	11 ^{d)}
Bolivia	7	18	-7 ^{e)}
Brazil	82	51	8 ^{e)}
Chile	75	87	7 ^{d)}
Colombia	18	59	2 ^{d)}
Costa Rica ^{b/}	73	25	18
Dominican Republic	96	- 9	c)
Ecuador	124	3	15
El Salvador	162	31	5
Guatemala	-4	93	-52 ^{d)}
Haiti	11	26	-8 ^{d)}
Honduras	2	34	14
Mexico	5	-11	...
Nicaragua	-3	48	-19 ^{d)}
Paraguay	20	-33	-2 ^{d)}
Peru	-7	-16 ^{f)}	...
Uruguay	2	76	...
Venezuela	93	-71	66

- a. Net of changes in government deposits at the banks, except in Brazil and Uruguay, where gross figures were used. Changes in counterpart fund balances were also netted out in the case of Bolivia and Haiti.
- b. Central bank credit only.
- c. Not comparable to 1962 data.
- d. January - May.
- e. January - March.
- f. Twelve months ending September.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.

/from the

from the non-bank public, what long-term loans were obtained usually came from foreign sources. ^{10/} Bolivia, Paraguay and some of the Central American countries continued to rely to a considerable extent on United States grant aid. In Peru, where parliamentary delays to tax reform legislation contributed to a deterioration of the budgetary position in 1962, the government was initially able to draw on accumulated surpluses to finance its deficit, and used funds provided by the revaluation of the official gold reserves to cancel part of its debt to the Central Bank. Towards the end of the year, however, a bond issue had to be placed with the banks and the major foreign mining and industrial companies to permit financing of the fiscal deficit.

In the major South American countries, the picture was mostly one of growing budgetary imbalance in 1962, and the year was often spent searching for expedients to postpone government payments and raise additional short-term funds while minimizing, in so far as possible, the impact of the deficit on the over-all monetary expansion.

In Argentina, the fiscal situation took a sharp turn for the worse in 1962, as the difficulties involved in getting at the root causes of the deficit (in particular, the subsidies to the state enterprises) were compounded by the impact of the business depression on the flow of government revenues. Arrears in government payments became common and suppliers credits increased greatly. A large part of the deficit was financed, both in 1962 and in the first half of 1963, with debt cancellation certificates issued to suppliers and contractors in lieu of payment. In 1962, the government also floated a special bond issue ("9th of July bonds") with tax exemption and exchange depreciation guarantee clauses, which was also subscribed by state suppliers. In 1963, limitations on Treasury borrowing from the Central Bank were eased by a one-year charter amendment. Moreover, the government planned to obtain additional funds from the commercial banks, whose cash position had improved in the early months of this year, and which are now required to invest a certain proportion of their available resources in government securities.

A stepped-up use of commercial bank resources to finance public expenditures was also made in Chile, where the banks were allowed to include Treasury bills in their required reserves. Colombia for its part, was considering a measure involving compulsory bank purchases of government bonds up to five percent of demand deposits in the package of emergency powers requested from the legislature to reinforce monetary stabilization in 1963. Large issues of promissory notes had already brought total government borrowing from the Central Bank to record levels at the end of 1962.

A good deal of the potential Brazilian deficit for 1962 was temporarily avoided by delaying a large number of payments to government suppliers and creditors until 1963. A serious financing problem remained nevertheless, and the government continued to borrow from the Central Bank on a large scale. At mid-year, the Treasury floated a 150 billion cruzeiro issue of 20-year bonds that the commercial banks were allowed to include in their required reserves. There were also a number of compulsory loans subscribed by income-tax payers through surcharges on their regular income tax assessments.

10. See above, Chapter II, section B.

5. Bank credit to the private sector

In countries faced with over-all inflationary pressures and incompressible fiscal deficits, bank credit to the private sector continued to be the major target of restrictive policies. In a number of countries, the rate of growth of total bank credit to the private sector slowed down markedly in 1962. Moreover, even in the countries where the nominal increase was still high, in real terms the change was often insignificant, or even negative because of the momentum of the price inflation (see Table 87).

This was especially the case in Argentina, where particularly severe restrictions on commercial bank credit and a decline in bank deposits created conditions of acute liquidity shortage which had a considerable impact on the level of economic activity. In Brazil, the rapid growth of bank credit to the private sector was barely enough to keep up with the price increases in the past two or three years, and a slowdown of production became clearly noticeable in the early months of 1963 in many industries--such as the automobile industry--that were especially affected by restrictions to faster credit expansion. Money was also scarce in Bolivia, Chile, and elsewhere. In Uruguay, the lack of liquidity to carry out normal business activities became a major problem.

Changes in commercial bank reserve requirements remained one of the major instruments of monetary policy. In the course of 1962, increases were made in these requirements in a number of countries. In Argentina, increases of 1/4 percent were made monthly from October 1962 to May 1963, though loans for productive investments could be included in the banks' minimum required reserves. The Brazilian authorities raised the required reserves on demand deposits from 14 to 22 percent in May 1962, and those on time deposits from 7 to 14 percent. New increases were decreed in early 1963, with a number of exemptions provided for banks in the north and the northeast, as well as banks in some of the central western states and banks engaged in certain priority types of lending. Colombia, for its part, proceeded to a gradual increase in commercial banks' required reserves in the early part of 1962, and has now restored a 100 percent marginal requirement on deposit increases over the December 1962 levels.

Marginal reserve requirements were reduced, on the other hand, in Peru, to permit a greater expansion of credit to the private sector in 1962. In January 1963, to meet anticipated credit needs during the year, the basic requirement, which had remained at 28 percent since 1961, was raised to 29 percent, and the marginal requirement entirely eliminated--the net effect being a 10 percent decline in total required reserves. In Uruguay, reserve requirements were temporarily suspended in April 1962 to ease the credit shortage, but they were re-established a few months later. Reserve requirements were also lowered in Ecuador in the early part of 1962, to offset the effect of the fund shortage which had resulted from the outflow of funds and loss of confidence in the currency in 1961.

/ Table 87

Table 87

LATIN AMERICA: BANK CREDIT TO THE PRIVATE SECTOR IN 1961 AND 1962

	Nominal percentage change in credit outstanding		Real percentage change in credit outstanding ^{a/}	
	1961	1962	1961	1962
Argentina	31	9	10	-17
Bolivia	42	22	32	19
Brazil	38	60	-4	-
Chile	27	23	16	-3
Colombia	25	15	19	10
Costa Rica ^{b/}	3	1	3	-3
Dominican Republic	-13	24	-8	6
Ecuador	6	2	3	-2
El Salvador	-4	-3	-	-5
Guatemala	10	11	6	12
Haiti	12	3	8	...
Honduras	7	9	7	4
Mexico	15	20	18	17
Nicaragua	7	8	9	8
Panama	9	11	9	11
Paraguay	12	23	-14	...
Peru	19	22 ^{c/}	12	16 ^{c/}
Uruguay	17	15	6	3
Venezuela	-1	5	-2	7

a. Nominal change in bank credit deflated by change in cost-of-living index.

b. In Costa Rica, the figures are those for total commercial bank credit, which may include some credit to the government.

c. Twelve months ending September.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.

/Central bank

Central bank rediscounting assumed renewed importance in a number of countries where the credit squeeze brought particularly severe hardships on industry and trade. To deal with this problem, emergency rediscount systems were set up in several instances along different lines from those of the usual rediscount mechanisms. In Argentina, the commercial banks' liquidity position was extremely tight during most of 1962, and the reserve deficiencies with the central bank substantial. To remedy this, the Banco Industrial was authorized in May 1962 to rediscount commercial paper of industrial enterprises for the commercial banks, up to 20 percent of each bank's capital and reserves, with 25 percent of each loan to be used to meet tax payment arrears of the enterprise. Later in the year, further arrangements were devised to enable the banks to provide credit for certain hard-pressed sectors of industry despite their required reserve deficiencies. A special committee was set up to study the loan position of each bank with reserve shortfalls, and to exempt specified credits from the very high penalty rates usually charged for failure to meet the reserve requirements.

In Uruguay, the rediscount ceilings of the commercial banks at the Bank of the Republic had been raised by about 35 percent at the end of 1961 to provide liquidity for the year-end needs of business and the beginning of a new wool season. Further increases were made in these ceilings in the final months of 1962 to ease the increasingly severe liquidity shortage prevailing in the economy. Finally, in July 1963, special credits for periods ranging up to five years were made available to industry and agriculture by the Bank of the Republic, to facilitate the repayment of debts incurred in the course of business.

A somewhat similar measure was also recently taken in Brazil. In the early part of 1963, the government established a temporary fund to help industrial firms recover their liquidity and to provide the working capital that would permit them not to curtail operations.

As would be expected, interest rates were extremely high in many Latin American countries in 1962. They were reportedly running at more than 40 percent a year in Argentina, in the nonbank market, while in Bolivia, for instance, the average effective rate on commercial bank loans ranged between 20 and 25 percent. Attempts to bring lending rates down, and make deposit rates more attractive, became an important feature of central banking policy. In Argentina, there was an easing of restrictions and a general increase in rates paid on bank deposits. The Bolivian central bank had increased its deposit rates in 1961 and the commercial banks followed suit. In Chile, interest rate ceilings on commercial bank lending rates were lowered to 12 to 15 percent from their previous level of 16.5 percent. In Peru, a new central banking law also permitted the setting of maximum bank lending rates at 13 percent in February 1962. A 16 percent maximum was established in Uruguay as part of the fiscal and monetary measures accompanying the devaluation of the peso in May 1963.

/6. Institutional

6. Institutional reforms

The need to perfect instruments of monetary control and develop financial markets on a more adequate scale led to a large number of institutional changes in the past two years: new banking laws were passed in about half a dozen countries, and were under study in several others, while various changes were made in the long-term capital markets to stimulate a greater flow of funds into industry and housing construction.

Central bank legislation was modified in El Salvador and Peru. The Salvadorean central bank had been nationalized in 1961 and its credit control powers spelled out. Under the new law, which came into force in January 1962, the bank modified reserve requirements and set commercial bank rediscount ceilings for the first time. In Peru, a new central banking law adopted at the beginning of 1962 redefined the functions of the central bank, giving it a more active role to play in the formulation of monetary policy, and wider powers to regulate the activity of both bank and nonbank financial institutions. The Peruvian commercial banking law was subsequently modified in July 1963 to permit an expansion of commercial banking commensurate with the growth of economic activity in the country.

In Nicaragua, the central bank was established as an independent entity, separate from the National Bank of Nicaragua, in 1961. Venezuela, for its part, had been given a new central banking law at the end of 1960 to broaden the discount powers of the central banking institution and increase its control over the volume and direction of commercial bank credit. Finance companies became subject to regulation by the monetary authorities in 1961 in Venezuela and in January 1962 in Argentina.

Major bank reforms were under consideration in several other countries. Bolivia, for instance, was contemplating changes designed to obtain a more satisfactory allocation of credit to the various sectors of the economy, and Costa Rica was considering changes in the banking law to permit greater bank participation in the financing of industrial development. In Brazil, a bank reform bill submitted to the legislature would set up a National Monetary Council and reinforce the powers of the present credit control agency, the Superintendency for Money and Credit (SUMOC). Similarly, the Colombian government requested in 1963 the power to appoint a special committee which would take the formulation of monetary policy over from the Bank of the Republic with which it has rested until now.

In Uruguay, steps were taken in May 1963 to effect a major reorganization of the Bank of the Republic. The Bank would be turned into the sole agency for granting selective credit to industry. Its Issue Department would be empowered to fix quantitative and qualitative controls on credit extended both by banks and nonbank financial institutions.

/Mexico and

Mexico and Nicaragua both passed new General Laws of Credit Institutions in 1962. The Mexican law, among other things, eased regulations on commercial bank export credit activities, created new mechanisms through which medium and small industry could obtain long-term financing from investment banking institutions, and widened the scope for mortgage lending activities by various types of savings institutions. The Nicaraguan law authorized the acceptance of savings accounts from the public by banking institutions and the creation of cooperative savings and loan associations for housing finance.

Other important measures taken in the long-term financing field included the reorganization of official development banks in several countries and the establishment of savings and loan associations in various parts of Latin America. In Colombia, a special fund for medium-term lending to private enterprise began to operate at the Bank of the Republic in June 1963. In El Salvador, a Capital Market Commission was set up at the end of 1962 to organize, develop and control the operations in the securities market. The Ecuadorean National Security Commission, for its part, intensified its operations in 1962 and was considering major reforms to permit a better channelling of funds into investment. In 1963, Venezuela announced the establishment of a Fund for the Stabilization of Mortgage Bonds, which would seek to create an active market for bonds issued by the Venezuelan mortgage banks.



Ia-ecosoc

INTER-AMERICAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL

OEA/Ser.H/X.4

CIES/299 (English)
1 September 1963
Original: Spanish/English

SECOND ANNUAL MEETINGS OF THE IA-ECOSOC
AT THE EXPERT LEVEL AND AT THE MINISTERIAL LEVEL
OCTOBER-NOVEMBER 1963
SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL

PART I
Chapter IV

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance
for Progress in 1962

CHAPTER IV: The Manufacturing Sector

PROVISIONAL

THE
NATIONAL
ARCHIVES

RECORDS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

PLAT 10000
SECTION 10
T. 10 N. R. 10 E. S. 10

PLAT 10000
SECTION 10
T. 10 N. R. 10 E. S. 10

10000

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

- PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance for Progress
- Chapter I. Latin American Exports and the Markets for Primary Products
- Chapter II. Latin America's Capacity to Import, and its Balance of Payments
- Chapter III. Total Production, Capital Formation, and Monetary Developments
- Chapter IV. Manufacturing Sector
- Chapter V. The Execution of Economic Programs under the Alliance for Progress
- PART II. Principal Characteristics and Development of the Central American Productive Structure
- PART III. Social Survey

I N D E X

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER IV. MANUFACTURING SECTOR.....	1
1. General Development of Manufacturing.....	1
2. Current Consumer Goods Industries.....	7
3. Paper Industry.....	10
4. Chemical Industries.....	18
5. Petroleum Refining.....	22
6. The Cement Industry.....	26
7. The Iron and Steel Industry.....	29
8. The Motor Vehicle Industry.....	36
9. Tractors Production.....	42

CHAPTER IV

THE MANUFACTURING SECTOR^{1/}1. General Development of Manufacturing

The general course of the Latin American manufacturing industry in 1962 was less favorable than in several preceding years, at least as far as the growth of over-all production is concerned. In the other aspects of industrial development—primarily intended to set the stage for its future growth—considerable progress continued to be made in a large part of the area. Outstanding among these aspects of organic development are significant progress in projects under way, the gradual completion and integration of industrial processes, the progressive orientation of production toward the potentialities of the regional market, and growing rationalization in the operations of manufacturing enterprises.

The unsatisfactory growth of manufacturing output has been mainly the result of reverses sustained by Argentine industry. In fact, because of the decrease in secondary activities in that country, the manufacturing industry in the region has shown an over-all production increase of only about 3.7 percent over the preceding year. Excluding the Argentine component from the corresponding regional totals, we obtain a rate of increase of approximately 6.1 percent, a figure which does not fall so far below the analogous rates of the previous year. These were more than 8.5 with Argentina, and 7.5 without her.^{2/} For purposes of comparisons it may be noted that in the last five years, the cumulative rate of growth of industrial production in the area was 7 percent per annum.

1. Although all the data for 1962 in this survey are preliminary, it is well to point out that those of this chapter may be subject to subsequent and quite extensive revisions and must be considered only as indicative of general trends.

2. In computing these rates, as well as several others which will be given in this chapter, the results of Cuban industrial production being unknown, have not been taken into account.

Argentine industry experienced a drop of almost 5.5 percent in manufacturing production, but as the structure of this sector of the national economy is fundamentally sound and capable of later development, this phenomenon may be considered as transitory; and it cannot be predicted, of course, at what stage or to what extent it will be overcome.^{3/} Indications for the next period are not yet sufficiently clear. During the first quarter of 1963, further drops in manufacturing production were noted, although these were at a somewhat lower rate.

Industrial development in the other countries has shown lesser changes with respect to the previous year (see Table 88). These changes, of course, have also modified the impact which the respective national industries have had on the growth of the manufacturing product of Latin America as a whole.

Although, in 1962, its rate of growth dropped to 7 percent, after an average growth of about 13 percent during the four years preceding, Brazilian industry continued to be one of the most dynamic elements in the development of manufacturing in the region. The decline may be explained, to a great extent by the general economic difficulties of the year though this does not eliminate the possibility that it may have had deeper roots. If such were the case, the stimulus of industrial growth in the last seven years would be giving rise to a less intense, but still appreciable, expansion. This change could be connected with the fact that the manufacturing development of the country will be based less and less on the substitution of imports and, instead, satisfying the current needs of an expanding internal market, as well as increasing utilization of the possibilities of the regional market, will take on importance.^{4/}

3. The industrial recession in Argentina mainly affected the consumer goods industries—current consumer goods and, still more, durable consumer items—and repercussions were felt in several mechanical industries producing parts and equipment; the crisis—coupled with a noticeable lack of liquidity—spread to other sectors, also.

4. Recently, there have also been various indications of the interest in Brazilian industrial circles in exporting manufactured articles to areas outside Latin America growth.

In turn, Mexican industry has overcome the recessionary forces that had been operating in 1961 and has registered a higher rate of growth than in the year preceding; but it has not yet recovered its former rate of expansion. At the same time, it has been possible to observe signs that efforts to substitute for imports were gradually being intensified with respect to certain industries in which there still seem to be quite extensive possibilities despite the possible effects of the free trade zone. It is well to mention that in Mexico, just as in Argentina and Brazil, orientation of the industrial sector toward the regional market is becoming more and more perceptible.

The last growth coefficients for Colombia, Chile and Peru range between 4.3 and 5.7 percent.⁵; only in the case of Peru is there a rather substantial difference between the rates of growth in 1962 and in 1961.

5. The high growth rate of manufacturing shown in 1962 by the Chilean index of industrial production has been adjusted downwards in the course of official computing of the national product.

TABLE 88

LATIN AMERICA: MANUFACTURING GROWTH BY COUNTRY AND BY SELECTED INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS 1957-1962
(Rates of increase and weighting)

	Rates of growth ^{a/}				Weighting	
	1960	1961	1962	1957-1962	1957	1962
	A. Growth by country					
Argentina	11.1	13.9	-5.3	2.4	23.7	19.2
Brazil	10.6	11.1	6.9	11.6	30.8	38.2 ^{b/}
Chile	-2.3	4.7	4.3	6.0	3.1	2.8
Colombia	5.2	6.8	5.7	5.1	6.6	6.0
Ecuador	5.0	2.1	2.3	2.5	0.7	0.6
Mexico	10.9	3.5	5.2	6.7	15.6	15.6
Peru	15.1	8.7	4.9	7.6	1.6	1.7
Venezuela	-4.0	3.8	7.3	7.2	5.2	5.3
Others ^{c/}	<u>4.2</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>12.7</u>	<u>10.6</u>
Latin America ^{d/}	8.4	8.6	3.7	6.8	100.0	100.0
	B. Growth by selected industrial product					
Current consumer goods	4.1	4.1	2.3	3.8		
Cellulose pulps ^{e/}	9.0	10.6	5.6	11.1		
Paper and cardboard ^{f/}	5.0	9.8	5.1	8.1		
Petroleum refining ^{g/}	7.1	6.8	12.3	9.3		
Cement	7.7	5.1	6.6	4.9		
Pig iron	24.3	15.7	7.1	13.1		
Raw steel	14.5	11.3	9.7	11.7		
Finished steel	15.1	11.0	7.4	10.1		
Motor vehicles	...	25.4	12.7	<u>h/</u>		

- a. Rates given in the first three columns represent increases with respect to the preceding year; coefficients shown in the fourth column are rates of annual growth for the 1957-62 period.
- b. The marked drop in the industrial production of Argentina in 1962 not only caused her manufacturing product to fall in position with relation to the Latin American total, but also temporarily raised the weighting for Brazil and the other countries.
- c. Changes in Cuban manufacturing activity being unknown are not included.
- d. These data are given by way of illustration and cannot be taken as representative with respect to the total for the sector because the respective weighting are unknown.
- e. For paper and cardboard.
- f. In aggregate.
- g. Including Venezuela.
- h. The corresponding annual rate of 88 percent does not lend itself to the analysis because a very small initial base is used for the comparison.

Sources: The data for 1957 and a great part of those for 1960 are taken from the ECLA series and are expressed at constant prices. The other basic data come from the following: for Argentina, Informe presentado por el Gobierno de la Argentina to the special committees of the IA-ECOSOC in 1963 (OAS/Ser. H/X.5, Doc. 11, Pan American Union, Washington); for Chile and Peru, analogous reports of the respective governments (Docs. 15 and 19); for Brazil, IBGE, Conselho Nacional de Estatística, Anuário Estatístico do Brasil 1962, and --for 1962-- Conjuntura Econômica, Feb. 1963; for Colombia, report presented to the IA-ECOSOC by the Government of Colombia in 1962 (OAS/Ser. H/X.3, doc. 23) and, for 1962, preliminary data published by Fortnightly Review, Feb. 6, 1963; for Mexico, analogous report of the Government (Doc. 32) and, for 1962, Memoria del Banco de Mexico S.A., 1962; for Ecuador, Memoria del Gerente General del Banco Central del Ecuador 1961 and preliminary estimate based on fragmentary national reports for 1962; and, for Venezuela, Banco Central de Venezuela, Sistema de Indicadores a Corto Plazo, January 1963.

The recent manufacturing development of Peru and Colombia has been achieved with a degree of stability greater than that which prevailed in the Chilean economy that year, and during this period, Chile felt various delayed effects of the serious lack of external equilibrium which had become evident toward the end of 1961 and which occasioned severe measures in the beginning of the following year. Still less favorable--according to provisional estimates-- was the recent manufacturing development of Ecuador, where industrial growth was quite slow during the five-year period.

At the same time, after a two-year standstill, Venezuelan industry showed a growth of almost 7.5 percent. Even if this preliminary figure is somewhat inexact, there can be no doubt that in Venezuela several intermediate and current consumer goods industries have recently gathered momentum. Probable contributing factors have been the continuing stimulus of the Government's development policy and the considerable resources of the country for manufacturing development.

Although the trend of Latin American current consumer goods industries has been unfavorable in 1962--at least as far as the evolution of the respective regional totals is concerned--a large number of those intermediate and mechanical industries on which reports in physical terms are available continue to show considerable dynamism. In certain of the latter branches, production figures, including those for Argentina, show more or less normal development.

Outstanding among the major dynamic branches of manufacturing in the region are petroleum refining and raw steel, with growth rates of between 12.5 and 9.5 percent.

The automobile industry and the other mechanical industries--despite the Argentine recession--also made an appreciable contribution to the Latin American manufacturing product (12.7 percent). In the production of cement, whose expansion had been less vigorous than that of the other intermediate industries during the last five-year period, a higher degree of growth was attained in 1962 than in the previous year and even exceeded the average annual increase for the five years. Although no up-to-date data are available on the development of chemical industries for the whole region, recent production figures of various nations, especially Mexico and Brazil, indicate a noticeable

expansion. Among the normally dynamic industries, the paper industry received a rather weak impetus this year.

2. Current Consumer Goods Industries

Current consumer goods industries--somewhat heterogeneous, but actually traditional manufacturing activities--continued to expand gradually in 1962, although they barely achieved an increase of 2.3 percent for the region as a whole. Such a low coefficient of growth compares unfavorably even with the cumulative annual rate of 3.8 percent, which shows the slow growth of these activities throughout the 1957-62 period. 6/

In this connection, it is well to recall that the slow expansion of the traditional industries is due especially to the fact that -- at least in the semi-industrialized countries of the region--they no longer have great possibilities of replacing imports. Besides, the great majority of these industries have lacked special stimuli for improving their output or quality with a view to winning new markets, domestic or foreign. 7/

6/ The figures in section 2 are for all those manufacturing activities which it is customary to group together under the term "traditional" industries. However, for the practical purposes of the present analysis, the two concepts may be almost identical since the latter embraces the preponderant part of industries belonging to the former, and only a small fraction of the other industries (e.g., the wood and the furniture industries). For more details on the composition of the manufacturing group under consideration, see the definition and explanations given in the Economic and Social Survey of Latin America, 1961 (Pan American Union, Washington, 1962), particularly the note at the bottom of page 388 (Spanish version).

Moreover, the list of branches of industry which make up the traditional group-- as considered in this study--also is given in Table 89.

7/ The case of certain food branches was, in a way, different. Some, such as sugar processing have achieved some noticeable increases in production; upon finding new export possibilities, thanks to special circumstances.

Furthermore, the regional market has not furnished notable incentives in this field, and, in the near future, it will also probably offer less than to other manufacturing activities.

The recent evolution of this manufacturing group, by industrial branches, can be studied only for Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Peru and Venezuela, utilizing, for this purpose, mainly the respective indices of manufacturing production. 8/

The product of the traditional industries of these five countries represents almost two thirds of the Latin American product of these manufacturing branches 9/ and, in 1962, showed an expansion of 2.6 percent, in circumstances which the cumulative annual increment for the last five-year period raises to 4.4 percent (see Table 89). 10/

The most remarkable phenomenon of the different activities within the group is the marked decline in the textile industry, attributable not only to the drop in Argentine production but also, in a lesser degree, to a slackening in this activity in Brazil. Moreover, a certain faltering in expansion is to be noted in the subgroups of shoes and clothing and wood and furniture. As for the former, the change is not very pronounced, since these activities only went through a period of stagnation in Argentina and a moderate decline in growth rate in Brazil, which were compensated for to a great extent by the simultaneous increase in development in Peru, Chile and Venezuela. Similar trends also evidenced themselves in the wood and furniture industries, but in this area the achievements of the latter three countries were not sufficient to compensate fully for the falling off in Brazil and Argentina.

8/ The aggregate of the traditional industries represents at present about 42 percent of the manufacturing product of all Latin America. Percentages are slightly lower for certain semi-industrialized countries of the region.

In the case of the relatively smaller nations, however, these industries are of great importance both for the totals and percentage-wise, their proportion being at times substantially higher.

9/ See note a/ Table 89, in order to place these countries in their regional perspective.

10/ It should be remembered that the corresponding rates of growth for all Latin America were 2.3 and 3.8 percent, respectively.

Table 89

LATIN AMERICA: GROWTH OF THE TRADITIONAL INDUSTRIES,
IN FIVE SELECTED COUNTRIES, 1957-62

(Indices for the year 1957 in the first four columns,
rates of increment in the last two)

Industrial branches ^{b/}	Indices (1957=100)				Rates of Growth	
	1957	1960	1961	1962+	1961-62	1957-62c/
Food and drink	100	115	121	125	3:5	4:5
Tobacco	100	115	124	129	3:5	5:1
Textiles	100	123	131	132	1:0	5:6
Shoes and clothing	100	102	118	121	2:5	3:9
Wood and furniture	100	111	115	117	1:8	3:2
Leather and furs	100	99	109	124	13.8d/	3.2
Total: Traditional industries	100	115	122	125	2.6	4.4

- a. Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Peru, and Venezuela. It should be observed that this sampling--selected according to the availability of national indices of manufacturing production--is not sufficiently representative for the whole of Latin America. The rates, at least those relating to the total of the traditional group, are higher than those of the corresponding regional average. Furthermore, the production indices used suffer from certain biases. Nevertheless, it may be assumed that the figures given are at least roughly indicative of recent trends in this field.
- b. The industrial branches to which the data refer represent groupings of the CIU--Uniform International Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities--or a combination of two groupings.
- c. Rates of cumulative annual growth.

3. Paper Industry

Latin American production of paper and cardboard showed an increment of 5 percent in 1962, compared with almost 10 percent in the year preceding and 5 percent in 1960 (see Table 90). As for cumulative annual expansion during the last five-year period, the industry showed a rate of growth of more than 8 percent.

In the case of cellulose pulp production--the most retarded item, the production of which, therefore, usually shows a greater dynamism--the recent increment was somewhat over 5.5 percent; in the two preceding years it fluctuated between 9 and 10.5 percent, under circumstances which cause the cumulative rate of the last five-year period to rise to 11 percent.

In 1962, only the production of newsprint maintained the growth rate of the last five-year period (almost 20 percent), the most notable increases being registered in Brazil and Mexico.

Footnotes to Table 89 (continued)

- d. The high rate under this heading is due to the extraordinary character of the Brazilian component. The basic datum--a preliminary estimate taken from the review "Conjuntura Económica"--is, possibly, an overappraisal of the growth in this branch. It should be pointed out here that various other figures in the indices used also seem to leave a certain amount to be desired in the way of preciseness, but there are reasons for assuming that they counterbalance one another to some degree, at least in the totals of the traditional group.

Sources of basic data: Dirección Nacional de Estadística y Censos, Boletín Mensual de Estadística, Buenos Aires (various numbers); Conselho Nacional de Estatística, Anuário Estatístico do Brasil 1962, Rio de Janeiro; Banco Central de Chile, Boletín Mensual, April 1963, Santiago de Chile; Banco Central de Venezuela, Memoria Anual, 1957-61, Caracas; Sociedad Nacional de Industrias, Industria Peruana, April 1963, Lima. Also, for 1962: Conjuntura Económica, Rio de Janeiro, February 1963, and fragmentary data relative to the production of Chilean, Peruvian and Venezuelan industry.

Table 90

LATIN AMERICA: PRODUCTION OF CELLULOSE PULP, PAPER, AND CARDBOARD
1957, 1960, 1961, and 1962

(Thousands of Tons)

	Paper and cardboard pulp				Paper and cardboard				Newsprint			
	1957	1960	1961	1962+	1957	1960	1961	1962+	1957	1960	1961	1962+
Argentina	71	80	103	102	303	291	370+	363	12	9	9	13
Bolivia	--	--	--	--	1	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
Brazil	258	322+	337	374	468	609+	639	698	49	68+	70	84
Central America & Panama a/	--	--	--	--	1	1	2	2	--	--	--	--
Chile	24	105	122	124	71	120	131	139	20	52	70	75
Colombia	3	9	11	12	40	53	58	61	--	--	--	--
Ecuador	--	--	--	--	1	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
Mexico	167	240	265	273	307	403	423	436	--	14	18	27
Paraguay	--	--	--	--	--	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
Peru.	18	30	32	34	37	47	51	54	--	--	--	--
Uruguay	5	6	6	6	30	36	40	41	--	--	--	--
Venezuela	--	--	--	--	19	65+	75+	86+	--	--	--	--
Latin America:												
Totals	546	792	876	925	1 278	1 632	1 792	1 883	81	143	167	199
Rates of increment for the preceding year		9.0	10.6	5.6		5.0	9.8	5.1		6.0	16.8	19.2
Rates of cumulative annual increment 1957/62				11.1				8.1				19.6

The figures cited show plainly that, in the course of 1962, the expansion of Latin American paper production did not attain the growth rate of the previous year and that the rate of increment was also lower than that of the last five-year period. The reasons for this decline in expansion during 1962 vary according to the country. While in some cases, mainly that of Argentina, the unfavorable evolution of demand contributed to slow growth or stagnation in production, in the majority of Latin American countries limitations in productive capacity constituted the principal obstacle.

With regard to domestic demand for paper, there do not seem to be any grounds for long-range concern, since consumption normally tends to expand greatly. Thus, while in 1955 regional demand had risen to only 1.8 million tons, in 1965--according to a recent study ^{11/} it is predicted that consumption will reach 3.5 million, and in 1975, 6.5 million. Furthermore, the progress of projects under way and the new ones that are being drafted afford promising prospects as regards future supply, although complete fulfillment of existing plans and desirable additions thereto will depend to a great extent on the availability of funds for investment, especially foreign exchange. These are not yet assured in various instances.

The scant use of the cellulose resources of Argentina in the past, and the consequently large volume of imports of this intermediate material and of finished paper led the government of that country to establish, during the latter half of 1961, a system of tax concessions and facilities in favor of those private industrialists who would be willing to

Footnotes to Table 90

- a. Includes the production of El Salvador, Guatemala, and Panama.

Sources: For 1957: ECLA, Doc. E/CN 12/570. For other years: Direct information from the producers of their trade associations and from public organizations. For countries whose production is still low and for which data was not obtained in time, estimates were made on the basis of fragmentary information.

11. United Nations, ECLA/FAO/BTAO, pulp and Paper in Latin America, New York, 1963.

develop their activities along certain lines. ^{12/} Although the general economic evolution of 1962 was not favorable to increase in production during this period, preparation of new plans and the progress thereof seem to demonstrate that this system has constituted an efficacious stimulus, at least from the point of view of future production. In fact, if the plans for expansion of the first five cellulose plants accepted under the scheme, which suppose a total investment of 48 million dollars, were realized; the replacement of imports would be substantially advanced. ^{13/} Subsequently, when the Ledesma project was approved, the number of privileged lumber enterprises rose to six. This industry will use the sugar cane bagasse available in the zone for its integrated paper plant. Meanwhile, a new project has been presented which would also use bagasse and would manufacture various types of paper and cardboard. Finally, it should be mentioned that the Misiones project ^{14/} has passed into one stage of materialization, inasmuch as construction of this plant, of 30,000 tons capacity (in terms of paper), for the production of pulp and Kraft paper, has been entrusted to an international firm, at a cost of 15 million dollars.

Since the mid-50's, the Brazilian paper industry has met the notably expansive trend in consumption with a fair degree of flexibility. The degree of self-sufficiency in this field has even been steadily increasing. Present indications are that this trend will continue, and it is thought that by 1965 national production could handle 86 percent of total paper consumption. However, in certain sectors of this industry it will be necessary to continue importing in relatively large quantities. Outstanding among them is that of newsprint, importation of which--though less than in the past--foreseeably will remain for some time at relatively high levels. Thus,

12. The benefits granted under this policy are more liberal for those concerns whose programs are integrated with forestation plans, but they are not limited to industries whose activities extend to the extraction of primary wood products. The text of Decree No. 8141, issued in September of that year, may be consulted for further details.

13. It has been estimated that the consequent gross saving in foreign exchange could run as high as some 30 million dollars per year.

14. See OAS/ECLA Economic and Social Survey of Latin America 1961, Chapter V (Pan American Union, Washington, 1962).

according to recent calculations, in 1965, 43 percent of the total newsprint consumed will still have to be imported,

An important event in the recent expansion was the installation of new equipment at the Monte Alegre (Paraná) newsprint plant. This has increased capacity from 42,000 to 106,000 tons annually. The capacity of the pulp plant recently equipped by this same enterprise runs to 140,000 tons. ^{15/} There are, in addition, several new projects with a good possibility of realization. ^{16/}

With the granting of a loan of 1.4 million dollars by the Inter-American Development Bank for the purchase of machinery and equipment, the projected Puerto Isaacs plant (near Cali) in Colombia is now in the preliminary stages of becoming a reality. This new installation would start off with a production of 17,000 tons of wood pulp and, subsequently--as of 1966 or a little later--reach 34,000.

In southern Chile, preparatory activities for proceeding with expansion of the Laja plant, at an estimated cost of 22.6 million dollars, have continued; it is expected that external financing will be obtained through various international credit organizations. If this project is carried out, the country's cellulose-producing capacity would increase to 140,000 tons. At the same time, a Canadian plant is planning construction in Chile of a plant which would produce from 60,000 to 70,000 tons of newsprint, the greater part of which would be earmarked for export to Latin American countries.

15. This firm also plans to set up a cellulose plant in the State of Pernambuco. It would use the bagasse found in such ample supply in this sugar-producing zone.

16. One of the proposed plants would be set up with financial backing from well-known European firms in the field and would produce Kraft paper; another would use bamboo, which grows abundantly in the State of Bahia. A third project--and the greatest in scope--has to do with setting up an integrated plant in Montenegro (Rio Grande do Sul) which would use the acacia resources for which the zone is known.

The recent evolution of the Mexican paper and cellulose industries shows a slackening in growth rate, as compared with preceding years, but there is a prospect that construction potential will open the way for revitalized expansion. Replacement of paper imports has progressed farther in this country than in most of the other producing countries of the region, ^{17/} which also explains why demand has at time been less intense. Moreover, Mexico is one of those countries in which cellulose manufacturing shows the greatest structural changes regarding composition of raw materials used. Among the especially non-traditional materials increasingly used in this country are sugar cane bagasse, cotton linters, and, recently, wheat straw.

The Venezuelan paper industry has recently gone through a marked expansion, with the inauguration of the Maracay plant which, with a capacity of 35,000 tons, will produce writing and wrapping paper, and with the opening of a cardboard factory in the environs of Valencia, with a capacity of 26,000 tons annually, which is sufficient to satisfy present demand for this product. At the same time, the largest enterprise in the field has been expanding its paper production capacity from 45,000 to 70,000 tons.

Although, so far, paper and cardboard production in the Central American Isthmus has been small in quantity, and no cellulose is manufactured, it is hoped that the plan for a comparatively important integrated plant will crystallize under the common market program. It would be carried out in conjunction with a more systematic exploitation of the Honduras timber resources. At the same time, various lesser projects in other countries of the Isthmus are making progress.

17. According to a recent estimate, 85 percent of needs are now being met locally. This figure, of course, holds true only for the overall picture, since the margin for replacement in some products is still very great. In particular, local industry contributes only 15 percent of newsprint consumed.

Table 91

LATIN AMERICA: CAUSTIC SODA AND SULPHURIC ACID PRODUCTION,
1957, 1960, 1961, and 1962
(Thousands of tons)

	Caustic Soda				Sulphuric Acid			
	1957	1960	1961	1962+	1957	1960	1961	1962+
Argentina	32 6	46 7	53 6	54 9	112	160+
Erazil	47 0+	80 0	93 0+	102 0	150+	200
Chile	3 5	6 3	6 2	6 8	45	75	90+	...
Colombia	19 3+	25 0	28 8	29 9	19	26
Mexico	34 2	65 9	71 3	83 4	182	249	276	339
Peru	1 5	2 8	6 6	7 9	20	38	37	37
Venezuela	0 0	9 7	10 5	14 5	4	7
Latin America: a/ Total for seven countries a/	138 1	236 4	270 0	299 4	532	755		
Annual incre- ment rates in relation to preceding year			14 2	10 9				
Cumulative annual increment rate 1957/62				16 7				

Footnotes to Table 91:

- a. In addition to the seven countries shown in the table, lesser quantities are produced in other Latin American countries, but volumes are not known. ECLA has estimated that, for 1959, sulphuric acid production of the remaining countries--including Cuba--amounted to about 40,000 tons; at the same time--according to an estimate of this Secretariat--caustic soda production in countries other than those listed was very low, probably very slightly over 1000 tons.

Sources: For Mexico: Nacional Financiera, Mercado de Valores (various numbers) and ECLA, La Industria Química en América Latina; for Peru: direct information and ECLA, op.cit.; for Venezuela: information obtained from the Dirección General de Estadística, and ECLA, op.cit.; for remaining countries: various national sources and estimates based on fragmentary information.

4. Chemical Industries

Although over all data on the recent development of the chemical industries--a very heterogeneous group, in which no one product in particular predominates--are not yet available, the fragmentary information on hand gives reason to presume that expansion here has maintained almost the growth rate of preceding years; the rate this time being in the neighborhood of 10 percent. Despite such a small variation in the average growth of these industries, greater changes have taken place in their make-up than in the periods immediately preceding.

Regarding changes in growth rate by country, the intensive production increases in Mexico and Brazil--16.5 percent, according to tentative indications--should be pointed out; comparatively significant progress is also to be noted in the case of Venezuela and, to a certain degree, of Peru.

As for the general pattern of expansion and composition by product, the changes which have taken place are quite complex. Mention should be made of the sizable increments shown for various countries in the manufacturing of pharmaceuticals and of fertilizers and their basic ingredients, and in processes relating to the obtaining and processing of plastic materials. In the field of relatively new basic materials, the steady development of the petrochemical industries is outstanding, and--to a lesser degree--the increasing use of coke by-products. Certain synthesis processes recently introduced have exhibited vigor as has manufacturing of some materials--such as certain acids and alkalis--the production of which is not so new in the region, but the growing use of which in numerous industries is a corollary of manufacturing development. Thus, for example, Mexican production of sulphuric acid increased 32 percent in 1962 and caustic soda, 17 percent (see Table 91).

The products mentioned also have a prominent place among the items to be manufactured by the plants presently under construction. In addition, comparatively smaller installations are being constructed at various points for the production of insecticides, detergents, explosives, etc., and there is notable interest in the local manufacturing of synthetic rubber.

During 1962, the first Latin American synthetic rubber plant was inaugurated in Brazil. It has a capacity of 40,000 tons annually, which can be doubled within a few years. This plant, originally supplied with imported raw materials, has been designated to utilize similar by-products.

of the Duque de Caxias refinery, located near Rio de Janeiro. For processing of the materials required --butadiene and styrene-- an adjacent installation is being set up. All of this is part of the country's second petrochemical complex, still in the stages of construction or completion. 18/ In addition, there is a synthetic rubber project in the Northeast; this plant, using by-products of the sugar-refining industry, will have a production capacity of 25,000 tons. Toward the end of the year, the construction of new chemical works was begun at Camacari (Bahia); this complex will comprise, first of all, ammonia and urea plants with daily capacities of 200 and 100 tons, respectively. It is also planned to set up installations in this locality for the production of oxygen, carbon dioxide, hydrogen, nitric acid, etc. Among the projects about to be carried out at various points are, also, three phosphate fertilizer plants (superphosphate) with a combined capacity of almost 300,000 tons.

With reference to the Mexican chemical industry, it may be pointed out that, in production value, it ranks second in Latin America, but its long-range growth is more intense than that of any other country of the region. Moreover, it is outstanding because of its many-sided orientation--it is progressing simultaneously in almost all branches of this field 19/-- and also, because of a policy consistently aimed toward export, along with positive measures for setting up diverse projects on a large scale, capable of competing with those of other countries. 20/

In 1962, various plants begun in the country in preceding years, were completed. The inauguration of an ammonium anhydride plant in Minatitlán and the virtual completion of another in Salamanca should be pointed out. Toward the end of the year, the first urea plant in the region was set up.

18. It should be remembered that the first group of plants of this type was the manufacturing belt around the Cubatao refinery (environs of Santos).

19. Nevertheless, fertilizers are outstanding both as regards production and as regards high growth rate; this fact is connected with the development of an expanded petrochemical program. Mexican chemical products include, among other items dodecylbenzene, nylon, ammonium, ammonium nitrate, carbon dioxide, etc.

20. For further details, see: ECLA, The Chemical Industry in Latin America, Volume I, Doc. E/CN.12/628, August 1962.

Also worthy of mention are three new installations for the production of refrigerating gases, hydrofluoric acid, and carbon tetrachloride, respectively, and two others which will produce organic pigments and synthetic resins. Also, during this same year, the range of locally-produced pharmaceuticals was increased. Among the projects under construction or in an advanced stage of preparation and deserving of special notice are those coming under a petrochemical program of major proportions which will introduce the production of lampblack, phenol, acetone, methanol, benzene, toluene, ethylene, lead tetraethylene and various polyesters, as well as synthetic rubber. Investments planned for this development of the petrochemical industry over the next three years amount to almost 2,500 million pesos (200 million dollars). Among the other projects under way, a plant with an initial production of 100,000 tons of sodium carbonate is prominent; completion of this project, in addition to making the country self-sufficient for a certain time in this product--since there are also natural sources of supply--will make export possible to the other countries of the region. Finally, mention should be made of the progress in plans for constructing a large sulphuric acid plant and an installation for the production of citric acid. An installation is also planned for the extraction of sodium sulphate from the Laguna del Rey salt reserves.

In Argentina, also, progress continued in various important projects. Near the end of the year, a major chemical center in San Lorenzo (Santa Fe Province) went into its first stage of operation. The recently equipped installations have an annual production capacity of 75,000 tons of sulphuric acid, 14,000 tons of carbon disulphide, 1,000 tons of hydrogen peroxide and 1,200 tons of phthalic anhydride; in the second stage, they will go on into the manufacturing of ethylene and polyethylene. At the same time, efforts have been made toward solving the pending problems of an important petrochemical complex, construction of which is to begin about the middle of 1963. Its program includes, among other items, synthetic rubber. In connection with the rubber industry, mention should also be made of the ground-work laid by another enterprise for the manufacturing of lampblack. Outstanding among the remaining projects are those having to do with the production of phenol, citric acid and methyl alcohol. Likewise, it is planned to set up an industrial complex in the southern part of the country for the production of sodium alkalis, as well as chlorine and several of its compounds, including polyvinyl chloride.

It is interesting to observe that for several years these three great countries have been sharing about three quarters of the chemical production of the region, a proportion which, according to recent ECLA projections, will probably be maintained for several more years.

In Colombia, the Mamonal ammonium and nitric acid plant (near Cartagena) was virtually completed toward the end of the year, likewise a fertilizer plant in the same zone, where a great part of its production will be used. In addition, the fertilizer plant of the future Barrancabermeja petrochemical complex has been almost completed; an installation is also planned in this locality for the production of ethylene. Finally, mention should be made of the construction of an insecticide plant, intended to reduce imports of this item by one half. Among projects recently launched, a second Solvay plant is outstanding; it will be set up near Cartagena, with a sodium carbonate capacity of 320 tons daily; more than half of this quantity will be sold as such; the remainder being reserved for conversion into caustic soda. Moreover, various projects are being promoted for the production of plastics and pharmaceuticals.

Plans are still under consideration in Chile for setting up a chemical industry center in the south of the country to produce sulphuric acid, fertilizers and pesticides.

Likewise in the planning stage is the project for a fertilizer-mixing plant in Guayaquil, Ecuador, which would operate on a basis of imported materials. And in Paraguay, construction of an installation for producing plastics is nearing completion.

During the year, a contract was let for construction of a fertilizer plant in Cuzco, Peru. Preparations are also progressing for the petrochemical project in Los Organos, which is to produce 200 tons of ammonia daily. At the same time, the Callao ammonium plant, which began operations a few years ago, continued to increase its production. In addition, installation of a calcium carbonate plant at Chimbote has virtually been completed. Among projects in preparation are ones for the future production of urea, and for increased production of chlorine, hydrochloric acid, etc.

In Venezuela, first-stage construction work has been practically completed for the Morón petrochemical complex, which now comprises fifteen installations and which, within a few months, will begin production of ammonium sulphate, urea, nitric acid and ammonium nitrate, with capacity sufficient to meet domestic needs and to export in certain quantities. Expansion of the refining division of this industrial center is expected soon, likewise the beginning of construction work on a plant for the production of explosives. Various other second-stage works will include the manufacture of synthetic rubber and raw materials for detergents. It is planned to complete this stage within about four years. Meanwhile, a lampblack plant has been set up in the environs of Valencia.

5. Petroleum Refining

The volume of crude oil processed by Latin American refineries in 1962 surpassed that of the preceding year by more than 12 percent, again registering a high growth rate for the industry, after two years of less vigorous expansion (see Table 92). The transitory slackening in development for both years was mainly due to a decline in the rate of expansion in Venezuela, a country whose refineries, in terms of capacity, account for almost one half of the regional installations in this field. ^{21/} Despite two years of moderate growth, the cumulative annual increment for the five-year period, on the regional level, amounts to 9.3 percent.

The circumstances contributing to this new surge in Latin American petroleum refining have varied. As for the stimuli of demand, it should be pointed out that in those countries which produce mainly or exclusively for domestic consumption, not only have the expansionist tendencies peculiar to the demand for petroleum products and by-products continued but, in various instances, they have become pronounced. Such tendencies result from rapidly growing needs among conventional consumers of these products and from the continuous entrance of new consumers into the market. Of course, the replacement of imports continued to play a certain role in this expansion; however, the process in general is quite well developed, and, in several instances, progress in replacement--achieved by putting new installations in operation--merely counterbalanced those periodic increases in imports which had occurred during construction of the new facilities. ^{22/}

21. In 1962, the growth rate shown for Venezuelan refining industries was 10.5 percent, the rates, for the remainder of the region, more than 14 percent. The corresponding coefficients for 1961 were almost 5 and 9 percent, respectively.

22. It should also be borne in mind in regard to certain by-products--such as fuel oil--that it may be advisable to cover a certain margin by importing. In fact, domestic demand for the different petroleum by-products does not ordinarily correspond exactly to the proportions in which these by-products are produced in the national processing of crude oil. Local production, of a residual product, the need for which is comparatively high, would require additional capacity, calling for large investments, and would suppose export of surplus by-products under not always very favorable terms, since there may also be a surplus in neighboring countries.

Table 92

LATIN AMERICA: PETROLEUM REFINING^{a/}
 1957, 1960, 1961, and 1962
 (In thousands of tons)

	1957	1960	1961	1962
Argentina	10,724	12,303	13,285	15,600
Bolivia	329	305	300	350
Brazil	5,867	8,966	10,426	13,543
Chile	1,006	1,397	1,640	1,970
Colombia	2,444	3,533	3,929	4,193
Ecuador	273	560	560	520
Mexico	11,684	16,023	17,022	16,950 ^{b/}
Panama	-	-	-	900 ^{c/}
Peru	2,110	2,237	2,242	2,430
Uruguay	1,160	1,389	1,402	1,535
<u>Subtotals^{d/}</u>	35,597	46,713	50,806	57,991
Rates of increment over the preceding year			8.8	14.1
Rates of cumulative annual increment 1957/1962				10.3
<u>Venezuela</u>	36,745	47,232	49,545	54,746
Rates of increment over the preceding year			4.9	10.5
Rates of cumulative annual increment 1957/1962				8.3
<u>Latin America^{d/}</u>				
Totals	72,342	93,945	100,351	112,737
Rates of increment over the preceding year		7.7	6.8	12.3
Rates of cumulative annual increment 1957/1962				9.3

Footnotes and sources are given on page 24

Of course, the case of Venezuela is very different, since three fourths of the refining industry is geared to export; therefore, a significant increase in production supposes improved prospects in the usual foreign markets or the winning of new consumers. Recent expansion was directed to the latter. As for the other exporting countries of the region, it should be pointed out that they sell only marginal quantities of by-products on the foreign market.

Recently, the abundant supply of domestic crude oil in Argentina certainly accounted, in part, for the growth in refining operations of that country. An important complementary factor in this increase was the expansion of the Luján de Cuyo (Mendoza Province) installations, with an added capacity of more than one million tons. In addition there were delayed effects of certain expansionist activities begun during preceding periods.

In Brazil, the demand for petroleum by-products kept on rising in 1962 as a result of continuing industrial development and the steady growth of the automotive fleet. At the same time, supply was considerably augmented because of the almost full-scale operation of the new Duque de Caxias plant (near Rio de Janeiro), which was set up during the preceding year and has a

Footnotes to Table 92.

- a. The figures for some countries include natural gasoline. It's production, however, is of minor proportions.
- b. The figures given by Petroleos Mexicanos--which indicate that part of petroleum production which is destined for refining, although this quantity may not be totally refined during the calendar year in which it was taken from the ground--are at some variance with the official figures quoted. They show an increase on the order of 4 percent for the last year. The data given by this last source, converted into units of weight, show 16.7 and 17.4 million tons for 1961 and 1962 respectively.
- c. Estimate
- d. Does not include Cuban production for lack of pertinent data.

Sources: United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Mines, World Petroleum Statistics (various numbers); for Mexico: Petroleo Publishing Co., Petroleo Interamericano, April 1963; Dirección General de Estadística, (various numbers); for Venezuela: Dirección General de Estadística, Boletín Mensual de Estadística (various numbers), Caracas. The original figures, indicating units of volume, have been converted to tons in accordance with the specific weights set forth in the Monthly Bulletin of Statistics of the United Nations.

capacity of more than 4 million tons per year. This additional productive capacity coupled with better use of certain others, made possible an appreciable replacement of imports in 1962, in spite of increasing consumption, since, at the same time, the total of imported petroleum products dropped by more than 2 million tons as compared with the preceding year.

The half government-owned Chilean plant of Concón (near Valparaíso), expanded in 1960, considerably increased the utilization of its installed capacity, meeting requirements of the growing demand. Its production exceeded that of the preceding year by more than 20 percent, a rate exceeded that year only by the expansion in Brazilian refining operations.

In 1962, Mexican refineries did not increase the amount of crude processed oil over that of the two preceding years, but the progressive introduction of certain processes made it possible to increase the output of various products of relatively greater value, such as gasoline, kerosene, diesel oil and gas oil; national output of these products now comes close to meeting domestic demand. Work on new projects has also been advanced.

The fact that Venezuelan refineries increased production in 1962 at a more rapid rate than in the preceding year reflected heavily in Latin American totals. In 1961, the Venezuelan petroleum industry, including the refining sector, had been confronted with the partial loss of certain of its traditional markets. Recently, such difficulties have been largely overcome as a result of the growing European demand for light oils and the gradual entrance of Venezuelan petroleum products in new markets.

Prospects for the refining industries appear even better in 1963 because then the Salamanca and Minatitlán plants will begin operating and thus increase Mexican refining capacity by almost 4.5 million tons a year. In addition, one plant in Bolivia and three in Central America (Guatemala, El Salvador, and Nicaragua) are expected to begin operating. These plants, together with one in Panama--whose first stage was completed in 1962--will add more than one million tons a year to the total capacity of the region.

Finally, projects now under way will bring about a significant increase in the refining capacity of Latin America in subsequent years. By 1965--or not much later--two important Brazilian plants, together with the multiple expansion program planned by Pemex in Mexico and the new Chilean plant in Concepción, are expected to raise the region's capacity by about 10 million tons annually. In addition, consideration is being given to a few, relatively small projects in Colombia, Paraguay, the Dominican Republic, and Costa Rica.

6. The Cement Industry

In 1962, Latin American cement production increased by a little more than 6.5 percent over the preceding year. This figure is slightly higher than that of the year before and of the last five-year period (see Table 93).

It may be noted that regional rates of growth encompass a great many different national coefficients, which not only vary considerably among themselves, but also usually fluctuate--sometimes markedly--in consecutive years. Thus, in 1962, the Chilean cement industry registered a growth rate of over 15 percent, and the Mexican and Colombian industries showed rates of approximately 10 percent, while the figures for Argentina and Venezuela reached levels of only between 1 and 1.5 percent. But if the percentages for Argentina and Mexico are compared with corresponding data for the preceding year, exactly the opposite trends are noted, for in that year Argentina registered a coefficient of 10 percent, while Mexico showed production at a standstill. As for Chile and Colombia, it should be pointed out that their cement production, now moving ahead strongly, had shown no signs of growth vitality for several years preceding; on the other hand, the Venezuelan industry had been outstanding in the past for its steady growth.

These facts also seem to point up another characteristic of the course of this industry: the tendency of national growth rates to level off among themselves in the long run, despite the variation in their annual fluctuations. Thus, for the last five-year period, the cumulative rates of Argentina, Chile, Colombia, and Mexico range between 4.5 and 7.0 percent. Hence, an annual growth of 5 or 6 percent may be considered quite typical for a group of semi-industrialized countries of the region which, in other aspects, have an appreciable weighting in the Latin American totals.^{23/}

23. Growth of the cement industry over the five years was slightly greater in Brazil and Ecuador and weaker in Peru, Uruguay, etc. The present poor course of this material's production in Venezuela seems to be of a temporary nature.

Table 93

LATIN AMERICA: CEMENT PRODUCTION
1957, 1960, 1961 and 1962
(Thousands of tons)

	1957	1960	1961	1962+
Argentina	2,363	2,641	2,906	2,941
Bolivia	24	39	42	49
Brazil	3,393	4,474	4,709	5,076
Central America ^{a/}	222	269	283+	275
Chile	727	835	883	1,021
Colombia	1,356	1,385	1,567	1,716
Dominican Republic	280	170	207	212
Ecuador	155	201	219	230
Haiti	28	48	44	46
Mexico	2,560	3,089	3,035	3,348
Panama	79	109	114	120
Paraguay	12	14	16	17
Peru	545	600	654	680
Uruguay	417	415	381	402
Venezuela	1,747	1,487	1,513	1,535
Latin America:				
Totals	13,908	15,776	16,573	17,668
Rates of increase over preceding year		7.7	5.1	6.6
Cumulative annual rates of growth 1957/1962				4.9

Sources: Official statistics and international publications, especially: United Nations, Statistical Yearbook, 1962, and Monthly Bulletin of Statistics, June 1963.

a. Includes El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua; cement is not yet produced in Costa Rica.

Besides the marked fluctuations in short-term development of this industry--reflecting the relative instability of the building industry and the fact that in this area the "buffer of import substitution is practically nonexistent 24--some attention should also be given to the peculiarity of its long-term rate of growth. In fact, the cumulative five-year rate represents an "intermediate" growth, which differs as much from the growth coefficient of the so-called dynamic industries in the region, as from the pattern of traditional manufacturing activities.

In order to account for this behavior of the cement industry, it is necessary to turn to the fact that, despite the sizable substitution achieved in the import area--which constitutes the main difference with respect to the still dynamic industries--it still has, potentially, sufficient new domestic markets. This potential is being progressively exploited through the gradual acquisition of new consumer centers, as well as through expanded use of this product in applications more or less novel on the domestic scene. In particular, the completion of various major engineering projects and numerous lesser ones--presently under way throughout the region or planned for the near future--is likely to increase demand for this material. Moreover, intensification of demand for public and private engineering projects can have a more and more stabilizing influence on the development of this industry, compensating somewhat for the erratic incidence of residential construction. 25/

Major expansion of capacity is now in progress in Argentina, Brazil and Mexico, but the expansion of productive capacity in Chile, Colombia, Peru and Uruguay is also significant. As for the construction of new installations in Argentina, it is expected that these will cover requirements for the next few years; on the other hand, certain doubts have arisen, regarding the ability of the current expansion of Brazil's cement industry to meet the steadily increasing domestic demand.

24. In general terms. It should be mentioned, however, that toward the middle of 1963 the Peruvian Government withdrew the tariff protection granted this industry, after the latter raised its domestic prices.

25. Although the severe economic crisis in Argentina had already had an effect on the course of various important industries at the beginning of the second half of 1962, the cement industry sustained major reverses only in the latter part of the year, and, even then, it closed the year with a certain advance. This seems ascribable, principally, to the cushioning effect of the consumption of engineering projects in the petroleum industry.

7. THE IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRY

During 1962, the Latin American iron and steel industry continued to grow, at a pace almost as fast as in preceding years. Moreover, in the most important producing countries of the region, its development showed various favorable signs regarding product quality and elasticity of long-term supply, although its short-term availability was not always sufficient, in fact, conditions of relatively ample supply in certain countries alternated with growing shortages in others. So too, in the rationalization of operations certain progress has been evident, even though in many localities competitive levels have not yet been reached.

The new increase in production resulted almost entirely from the expansion of existing facilities and the construction of new installations. Originally, and even greater expansion had been planned for 1962, but the lack of funds--especially domestic--has delayed completion of certain major projects under construction.

Despite these drawbacks, the manufacture of raw steel in 1962 increased at a rate of almost 10 percent, slightly lower than that of preceding years (See Table 94). x/

As for the production of finished steels--rolled, wire-drawn and pressed--the provisional figures available ^{26/} indicate an increase of only about 7.5 percent for 1962, which is much lower than the increase for the 1957-62 period. However, no symptomatic significance can be attached to this slackening of pace since it results entirely from the temporary drop in production in Argentine rolling mills--where there is a surplus capacity--without affecting the growth pattern of raw steel manufacturing.

The simultaneous development of pig iron production should be subject to a somewhat different appraisal. The recent slackening in the growth rate of this item, which fell from 16 percent in 1961 and 13 percent for the five-year period to 7 percent for last year, draws attention. The expansion of

26. With respect to the production of raw steel and pig iron, 1962 data are now final for the most part or only subject to relatively minor adjustments; on the other hand, regarding finished steels, a great part of the latest figures are still provisional.

x/ Table 94 will be issued separately.

cast-iron capacity is characterized by larger production units and, therefore, variations in growth are normally more abrupt. In this instance, the circumstances of the year contributed toward a more pronounced fluctuation. In fact, the main reason that more intense growth was registered in pig iron production than in the other iron and steel operations during the five-year period was the eagerness of various Latin American countries to set up integrated iron and steel mills 27/; this brought on a more or less parallel expansion in the manufacture of steel and cast iron. But as production of the latter item was initially lower, corresponding rates of growth were higher than for steel. Nevertheless, recent problems in financing, more than anything else, delayed completion of certain important integrated projects, for which reason the slackening of pace in this item was more marked than in steel ingots. Actually, the last annual increment in Latin American production of pig iron would be even lower, were it not the successive installation of electrometallurgical furnaces at the new Venezuelan plant and certain improvements made in the Chilean integrated center.

In the manufacture of raw steel, the highest increments were achieved in Argentina, Chile, Brazil and Venezuela. At the same time, production remained--for the first time in a long while--almost stationary in Mexico, and below that of the preceding year in Colombia, a slight drop also being registered for Peru 28/.

27. To a certain extent, the setting up of certain new iron-smelting works with charcoal blast furnaces also contributed to this result.

28. In addition to slight variations in percentages for each country in total Latin American steel production, recent changes, especially outfitting of the Venezuelan electrometallurgical plant, as well as various technological transformations effected in the Brazilian industry, also caused steel production to vary according to processes in use. In 1962, about 68 percent of production was accounted for by Siemens-Martin plants, 25 percent by electric furnaces, 4 percent by the Linz-Donawitz process, 2 percent by Thomas converters, and 1 percent by the Bessemer process.

In 1961, regional production of 5 million tons of finished steel was supplemented by importing 3 million tons. For 1962, data are not yet complete as to the relation between production and imports, but it may be anticipated that the volume of imports has increased for various countries.

Meanwhile, completion of projects under way and planning for new ones followed a course that was relatively independent of fluctuations in production. The total importance of these projects offers promising prospects for the future production of this industry on the regional level.

Despite the serious difficulties encountered by the Argentine economy in 1962 and the depressive effect of large steel imports during the preceding period, progress has been made in standardizing operations at the integrated San Nicolas center where, moreover, development of the so-called "immediate" expansion program has taken place between the first and second stages of construction of this plant. Nevertheless, it has been deemed necessary to postpone the beginning of second-stage operations. 29/.

Toward the end of the year, the new semi-integrated plant at Siderca en Campana (Buenos Aires, Province), of 100 thousand tons capacity, was inaugurated. At the same time, first-stage construction work was greatly advanced at Altos Hornos Güemes (Salta, Province), whose two furnaces will produce 25 thousand tons per year. 30/ During the year in question, progress was

29. With reference to completion of the first stage, in 1962 the mill for hot-rolling sheet steel went into operation and, in the beginning of 1963, that for cold-rolling; only the tin-plate process remains to be begun. As a part of this additional ("immediate") program, the fifth Siemens-Martin furnace was inaugurated at the steelworks. The plan will reach completion with installation of an oxygen plant and certain auxiliary equipment which will soon raise mill capacity to 1.16 million tons per year; this will tend to balance somewhat the capacity of this department with that of the large-scale rolling mill. On the other hand, postponement of the second stage proper precludes the possibility that the plant will reach for 1965 or 1966 the production figure of 2 million tons in steel ingots which had been previously contemplated.

30. The first blast furnace was inaugurated at this plant in March 1963.

also made in the planning of other projects, among which is a plant for special steels.

Although these projects will supply some temporary relief as regards supply situation in the near future, it must also be taken for granted that iron and steel shortages in the country will soon again become acute. In fact, recent projections of steel demands in Argentina show an increase in consumption which may rise from 3 million tons of raw steel in 1962 to 3.8 million tons in 1965, 4.4 million in 1967, and 5 million in 1970.

An important event in the Brazilian iron and steel industry in 1962 was the lighting of the first blast furnace at the new Usiminas plant 31/ in Ipatinga (Minas Gerais). The initial steel-producing capacity of this plant will be 500 thousand tons. Capacity will rise to 2 million in the second stage, which will be initiated around 1966. As the completion of various of its divisions has had to be postponed somewhat, in the interval pending introduction of integrated operations, the plant will export pig iron to Japan, in exchange for coking coal coming from the United States. Moreover, it will provide Volta Redonda with certain amounts of pig iron and steel, and Acesita with coke. 32/

Construction and equipping of Cosipa, another important new plant being erected near Sao Paulo, has been delayed somewhat longer, although considerable progress has been made in several of its sections. Certain delays also have characterized the transformation of the Vitória ironworks into integrated center and the construction of the steelworks in the Northeast. 33/ At the same time, progress has been made, through various technological improvements, in development of the great Volta Redonda plant, and the integrated Belgo-Mineira and Mannesmann centers have been expanded through new construction work. In conclusion, it is expected that Brazilian steel production, which in 1962 came to 2.5 million tons, may double by 1966 or a little later, a 7-million-ton

31. For information pertaining to the financing of this plant, see Part I, Chapter II of the present survey.

32. It has been estimated that manufacture of chemicals from by-products of coke will double production of this item for the country.

33. Beginning of partial operations at Cosipa and inauguration of the first-stage steel installations at Vitória are scheduled for the second half of 1963.

level being foreseen for 1970. Realization of these goals would mean almost meeting projected consumption for the second half of this decade, as long as development requirements in this field do not substantially exceed present estimates. 34/

Two interesting features of the recent evolution of the iron and steel industry in this country are the gradual replacement of Siemens-Martins processes by L-D converters (with oxygen injectors), and the increasing regional distribution of the industry.

At the Colombian plant in Paz del Rio, a sheet mill with a capacity of 50 thousand tons per year went into operation toward the end of 1962. The new development plan for this plant entails raising its steel-producing capacity from 123 thousand tons per year to 220 or more in the first stage, completion of which is expected by 1965, while in a second state, which will be begun simultaneously but completed at a later time, it is proposed to diversify production to include the manufacture of tin plate, etc.

Iron and steel production in Colombia shows certain trends toward decentralization, inasmuch as this country's two semi-integrated plants now have a combined capacity of slightly more than 50 thousand tons and--despite certain considerations to the contrary--new plans are under way for construction of other plants on the Atlantic coast and in the Cauca valley.

At the integrated Chilean center of Huachipato, the 500-thousand-ton mark in ingot production was reached for the first time in 1962. The concern's immediate goal is to increase capacity gradually until a production of 600 thousand tons is attained in 1965. This expansion would be effected

34. According to statements made by one official of the industry 500 thousand tons of steel per year, are needed for the manufacture of automobiles, and 100 thousand tons for shipbuilding; with reference to canning-industry, demands, it should be observed that domestic production presently takes care of one half.

by an investment of 50 million dollars, partly for replacement of certain equipment and partly for new installations intended to increase productivity. 35/

The temporary standstill in Mexican iron and steel production for 1962 relates to certain phenomena of the economic contraction of the preceding year which resulted in a falling off of apparent steel consumption, which dropped from a level of almost 1,930,000 tons in 1960 to 1,850,000 for 1961. 36/ On the other hand, the steady growth of the Mexican iron and steel industry in the past has made it possible to keep reducing the share of imports in this country's apparent consumption, so that the volume of imported items dropped from 40 percent in 1956 to 30 percent in 1958, and to 15 percent in 1961. This reduction in the margin of substitution--which continued downwards in 1962 to the 10-percent level 37/--tended to make producers more cautious in the face of accumulation of stocks. Nevertheless, the domestic steel market seems to be quite expansive on a long-term basis, and construction of new facilities was continued in 1962. Plans of the most important concerns in this field for succeeding years were also maintained. Projects for expansion of the Monclova and Monterrey plants are particularly noteworthy, as are those at Tamsa and two new projects of considerable importance with good prospects of realization.

35. With reference to operation of the blast furnace, changes planned involve injection of petroleum and/or coke gas, strict control of ore size and setting up a self-fluxing sinter plant, etc. In the steelworks, injection of oxygen into the Siemens-Martin furnaces will be introduced, and the capacity of two of these furnaces will be increased from 100 tons to 200. The rolling mill already has a processing capacity of 600 thousand tons per year in ingots, but for optimum output it requires auxiliary installations which will also be set up during this phase of expansion. Shortly after completion of these complementary installations, the remainder of the original plans for expansion is to be carried out, including construction of a second blast furnace and various other works to complement the other stages of production.

36. In 1962, apparent consumption again rose slightly, reaching a level of 1.9 million tons.

37. In 1962, domestic production met total domestic consumer needs for wire rods, cast-iron pipe, sheet iron, tinplate, and galvanized sheets. Moreover, domestic industry supplied 90 percent of direct consumption of structural beams; corrugated reinforcements for concrete; spikes; drawn wire; steel for tools, tubing, nails, and staples; hot-and cold-rolled sheets; and hot-and cold-rolled strips.

Toward the middle of 1962, the owners of the plant at Chimbote, Peru, concluded a contract with a European partner. According to the terms of this agreement the capacity of this integrated center--which had been designed for 60 thousand tons and which, owing to certain recent improvements, can now produce about 75 thousand tons of raw steel--would be raised within a few years to 350 thousand tons, at a cost of 130 million dollars. At present, the country consumes some 200,000 tons, the estimate for 1970 coming to 500,000.

Geological surveys recently carried out in Uruguay have made it possible to estimate that the Valentines iron-ore reserves run to 30 million tons; this amount is sufficient to supply a domestic iron and steel industry over a long period and still leave a surplus for export. Various foreign offers are presently being considered for exploitation of these deposits, and at the same time, plans for providing the country with an integrated center have received new impetus.

The new Venezuelan plant at Matanzas, which had gone into operation toward the end of 1961 with the manufacture of seamless tubes, using imported materials, next began producing pig-iron; and, toward the middle of 1962, it also began to pour steel. Thus, operation of the plant which will soon be completed with the rolling of beams, began on an integrated basis. The successive putting into operation of the different installations of this plant means a steel-making capacity of 760 thousand tons for 1963 and a pig-iron capacity of 700 thousand tons. Finished-steel capacity will be 600,000 tons, approximately half of this yearly volume being seamless tubes. Steel-pouring capacity may increase in the coming years, through introduction of certain technological processes, to 1 million tons, while in the second-stage development of the plant it may reach more than 2 million tons.

For Venezuela, these plans not only imply an early reduction in its sizable steel imports, but also, with the passing of time, the possibility of exporting iron and steel in increasingly significant amounts, it being estimated that within about 12 years production in this commercial field could be of a magnitude comparable to that of today's petroleum industry. It should be observed that the government plan for development of the iron and steel industry is closely tied in with the design of a great complex of industrial projects proposed for Venezuelan Guiana. 38/

38. Expansion of a semi-integrated plant now able to produce 90,000 tons of steel bars was also completed by 1962.

8. The Motor Vehicle Industry

During 1962, the automotive industry continued to be one of the most vigorous factors in manufacturing development. However, with the gradual maturing of such operations in the two principal producing countries, the growth rate tended to slacken on the regional plane, falling from the 25 percent registered for the preceding year--in terms of units produced--to a little over 12.5 percent.

Of the Latin American production of more than 405,000 automotive vehicles in 1962, 320,000 units were the product of integrated manufacturing systems or of systems on the way to being integrated, the bulk of parts being manufactured locally. The rest were the product of assembly. Of these vehicles, somewhat more than 190,000 were produced in Brazil, and slightly less than 130,000 in Argentina 39/ (see Table 95).

39. In Brazil, probably more than 93 percent of component parts are being manufactured locally, and in Argentina, about 65 percent. Figures in both instances are in terms of value, calculated on an average basis, among the various types of automotive vehicles and for the two halves of the year.

Table 95

LATIN AMERICA: MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCTION, 1957, 1960, 1961
and 1962 a/

(Thousands of units)

	1957	1960	1961	1962+
I. National manufacture b/				
Argentina				
Automobiles and jeeps	12 3	45 1	85 9	91 7
Trucks	3 0	44 3	50 3	37 4
Total	15 3	89 4	136 2	129 1
Brazil				
Automobiles and jeeps	9 2	57 3	72 7	129 8
Trucks	20 4	75 7	73	61 3
Total	29 6	135 0	145 7	191 1
II. Assembly b/				
Argentina				
Automobiles and jeeps	10 0	--	--	--
Trucks	5 4	--	--	--
Total	15 4	--	--	--
Brazil				
Automobiles and jeeps	--	--	--	--
Trucks	1 1	--	--	--
Total	1 1	--	--	--
Chile				
Automobiles	--	1 2	3 0	4 5
Colombia				
Jeeps	--	--	0 8	2 2
Mexico				
Automobiles and jeeps	18 3	31 8	38 6	43 5
Trucks	22 8	20 5	23 0	21 6
Total	41 1	52 3	61 6	65 1
Uruguay				
Automobiles	--	--	0 0+	0 3
Venezuela				
Automobiles and jeeps	8 9	6 4	8 9	8 9
Trucks	5 9	3 9	2 9	3 5
Total	14 8	10 3	11 7	12 4

Table 95--cont.

	1957	1960	1961	1962+
Subtotal I				
Automobiles and jeeps	21 5	102 4	158 6	221 5
Trucks	23 4	120 0	123 3	98 7
Total	72 4	63 8	77 1	84 5
Subtotal II				
Automobiles and jeeps	37 2	39 4	51 2	59 4
Trucks	35 2	24 4	25 9	25 1
Total	72 4	63 8	77 1	84 5
Latin America				
Automobiles and jeeps	58 7	141 8	209 8	280 9
Trucks	58 6	144 4	149 2	123 8
Total	117 3	286 2	359 0	404 7
Rates of growth in comparison with previous year			25 4	12 8%
Cumulative annual rates of growth for the five-year period 1957-62-				28 3%

a. Motorcycles, motor scooters and similar motor vehicles (with fewer than four wheels) are not included.

b. The distinction between national manufacture and assembly is based on the criterion adopted in earlier Economic Surveys of Latin America, according to which automotive vehicles assembled in a country are considered to be of national manufacture if at least one third of their weight is made up of locally manufactured components and if,--where the domestic contribution does not far exceed such proportions-- a plan for gradual increase of that share is being carried out.

Sources: United Nations, Monthly Bulletin of Statistics, New York; Conselho Nacional de Estatística, Anuario Estatístico do Brasil 1962 and Boletim Estatístico, October-December 1962, Rio de Janeiro; Dirección General de Estadística, Boletín Mensual de Estadística October-November and December 1962, Caracas; Dirección General de Estadística, Revista de Estadística (various numbers for 1962 and 1963), Mexico; the figures for Chile, Colombia and Uruguay are based on estimates from fragmentary information.

Moreover, out of the grand total of 405,000 units, 281,000 were automobiles and jeeps, and only 124,000, or slightly over 30 percent, trucks. It should be observed that the percentage of vehicles in this latter category has been gradually falling year after year in the regional totals and, with the exception of Venezuela, in national totals, also. Paralleling this trend, ever-increasing emphasis has been placed on satisfying the perceptibly more pressing demand for vehicles for personal use. 40/ Hence, production of the latter item increased, even in 1962, by 34 percent over that of the previous year.

The indirect effects of the continuous build-up of the automotive industry continued to constitute, in various ways, stimuli favorable to growth in general. This came about particularly owing to connections with supply industries, but also, in some measure, thanks to the support afforded the transportation industries. At the same time, the growth of this activity exerted increasing pressure on insufficient resources and, through the financing of purchase of vehicles, on the limited supply of savings and noninflationary credit sources in general.

Aside from these features general to the evolution of the automotive industry, the state of development and leading problems of the moment naturally show considerable variations among the producing countries.

In Brazil, the first-stage development of this branch has now been practically completed with the virtual integration of pertinent producing activities. Nevertheless, the backlog of demand in the automotive field has also been met, for which reason the industry is now faced with the urgent task of adapting its operations to the requirements of current domestic demand, and to the needs for more steady export. This presupposes, in both areas, settled costs and prices. According to the results of an official investigation, among the factors of greatest importance in the unsatisfactory evolution of automotive prices are the high degree of remuneration assigned to elements of limited productive value (entrepreneurs, investment capital, highly qualified technicians) and the multiplicity of taxes. Ways of reducing these factors especially in regard to the problem of taxes on units earmarked

40. This trend, moreover, corresponds to the distribution of production between the two categories of vehicles in highly developed free-enterprise countries.

for export, are under study. Despite these circumstances, Brazilian exports of vehicles and of parts, in particular, within and without the region, through diverse types of transactions, approached the 10 million dollar level in 1962.

Argentina is also engaged in achieving a high degree of integration in its automotive industry, similar to that achieved in Brazil. The plan for gradual replacement of imported parts is, however, only now in its third year of operation and is not expected to be completed until 1965. From the point of view of this objective, the most important events in this field have been the successive installation of new plants for the manufacture of component parts, a process which, despite certain setbacks, has shown undeniable vigor.

The serious crisis through which manufacturing production in the country passed during the second half of 1962--especially in the mechanical industries sector--also affected this activity. Although automotive production did not sustain a reduction of more than 7 percent in the balance for the whole year, this outcome is due especially to the appreciable increments achieved in the first months of this period. 41/

This crisis also highlighted various problems relating to the operations of this industry, especially in connection with the multiplicity of models manufactured and the capitalization of the respective enterprises, the importing of parts not used in current production, quality control of nationally-produced parts, and financing of sales. In accord with present guidelines, it seems probable that, of the 23 assembly enterprises in existence, no more than 10 will be definitively approved. Moreover, urgent measures are planned to assure a more efficient control of imported parts needed during the remaining years of transition, including priority utilization of component parts imported in the recent past for the purpose of building up inventories. At the same time, more complete equipping of the automotive research center maintained by interested industrialists is being expedited with a view to expanding its services both of an advisory nature and for quality control of materials and parts locally produced.

41. Results for the first quarter of 1963 show a more pronounced drop in the manufacture of automotive vehicles.

Finally, in matters of sales credits, among other solutions the endeavor is being made to obtain more active cooperation from the foreign parent companies through partial contribution of the necessary funds.

Only in recent years has Mexico begun to develop its automotive industry on an integrated basis. Recent laws require that the national content of vehicles be at least 60 percent, including motors, beginning September 1964. However, in order to achieve fuller integration concession of a longer period than in the South American countries is being contemplated. On the eve of liquidating their operations of mere assembly, several foreign firms are trying to maintain themselves in the market by making important investments. Nevertheless it is felt that in the long run only about eight of them will remain in the field, some of them, of course, representing, mergers of two different well-known makes. It is expected that the effect of tax inducements soon to be announced will be considerable; however, at the same time sharp limitations will be introduced on the length of time foreign technicians can be employed. For this and other reasons, it is likely that greater emphasis will be placed on the acceleration of technical training.

In Mexico there is no backlog--accumulated over a period of years--such as that which not long ago characterized the market of several South American countries; rather, it is expected that the increase in demand over the next few years will be relatively even-paced.

As for the three remaining motor vehicle-producing countries, it should be noted that assembly plants have been in regular operation for more than a decade in Venezuela and for almost half a decade in the Chilean free port of Arica, while in Colombia this activity has been going on for hardly two years. ^{42/} A common feature of policies of the last three countries in this matter is the manifested desire to achieve gradual integration of their automotive production.

It would seem easier for Colombia and Chile, which are members of LAFTA, to try to organize the manufacture of parts by pooling their resources with those of other nations of that zone. As a matter of fact, certain provisions in this direction have recently been included in their regulations.

42. Small quantities of vehicles are also being assembled in Uruguay and plans have been made to begin assembly operations soon in Peru.

Venezuela envisions a somewhat slower pace in future local manufacturing of component parts but it shows a keen interest in acquiring soon sufficient assembly capacity to be able to forego all imports of vehicles assembled abroad. Furthermore, specific plans have already been made in the country to begin manufacturing parts in several plants to be constructed during a five-year period in the Valencia area, with local production of certain parts expected to get under way within a year or two. Eventually even motors will be manufactured.

9. Tractors Production

With respect to the production of tractors in Latin America, it can be said that this industry exists in only two countries at present: Argentina, which has been active for more than a decade, and Brazil, which recently entered into its third year. In 1962, the volume of production in both countries lagged behind expectations 43/ (see Table 96).

Since the farming techniques employed in vast areas of Latin America are far from efficient, there is, in principle, a great need for mechanization of agriculture. However, general economic conditions and the structure of this productive sector would hardly permit large-scale purchase of such an important piece of farm machinery as long as the prices of locally produced units are not in line with world levels and, especially, if it is not possible to keep ample credit systems in operation. The inflationary climate of various nations naturally precludes the extension of credit by private sources; therefore the burden of providing financial assistance in those nations tends for the most part to fall upon the public sector.

Argentina now has an annual capacity of assembling 30,000 tractors. In 1960, production had reached 21,000 units, which is substantially greater than the 12,000 or so assembled in

43. The relative increase of 20 percent in this activity for the past year over the previous year is due only to the fact that in Brazil the tractor industry is in the early stage of development and that the basis for comparison is small. Furthermore, two years prior to that the regional total was greater than in 1962, due to the highest levels of this activity reached in Argentina at that time.

1962. Such a low level of operations in this sector is due in part to the extraordinary conditions of the year under study, but it should be noted that production had begun to drop perceptibly in 1961. Thus, it can be expected that the volume produced will rise again in future years and that progress toward integration of the industry will continue. It should be mentioned that the necessary steps have been taken to secure an important loan from an international financial institution, a considerable part of which will be used to promote farm mechanization. Furthermore, the privileges granted in the past to the importation of internal combustion engines have been suspended, leaving the local industry to produce them for the manufacture of tractors in accordance with the program for the development of this industry.

On the other hand, the increase in Brazilian production was 13 percent lower than that called for in plans for the industry, due in part to certain delays in getting some new plants under way and also to a more limited demand, which apparently influenced to some extent the lag in the installation of additional units. Furthermore the already unfavorable demand conditions worsened during the first few months of 1963, at which time production showed a drop from the same period of the preceding year. At any rate, far-reaching solution to the credit problem is being sought through government intervention, by which means 60 percent of the cost of each unit is being financed, as well as through the utilization of an inter-American loan in this case.

In Mexico, where small quantities of tractors had been assembled in the past, and the industry then suspended, it was recently decided to give full governmental support to firms that produce locally. The purpose of this policy is to substitute imports that fluctuate between 5,000 and 6,000 units per year and, eventually, to increase farm mechanization which is inadequate at present. It is estimated that the country will need 220,000 units instead of the 50,000 that are available now. The integration of this industry will also be carried out gradually. There is now a specific project, with assured financing, for the local manufacture of certain spare parts. In addition, preparations are being made to build one tractor plant soon and possibly a second.

Other plans are under way in three Latin American countries--Colombia, Chile and Venezuela to intensify their industrialization by promoting their machine industries and the manufacture of motor vehicles.

Table 96

LATIN AMERICA: TRACTOR PRODUCTION, 1957, 1960, 1961 AND 1962
(Units)

	1957	1960	1961	1962
Argentina	10,878	20,958	14,730	11,742
Brazil	--	37	1,678	8,077
Latin América	10,878	20,995	16,408	19,819

Sources: For Argentina: Desarrollo Económico y Social de la Argentina, Report presented by the Government of Argentina to the Second Annual Meeting of IA-ECOSOC at the Expert Level, 1963, Doc. OEA/Serv.H/X.5 CIES/309; the data for recent years have been taken from various national and international publications. For Brazil: Conselho Nacional de Estatística, Anuário Estatístico do Brasil 1962 and Boletín Estatístico, October and December 1962, Rio de Janeiro.

With respect to the manufacture of tractor parts, a certain degree of cooperation exists between several countries in the center of the free-trade area, but most of the efforts made to date have not produced effective results.

10. Ship building

The ship building industry is gradually being established in Latin America although, on a relatively large scale it is still restricted to two countries: Argentina and Brazil. To date, only these republics have achieved a marked degree of development.

The Brazilian ship-building industry--which was established only four years ago has now reached a yearly capacity of 180 thousand deadweight tons (dwt) and ships of up to 12 thousand dwt are being built; 10 thousand workers are employed and, according to official figures, 60 per cent of the parts are manufactured locally. 44/ Whereas in 1961, shipyards in the country were building 17 ships with a total tonnage of approximately 70 thousand t, in early 1962, 21 units were under construction with a total tonnage of 110 thousand dwt designed primarily for coastal shipping.

The initial success achieved in the industry leads to the conclusion that current capacity, in addition to improvement by the establishment of two shifts, will expand in the near future. The largest shipyard in the country has initiated an expansion program to utilize its installations for the assembly of ships up to 60 thousand dwt. It is estimated that a capacity of 300 thousand tons would be needed for immediate replacement alone, and a backlog demand still exists. Prospects for exports in the near future are also favorable. Mention should be made

44. This percentage indicates the national proportion with respect to ship tonnage. It is estimated that with respect to value, local industry is now contributing over 70 per cent. It is also anticipated that not much later than the end of 1964, nationalization will surpass 95 per cent, as by that time, diesel marine engines--which will be produced by then--will be provided entirely by national plants and, moreover, Usiminas would be in a position to deliver thick steel plates for the ship-building.

of the potential future export capacity of the Brazilian ship building industry resulting from the successful completion of negotiations with Mexico to build five freighters of from 4,500 to 10,500 dwt.

A rehabilitation plan for the merchant fleet is being carried out in Argentina, whereby approximately one-half of the total needs, estimated at one-half million dwt, will be met through a ten-year local construction program. Another phase of the program calls for purchases abroad and, meanwhile, some second-hand vessels will be purchased. The local phase of the purchase plan involves over 30 units. In May 1962, the first of three sister ships, was launched. These vessels are 8 thousand dwt each and are being built by the government-owned shipyard. The aforesaid ocean freighter is the largest vessel ever built at an Argentine shipyard. In addition, in late 1962, a 1,780-ton river-tank vessel was launched, and in early 1963, two tugboats built for the river navigation fleet, which is likewise engaged in a rehabilitation program were also launched.

Mexico is also promoting the expansion of ship installations in Vera Cruz, which were given in concession to a private firm, and it appears that it will also carry out the Mazatlán project, which has the support of a European firm. According to plans, units of increasing tonnage will be built successively, first 3,000-ton vessels and eventually, after five or six years, other vessels of from 15,000 to 20,000 tons. Smaller fishing and coast guard vessels, as well as a few units for export, are now being assembled.

In Chile and Peru this branch of industry is becoming increasingly important primarily because locally built units are needed for the expanding fishing industry. Similar plans are now under study in Colombia.

11. Manufacture of Industrial Machinery and Equipment

Even though a quantification of the recent development of the manufacture of different producers' goods encounters serious methodological and statistical difficulties, particularly in considering its scope for the region as a whole, it may be said that this activity now constitutes an increasingly important segment of the mechanical industries, particularly in the three largest and most industrialized countries in the region. The production of machinery and instruments of universal use has been outstanding for many years. Examples are machines, tools, pumps, cranes and even traveling cranes as

well as diverse components of electrical equipment, including heavy parts. Recently however, the manufacture of equipment for specific industries has taken on growing importance, such as petroleum, iron and steel, paper, textile, sugar, certain chemical branches, mining in general and building.

In the field of machinery manufacturing, developments in Brazil continue to be outstanding. In 1961, the industry in that country had already met 40 percent of the domestic demand, and in 1962--according to incomplete data available--there seems to have been continued progress though at a slightly lower rate. Despite this trend, that branch of manufacturing appears likely to undergo more rapid development in the future. A recent study 45/indicates that it could grow to the point of satisfying approximately 85 percent of the needs for such machinery.

Recent achievements of Mexican industry are also outstanding. Several plants for the production of new types of capital goods have been opened in that country. At the same time it has begun to implement or prepare other projects designed to continue expanding the range of equipment produced. In this field, moreover, there appears to be prevalent determination to assure new industries of a competitive position in the future.

Notwithstanding the crisis in Argentina, progress continued to be made in various projects. There have been certain delays, which were connected with the simultaneous weakening of the demand for products of several industries in this branch, but which were also partly ascribable to the pronounced lack of liquidity.

45. United Nations, The Manufacture of Industrial Machinery and Equipment in Latin America, I. Basic Equipment in Brazil, New York, 1963

1744 - 1745

1744 - 1745

1744 - 1745

1744 - 1745

1744 - 1745

1744 - 1745



IA-ECOSOC

INTER-AMERICAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL

OEASer.H/X.4
CIES/299 (English)
1 September 1963
Original: English/Spanish

PART I
Chapter V

SECOND ANNUAL MEETINGS OF THE IA-ECOSOC
AT THE EXPERT LEVEL AND AT THE MINISTERIAL LEVEL
OCTOBER-NOVEMBER 1963
SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance
for Progress in 1962

CHAPTER V. The Execution of Economic Programs
under the Alliance for Progress

PROVISIONAL

N O T E

This document contains Chapter V of Part I of the Economic and Social Survey of Latin America for the year 1962. The Survey is being distributed in parts in order to make this material available at the earliest possible moment. The first four chapters plus Parts II and III will be distributed as soon as possible.

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

- PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance for Progress
- Chapter I. Latin American Exports and the Markets for Primary Products
- Chapter II. Latin America's Capacity to Import, and its Balance of Payments
- Chapter III. Total Production, Capital Formation, and Monetary Developments
- Chapter IV. Manufacturing Sector
- Chapter V. The Execution of Economic Programs under the Alliance for Progress
- PART II. Principal Characteristics and Development of the Central American Productive Structure
- PART III. Social Survey

I N D E X

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER V. THE EXECUTION OF ECONOMIC PROGRAMS UNDER THE ALLIANCE FOR PROGRESS	1
A. DEVELOPMENT PLANNING	1
1. Progress achieved in plan formulation	2
2. Growth targets: output, capital formation and the balance of payments	6
3. Measures to reduce structural imbalance	16
B. NATIONAL PROGRAMS OF AGRARIAN REFORM	23
1. The General Pattern of Recent Agrarian Reform Programs	24
2. The experiences of individual countries	28
C. TAX REFORM	37
1. Increased tax receipts	38
2. More equitable distribution of the tax burden ..	42
3. Tax incentives to investment	42
4. Improved tax administration	43

SYMBOLS USED

Three dots (...) indicate that data are not available or are not separately reported.

A dash (—) indicates that the amount is nil or negligible.

A minus sign (-) indicates a deficit or decrease.

A stroke (/) indicates a crop year or fiscal year--e.g., 1954/55.

A full stop (.) is used to indicate decimals.

A space is used to distinguish thousands and millions (3 421 520).

Use of a hyphen (-) between two dates --e.g., 1950-1954--normally signifies an annual average for the calendar years involved, including the beginning and end years.

"to" between the years indicates the full period--e.g., "1950 to 1954"--means 1950 to 1954 inclusive.

Reference to "tons" indicates metric tons; and to "dollars," United States dollars, unless otherwise stated.

Totals do not necessarily correspond to the sum of their components, because of rounding.

An asterisk (*) is used to indicate figures partially or wholly estimated.

The term "billion" signifies a thousand million.

PART I

Chapter V

THE EXECUTION OF ECONOMIC PROGRAMS UNDER THE ALLIANCE FOR PROGRESS

A. DEVELOPMENT PLANNING

Economic planning is not a new idea in Latin America; a number of countries have for some time had experience with the formulation and execution of long-term programs for particular sectors or regions.^{1/} Over-all planning has also received attention by a number of Latin American governments. By the time the Charter of Punta del Este was signed, public agencies in Chile, Colombia, Ecuador and Venezuela for instance were engaged in either the actual preparation of national development plans or in laying down the bases for such actions.^{2/}

Nevertheless, the Charter of Punta del Este signified a new departure in this field. For the first time all member states of the Inter-American System officially endorsed the concept of planning as a vital policy tool for development and, implicitly, as one of the criteria by which national efforts could be evaluated and put into perspective.^{3/} For the first time too, the notion that some connection might exist between the quality and seriousness of a country's development effort as expressed in its economic plan,^{4/} and the foreign assistance it might be ready to absorb received official endorsement by all member governments.

1. Mexico, for example, has for several years had plans covering public investment, private investment and specific activities in different regions, but coordination of these at the national level is a recent phenomenon. Brazil has had a special Development Bank since 1952, and since 1959 a more comprehensive development agency for Northeast (SUDENE).

2. The Corporación de Fomento de la Producción (CORFO) of Chile, created in 1939, had been approaching the aggregate planning stage gradually over a number of years; in Colombia work on a national development plan was initiated in the latter half of the nineteen fifties; and in Ecuador the Junta Nacional de Planificación published in 1958 the Bases y Directivas para Programar el Desarrollo Económico del Ecuador. In Venezuela, the Oficina de Coordinación y Planificación Económica (CORDIPLAN) had been working on a national plan since 1958.

3. See Charter of Punta del Este, Title 2, Chapter II.

4. Including specific economic and social goals, as well as such socio-political changes as land reform and tax reform, which would require strong and well designed government action and which therefore would have a place in an integral plan if such a document is indeed considered a government's action program and not merely a statement of desired targets or of past trends projected into the future.

/Viewed in

Viewed in this context, the tasks facing the Latin American governments in 1961, when they subscribed to the principles of the Alliance for Progress, were immense. In most countries the apparatus of government was not geared to an easy absorption of a centrally designed, coherent economic policy for development; even in those few countries in which enough technically competent personnel could be found to formulate and implement an over-all program, making it operational meant profound changes in the decision making process, for which time, patience, enthusiasm and perseverance will continue to be needed.

1. Progress achieved in plan formulation

It is thus all the more remarkable that by mid-1963 not only had all OAS member states formally created central planning bodies, but an appreciable number had actually either formulated long-term development plans or had advanced enough in this direction to expect that by the end of 1964 all but two or three will have finalized such plans. To date Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Honduras, Mexico, Panama and Venezuela have submitted plans to the Panel of Experts.^{5/} The analysis of the first three of these has been completed and the Panel is well advanced in its evaluation of the Honduran, Mexican and Venezuelan plans; evaluation of Panama's plan has recently been initiated. The five Central American countries are rapidly advancing in their plan formulations through national programs broadly synchronized on a regional basis with the help of a joint OAS/IDB/ECLA/SIECA (Permanent Secretariat of the General Treaty for Central American Economic Integration)/BCIE (Bank for Central American Economic Integration) mission, which is trying especially to assist in the aspects of national planning that have implications for the regional integration program. Work is also well advanced in a number of other countries (see Table 97).^{6/}

The widely differing historical contexts in which planning has arisen in individual countries, and the differing priorities which governments have placed on the various aspects of planning, have resulted in plans in which not only specific targets vary widely but also the length of the planning period, the scope of the sectors covered, the degree of detail regarding specific targets and projects, as well as the institutional and policy instruments to be used for their achievement; in short, the general methods and approaches adopted are by no means uniform (see again Table 97). This heterogeneity can be explained partly by the enormous differences among countries in their economic and social conditions, and by the general lack of experience in successful over-all planning.

5. A group of nine high level experts who, under the mechanisms established by the Charter of Punta del Este, are charged with evaluating national development plans (see Charter of Punta del Este, Title 2, Chapter V).

6. Paraguay, Peru and Uruguay are receiving the assistance of joint OAS/IDB/ECLA advisory missions in the preparation of their national plans.

Table 97

DEVELOPMENT PLANS PREPARED OR IN PREPARATION

	Planning Institution and Date of Creation	Period of Plan	Scope ^{a/}	Name of Plan
<u>Plans prepared</u>				
Bolivia	Junta Nacional de Planeamiento (October 1960)	1962-71	global ^{b/}	Plan de Desarrollo Económico y Social, 1962-71
Brazil	Ministério de Planejamento (1962) Coordenação de Planejamento Nacional (July 1963)	1963-65	global	Plano Trienal de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social, 1963-65
Colombia	Consejo Nacional de Política Econó- mica y Planeación (November 1958)	1961-70	global	Plan General de Desarrollo Económi- co y Social, 1962-70
Chile	Comité de Programación Económica y Reconstrucción (1961) y Corpora- ción de Fomento (1941)	1961-70	global	Programa Nacional de Desarrollo Económico, 1961-70
Guatemala	Consejo Nacional de Planificación Económica (November 1954)	1960-64	public investment	Plan de Inversiones Públicas, 1960-64
Honduras	Consejo Nacional de Economía (February 1955)	1963-64	total investment	Plan Nacional de Inversiones Públi- cas, 1963-64
Mexico	Dirección de Planeación Nacional (August 1961) y Comisión Inter- secretarial de la Secretaría de la Presidencia y de la Secreta- ría de Hacienda y Crédito Público (March 1960)	1962-64	global	Plan de Acción Inmediata, 1962-64
Panama	Dirección General de Planificación y Administración (June 1959)	1963-70	global	Programa de Desarrollo Económico y Social, 1963-70
Venezuela	Oficina de Coordinación y Planifi- cación Económica (December 1958)	1963-66	global	Plan de la Nación, 1963-66

Table 97 (Cont'd)

	Planning Institution and Date of Creation	Period of Plan	Scope ^{a/}	Expected Date of Termination
<u>In preparation</u>				
Argentina	Grupo del Planeamiento del Consejo Nacional de Desarrollo y Consejo Federal de Inversiones	November 1963-67	global	October 1963
Costa Rica	Oficina de Planificación	ten years	global	August 1964
Dominican Republic	Junta Nacional de Planificación y Coordinación (January 1962)	—	—	—
Ecuador	Junta Nacional de Planificación y Coordinación Económica (May 1954)	1964-68 1969-73	global	September 1963
El Salvador	Consejo de Planificación y Coordi- nación Económica (April 1962)	1964-65 1965-69	total investment global	September 1963 July 1964
Haiti	—	—	—	—
Nicaragua	Oficina Nacional de Coordinación y Planeamiento Económico y Social (December 1961)	three years	global	June 1964
Paraguay	Secretaría Técnica de Planificación del Desarrollo Económico y Social (April 1962)	—	—	^{c/}
Peru	Instituto Nacional de Planificación (October 1962)	1964-66 1962-71	public investment global	September 1963 In revision
Uruguay	Comisión de Inversiones y del Desa- rrollo Económico (January 1960)	—	—	^{d/}

a. Global refers to comprehensive coverage, including sectoral plans as well as aggregate plans and with both the public and private sectors included in total investment.

b. A two-year investment program is being prepared by Bolivia to implement the over-all plan. See p. 8, note d/.

c. On the recommendation of an OAS/IDB/ECLA mission, a diagnosis of the Paraguayan economy and a short-term plan are being prepared.

d. A diagnosis of the Uruguayan economy, which will serve as a basis for its development plan, has recently been completed by a tripartite mission of OAS/IDB/ECLA.

In spite of these differences, however, there are also many similarities concerning the specific goals and targets adopted and the methods employed. Regarding the former, a broad framework is given by the Charter of Punta del Este, in which a per capita growth rate of 2.5 percent is stipulated as the minimum needed to achieve the over-all aims of the Alliance for Progress, and in which a more equitable distribution of income and wealth--particularly of landed property--is part of the central content.^{7/} Among the tools--other than land and tax reform--to which a commitment also exists are, domestically, an increase in the rate of investment and savings, and a reasonable degree of price stability; and in the international sector, the strengthening of the process of regional economic integration and stabilization of basic products prices.

But while the Charter provides a basic framework, it is left to each country to establish its own priorities and to choose the policy tools that seem most appropriate to its own particular circumstances. Even so, there are a number of methodological features common to most of the plans that have been prepared to date. In most cases the general method of planning the organization of resources has been to first project the amount of investment necessary to obtain the desired rate of per capita growth in product by applying an estimated capital-output ratio for the economy as a whole; and then to estimate, on the basis of past experience and the expected results of fiscal reform, the amount of private and public savings available and consequently the net amount of foreign financing necessary.^{8/} Projections of exports and imports together with the expected inflow of foreign direct investment suggest the quantity of new loans needed, to which must be added the amortization and interest costs of such foreign borrowing.

Once the magnitude of the investment program is determined, investment priorities are established according to the needs of each country. Although these often include private investment, the core of the action program usually is public sector investment, leaving the flow of private investment to the voluntary operation of the market mechanism and to the results of general incentive policies. Several countries have included programs of agrarian reform in their national plans, others include special regional programs, and most give some consideration to the problem of unemployment and the need to develop human and natural resources as well as capital formation. These points, however, are often covered by general qualitative statements rather than by specific estimates or proposals. Furthermore, based on the experience of the first two years of the Alliance, more emphasis is now being placed on incorporating an inventory of investment projects underway or planned, and on including specific projects worked out in sufficient detail

7. See Charter of Punta del Este, Title I.

8. An interesting variation is presented in the Panamanian Plan, in which investment requirements are estimated on the basis of an aggregate production function which permits one to take into account explicitly the expected growth of other inputs, particularly of land and of labor.

to allow prompt action once financing has been arranged. The dearth of such projects has, to date, been a major impediment to prompt and massive development actions by governments and international financing agencies.^{9/}

Further adjustments of the planning process may be expected in the course of adapting the experience of other countries to Latin America's development needs. For one, the administrative responsibility of planning requires modifications to the traditional operational procedures of arriving at investment decisions; the planning agency should be supplied with sufficient capability and authority to coordinate the diverse investment budgets of the ministries, decentralized government agencies and autonomous regional corporations with the investment plans of private industry, coordinating as well the separate request for foreign assistance. To date the most common arrangement has been to establish the planning agency as an executive organ of the government authorized to review the ministerial budgets and suggest changes to the cabinet. Mexico is probably the country in which the process of central coordination of public investments has advanced farthest; in many other countries as yet only formal arrangements have been made. Costa Rica has solved the problem of defining responsibility by making the planning agency directly responsible for formulating the nation budget; in El Salvador it may revise the budget as presented and in Guatemala and Panama special administrative links between the budget bureau and the planning agency are designed to achieve close cooperation. In working out this relationship, some of the countries may also find it useful to expand or alter the representation of different economic sectors in the planning agency's membership. To a large extent both these immediate tasks and the long-run effectiveness of planning depend on the availability of trained personnel. In the preparation of development plans, as in the success of the development effort as a whole, the problem is as much one of skills and organization as of capital.

2. Growth targets: output, capital formation and the balance of payments

The over-all quantitative implications of the various plans differ considerably, ranging, for instance, from a 5.5 percent annual growth rate in per capita product in Bolivia, to rates of 2.5 percent in Colombia, Honduras, Mexico and Panama. For this, three main reasons may be adduced, of which the third one is of particular interest because it alone relates to the magnitude of the effort represented by achieving the planned targets. Different target growth rates of total product reflect (a) considerable differences in demographic growth rates, (b) differences in the appraisal of what it is actually possible to achieve from particular increases in inputs--mainly of capital--^{10/} and (c) differences in the estimates of the amounts of capital that governments

9. Bolivia, for example, completed in March 1963 a final draft of a detailed two-year investment plan in response to recommendations by the Committee of Nine that specific investment projects be made ready.

10. In other words, differences in the estimated incremental capital-output ratios.

expect to be able to mobilize over the planning period.^{11/} Thus, withing a 10-year period Bolivia expects to raise its investment coefficient from a pre-plan level of 14.7 to 19.6 percent; Chile, from less than 14 to 21 percent in eight years, and Colombia from 18.4 to 26.8 percent in four years (see Table 98).^{12/} Some idea of the size of these programs can be gained by noting that if the planned investments were distributed equally each year, the eight countries represented on Table 98 would alone require foreign investment and credits totaling more than \$1.7 billion in 1963 in order to finance an investment program of close to \$10 billion.

In general, a larger proportion of this amount is expected to have to come from grants and credits than from direct investments, although only four of these plans attempt to estimate probable direct investment (see Table 99). Of these four, Panama anticipates the largest role for direct investment, which is expected to contribute nearly 40 percent of total foreign capital requirements during the plan period; in Brazil and Chile the proportion is slightly more than one-fourth and in Colombia less than one-tenth. Venezuela anticipates a continued net outflow of investment capital, as will probably be the case also in Honduras in 1963 due to the contraction in operations there of foreign banana companies.

Of the total requirements of foreign funds, a very high proportion is intended for use in servicing the foreign debt, including part of the debt contracted during the plan period. In Bolivia, Brazil and Venezuela the amount of foreign capital needed for this purpose exceeds the need for foreign financing of imports of goods and services. Although estimates of the latter are subject, of course, to a much wider margin of error and may in some cases be considerably underestimated, the absolute amounts of amortization costs, which are more easily calculated, would alone require about \$675 million in 1963 in the seven countries for which these data are available.^{13/} To that extent, the figures cited earlier overstate the share of investment resources expected to be supplied from abroad. Excluding Mexico, total investment amounts to about \$8 billion and the foreign participation to \$1.1 billion, of which amortization costs would absorb \$675 million. It may be seen, therefore, that the ambitious investment programs contemplated are intended to be achieved largely through domestic efforts, with domestic

11. Expressed as a proportion of total product. Thus these differences will be referred to as differences in the investment coefficients.

12. In the latter two cases mentioned, the Report of the Panel of Experts' Ad Hoc Committees suggests that even greater investment efforts may be possible and desirable. See Nómina de Expertos, Evaluación del Programa Nacional de Desarrollo Económico y Social de Chile (October 1962), p. 109 et infra, and Evaluación del Programa General de Desarrollo Económico y Social de Colombia (July 1962), p. 67.

13. The Mexican plan is not available presently for detailed review; references are included where possible.

Table 98

PLANNED GROWTH OF GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT AND THE NECESSARY INVESTMENT, COMPARED WITH THE MAGNITUDES
REALIZED IN 1961 AND 1962

			(1) Gross Domestic Product - Per Capita Constant Prices (% per annum)	(2) Ratio of Gross Domestic Investment to GDP (%)	(3) Total Invest- ment of Plan Period (Millions of US\$)	(4) Total Foreign Resources Necessary ^{a/} (Millions of US\$)	(5) Total Foreign Resources as Proportion of Total Invest- ment (4-3)(%)	(6) Net Balance of Foreign Capital as Proportion of Total In- vestment ^{a/} (Percent)
Bolivia	1962-71	1961	2.5	14.7*				
		1962	2.7	...				
	Planned	1962-71	5.5	19.6	1 294 ^{b/}	376	29	13
Brazil	1963-65	1961	4.4	16.3				
		1962	1.3	16.0				
	Planned	1963-65	3.9	18.25	7 782 ^{c/}	1 830	24	7
Chile	1963-70	1961	2.0	13.7				
		1962	2.7	...				
	Planned	1963-70	3.0	21.0	7 666 ^{d/}	1 757	23	14
Colombia	1962-65	1961	2.3	18.4**				
		1962	3.4	...				
	Planned	1962-65	2.5	26.8	5 832 ^{e/}	1 079	18	15
Honduras	1963-64	1961	3.3	13.5				
		1962	0.3	14.8				
	Planned	1963-64	2.5	20.3	181 ^{f/}	71	39	36
Mexico		1961	0.4					
		1962	1.1					
	Planned	1962-64	2.5	...	6 400 ^{g/}	1 600	25	...
Panama	1963-70	1961	4.6	16.4**				
		1962	5.2	19.0***				
	Planned	1963-70	2.5	17.5	908 ^{h/}	518	57	53
Venezuela	1963-66	1961	-1.0	18.6*				
		1962	2.4	...				
	Planned	1963-66	4.7	20.3	8 415 ^{i/}	1 125	13	2

/Table 98 (Cont'd)

Table 98 (Cont'd)

- * 1958.
- ** 1960.
- *** 1961.

- a. Total foreign capital inflow less amortization and in the case of Venezuela, less private capital outflow. See column (5) of table 3.
- b. Plan Table 21. 1958 prices.
- c. At the assumed rate of \$1.00 = 450 cruzeiros, as implied in Tables 13 and 14 of the plan. See also Social Progress Trust Fund, Second Annual Report, 1962, pp. 431-2. 1962 prices.
- d. At the assumed rate of \$1.00 = 1.47 escudos, as applied in the evaluation of the Plan. 1961 prices.
- e. At the assumed rate of \$1.00 = 7.00 pesos, as applied in the evaluation of the Plan. 1961 prices.
- f. At the current official rate of \$1.00 = 2.00 lempires. Current prices.
- g. At the current official rate of \$1.00 = 12.50 pesos.
- h. 1961 prices.
- i. At the official rate of \$1.00 = 3.35 bolívares. 1960 prices.

Notes: The Mexican figure refers to GNP.

Guatemala has not been included as its plan is concerned only with investment by the central government. For the four-year period 1960-1964, planned central government investment totals 170.5 million quetzales, 83 million of which correspond to the latter two years, 1962/63 and 1963/64. Of that figure, 16 million, or 19 percent, are expected to be needed in the form of foreign loans.

Sources: See Table 1. All data for Chile and Colombia are based on the evaluation of their respective plans by the Panel of Experts, and for Venezuela all data are based on the May 1963 edition of their Plan. 1961 and 1962 rates of growth of GDP are from this survey.

Table 99

RECENT AND PROJECTED CONTRIBUTION OF FOREIGN CAPITAL IN SEVEN COUNTRIES ^{a/}

		(1) Net Direct Investment	(2) Loans and Grants	(3) Total Capital Inflow (1) + (2)	(4) Amortiza- tion of Foreign Debt	(5) Net Capital Inflow (3) - (4)	(6) Balance of Payments on Current Account
		(in millions of dollars)					
Bolivia	1958	- 32
	Planned 1963	80	14	67	- 67
	1971	3	36	33	33
	Total Plan Period			376	210	165	-165
Brazil	1961	109	488	597	384	+213	-242
	1962	69	367	436	297	+139	-408
	Planned 1963	100	305	405	465	- 60	-203
	1965	110	335	445	355	+ 90	-159
	Total Plan Period	310	960	1 270	1 285	- 15	-545
Chile	1961	70	394	464	187	267	-265
	1962	55	343	318	202	196	-165
	Planned 1963	30	232	262	93	167	-105
	1965	50	178	228	81	147	- 81
	Total Plan Period	470	1 287	1 757	694	1 063	-570
Colombia	1961	- 16	-140
	1962	54	-121
	Planned 1963	20	252	272	60	212	-212
	1965	20	293	313	51	262	-262
	Total Plan Period	75	1 004	1 079	228	851	-851
Honduras	1961	- 7	9	2	2	-	-
	1962	- 4	14	10	2	- 8	- 8
	Planned 1963	32	2	- 29	- 29
	1965	40	4	- 36	- 36
	Total Plan Period	71	6	- 65	- 65
Panama	1960	47	12	35	- 35
	1961	35	8	27	- 27
	Planned 1963	30	20	50 ^{b/}	2	48	- 48
	1970	56	29	85 ^{b/}	8	77	- 77
	Total Plan Period	321	197	518 ^{b/}	36	482	-482
Venezuela	1960	-182	415	233	255	- 22	+ 22
	Planned 1963	-193	337	144	85	+ 59	+ 59
	1966	-173	160	- 13	40	- 53	+ 53
	Total Plan Period	-727	1 125	398	220 ^{a/}	+178	-178

/Table 99 (Cont'd)

Table 99 (Cont'd)

- a. Where possible, excluding compensatory capital movements.
- b. At the time of publication of the Plan, Panama estimated an additional 37 million Balboas a year, on the average, to be necessary. It is here assumed that this amount is fully supplied by autonomous capital inflows.
- c. Only on those loans contracted by the end of 1962.

Sources: See Table 97.

Bolivia; Plan, Table 29.

Brazil; SUMOC, Boletín, March 1963; Plan, Table 9.

Chile; Report to the IA-ECOSOC (CIES/314), July 1963 and plan evaluation, Table 16.

Colombia; Balance of Payments chapter, this Survey, Tables 53 and 55; Plan evaluation, Tables 22, 23.

Honduras; Plan, Table 18 at \$1.00 = 2.00 lempiras.

Panama; Report to the IA-ECOSOC (CIES/323); Plan, Table VII.10.

Venezuela; Plan, Table III.7.

/savings expected

savings expected to supply approximately 94 percent of the overall investment needs. In only four of the seven countries under review are foreign resources expected to contribute a larger proportion of total savings than has been the case recently. In all seven the investment program contemplated for the plan period will require large increases in domestic savings, so large that they cannot be anticipated with mere hope but require that the plan contain detailed policies to bring them about. Long-run measures to increase exports are combined with immediate measures to limit the current expenditures of the public sector and to mobilize the savings of the private sector, through the expansion of banking facilities and improvement of the capital market, and by transferring a larger portion of private resources to the government in taxes. For while the greater burden continues to fall on the private sector, the increase in investment is to occur largely on the initiative of the public sector (see Table 100).

Honduras; Plan, Table 18 at \$1.00 = 5.00 Lempires.
Panama; Report to the I-A-ECOSOC (CIB/253); Plan, Table VII.10.
Venezuela; Plan, Table III.7.

a. Public savings

Even were the need for greater revenues less insistent and the claim for greater equity less compelling, fiscal policy and administration would be aided by clarifying the tangle of accumulated rate changes and exemptions which comprise much of present tax legislation. The search for additional sources of income provides an impelling incentive, and all seven countries have included in their development plans proposed guidelines for fiscal reform. Yet, despite the unanimous agreement on the need for tax reform, the increase in government expenditure necessary to meet the goals of increased per capita income is not projected to be fully covered by current income during the plan period. Current expenditures of the public sector increase naturally with the extension of services to a growing population, and are now to be further increased by the per capita expansion of such services as education, public health and low-cost housing. At the same time, sharp increases in capital expenditures are planned with public investment growing even faster than total investment. The plans generally express awareness of the need to restrict current expenditures to a minimum by eliminating excess personnel and reducing the subsidies entailed by artificially low rates on public utilities and transportation; and include estimates of substantial savings to be realized in this respect. Nevertheless, continued deficit financing is implied in plans of this magnitude. For most countries this is an extremely precarious position as reasonable price stability is a premise of effective development planning.^{14/} Consequently, it is of great concern that the necessary

14. At present inflation is a particularly serious problem in Brazil. A return to price stability there is one of the prime goals of the three-year plan, which includes measures designed to reduce the rate of price increase by half (to 25 percent) in 1963 and to 10 percent in the following year.

Table 100

THE FINANCING OF PLANNED INVESTMENT, COMPARED WITH RECENT REALIZED INVESTMENT

<u>Gross Domestic Investment</u>					<u>Savings</u>			
<u>Average Annual</u>			<u>Undertaken By:</u>		<u>Gross Domestic Savings</u>		<u>Foreign Savings</u>	
<u>Total</u>					Total Public Private		(Balance of payments	
(millions of local			Public Private				on current account)	
currency units,			Sector Sector					
constant prices)			(in percent)		(percent of gross domestic investment)			
Bolivia a/ Planned	1958	489	37	63
	1962-66	1 040			62	38
	1967-71	1 418	106	6
Brazil a/ Planned	1958	711	76	24
	1963-65	1 167	93	7
Chile	1961	634	53	47	58			42
	1962	726	57	43	77	23
Planned	1963-65	1 034	56	44	86	44	42	14
Planned	1966-70	1 633	51	49	94	51	43	6
Colombia	1959	4 952	23	67	100 b/	24	76	-
Planned	1962-65	10 206	30	70	85	20	66	15
Honduras	1961	110	19	81	101	17	86	- 3
	1962	127	24	76	95	10	85	5
Planned	1963	168	40	60	65	9	56	35
Planned	1964	195	39	61	63	9	54	37
Panama	1960	72	13	87	51	49
	1961	92	22	78	71	29
Planned	1963-70	113	48	52	47	53
Venezuela	1960	4 917	45	55	102	34	68	- 2
Planned	1963-66	7 048	36	64	98	40	58	+ 2

a. Investment in billions.

b. 1957-1960 average.

Sources: See Table 97.

Bolivia: 1958 prices, Plan Tables 21, 22, 29 and pp. 110, 111.

Brazil: 1962 prices, Plan Tables X, XI, XIX; 1958 investment converted to 1962 prices by index of wholesale prices excluding coffee. Foreign savings share computed using exchange rate of 450 cruzeiros = \$1.00.

Chile: 1961 prices, report to the IA-ECOSOC (CIES/314), July 1963, and Tables 5, 12, 13, 16 of plan evaluation.

Colombia: 1961 prices, Plan Tables 1, 4, 33, 55. 1959 data converted to 1961 prices by index of home and import goods prices.

Honduras: current prices, Plan Tables 18, 38.

Panama: 1961 prices, report to the IA-ECOSOC (CIES/323), July 1963 and Plan Tables V-4, VI-I

Venezuela: 1960 prices, Plan Tables III.2, III.5.

/Increase in

increase in internal debt be financed in the least inflationary way, that is, that the maximum amount be placed directly in the hands of the private sector.

b. Private savings

In some cases such policies may channel into productive investments private savings not reached by the present banking system or capital market. In the Venezuelan Plan, for example, it is pointed out that the high component of transfers in government capital expenditures is in large part due to the failure of financing institutions to absorb a potentially sufficient supply of savings. Other countries would also benefit by more flexible and more attractive savings institutions, as is witnessed by the recent rapid expansion of savings and loan associations in Latin America. In recognition of this need, Bolivia and Colombia are weighing reorganization of their banking systems to better serve development purposes, and Chile has undertaken, with international assistance, a thorough study of its capital market. Use of these facilities presupposes confidence and interest on the part of the private sector in the development effort, a major prerequisite for the success of the Alliance program.

c. Foreign savings

In some countries, Chile and Brazil for example, private savings are probably adequate so that in the absence of capital flight they could meet the demand for investment finance.^{15/} In many other countries private savings are less elastic and the persistence of a public deficit makes foreign financing more imperative as the only alternative to monetary expansion. In Colombia, for example, the heavy investment responsibility of the private sector impinges on its ability to save, making it unwise for the government to resort to internal deficit financing, or to tax measures which restrict savings incentives. And in other countries--such as Honduras and Panama--the average propensity to save is so low that further resources from the private sector can only be acquired by increasing the progressivity of the tax structure to absorb some of the great differential in income levels, and by promoting foreign trade, the major source of tax revenues. Thus, Colombia, Honduras and Panama will rely increasingly on an inflow of foreign savings to finance their planned investment; in Venezuela, the foreign component of savings will remain very low; Bolivia, Brazil and Chile expect to reduce their dependence on foreign savings through a greater mobilization of domestic resources and by expanding exports.

Export expansion is of course a goal shared by all, (see Table 101) since for the time being the necessary capital goods must be to a large extent imported, even in those countries where import substitution has been underway for some time. Bolivia plans an extensive program of import substitution,

^{15.} See, for instance, the Panel of Expert's evaluation on Chile (op.cit. p. 162).

Table 101
PLANNED FOREIGN TRADE

		Balance of Payments on Current Account (millions of dollars, constant prices)					Composition of Merchandise Exports and Imports (percent of total value)							
		Exports (f.o.b.)	Imports (f.o.b.)	Balance of Trade	Remit- tances	Balance on Current Account	Exports				Imports			
		goods and services					Agri- cultural	Min- eral	Indus- trial	Other	Capital Goods	Raw Materials and Fuels	Consumer Goods	Other
Bolivia	1958	58	90	-32	7	82	d/	11	37	26	37	-
(1958 prices) Planned	1966	111	122	-11	13	81	6	-	42	31	27	-
	1971	195	162	+33	19	68	6	7	47	29	25	-
Planned annual rate of increase														
Average annual increase		1958-71	9.8%	4.6%										
Brazil		1953-61a/	0.8%	0.4%										
	1962b/	1 312	1 721	-409	+ 1	-408	70	7	...	23	62	22	12	-
Planned	1963	1 502	1 705	-203	-	-203	70	9	...	21	55	21	13	11
	1965	1 666	1 785	-159	-	-159	66	11	...	22	50	23	13	14
Planned annual rate of increase														
Average annual increase		1963-65	5.3%	2.3%										
Chile b/		1953-61	-0.9%	2.1%										
	1962	575	660	-85	-80	-165	8	88	4d/	-	38	28	44	-
Planned	1963	630	639	- 9	-96	-105	5	79	17d/	-	44	32	21	3
	1965	740	695	+45	-126	- 81	5	74	21d/	-	45	31	20	3
Planned annual rate of increase														
Average annual increase		1963-70	5.8%	3.0%										
Colombia		1953-61	4.8%	8.4%										
	1962*	595	716	-121	+ 1	-120	81	15	...	4	56	37	7	-
Planned	1962	591	699	-108	- 44	-152	71	23	...	6	52	37	11	1
	1965	699	864	-165	- 97	-262	70	24	...	6	56	34	10	1
Planned annual rate of increase														
Average annual increase		1962-65	5.8%	7.3%										
Honduras		1953-61	-1.5%	2.1%										
	1962	85	82	- 3	- 5	- 8	86	3	1	9	24*	33*	44*	-
Planned	1963	85	108	- 23	- 6	- 29	86	4	1	10	34	29	37	-
	1964	88	118	- 30	- 6	- 36	84	4	1	11	36	29	35	-
Planned annual rate of increase														
Average annual increase		1962-64	1.8%	7.0%										
Panama		1953-61	2.0%	1.3%										
	1961	155	182	- 27	90	10	35	11	54	-
Planned	1963	170	218	- 48	89	11	36	11	53	-
	1970	230	307	- 77	84	16	39	11	50	-
Planned annual rate of increase														
Average annual increase		1963-70	4.4%	5.0%										
Venezuela		1953-61	9.2%	9.2%										
		Merchandise		Balance	Balance of services	Bal. on Current Acc.					Investment Goods	Other Manufact. items	Agri- cultural Products	Others
	1960	2 356	1 157	+1 199	-1 177	+ 22	1962: 1	97	d/	2	23	62	5	10
Planned	1963	2 713	1 258	+1 455	-1 514	- 99	2	97	1	-	29	58	3	10
(1960 prices)	1966	3 100	1 288	+1 812	-1 759	+ 33	2	96	2	-	42	45	3	10
Planned annual rate of increase														
Average annual increase		1963-66	4.5%	0.8%										
		1953-61	7.1%	6.2%										

- a. The average of annual variations over the period 1953-1961.
b. The composition of exports is based on 1961 data.
c. The composition of imports is based on 1960 data.
d. Less than 0.6 percent.

Sources: For Country sources, see Table 97.

Bolivia: Plan Tables 21, 22; 14-17, 28.

Brazil: 1962 data from SUMOC, Boletim, March 1963. 1963-65 data from Plan Tables XIX, XXII, XXIV; Table XXIV was grouped as follows: (a) imports of machinery, chemicals, manufactures and semi-manufactures, (b) petroleum and metals (c) wheat - which may not correspond exactly to the SUMOC classification used for 1962.

Chile: 1962 data from report to IA-ECOSOC (CIES/314), October 1963; 1963-65 Plan, Table 16 and pp. 163, 169, 172, 175; rates of growth in exports and imports 1963-70 pp. 169, 175.

Colombia: 1962, Balance of Payments Yearbook, Vol. 15; 1963-65 Plan Tables 14, 18, 22.

Honduras: Tables 18, 14, 17.

Panama: Report to IA-ECOSOC (CIES/323), October 1963, Tables VII-10, VII-6, VII-7, VII-4.

Venezuela: Plan Tables II-7, III-II.

especially of processed foods, which is projected to save an estimated \$9 million in 1966 and \$19 million in 1971. For Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Venezuela, however, where import substitution has been pursued for a much longer period, it may proceed at a slower rate in the future; for Honduras and--possibly--Panama it must await an expansion of the market accompanying the progress of Central American economic integration. In general, the plans are moderately optimistic regarding the possibilities of increasing earnings by exporting larger volumes of mineral products, live-stock and a few agricultural commodities such as cotton and shellfish, but do not predict price increases. Expectations about the future of exports to the United States and Japan are more favorable than the projection of future exports to the European Economic Community.

Within the limited opportunities for export expansion, the plans seek maximum diversification. In mineral exporting countries, agricultural, and in the case of Chile, industrial exports are to increase faster than the traditional exports, while in agricultural countries the mineral export sector is expected to be more dynamic. But this large category breakdown conceals the extent to which new products enter the export trade, for in the relatively short period of time covered by these plans the major effects of diversification will occur within the presently dominant export sector, be it of agricultural or of mineral products. While the same qualification applies to the classification of imports in Table 101, the intent to limit imports of goods of final consumption in favor of capital goods is in most cases very clear.

3. Measures to reduce structural imbalance

Complementary to the goal of export diversification is that of reducing structural imbalance in the domestic economy and correcting the disequilibrium among the economic sectors, among the different regions of the country and among the employment opportunities of the population.

a. Gross domestic product by sectors

Within the given increases of total product at a specified annual rate, there is to take place a shift in the composition of output, particularly a marked increase in industrial production as a share of the total, and a sharp rise in some basic services. Industrial production is scheduled to expand at an annual rate of about 11 percent in Brazil and Venezuela, and nearly 10 percent in Bolivia, compared with annual rates of 6, 8 and 6 percent, respectively, for agricultural output in these countries (see Table 102). In Brazil and Venezuela the high rates of growth for industry represent a continuation of the direction in which the composition of output has been moving for several years;^{16/} but for Bolivia the high rate of growth in industrial production projected in the plan will require an effective policy of industrialization.

16. See OAS/ECLA Economic Survey of Latin America, 1961 (Pan American Union, 1962), Chapter V.

Table 102

PLANNED ANNUAL INCREASES IN PRODUCTION, BY SECTORS, IN FIVE COUNTRIES

(in percent)

	Bolivia	Brazil	Chile	Colombia	Venezuela
	1958-71	1961-65	1961-70	1959-65	1962-66
Average annual increase in:					
Agriculture, forestry	6.3	5.7	5.5	3.5	7.5
Mining	8.5		6.0	6.5	4.4
Industry	9.5		6.5	6.9	11.3
Manufacturing	8.4		6.5	6.4	12.3
Construction	17.4		6.9	8.7	8.0
Services	5.0	...	5.1	4.8	6.2
Commerce	5.3	8.2	...	4.7	5.0
Transport, communications	5.5	8.8	...	5.5	7.0
Electricity, gas, water	6.7	12.5	17.0
Housing property	2.7	4.5	6.0
Other	3.5	3.0	5.5	2.8	6.0
Government	...	4.8	2.5	5.4	7.0
Other	--	3.5	--		

Sources: See Table 97 for list of country sources.

Bolivia: Plan Table 25.

Brazil: Plan Table XV

Chile: CORFO, version of Plan submitted to Panel of Experts, Table 3.

Colombia: Plan Table 2.

Venezuela: Plan Table I.1

/Chile and

Chile and Colombia also plan rates of growth of industrial production higher than those of primary production or services, but in these two countries the differential is less pronounced.

Within the general grouping of services, rapid increases are planned in those basic services often referred to as constituting the infrastructure of an economy. The increase in provision of electricity, gas and water is greater even than the rate of growth of industry in Venezuela and Colombia, and transport and communications are of special importance in Brazil.

Whereas Bolivia, Chile and Colombia plan an expansion in mineral production in excess of that in agriculture, in Venezuela this pattern is reversed. Agricultural production is to increase as a share of total product while the petroleum sector increases at only four percent annually, with total mineral production expanding only slightly faster.

To achieve these output targets, considerable reliance is being placed on substantial increases in private investment which continues to be considerably larger than public investment in most of Latin America. The large increases planned in public investment are divided among public works, industrial activities and social services in the proportions believed most conducive to maximum productivity of all investment, public and private. This criterion does not necessarily conflict with the desire to improve the health and living conditions of the population, since over longer time periods the distinction between economic and social investments has less meaning; nevertheless, the shortage of investment resources does impose a limitation on those projects whose contribution to production is recovered only in the long run. For investment to increase at the planned rate, the major share of projects has to be of the variety which generates an immediate response in rising income from which the further increases in investment may be drawn. Among the five countries which present a sufficiently detailed breakdown of their investment plans, the share of public investment destined for the more specifically social sectors of health, education and housing ranges from 26 to 32 percent of total planned public investment with the balance of two-thirds to three-fourths allotted to economic investments ^{17/} (see Table 103). Investment in transport, communications, fuel and power is to absorb 30 to 52 percent of total public investment: it ranges from 30 percent in Panama to more than half of the total in the case of Guatemala and Honduras. In most of these countries, investment in agriculture, manufacturing and mining is to remain largely the responsibility of private investors, supplemented by public investment to the extent of 12 to 17 percent of the latter's total commitments. In Venezuela, however, where 17 percent of total public investment is to be devoted to carrying on the government's agrarian reform program, this share rises to 24 percent; and in Chile where more than half of total

17. The Mexican plan similarly allots 23 percent of total public investments to social sectors and 76 percent to economic activities.

Table 103

THE DISTRIBUTION OF PLANNED INVESTMENT BY SECTORS
(in percent)

	<u>Brazil</u> Total Investment (1963-65)	<u>Chile</u> Public (1963-70)	<u>Colombia</u> Public Private (1963-65)		<u>Guatemala</u> Public (1963-64)	<u>Honduras</u> Public (1963-64)	<u>Panama</u> Public (1963-70)	<u>Venezuela</u> Public Private (1963-66)	
Agriculture	8.4	22.0	9.6	16.2	19.1	8.0	8.9	16.9	6.4
Mining	7.6	0.4	1.0	9.9	---	---	---	2.2	16.5
Manufacturing	18.6	7.9	1.0	29.8	d/	8.9 f/	5.6	5.4	27.3
Construction	---	25.3	---	---	---	---	13.9	14.0 i/	26.5 i/
Housing	12.4	18.1	13.1	21.2	3.6	4.8 g/	10.1	---	---
Other a/	---	7.2	---	---	---	---	3.8	---	---
Commerce	---	---	1.6	0.5	---	f/	---	0.8	12.5
Transport and Communications	29.0	19.8 b/	27.5	15.5	42.4	39.8	22.2	25.2	2.5
Fuel and Power	13.7	15.5	16.4	0.7	12.6	12.1	8.1	9.9	2.6
Water Supply	---	c/	8.2	---	2.4	5.9	---	i/	i/
Health	---	c/	2.8	2.3	8.1	5.5	9.0	---	---
Education	---	c/	8.0	---	2.4	10.1	6.7	(10.0) e/	---
Urbanization	---	b/	---	---	---	g/	---	i/	i/
Administration	---	---	10.8	4.0	---	---	---	---	---
Other	10.3	---	---	---	9.5	5.0	25.7 h/	25.5 k/	5.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

- a. Where not specified, expenditure on construction is included in the investment of the various sectors.
b. Transport and communications includes urbanization.
c. Not yet available; in preparation. In the IBRD review of the original plan for 1961-70, these items amounted to 8.5% of total gross investment.
d. Industrialization of agricultural products is included under agriculture.
e. Estimated. See Sources.
f. Commerce is included in manufacturing.
g. Urbanization is included in housing.
h. Not yet programmed
i. Urbanization is included in construction.
j. Water supply is included in fuel and power.
k. Health, education are included in government.

Sources:

Brazil: Plan Table 13
Colombia: Plan Table 6
Chile: Plan Table 7; report to CIES, October 1963
Guatemala: Plan Table 1; refers only to planned investment of 1962-63 and 1963-64
Honduras: Plan Table 21
Panama: Plan p. 196
Venezuela: Plan Table III. 2 public investment in education and health is estimated on the basis of the sectoral programs, deflated from 1962 to 1960 prices by the price index of home and import goods, as given in International Financial Statistics, August, 1963.

/Gross domestic

gross domestic investment is undertaken by the public sector, the proportion is 30 percent. Again the largest share--in this case more than one-fifth of total public investment--will go to agricultural development, as Chile has recently become a net importer of agricultural products.

b. Regional disparities

It is a commonplace that regional characteristics--including income levels and resource endowment--vary widely in a country of Brazil's size, but even in a comparatively small country like Panama there are decided differences in resources and productivity in different geographic areas; and regional disparities are perhaps nowhere more pronounced than in Bolivia. In the latter the problem of floods and erosion in the valleys, and of extreme fragmentation of landholdings on the altiplano, together with the pressure of population growth in both areas, has induced the government to adopt a development strategy which places considerable reliance on a massive relocation of population to the tropical lowland areas of the Oriente. Bolivia's current program of agrarian reform and heavy investments in transport and communications have been devised in large part to encourage colonization of the lowlands. Honduras, too, considers resettlement a necessity; the emergency plan presented by the President of the Republic to complement the public investment program contemplates relocation in agricultural cooperatives of persons living in rural areas where unemployment is most serious. Since 1959, Brazil has succeeded in increasing slightly the share of the Northeast region in total national income, thanks to the creation of a special agency (SUDENE) concerned exclusively with the development of that region, and with large investments in Bahia by the state petroleum corporation, Petrobras. The area remains one of great poverty, however, and requires tremendous assistance to attain the rate of growth characteristic of the rest of the nation. The general guidelines of regional planning in Brazil direct the Federal Government to intensify pre-investment activities related to the evaluation and use of natural and human resources, including the offer of financial assistance to state and local governments, which are constitutionally responsible for primary education, and to continue the policy of providing incentives to private investment in the less developed areas. In Venezuela too, regional planning is in various stages of advancement; the focus of development planning will be on organization of urban services in the metropolitan areas of Caracas and Maracaibo and the Lago de Valencia region, on agricultural production and small and medium-scale industry in Los Andes and the Centro-Occidental regions, on intensive agriculture in Majaguas and on hydroelectric power and basic metallurgical industries in Guayana. In Chile and Colombia, regional variations in productivity are closely related to the disparity between urban and rural income levels which is common in some measure to all countries, but particularly marked in under-developed economies.

/c. Employment

c. Employment

One of the most serious obstacles to economic development in Latin America, and perhaps the single most vital factor to its success, is the ability of each country to fully absorb, economically and socially, its human resources. The rate of population growth is high, the rate of growth of the labor force often even higher. In Bolivia, for example, it is estimated that merely in order not to increase unemployment, it would be necessary to create more than a quarter of a million new jobs between 1961 and 1965, and the problem is of similar magnitude elsewhere. In part the shifting of resources into industrial production noted in these plans was devised in order to provide employment for the migrants from rural to urban areas. The extensive construction programs are labor intensive and should also aid in creating employment opportunities. The agrarian reform programs ^{18/} are aimed at the same problem of low productivity, for unemployment and underemployment are equally discouraging to attempts to raise the living standards of the population as rapidly as possible. But all of these measures require skills and mobility to a greater degree than is presently characteristic of rural workers. Thus, the bulk of the responsibility for longer term development still rests with the education programs; only by vigorously developing its underutilized human resources can Latin America hope to enter into a phase of sustained internal growth; and only thus will the region's capacity to absorb foreign financial and technical aid grow with the supply. In this way alone will the present planning efforts increasingly become expressions of concrete governmental actions responsive to the needs of the people and based on detailed knowledge of the plans and needs of the private sector of the economy.

^{18/} See below, section B of this chapter.

B. NATIONAL PROGRAMS OF AGRARIAN REFORM

Since August 1961 ten countries have passed agrarian reform legislation: Costa Rica and Colombia in 1961; Chile, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Honduras, Panama and Peru in 1962; and, Paraguay and Nicaragua in 1963. Mexico, Bolivia and Venezuela previously passed such legislation introducing programs to alter the agrarian structure of their countries; Brazil, Ecuador, El Salvador and Uruguay have submitted such legislation to their respective Legislatures.^{19/}

The economic and social pressures of antiquated land tenure systems have long been a recognized problem in Latin America. The exhortation to attend to this very serious situation was summarized at Punta del Este when an important aim of the Alliance was stated to be "replacing the structure of latifundias and minifundias by a just system of property."^{20/} The coexistence of extremely large land holdings by a small proportion of the rural population and precariously small holdings by the majority of the rural population is characteristic of most of these nations, together with the related problem of large numbers of persons living and working on the land without any legal title of ownership. In agreeing to act to reduce the great inequalities in land ownership, the countries acknowledged a common motive of social justice, seeking a "just system of property." In the lengthy parliamentary discussions that have usually preceded the adoption of such legislation, it was often claimed that it is equally unjust to substitute a system that would threaten the rights of private property. The resulting legislation represents in each case an attempt to reconcile widely divergent views and aims: a broader distribution of land ownership on the one hand and the inviolability of private property on the other; a breaking up of the present very large units and the need to provide supplementary services to insure an economically viable system.^{21/}

19. Two State programs are already in effect in Brazil, in São Paulo and Rio Grande do Sul. In Ecuador, two projects have recently been initiated which deserve mention: a loan of \$1 880 000 from AID is to cover 36.5 percent of the cost of developing 219 mountain villages as part of a five-year rural development program; another AID loan of \$2 535 000 is to cover 64 percent of the cost of relocating 1 600 low-income rural families in northern Ecuador.

20. The Government of Peru submitted a new agrarian reform bill in August 1963. Charter of Punta del Este, Title I, point 6.

21. In most cases the new laws represent the latest step in a series of agrarian reform legislation, but the earlier efforts were generally limited to colonization and did not attempt to deal directly with the system of land tenure. Thus some of the countries not mentioned here also have in effect legislation dealing with land settlement, but only those programs designed as national programs of agrarian reform in accordance with the Charter of Punta del Este are considered in this section. The programs which existed prior to the initiation of the Alliance are considered more briefly than their more recent counterparts and with emphasis on their practical effect rather than on the legislation from which they derive.

1. The General Pattern of Recent Agrarian Reform Programs

a. The legislation

The agrarian reform laws passed since the 1961 Punta del Este Conference are complex pieces of legislation, many of them lengthy and all of them open to differing interpretations on numerous points. The language is often imprecise, different clauses of the same law may be contradictory and many questions of operating procedure and jurisdiction remain to be worked out in practice.

Any agrarian reform law however, in seeking to both protect and extend the institution of private property must incorporate certain basic decisions on method. The lowest common denominator of such laws includes answers, however imprecise, to the questions of which land is to be affected and which exempted by the program, how this land is to be acquired and prepared for distribution, and what are to be the qualifications and obligations of those receiving title to the land. Within these categories the dispositions of these particular laws range from terminology so broad that further defining regulations will be necessary, to detailed compilations of exceptions; and implementation will be further complicated by the differing institutional structures as they have evolved in the various countries. In order to present a composite picture of the actions taken recently pertaining to agrarian reform, the summary which follows describes in over simplified form those characteristics common to most, but not all, of the agrarian reform legislation adopted since the initiation of the Alliance. In the following subsection (2) the experiences of individual countries are summarized to the extent that the salient discrepancies from the composite picture may be noted.

According to the basic pattern abstracted from the various laws, responsibility for the program is entrusted to a newly created autonomous agrarian reform institute. The duties of the institute include acquiring and distributing land, conducting prior geographical studies, constructing access roads and irrigation canals where necessary and extending technical and financial aid to the settlers.

The institute or agency entrusted with this program is generally allotted a specific appropriation in the annual budget of the central government and authorized to issue bonds up to a maximum value in local and foreign currency to finance its operations. It is a legal entity directly subordinate to the President, which is generally governed by a board of directors and often maintains local advisory bodies in different areas of the country.

The Institute is charged first with preparing and distributing land currently belonging to the State or acquired by the State through voluntary sale of private holdings. When such land is no longer available, it may generally expropriate privately owned land which is idle, poorly exploited or exploited by third persons. A reserve, generally of 50-100 hectares ^{22/} of irrigated lands or the equivalent, is allowed the owner. The purchase price is

22. One hectare equals 2.417 acres.

to be settled by appraisal in each case taking into account such factors as location, productivity and recent sale values of similar property, with compensation in currency on terms ranging from five to ten years at an interest rate of about 4 percent per annum.

There is no limit to the quantity of well-cultivated land which may be held, although most countries reserve the right to expropriate even well-cultivated land should it be essential to the regrouping of minifundias or subject to the right of eminent domain as land of "public utility".

Once made ready for occupation the land must be divided into family farm units of workable area. These units are then distributed to those persons over 18 who can demonstrate a need for additional land, with preference given those who live in the immediate vicinity and have the largest number of dependents. Selection among the many qualified candidates is left to the Institute. Those selected must agree to work the land personally with their families and to fulfill any obligations imposed by the Institute with respect to the extent and type of cultivation. The cost of the land plus improvements is to be repaid over a period of 15-25 years at low interest rates. Compliance with these regulations entitles the settler to full title; neglect may lead to repossession of the land. Directed colonization projects may also be undertaken, whereby the Institute established an entire new community including schools, churches and other common facilities. A third part of the Institute's activities will continue to be the granting of title to land already settled.

In addition to land, the beneficiaries of the agrarian reform laws are promised credit facilities to help in buying equipment and housing, along with technical assistance. Unfortunately, however, while the laws acknowledge the need for expanding such services, in general the language of the law is neither specific nor mandatory in this regard.

b. Implementation of the legislation

Approximately half of Latin America's 20 million rural families live a precarious existence on the land. That agriculture alone cannot absorb this number plus the annual increment in rural population does not lighten the responsibility of the agrarian reform programs to serve as many as possible as quickly as possible. But difficult problems of organization, time and finance are being encountered in implementing both phases of the agrarian reform programs--settlement and the provision of services complementary to the land grant.

Settlement on public lands is hindered by the fact that these lands often require clearing, soil studies and access roads before they are usable, and such preparatory projects are extremely costly as well as time-consuming. Once it becomes necessary to expropriate privately owned lands the detailed appraisals and negotiations, together with the possibility of a recourse to the courts,

/postpones settlement 23/

postpones settlement ^{23/} and may require large numbers of personnel as well as large amounts of financial resources in order to compensate the owners in currency at an appraised price. Consequently, an agrarian reform program large enough in scope to be effective requires an enormous budgetary allocation and could endanger as well the monetary stability of the nation.

Nor is the actual distribution of land a simple matter. The specific size of family farm units will depend not only on the size of the family but must take into account as well the geography of different areas, the crops to be cultivated and the degree of mechanization considered reasonable. Before the technical decisions can be made, however, the general guidelines of agricultural policy must have been well thought out at the national levels, and this requires a degree of comprehensive planning just beginning to be realized in most countries.

Another serious obstacle to the execution of agrarian reform is the current inadequacy of technical and credit assistance available to small agricultural producers. Such services are vital to the new land owners in the same way that they are essential to the development of established farms of the agricultural sector of the economy and to assure rural families a continually rising standard of living. In fact this particular need was admitted long before the more ambitious project of land reform won acceptance and has not yet been met effectively. For the renewed intentions to be more practicable, the effort will have to be more imaginative, and the budget larger, than before. Agricultural extension services and credit availability should be closely associated, encouraging the use of improved techniques by those receiving loan funds. It has been recommended ^{24/} that, in view of the importance of credit assistance in integrating the rural population with the national economy, part of the costs incurred might well be charged to the social investment program, reducing the burden to be paid by the individual beneficiary. International assistance is helping in this direction by providing loans for credit tied to technical assistance. ^{25/}

23. The Colombian land reform institute, INCORA, reports that in the case of voluntary sale of private lands, negotiations may be completed within four months, if there are no complications, whereas an additional five months is necessary before taking possession of an expropriated property. INCORA, Informe de Actividades en 1962, p.83.

24. See the Final Report of IA-ECOSOC Special Commission II, on Agricultural Development and Agrarian Reform, Costa Rica, July 1963. OEA/Ser.H/XIII, CIES/Com.II.

25. For example, Colombia recently received three loans totalling 21.5 million dollars from the Agency for International Development for agrarian reform; one of these, of \$10 million for 40 years at 3/4 percent interest will be used to encourage diversification of crops and the use of modern methods of farming and to provide credit tied to technical assistance. In addition, a credit was received by Colombia from the Development Loan Fund of 8 million dollars for agricultural loans not exceeding 17 500 pesos each.

The eventual outcome of these programs will depend on the balance of resources between settlement and services; but above all it will depend on the seriousness of those who interpret the laws in administering their application. Competent administrators are essential to the success of any project, but agrarian reform programs in particular will stand or fall with the skill and conviction of those in charge of converting legislation into practice. As mentioned before, the desirability of a wider distribution of land ownership has a long history of verbal acceptance in Latin America expressed in a series of laws dealing with colonization and land reform. But outside of Mexico, Venezuela and Bolivia, there is only little practical experience in this field,^{26/} and there still remains a substantial segment of popular opinion opposed to institutional reforms of so basic a nature. Among those who stand to benefit from additional land, the need to change farming methods and possibly to move to a different area has sometimes engendered suspicion of agrarian reform, while those who do not expect to gain more land are indifferent at best and more usually opposed, especially if they foresee part of their own land being sacrificed to carry out the program. The large number of agrarian reform laws passed since initiation of the Alliance is testimony to the compromise achieved between the widespread support of social justice in the abstract and the small but powerful opposition to agrarian reform. Nevertheless, to effectively carry out a mandate which is a compromise requires more skill than to enact a measure which has the decisive support of a large majority.

Aware of the unfavorable connotations to the landowner of the term expropriation, the laws, in using the term, tend to surround it with assurances of restraint, approaching agrarian reform through the distribution of land rather than through the regulation of tenancy conditions which have in large part created the need for reform. Land cultivated indirectly, that is by persons other than the owner, is generally subject to eventual expropriation; but this is a last resort and in the meanwhile exploitation of the land by non-owners, including occasionally even those systems of tenancy which compensate farm labor with limited rights to land use, are free to continue. Some steps have been taken to regulate the length of lease or amount of rent paid but these are only a beginning and evasion would not be difficult. Under such conditions, the programs outlined in the agrarian reform laws will only accomplish agrarian reform if, by the efforts of their administrators, costs and delays are kept to a minimum and the technical services sufficiently decentralized so that the new farms afford a genuine alternative to the present system.

Thus, considering both the high initial costs and the short time which these laws have been in effect, it is understandable that the major activity in 1962 consisted in the granting of titles to settlers already occupying land to which they had no legal claim. Organizing the agrarian reform institutes created by the new laws (see Table 2) required most of that year and several of these agencies only began operations in 1963. Consequently the land distributed in 1962 largely pertained to projects formulated prior to the new laws, and this will probably be the case to a similar extent in 1963. More rapid handling of the projects in process is intended, however, as well as the preparation of additional projects. Furthermore, while the land affected in

^{26/} And some of these experiences are so conditioned by particular national factors that their applicability elsewhere may be limited.

1962 was for the most part of public ownership, this same procedure of legalizing "invasions" of land is being continually extended to private property. In Peru for example, the new government has recently announced that it will undertake expropriation of several large estates in the Sierra region to distribute to the Indian peasants who have settled there. Elsewhere, prodding by those who need land is a constant reminder to those entrusted with enforcing the provisions of agrarian reform legislation. To encourage the opening of negotiations on the sale or expropriation of large idle tracts of land, labor organizations will often support selective spontaneous settlements. In the future, cooperation between local representatives of the rural population--such as the labor organizations and the Church--and the central agrarian reform agency should be increasingly valuable in carrying out these programs. It is also clear, however, by comparing in Table 2 the goals for 1963 with the number of families owning less than 5 hectares of land, most of whom may be assumed to benefit by inclusion in the agrarian reform program, that if a solution to the problem is to be approached within a decade or so, the dimensions of the annual programs will have to be greatly expanded in each case. This will require a reduction of legal complexities and administrative costs as well as greater cooperation with those elements of the private sector interested in agrarian reform. Admittedly it will take some time to work out the most efficient procedures for settlement programs and technical and credit assistance programs; and as there is evidence that additional projects are being prepared for implementation in the near future, evaluation of the practical effect of the new laws may be better reserved until the responsible Institutes have been operating a full year.

2. The experiences of individual countries

The individual agrarian reform laws do not, of course, all conform to the same extent to this composite outline. Nor are the present conditions the same in each case. Some of the major features of the different national programs are outlined below, beginning with whose agrarian reform programs began under the Alliance.

Following the common pattern, Chile's law of November 1962 aims at higher productivity and a more equitable system of land ownership primarily by means of land distribution, beginning with state-owned land and, when necessary, resorting to the expropriation of private land in excess of 50 hectares which is idle or very poorly cultivated. Indirectly cultivated land is subject to expropriation when belonging to juridical persons or when rented for a period of less than six years. However, given the order of expropriation, rented land of more than 50 hectares which remains idle is nevertheless liable to expropriation even when the rental contract is of the legal duration. This should reduce somewhat the temptation to evade the effects of the law through simulated rent contracts. The obligation to invest part of the rent receipts in land improvement is raised from 10 to 15 percent, although enforcement of this provision will continue to be only as effective as in the past. Private land may also be expropriated if it is necessary to the regrouping of minifundias or, under special conditions, if it constitutes a latifundia. With respect to the first, rural land is not to be divided in lots of less than 15 hectares of irrigated land or the equivalent. At the other extreme, latifundia is defined as land

/of single

of single ownership valued at more than 400 times the annual minimum salary of an employee of private business in Santiago. If the prerequisite of a regional development plan is satisfied, latifundia may be expropriated. A reserve allowance of land valued at 200 minimum annual salaries plus 20 for each dependent is authorized by the law. The basis of valuation is apparently to be determined in each case. In addition, the Chilean law grants the President of the Republic extensive supplementary powers to regulate import duties on agricultural materials and to establish norms on agricultural housing, salaries and cooperatives.

Practical application of the law began in January 1963. One of the major projects being prepared is the leasing of 53,000 hectares of government land in Magallanes in 937 lots. At present the cost per family is extraordinarily high, slowing the extension of services to the nearly 300,000 rural families in need of land. The goal for 1963 is to settle 7,000 families, for which approximately \$60 million has been budgeted. An extensive aerial photogrammetric and field survey of agricultural lands, undertaken with the aid of a \$2.1 million loan from the Inter-American Development Bank as part three of a four-part agricultural survey, is nearing completion and is expected to greatly facilitate all agricultural development programs.

In Colombia (agrarian reform law passed in December 1961), about 90 percent of agricultural land is devoted to livestock grazing and only a small fraction of government-owned land is readily accessible, so it has been necessary to resort to expropriation of private lands. As a first step, all persons owning rural property in excess of 2,000 hectares must present the title and a description of the land to the Agustin Codazzi Geographical Institute which will study the economic use of the land; if it has remained uncultivated for ten years, the owners stand to lose title. ^{27/} When sufficient private holdings are not offered for sale voluntarily the Colombian National Agrarian Reform Institute, INCORA, is authorized to expropriate at a negotiated price lands which are uncultivated, inadequately cultivated or indirectly exploited. In each case the owner may retain 100 hectares of farm land for his own use and except where the object of expropriation is the regrouping of minifundias, he may reserve a further 100 hectares of non-farm land. Regulations subsequent to the Agrarian Reform Law define more precisely the terms "inadequately cultivated" and "indirectly exploited" and limit the price paid for expropriated land to not more than 30 percent higher than the value as appraised in the last agricultural survey. ^{28/} In some cases the settlement programs may represent "directed colonization" whereby INCORA provides schools, churches,

27. By the end of 1962, 1,238 such reports had been received covering 7.4 million hectares of land, or 25 percent of the total privately owned rural land. According to the information submitted by the owners, 2.8 million hectares were well exploited, while 4.6 million were not exploited at all. INCORA, Informe, 1962, p. 41.

28. Several steps were advocated by the Social Agrarian Council (Consejo Social Agrario) in resolutions adopted at its first meeting in April, 1963. These include measures which would abbreviate negotiations between INCORA and proprietors of affected property, define work arrangements in which labor is exchanged for land as labor contracts, and modify local councils to give greater representation to rural labor.

/and similar

and similar community facilities in addition to the land grant; under this system, 70 percent of the land not necessary for such construction is to be granted free to settlers of scarce resources; the remainder and all other land grants are to be repaid, plus cost of improvements, within 15 years of the date of possession. INCORA may, in some cases, however, choose to rent rather than sell the land. In assessing the possibilities for land distribution, INCORA is assisted by advisory committees at the departmental and municipal levels; and in the administration of technical assistance to the settlers it is supported by an Agricultural-Livestock Institute, created by an Agency of INCORA with the financial collaboration of the Ford, Rockefeller and Kellogg Foundations in July of 1962.

Nine projects were approved in 1962, covering 200 thousand hectares which will benefit 15 000 families. Plans for 1963 include the settling of 5 000 families along with giving assistance to 10 000 families in areas of spontaneous colonization in the form of roads, credit and land titles. In addition, a project to recover 150 000 hectares of land through irrigation will be initiated in 1963. Despite this ambitious program, the needs remain very great. The uneconomically small size of farms combined with severe erosion defines the nature of the problem affecting most of Colombia's rural population. The Ministry of Agriculture stated in 1959 that there were more than 800 000 farms of less than 5 hectares, and it has been generally claimed that a majority of the 1.5 million rural families do not own land. Under such conditions, it is urgent that the program incorporate the maximum number of families at the lowest possible cost. Some financial flexibility is afforded by the stipulation that expropriated land which was unexploited may be paid in Agrarian Bonds, but a few head of cattle would presumably entitle the owner to a cash indemnity. More valuable provisions are those limiting the price to be paid in cases of expropriation, and assuring INCORA a budget of 100 million pesos a year plus borrowing power of up to one billion pesos over five years.

Costa Rica's agrarian law of October 1961 entrusts responsibility for the agrarian reform program to the Banco Nacional; legislation of October 1962 created the Institute of Lands and Colonization to share this task. Further legislation is promised regulating the forms of indirect cultivation. Meanwhile a new tax is imposed on all holding in excess of 100 hectares, ranging from 1/4 percent of the value declared for the territorial tax for land 250 hectares or less to 2 1/2 percent on excess land of 5,000 hectares and above. Recipients of land are exempt from land taxes for five years.

The law does not make any disposition on poorly cultivated land; it does provide for the expropriation of private land which is not cultivated or which has been indirectly exploited for five years, land distributed to settlers who have failed to comply with the regulations of the Institute on land use and land devoted to grazing which is suitable for crops. Minifundias may be expropriated for regrouping providing that an adequate land parcel is guaranteed each displaced owner; all lands larger than latifundia are to be automatically expropriated. The term latifundia is not defined. Expropriation is to take place only when State land is no longer available and when it is not possible

/to acquire

to acquire sufficient land on voluntary sale. Compensation must not exceed the value of the land as declared for tax purposes and will be made in either cash or bonds at the discretion of the Institute. These latter features will permit more extensive purchases than where current value in cash is required. Another distinctive feature of the Costa Rican law is the intended survey of all lands in excess of 1 000 hectares to determine whether their extension has been correctly reported for the tax rolls; land in excess of the reported area will be inscribed in the name of the Institute if it is uncultivated, with the owner allowed to retain 40 percent of the excess if it is cultivated. There is strong emphasis in the law in favor of the formation of agricultural cooperatives, which are given priority in requests for credit for housing and equipment. Land may be either sold or rented by the Institute.

While the problem of land distribution is not as grave in Costa Rica as in some other countries, there are large numbers of squatters without land and many of the 51 000 farmers who at the time of the 1950 census owned less than 3.5 hectares could use additional land to advantage. Plans for 1963 center on a settlement program in Bataan covering 11 670 hectares and serving 600 families. The estimated budget of ITCO for 1963 is 6.6 million colones, of which 3.8 million will represent capital expenditures.

In the Dominican Republic a major immediate task is the distribution of large areas of land confiscated from the Trujillo family and now held by the government. To this end the Agrarian Reform Law (April 1962) outlines succinctly and specifically the responsibility of the Institute of Agrarian Reform. The plots are to be distributed among those needing land by a raffle system and each recipient is assured both housing and credit. The Institute has a budget of two million pesos. In order to serve as many as possible of the estimated 225 thousand families who as of 1960 worked farms of less than one hectare, it has cut costs to a minimum (approximately 550 pesos per family).

The program, which began in April of 1963, appears to be moving swiftly, with distribution underway of 100 000 hectares to 10 000 families. At present another project is being given serious consideration whereby with large scale international assistance the Dominican Republic would develop 500 thousand hectares of land in an irrigation project similar to the United States Tennessee Valley Authority, with the expectation of thereby doubling the incomes of 250 000 persons.

The Guatemalan law (October 1962) authorizes the expropriation of private land only insofar as it is left idle, and exceeds 50 hectares. Such land is taxed from 0.75 to 2.50 quetzales per hectare according to five classes of land, with cumulative surcharges of 20 percent imposed each year for the following four years. Rental contracts must extend five years and imply no personal servitude, but on meeting these two conditions rented land is removed from the effects of the law. In fact, rented land is by definition not idle land, without regard to its state of cultivation.

/Given this

Given this alternative and the stipulation that expropriated idle land will be paid its current value at 4 percent interest in five years, the program will be an expensive one if it is to be at all effective. The latest figures available are from the 1950 census at which time about 140 000 families were landless.

In Honduras, according to the law of September 1962 and subsequent amendments, land which is idle or inadequately cultivated or indirectly exploited fails--by definition of the agrarian reform law--to fulfill its social function, and is therefore subject to expropriation. The National Agrarian Institute may, however, rent public land for commercial use and renew such concessions granted formerly; this amendment has helped to quiet protests that the law was intolerant of business needs. Land devoted to livestock is adequately exploited if it contains one head of cattle (or five calves) for each two hectares or if it is cultivated for forage; farm land is judged according to its yield. All land declared idle or uncultivated is subject to a tax, beginning two years from the date of the law, equal to 3 percent of the declared value in the first year and rising to 40 percent in the fifth year. Indirect exploitation of land is permissible only if it represents a sharing of capital and is approved by the National Agrarian Institute; all such contract stipulations which require payment for the land in labor or in kind or which oblige the rentee to sell or purchase at a fixed market specified by the renter are declared null. The expropriation of private property requires prior payment in cash of the full price decided upon by appraisers. The land is to be distributed in parcels of 10 to 20 hectares of irrigated land, or the equivalent, for which payment is made in 10 to 20 years at a rate of interest from zero percent in the case of national land to 3-4 percent on private land. The recipient must agree to certain obligations regarding construction of housing, extent of cultivation and general orderly behavior. The National Agrarian Institute is assured a budget of at least 2 million lempiras per year and is authorized to issue agrarian bonds of 20 years at four percent to finance the compensation of acquired properties.

By mid-1963 provisional title had been granted to approximately 300 families settled on 4 150 hectares. Planning for the settlement of 6 000 families in the Aguan valley is expected to be completed during 1963. The investment budget for the year is about one million lempiras. Fifty-seven percent of the 156 000 farms included in the Agricultural Survey of 1962 were of less than five hectares and were found to provide an inadequate income.

The Nicaraguan agrarian reform law of April 1963 offers free title to 50 hectares to those persons who have been settled on public lands for at least one year prior to the promulgation of the law with the option to purchase at a price fixed by appraisers any additional area they had under cultivation. The government also intends to open negotiations with the owners of private land currently worked by squatters in order to transfer title to the latter without charge; the owners will be compensated in bonds or cash on installments. Others in need of land who meet certain standards with respect to age

/and agricultural

and agricultural experience qualify for family units for which they are to reimburse the Agrarian Institute in 15-20 years at interest not exceeding five percent. Payment may be made in produce at market prices and a deduction of five percent of the total amount is allowed for each child born after occupation of the land parcel. Full title is granted when all obligations have been met, including full cultivation of the land and at least 25 percent payment. The land parcels are to be formed first on national and communal lands, or private land voluntarily sold, then on expropriated private property. Grounds for expropriation are failure to cultivate for two consecutive years or to assume directly the economic risk of cultivation for the same period, inefficient cultivation, or failure to comply with the regulations on natural resources. In each case a reserve of 500 hectares of irrigated land is permitted. Land above this limit may also be expropriated if it prejudices an established nucleus of farmers due to scarcity of land in the area. Free personal services and the payment of rent in labor are prohibited.

The major activity of 1962 was an extension of rural credit through the formation of six new rural credit agencies and the completion of work on basic studies for a project to irrigate 10 000 hectares in the Department of Rivas. According to the Census of 1952 close to one-third of the farms in the country were of less than 3.5 hectares.

In Panama, as in the Dominican Republic, the land to be distributed is for the most part government-owned; although the Agrarian Code of September 1962 does provide for the expropriation of private holdings in excess of 100 hectares which are idle, poorly cultivated or indirectly exploited. Compensation is to be made in cash or agrarian bonds on the choice of the proprietor and at the value determined by the agricultural census of 1956 or, in the case of property acquired after the entry into force of the Code, at the purchase price. Families with an annual income of less than 600 Balboas are granted land parcels free; others are given 20 years in which to pay the cost of the land. The Institute may also rent land to settlers.

In 1961 the government presented a five-year plan (1962-66) to settle 4 500 families on 150 000 hectares of idle land. Two projects were ready at that time: Tonosi ^{29/} and Penonome. The goal for 1963 is to distribute 20 000 hectares among 1 200 families, with the help of a \$2.9 million loan from the Inter-American Development Bank. According to the 1960 census there were then about 60 000 landless rural families who lived as nomads settling on idle property and moving frequently in search of better land.

29. Tonosi is explicitly excluded from the agrarian program as regulated by the Code; however, according to the development plan this is not an insurmountable problem. A greater problem is to reduce the costs to a feasible level.

Laws 852 and 854 of March 1963 supplement the 1960 legislation on land settlement in Paraguay replacing earlier measures in this field. The latest laws create the Institute of Rural Welfare to replace the Institute of Agrarian Reform and outline the procedure for land distribution. The Institute is in charge of establishing colonies, including all community facilities. Recipients of land will repay the Institute in 15 years, although a 15 percent deduction is granted those who can pay in cash; a four percent interest charge is to form a contingency fund in case of crop failure or public emergency. Title is granted free to fathers with seven or more minor dependents and to those with a 30 percent disability from the Chaco war.

Colonization may take place on public lands or lands acquired by the Institute or may be undertaken by private proprietors with the aid and supervision of the Institute. Private establishments of any extension of which the improvements represent 50 percent of the fiscal value of the land are exempt from expropriation. Land not meeting this condition is considered not rationally exploited and the Institute may encourage the owners to adopt private colonization schemes or to sell to the Institute. If necessary the Institute may initiate expropriation proceedings. Land which is not rationally exploited and which exceeds 10 000 hectares in the eastern region of the country or 20 000 hectares in the west exclusive of forest reserves (the definition of a latifundia) is subject to a progressive tax. The scale of the tax and the maximum limit to land holdings of any one person will be specified in future legislation. Land which has been the site of stable de facto settlements for 20 years or for a shorter period in the event of a social problem, minifundios, and suburban land which is not rationally exploited are also affected by the law and when the owners are unwilling to sell, the Institute may resort to expropriation, paying in cash over 10 years.

The law stipulates that all contracts of rent or share-cropping must be formalized in writing and that rent may not exceed 12 percent of the fiscal value of the land, nor the proprietor's share of the crops 20 percent of the total product. Under the system whereby the owner provides land, seed and tools to third persons who provide labor, the contract must specify the contributions of each and the division of the produce or profit, of which the owner is not entitled to more than half.

From 1956 through 1961 the Institute of Agrarian Reform distributed title to former public lands to 17 000 families, and a further 8 600 families became land owners in 1962. The National Development Bank has initiated a supervised credit program to benefit 4 300 families and two settlement programs are being prepared along the Paraná River which together will serve approximately 4 000 families.

In view of the marked disparity in agricultural conditions between the mountainous and coastal areas of Peru, the agrarian reform program there is to be carried out in zones of development. A law of November 1962 outlined the bases for agrarian reform; but this law may be superseded by a bill submitted to Congress in August 1963 by the new government. The law of November 1962

/provided for

provided for the establishment of family farm units on public land, the land of legal persons not directly essential to their stated purpose and private lands which were idle, deficiently or indirectly exploited or excessively concentrated in one zone. It also provided for a progressive tax on land by area the proceeds of which would be used for local public works, and promised legislation to regulate indirect exploitation of the land and to extend the benefits of minimum wages and social security. Land expropriated was to be valued with reference to its productivity; the precise method of valuation as the reserve allowance and the obligations and rights of land recipients remained to be specified by implementing legislation. The new bill specifically outlaws all forms of payment in kind or in services for the use of land and declares expropriable in full any rural property worked entirely by persons other than the owner. Land worked in part by non-owners is expropriable to the extent of indirect exploitation. Those proprietors who work their own land are allowed a reserve of 150 hectares of irrigated land or a larger allowance of non-irrigated land depending on its condition and the area in which it is located. Land belonging to juridical persons is subject to expropriation to the extent that it is not directly essential to the purposes of the possessing organization whether political, clerical or business. In the case of both legal and natural persons, the sum of all holdings are considered to constitute one single property, including properties owned by several corporations in which one person or institution holds 40 percent of the capital stock. Compensation, not to exceed by more than 30 percent the fiscal value of the land is to be paid in full in agrarian bonds of 20 years at five percent. These bonds are guaranteed by the State and acceptable in payment of land taxes or as collateral in requests for credit. The recipients of land parcels will repay the price of the land determined according to its economic capacity over a period of not less than 20 years at two percent interest, with a period of grace to be specified for each zone. They must personally live on and work the land, with the assistance of credit and technical advice.

During 1962 settlement continued on the San Lorenzo project with the distribution of 7 000 hectares in 424 lots; but the most intensive effort is scheduled for the near future. Preparations are being made to settle 4 000 families in the Apurimac region, some 17 thousand families are to benefit by the irrigation of 26 thousand hectares in Arequipa, and more than a million hectares of mountain land are to be reclaimed by the construction of 14 access roads.

Among the countries where agrarian reform legislation was in effect prior to the signing of the charter of Punta del Este, Mexico has by far the longest experience. The Mexican system of land redistribution, begun during the Revolution of 1910, centers on the ejido or communal land. Over the period 1910-1962 approximately 50 million hectares of land have been distributed to more than 3.5 million rural families. Reform of the agrarian code has been approved recently by Congress to increase the availability of land for distribution. A Banco Nacional Ejidal offers a system of agricultural insurance and

/the Federal

the Federal government has made available a national network of storage facilities and has continued to expand the irrigation system, which now serves one third of the total cultivated area of the country. In 1962 a new medium and long-term loan program directed specially to small- and medium-sized farms was begun with the assistance of a \$20 million loan from AID. At present an impressive self-help project is underway in Campeche where a pioneer group of 500 heads of family is clearing land and constructing living centers as the nucleus of a large-scale resettlement of families from the north.

Bolivia's agrarian reform program, also the product of a revolution, has been in effect since 1953. A new bill, simplifying the procedures established earlier is expected to be approved in 1963. Reorganization of the Agricultural Bank is also under consideration as the scarcity of agricultural credit remains a serious problem. During the nine years of existence of the agrarian reform program, 133 thousand families have been settled on 4.4 million hectares; 26 thousand of these families were settled on 0.9 million hectares in 1962. In addition, 221 thousand titles have been turned over, 50 thousand of these in 1962. In order to raise the living standards of its population, Bolivia intends a major relocation of rural families from the valleys and altiplano to the fertile eastern lowlands.^{30/} The single most important project to date, Alto Beni, is part of that plan.

Under Venezuela's exceptionally active program of land distribution, approximately 56 thousand families were settled on 1.53 million hectares between the approval of the agrarian reform law in March 1960 and the close of 1962. The speed of the settlement program has exceeded the flexibility of the financial and technical assistance services, however, hampering the economic effectiveness of the program. To remedy this situation the government is currently emphasizing the expansion of agricultural extension services and credit facilities. The number of agricultural extension offices was increased from 124 to 140 in 1962 and is planned to reach 500 by the end of 1966. Agricultural credit also increased substantially in 1962 and is to rise by 25 percent in 1963. The present goal is to settle a further 100 000 during the period of the national plan, 1963-1966. If this can be accomplished, the program will have reached almost all of the estimated 180 000 families in need of land. Increasingly rapid extension of technical services will also be necessary, however, if the goal of raising the minimum income of rural workers to at least 80 percent of that of unskilled industrial laborers is to be met during the plan period.

30. See above, section A of this chapter.

C. TAX REFORM

Tax reform is a basic component of the development planning and agrarian reform programs outlined above. In carrying out the greatly increased investment programs and the institutional reforms called for by the Alliance it has proved necessary to review the yield and incidence of the present tax structure and to reorganize it to serve more effectively as an instrument of economic development. A detailed analysis of advisable tax reforms in Latin America would have to take into account the existing base from which reform is to be undertaken and the special conditions of development in each country; with a generous allowance for simplification, however, it is possible to consider tax policy on the regional level in the general context of development needs.

One obvious need is additional revenue to enable the public sector to carry out its share of the increased investment, and to reduce the government deficit. In the past, attempts to prevent government deficits have often taken the form of emergency taxes or surcharges on existing taxes to meet an acute financial need. As tax revenues in many countries still derive largely from customs duties and depend to that extent on highly variable external factors, such temporary measures may be revoked and reinstated repeatedly to meet the current needs of the Treasury. The aim of tax reform under the Alliance is to provide for higher tax receipts in such a way that the other goals of tax reform are not prejudiced. The other goals of tax reform can be stated in various ways but a particularly useful one is the formulation adopted by Special Committee III (Financial and Fiscal Policy and Administration) of the Inter-American Economic and Social Council:

- a. to obtain the resources necessary to finance the planned economic and social development without hampering total investment, including that of the private sector, or causing an unfavorable alteration in the level of prices;
- b. to adjust the tax burden so that it is distributed justly and equitably; this objective will be fulfilled when the tax burden is equal for those in equal circumstances and when the total tax burden is progressive in relation to the economic capability of the taxpayer;
- c. to guarantee effective compliance with fiscal obligations by perfecting the legal system and improving the mechanism of tax administration;
- d. to adopt an effective and rational policy of fiscal incentives which will serve to channel investments towards activities or areas of high economic and social priority and discourage investment in non-essential activities;

/e. to promote

- e. to promote the effective functioning of common markets by harmonizing fiscal systems so as to avoid distortions in the systems of production and commerce in the member countries.^{30/}

Conforming to these criteria tax reform measures in 1962 may be reviewed under the following headings: 1) increased tax receipts; 2) more equitable distribution of the tax burden; 3) tax incentives for investment; and 4) improved tax administration, with the latter incorporating objectives c) and e).

1. Increased tax receipts

If the public sector is to fulfill its responsibilities under the Alliance, it is indispensable that it be able to obtain the resources necessary to finance the planned economic and social development without incurring any detrimental effect on total investment, public or private, or on the level of prices. The Latin American countries made renewed efforts to meet this objective in 1962, and although budget deficits were not reduced as much as had been hoped, it is believed that with continued efforts, the steps already taken may have some additional delayed effects in future years.

In Argentina a series of measures were introduced in 1962 affecting taxes on income, production, consumption and foreign trade. A 20 percent surcharge was imposed on income above a certain level, in place of the former tax on extraordinary earnings, to be effective through fiscal year 1964; the deduction of increased assets by industrial and commercial enterprises was limited to 50 percent of investments made when these constitute at least 10 percent of the increase in assets; and the deduction of legal reserves in computing taxable income of corporations was eliminated. Earnings from the sale of securities, when this is a habitual occupation, were excluded from the income tax and made subject instead to a special one percent tax, while the tax on occasional earnings from the sale of land units was raised from 5 to 10 percent and on earnings from games of chance from 5 to 20 percent. Other dispositions of the income tax, designed to offer tax relief to lower income groups and to the livestock industry, are discussed in the following sections. Further revenue-increasing measures included a temporary 5 percent tax on the production of meat, wool, grains and oilseeds applicable in 1963. An emergency tax of one-peso per liter of gasoline was also imposed along with the creation of a 5-peso per liter tax on lubricating oils; the latter has subsequently been raised to 10 pesos a liter. Items formerly not subject to a sales tax are now taxed at 3 percent, but the sales tax on items earlier taxed at 13 percent has been reduced to 10 percent for essential products; the sale of items considered luxuries or non-essentials continues to be taxed at 13 percent. The special sales tax on automobiles has been increased by 50 percent and a new tax levied on passports. An additional

30. See Final Report of Special Committee III (CIES/302), San José, Costa Rica, July 1963.

5 percent tax on imports, except those declared of high national priority, was also imposed during 1963.

In Brazil new measures introduced in 1962 reinforcing the effects of reforms made the previous year are expected to result in an increase of 150 billion cruzeiros in 1963 revenues. In 1961 the income tax law was amended, and a state sales tax was introduced in Minas Gerais. More recent measures impose a new tax on earnings from letters of exchange, increase the rates of income tax and establish a compulsory loan of 20 percent of the tax on incomes withheld at the source, except income from labor; the former 20 percent emergency tax was eliminated. At the same time consumption taxes were altered, with the rates on non essential items increased. Important revenue gains are expected in the future from the alteration of the tax on electric power from a fixed amount to a rate based on the value of the power consumed and increasing progressively over the next three years, 1963-1965; collections on this account are expected to improve 40 percent by 1965.

Chile has prepared an extensive program of tax reform. At present a series of measures are under parliamentary discussion, which would restructure the income tax to make it more progressive, introduce a tax on capital gains, modify the tax applicable to gifts and inheritance, provide for periodic reevaluation of real property, and reform the administrative organization of the Internal Tax Service.

The Colombian government has requested of Parliament extraordinary powers to completely reorganize the decentralized institutes in order to eliminate duplication and rationalize their operations, to reform tax administration including the administration of taxes by the departments and municipalities, to improve the system of valuing real property and to reform the system of valuation of intangibles, of setting exemptions and of determining the rates applied to enterprises which do not distribute profit in the country. Specific tax changes recommended include the establishment of a tax of 3 to 10 percent on the sale of finished goods, a 20 percent surcharge on the income tax, a consumption tax on gasoline to be used to finance highway construction and an import registration fee. A technical assistance mission of the OAS/IDB/ECLA has studied the Colombian tax system and made recommendations on tax reform.

In the Dominican Republic, Ecuador and Mexico one of the most important changes introduced in 1962 was the addition of a global tax on income superimposed on the schedular system. In May of 1962 the Dominican Republic introduced a progressive surcharge ranging from 3 to 40 percent on personal income; progressive rates of 10 to 38 percent apply to corporate income. The income tax is expected to contribute one fifth of all fiscal revenue in 1963 compared to one tenth in 1961. Ecuador also added to the schedular tax on income from all sources a complementary progressive tax intended to facilitate the eventual transition to a global progressive tax on income. Ecuador is also studying revision of the sales and stamp taxes. In addition to imposing a complementary tax on all income, Mexico in 1962 increased the rates

/applicable to

applicable to middle and upper brackets and to rental income, imposed a special one percent tax on income to finance middle and higher education, provided for accelerated depreciation allowances and established a tax on use and ownership of automobiles. A capital gains tax was initiated in 1962, and a tax on distributable profits which are not reinvested. Tax revenues increased 12 percent in 1962 over 1961 and are expected to rise by 20 percent in 1963 with approximately half of these increases credited to the new provisions. Further revision is being considered, especially in the direction of modifying the schedular system of income taxation.

Numerous tax increases were introduced in Peru in 1962, but most of these were later reduced. A special tax on exports of fish flour, together with the rise in price of the major export products, has contributed additional revenue to reduce the budget deficit, and it is expected that administrative reforms will also contribute to higher tax collections in 1963.

Paraguay, Uruguay and Venezuela had all made certain reforms in the tax structure in 1961. In 1962 Paraguay, like Argentina, sought to stimulate the livestock industry by offering tax incentives; the income tax on this industry was eliminated and to replace the loss in revenue a tax was introduced on the sale of cattle or horses. Uruguay introduced a tax on income for the first time in July of 1961, and at the end of that year raised the rate on corporate income from 30 to 50 percent. Venezuela increased in 1961 the rates of taxation on most types of income and on inheritance taxes, doubling those on corporate income. The Venezuelan four-year development plan for 1963-66 provides for the introduction of taxes on dividends and on land.

Among the Central American countries, taxes on income, property, inheritance, sales and consumption have all been considered potential sources of higher tax receipts with different approaches settled upon by the different countries. Costa Rica has had since 1958 a serious problem of budget deficit, caused in part by the heavy subsidies granted to state enterprises and aggravated by a difficult balance of payments situation. Besides the reduction of fiscal revenues originating in the deterioration of export receipts, some other factors have also affected revenues unfavorably. Thus, the industrialization program has also created some difficulty due to the tax exemptions offered as incentives and to the reduced income from imports accompanying the progress of import substitution. New measures to reduce the deficit by raising revenues include the obligation of state banks to pay income and land taxes, a new progressive tax on idle lands graduating with their extension, creation of an Administrative Fiscal Tribunal to handle discrepancies between tax declarations and the dispositions of the law and improvements of the system of withholding taxes at the source and paying in quarterly installments. Proposals have also been made that a tax be levied on the income of public servants and other taxes on goods manufactured within the country, the latter to recover some of the loss from reduced imports of these goods.

/Following the

Following the reform of the income tax in El Salvador in 1961, which made the rate structure more progressive with a range of 2-76.5 percent and which contributed substantially to raising revenues, new measures were introduced in 1962 to improve collections. Reforms are also being contemplated of the tax on inheritance and gifts.^{31/}

When the Guatemalan income tax law took effect on July 1, 1963, it marked the first time that all Latin American nations have employed a tax on income. Further amendments are expected to this law which at present excludes 70 percent or more of Guatemalan workers through the generous deductions and the gradual increases in rates over broad levels of income.^{32/} Guatemala has also raised the property tax from 3 to 5 percent per thousand and provided for the revaluation of all property not appraised in the past 15 years to 300 percent of its last appraised value. A tax has been levied on exports of cotton and some essential oils according to variations in the market price and the export tax formerly levied on coffee has been lowered.

Beginning July 1, 1963, Honduras imposed a 20 percent surcharge on taxable income of 20 thousand lempiras or above, and introduced a progressive tax on forestry and woodworking industries. The tax on production and sale of carbonated water was increased and a tax equal to 5 percent of the sales price imposed on automobiles formerly excluded from taxation.

Nicaragua raised the tax on gifts and inheritance and on the transfer of immovable property. The tax on capital was also increased and divided to apply separately to housing and other immovable properties; the former was raised to 0.5 percent on values not less than 20 thousand córdobas in the departments or 30 thousand in the capital and the latter to one percent, with a 10 thousand córdoba exemption. Certain stamp taxes were also raised and consolidated.

Panama approved in 1962 a surcharge of 10 percent on incomes above 1.8 million balboas, an increase from 20 to 40 percent in the surcharge on income of companies in which more than half of the capital stock is owned by one person or group, and a tax on dividends paid by companies who by virtue of contracts with the state are exempted from income taxes. A land tax was also initiated in 1962, and several consumption taxes earmarked for specific purposes. Import tariffs were raised by 0.5 percent with additional levies on liquor, beer and automotive vehicles; and the exemption from import taxes formerly granted the autonomous institutions was revoked. The draft of a general tax reform, prepared with the assistance of an OAS/IDB/ECLA Mission was presented to the National Assembly at the end of 1962.

31. El Salvador, Informe Económico Social preparado para la Comisión III del CIES, June 1963, p. 14.

32. Informe del Segundo Período de Reuniones de la Comisión III, July 1963, (OAS/Ser.H/XIII; CIES/Com.III/27 Rev.2) p. 13; and Banco de Guatemala, Informe Sobre Finanzas Públicas correspondiente a los años 1960 a 1962, July 1963, p.5.

2. More equitable distribution of the tax burden

Among the reform measures listed in the preceding section there is evident an attempt to alleviate the tax burden on the lower income groups relative to those better able to contribute to the public sector and at the same time a concern that private investment not be penalized by heavy taxation.

The tax increases recently imposed in Argentina, for example, exempt the lower income groups by imposing the surcharge only on incomes of 50 thousand pesos or more and on capital. At the same time the family deduction was raised, the basic rate lowered from 9 to 8 percent, and the sales tax was reduced on essential items. Brazil also raised the basic family income exemption and restricted increases in consumption taxes to nonessential items. The compulsory loan does not apply to income from personal services.

In Chile the tax on dividends was eliminated in order to diminish double taxation.

Introduction of a tax on income in Guatemala together with the increase of the property tax, and the initiation of a global tax in the Dominican Republic, Ecuador and Mexico are also based on consideration of the ability to pay. Mexico also changed the payment of taxes on personal income from a monthly to an annual base, making it conform to the other schedules in this regard and lowering the annual tax charge on those whose incomes may vary widely in different months.

The tax on idle land introduced in Costa Rica in 1962 and the initiation of a land tax in Panama were also intended to redirect the incidence of the tax away from those of lower income and wealth. In addition Panama raised the tax on immovable property and applied a surcharge on the income tax which varies from 10 percent on personal income from personal services to 20-40 percent on the income from capital.

3. Tax incentives to investment

Several of the Latin American countries are presently reviewing the system of incentives to industries or to regions which it is considered desirable to develop in order to assess their effectiveness in relation to the other objectives of tax reform such as higher revenues and more equitable distribution of the burden.

Argentina has recently reordered the tax privileges granted for development purposes and Chile has proposed to the National Congress a greater reliance on accelerated depreciation as a stimulus to industries which the development agency, CORFO, designates to be of high priority. Mexico offers accelerated depreciation allowances to agricultural, industrial, mining and construction enterprises and levies a tax on distributable profits not reinvested. Panama is also studying a draft of new legislation on incentives and the members of the Central American Common Market are currently in the process of

/approving the

approving the Agreement on Uniform Central American Incentives. Brazil is finding very successful the 50 percent reduction of income tax liability to those firms which invest in the northeast region of the country the amount of the tax saving plus an equal amount from their own capital, with the approval of the northeast development agency SUDENE. New measures in Argentina and Paraguay in 1962 offer special tax reductions to the livestock industry.

4. Improved tax administration

a. More efficient collection

Greater efficiency of collection procedures is essential if the selective emphasis of tax reform, that is, the alleviation of the tax burden on low income groups and on industries important to the national development, is to be compatible with the primary responsibility of the tax system to produce enough revenues to finance the public sector's responsibility in the development program. But where tax evasion is known to be easy in practice and is widely condoned, to reverse this custom requires strong action. Thus numerous measures are being enacted or studied to improve the collection of taxes by imposing strict penalties on attempts to evade payment of the full tax liability, as well as by introducing more efficient methods of collecting and accounting.

In Argentina, for example, a period of grace was declared during which previously undeclared income could be registered with the tax authorities without penalty and at a considerably reduced tax rate. Thereafter certain operations such as the transfer of real property and of automobiles and qualification for bank credit above specified amounts are made contingent on the interested persons' being registered on the tax rolls. In this way 450 000 persons disclosed 200 billion pesos in previously undeclared capital which at the reduced rate brought in 12 billion pesos in taxes, about 9 percent of total tax revenue collected in 1962. Peru and Uruguay followed a similar procedure in 1963, reducing rates on tax arrears during a temporary amnesty after which sanctions were made more strict than before. In Peru, for example, heavy fines of 500 to 500 000 soles and/or two to six months imprisonment are now the penalties for tax evasion. A national register of taxpayers has also been compiled in Peru.

Mexico has also recently introduced a national register of taxpayers, on which some 3.5 million persons have now been inscribed, compared with 0.7 million in 1962. In addition Mexico will adopt beginning in 1962 the practice of individual determination of tax liability, reducing the responsibility of the administration to checking these declarations. Electronic computers are used in this task.

Costa Rica and El Salvador are now also installing electronic equipment as part of their programs to improve the efficiency of tax collections. In addition the Costa Rican government has submitted to the legislature a proposal to deny certain public services to persons who have not declared their income or real estate. In Honduras the Executive is authorized to charge fines

/for delayed

for delayed tax payment and in Guatemala the Minister of the Treasury is permitted to take legal action in cases of delayed payment. In Panama, too the process of legal retribution in cases of fraud has been made more effective by eliminating the burden of proving intent to deceive. Additional collection facilities have also been provided by using the offices of the National Bank of Panama throughout the country.

b. Rationalization of administrative methods

Simplification of tax codes and rationalization of administrative methods are also important areas of tax reform, both in themselves and with a view toward regional standardization of tax systems for the purpose of economic integration. In these phases of reform, the adaptability of foreign experience has been particularly useful.

In Chile, for example, a complete review of the Tax Service was conducted in late 1962 with the help of United States experts in tax administration and the results for improved tax collection have been remarkable. Similarly the tax systems of Argentina, Colombia, Ecuador, Panama, Uruguay and the Central American Republics have been evaluated by the joint tax missions of the OAS/IDB/ECLA, with recommendations submitted on both structural and administrative reforms.

Tax administration in the Dominican Republic is also being studied with the help of the OAS, and consultants from the United States Internal Revenue Service have pronounced the recent reforms in Honduras to be outstandingly successful. These reforms are credited with increases of 30 percent, 25 percent and 7 percent respectively in the collection of export, income and sales taxes in Honduras in 1962.

Peru and Ecuador have created permanent commissions on administrative reform of their respective tax systems. Argentina, Brazil and Guatemala already have similar commissions studying comprehensive reform of the tax structure.

In addition, Ecuador has begun a codification of fiscal law and has introduced government sponsored training courses in public administration. The El Salvadorian government also offers seminars in tax administration, and Chile in 1962 graduated the first class of students from the school of tax administration created in 1961; 375 students, or nearly 50 percent of the tax administration personnel at the time, completed the course. Chile also in 1962 completed for the first time a unified manual of the fiscal code, a project which Bolivia and Ecuador have since begun; the former with ECLA assistance.

Colombia, Paraguay and Uruguay introduced improved accounting and collection procedures in 1962, Mexico initiated a monthly withholding system on wage and salary income and Venezuela initiated the payment of income taxes in the same period in which the income is received.

/Further simplification

Further simplification of administrative practices remains one of the objectives of tax reform measures, together with simplification of the tax measures themselves. For several countries the distribution of revenues between the central government and its subdivisions also remains problematic. Continued improvements in the area of tax administration, are indispensable to meeting the other aims of tax reform, which are together indispensable to fulfilling the over-all goals of the Alliance.

Table 104

SUMMARY OF SOME MAJOR FEATURES OF AGRARIAN REFORM LAWS PASSED SINCE AUGUST 1961^{1/}

	Month/ Year of Law	Land affected ^{2/}	Land exempted ^{3/}	Compensation for expropriated pro- perty	Terms of payment by recipient of land	Conditions of land grant	Size of land parcel	Supplementary Services	Special features
Chile Law 15020	11/62	a) Public lands b) Idle or very poorly cultivated private land c) Land of legal persons indirectly cultivated d) Land rented on a lease less than six years e) Minifundios for re- grouping f) Latifundia in ac- cordance with a development plan for the region	a) Private land valued at 200 minimum annual salaries of an employee of private business in Santiago plus 20 per dependent valuation not spec- ified b) Well cultivated land or rented land of a 6 years or more lease	Price determined in each case, cash 20% down, not less than 10 years at 4%	To be specified by law; not less than 20 nor more than 30 years	Division of parcel requires authoriza- tion	Family Unit ^{4/} one additional unit al- lowed for each 3 de- pendents	Credit, technical aid, Social Security and market facilities	15% of rent receipts must be invested in improvements; special powers to President to reg- ulate salaries, housing norms, imports, education
Colombia Law 135	12/61	a) Private land of more than 2000 ha. uncultivated 10 yrs. b) Accessible state- owned c) Private property above 100 ha.: uncultivated inadequately cul- tivated indirectly exploited minifundios for re- grouping	a) 100 hectares farm land of 200 hec- tares total exclud- ing steep slopes from "farmland" b) Well cultivated land	Price negotiated by appraisers uncul- tivated land in Agrarian Bonds, others in cash 20% down, 5-8 years 4-6% interest	15 years; principal beginning third year from receipt 2% in- terest first two years, 4% thereafter in "directed colonies" 70% plots distributed free INCORA may rent instead of sell	50% under cultivation in 5 years, 65% in directed colonies; not divisible or transferrable; obey regulations of In- stitute (INCORA); direct exploitation, INCORA may buy land plots indirectly ex- ploited	Family Unit	Credit & technical aid	Advisory committees at departmental and local level farms of less than 3 hec- tares legally null
Costa Rica Laws 2825 and 3033, 3042	10/61	a) Public lands b) Private land: "Latifundias", uncul- tivated, indirectly exploited, distrib- uted land on which obligations neg- lected, farm land used for grazing	To be determined by the Institute, land fulfilling its social function	Not more than fiscal value bonds or cash at discretion of Institute	a) Parcels: 25 yrs., beginning 5 yrs. from receipt; repay cost of land, im- provements and first year's credit allotted by Insti- tute, discount of 5% per resident dependent Adjustment in years of poor crops b) Institute may chose to rent to colonists	Direct exploitation; not transferrable or divisible for 15 yrs. and until fully paid, obligations to Insti- tute on cultivation, tax exempt for 5 yrs.	Family Unit on lands received free by State not more than 10 hectares for crops or 50 for pasture	Credit for one year's crop priority in credit, technical aid	Tax on all land holdings 1/4 to 2 1/2% taxable value, emphasis on cooperatives, lands of more than 1000 hectares registered incorrectly trans- ferred to State to extent of uncul- tivated excess

Table 104 Cont'd.

Dominican Republic Law 5879	4/62	Lands belonging to and purchased by state	All private property not voluntarily sold or donated		Sales contracts to be specified by Institute	Must work land personally; not divisible or transferable until full title; free of all legal burdens	Family Unit	Housing, credit, technical aid, training	Distribution by raffle
Guatemala Law 1551	10/62	a) Public lands b) Idle private lands on petition	a) Cultivated land b) Rented for at least 5 years with no personal service exacted	Price determined in each case; paid in cash, 5 years at 4%	Price determined by Institute; paid 10% down, 20 annual quotas for land, 3 for equipment, no interest 10% reduction if paid in less than 20 years public lands may be rented by Institute	Divisible, transferable only with approval of Institute Direct exploitation	Family Unit not less than 20 hectares	Credit and technical aid	Idle lands taxed 0.75 to 2.50 quetzales per hectare with surcharge of 20%, 40%, 60%, 80% in five years, rent may not exceed 6% crop a year
Honduras Decree 2	9/62	a) Public lands b) Communal lands c) Private lands above 50 ha., uncultivated or idle, indirectly exploited, destined for rural private parcelation and not serving this person	50 hectares of irrigated land or equivalent, sufficiently exploited land	Price determined in each case; based on fiscal declaration and recent productivity to be fully paid in cash prior to possession	10 to 20 years, no deposit; no interest on public lands, 3%-4% on land acquired from private owners	Ownership of 1000 lempiras business capital or 2000 agricultural from receipt, failure to cultivate 2 years in succession abandonment of land or family two years, failure to meet housing norms, or resort to indirect exploitation implies loss of title	Not less than 10 nor more than 20 hectares of irrigated land	Credit, technical aid	Farms less than 5 hectares indivisible, payment of rent in kind or labor forbidden, rental must be approved by INA. tax on idle lands 3% tax value year one, to 40% 5 years
Nicaragua Decree 797	4/63	a) National lands b) Communal lands c) Lands of National District, municipalities and state enterprises d) Private lands above 500 hectares if: uncultivated or idle two consecutive years, inefficiently exploited, indirectly exploited two consecutive years	500 hectares of first quality land or equivalent	Valued according to location and potential productivity; terms not specified	Those already settled on and cultivating public or private land free title, otherwise 15-20 years with 2 years of grace at interest not to exceed 5 percent per year; 5% reduction of total for each child born after receipt of land	Work land personally; no renting or tenants; build housing, full cultivation and at least 25% paid qualifies for full title, division or transfer requires approval, inembargable land equipment exempt from all public charges	Family Unit	Credit, technical aid	Free personal services and payment of rent in labor prohibited. Institute to regulate renting and share-cropping

Table 104 Cont'd.

Panama Law 37	9/62	a) Public lands: b) Private lands: idle inadequately cultivated indirectly exploited	Well exploited	Value established by Agricultural Survey of 1956 or purchase value if purchased after 9/62. Cash or 4% bonds on choice of owner	If annual income below 600 Balboas, free; otherwise 20 years at 3% with 10 years extension at 6% if necessary. Institute may choose to rent rather than sell	Full cultivation in 5 years if less than 50 hectares, if more than 50 hectares full title when fully exploited, not divisible or transferrable without approval	Family Unit 10 ha.-minimum colonization in 50 ha. lots	Credit, technical aid	
Paraguay Laws 852, 854	3/63	Land owned or purchased by Institute Private lands on which a) improvements do not represent 50% of fiscal value of land b) stable population settled c) minifundios	Reserve to be established by law, rationally exploited land (improvements - 50% fiscal value)	If not occupied, price is the average of sale price and fiscal valuation in past two years; if occupied, paid average fiscal valuation of last 15 years cash, 10 yearly installments	Free title to heads of family with 7 minor dependents and to those with 30 percent disability from Chaco War. Otherwise 15 years; 15% reduction if pay cash	Not embargable or transferrable, may not be rented for 10 years beyond full payment. Must work land personally; build house within 6 months	Varies with type of exploitation from 1/2 to 8000 hectares	Credit, technical aid	Tenancy contracts to be written; rent not more than 12 percent fiscal value; crop payment not more than 20 percent produce; owner not more than 50% profit in joint ventures; tax on latifundia not rationally exploited
Peru Law 14238	11/62	a) Public land b) Lands of legal persons not directly essential c) Private lands: idle deficiently exploited indirectly exploited excessively concentrated in one zone	Reserve to be specified by law for each zone, well cultivated land	Valued according to productivity	Not yet determined	Not yet determined	Family Unit	Credit, technical aid. Legislation to set minimum salary, extend Social Security	Progressive tax on land by area; proceeds for local public works. Legislation to regulate methods of indirect exploitation

Notes:

1. This is only a brief summary of some provisions of these laws to indicate the general directions of action toward agrarian reform; it is by no means complete and therefore not a legally accurate presentation.
2. In case of grave need, other private land may be expropriated on burden of proof of public utility in accordance with the relevant disposition of the respective national Constitutions.
3. Excluding land occupied by buildings, forest reserves, national parks, the shores of rivers and lakes and land immediately bordering urban concentrations; specific exemptions of this nature are included in the respective laws.
4. The "Family Unit" as used in this table refers to the measure, common to several of these laws but denoted differently, of that quantity of land which is capable of being worked by a settler and his family without additional labor, although help may be obtained during the harvest, and which given a rational use of available equipment and techniques will yield a sufficient income to pay off the debt of purchase and provide a progressive improvement in the standard of living of the resident family.

Sources: Chile: Ley de Reforma Agraria, No. 15020, November 1962
Colombia: Ley de Reforma Agraria, No. 135, December 1961
Costa Rica: Ley de Tierras y Colonización, No. 2825, October 1961
Dominican Republic: Ley de Reforma Agraria, No. 5879, April 1962
Guatemala: Ley de Transformación Agraria, No. 1551, October 1962
Honduras: Ley de Reforma Agraria, Decree 2, September 1962
Nicaragua: Ley de Reforma Agraria, No. 797, April 1963
Panama: Código Agrario, Law 37, September 1962
Paraguay: Estatuto Agrario, Law 854, March 1963
Peru: Bases de la Reforma Agraria, No. 14238, November 1962

Table 105

PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING THE AGRARIAN REFORM LEGISLATION PASSED SINCE PUNTA DEL ESTE

	Agency of Agrarian Reform	Beginning of operations	Estimated number of families owning less than 5 ha. of rural land	Number of families receiving land or title			Budget 1963	Estimated cost per family	Major Programs Underway
				In 1962	First half 1963	Planned total 1963			
Chile	Corporación de Reforma Agraria	January 1963	1962: 300 000 ^{a/}		5 860	7 000	60 m.US\$	US\$7 500	Leasing of 53 000 hectares of public lands in Magallanes in 937 lots
Colombia	Instituto Nacional Colombiano de Reforma Agraria	January 1962	1959: 800 000	4 324 ^{b/}	...	5 000	300 m. pesos authorized	investment of 50 000 ps.	9 projects approved in 1962 will benefit 13 400 families by dividing 44 000 ha. of idle or poorly exploited land and 117 900 ha. by irrigation
Costa Rica	Instituto de Tierras y Colonización	November 1962 ^{c/}	1950: 50 000	600 ^{d/}	6.6 million colones	...	plans for distribution of 270 000 ha. to 20 000 squatter families
Dominican Republic	Instituto Agrario	April 1962 ^{e/}	1960: 225 000	738	440	3 000	2 million pesos	550 pesos	distribution of 100 000 ha. to about 10 000 families; possible hydroelectric development to irrigate 250 000 acres of new land
Guatemala	Instituto Nacional de Transformación Agraria	October 1962 ^{e/}	1950: 140 000	20 000	Sebol project in northeast, including a road network; total cost 12.6 m. quetzales
Honduras	Instituto Nacional Agrario	September 1962 ^{e/}	1962: 90 000	...	304	...	2 million lempiras	...	Planning to be completed in 1963 on project to settle 6 000 families in Aguan Valley; preliminary studies for National Cadastral Survey
Nicaragua	Instituto Nacional Agrario	April 1963 ^{e/}	
Panama	Comisión de Reforma Agraria	March 1963 ^{e/}	60 000	1 200	Alanje project to be completed in 1963, Tonosi currently 50% completed and four others 20% completed - total of 110 thousand persons to benefit
Paraguay	Instituto de Bienestar Rural	March 1963 ^{e/}	...	25 000	11 253	Parana River project to divide 42 000 hectares into 1 400 plots has begun; project being studied to develop 10 000 ha. for 2 500 families
Peru	Instituto de Reforma Agraria y Colonización	November 1962 ^{e/}	...	424	1 620	7 000	66 million soles	...	Settlement of 6 460 families in San Lorenzo, 1963 settlement of 2 000 families in Apurimac by 1967-68

Table 105 Cont'd.

Notes:

- a. See Inter-American Development Bank, Social Progress Trust Fund, Second Annual Report, 1962.
- b. Equals "titles expedited" by INCORA. See INCORA Informe, p.44.
- c. From October 1961 to October 1962, the Banco Nacional administered the settlement programs.
- d. Refers only to a colonization project in Bataan; other projects are intended but have not yet been quantified.
- e. Date of entry into force of law creating the Institute.
- f. A loan of \$5 million was granted by AID in May 1963.
- g. The Alanje project, scheduled for completion in 1963 will benefit 30.7 thousand persons; a Tonosai settlement, 50 percent completed, will benefit 3.2 thousand persons. The estimate given here includes these two projects and assumes an average of 5 persons per family.

Sources:

- Chile: Inter-American Development Bank, Social Progress Trust Fund, Second Annual Report, 1962; United States Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agriculture, May 27, 1963; Evaluation of Plan by Committee of Nine; Report to the IA-ECOSOC, July 1963 (CIES).
- Colombia: INCORA, Informe de Actividades en 1962 and "Boletín Informativo," various issues; Caja de Crédito Agrario, "Carta Agraria," various issues. The estimated number of families needing land is the number of farms of less than five hectares in 1959 according to the Ministry of Agriculture; the number benefiting in 1962 is the number of "titles expedited" by INCORA as given on p.44 of the Informe. The cost per family settled refers to investment, excluding administrative costs, and is estimated by INCORA (p.42, Informe) to be divided almost evenly between the cost of land and of credit.
- Costa Rica: Report to the CIES, July 1963. The estimated number of families needing additional land corresponds to the number of farms of less than five hectares in 1950: see Pan American Union, La Estructura Agropecuaria de las Naciones Americanas, 1957.
- Dominican Republic: Social Progress Trust Fund, Second Annual Report, 1962; "Alliance Weekly Newsletter," August 26, 1963. According to the preliminary results of the 1960 census approximately half of the 450 000 farms consisted of less than one hectare of land.
- Guatemala: Social Progress Trust Fund, Second Annual Report, 1962. According to the census of 1950, there were 140 000 rural families who did not own land.
- Honduras: Social Progress Trust Fund, Second Annual Report, 1962; Report to the IA-ECOSOC, July 1963 (CIES/320-II). The number cited as needing additional land equals the number of farms of less than 5 ha. according to the 1962 census.
- Nicaragua: Inter-American Development Bank, Social Progress Trust Fund, Second Annual Report, 1962.
- Panama: National development plan; report to the CIES, July 1963, (OAS/Ser.H/X5). According to the census of 1960, there were 60 000 rural squatter families.
- Paraguay: Inter-American Development Bank, Social Progress Trust Fund, Second Annual Report, 1963.
- Peru: Inter-American Development Bank, Social Progress Trust Fund, Second Annual Report, 1963; Report to the IA-ECOSOC (CIES/325).



IA-ECOSOC

INTER-AMERICAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL

OEA/Ser.H/X.4
CIES/299 (English)
1 September 1963
Original: English/Spanish

PART II
Chapters I and II

SECOND ANNUAL MEETINGS OF THE IA-ECOSOC
AT THE EXPERT LEVEL AND AT THE MINISTERIAL LEVEL
OCTOBER-NOVEMBER 1963
SAO PAULO, BRAZIL

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL STUDY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

PART II. Principal Characteristics and Development of the
Central American Productive Structure

- CHAPTER I. Structure, Recent Development and
Prospects of Central American Exports
- CHAPTER II. Principal Characteristics and Development
of the Central American Productive
Structure

PROVISIONAL

NOTE

This document contains Chapter I and II of Part II of the Economic and Social Survey of Latin America for the year 1962. It is being distributed in parts in order to make this material available at the earliest possible moment. The remaining chapter of Part II plus Part I and III will be distributed as soon as possible.

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance
for Progress in 1962

PART II. Principal Characteristics and Development
of the Central American Productive Structure

CHAPTER I. Structure, Recent Development
and Prospects of Central American
Exports

CHAPTER II. Principal Characteristics and
Development of the Central American
Productive Structure

CHAPTER III. Development and Structure of
Central American Importation
and Prospects for Intra-Central-
American Trade

PART III. Social Survey

I N D E X

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
A. Objectives and Principal Results of the Investigation	1
B. The Problems of Small Countries and their Application to the Central American Situation	10
CHAPTER I. STRUCTURE, RECENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROSPECTS OF CENTRAL AMERICAN EXPORTS	17
A. Pattern and Development of Exports	17
1. Coffee	23
2. Bananas	26
3. Cotton	35
4. Wood	41
5. Meat	41
6. Sugar	45
7. Cocoa	49
B. Prospects in 1963-67 for Exports Outside of Central America	53
1. Assumptions	53
2. Projections	57
<u>APPENDIX</u> PROJECTION OF THE VALUE OF CENTRAL AMERICA'S MAJOR PRIMARY PRODUCT EXPORTS IN 1967	59
1. Coffee	59
2. Bananas	66
3. Cotton	70
4. Wood	75
5. Meat	76
6. Sugar	78
7. Cocoa	82
CHAPTER II. PRINCIPAL CHARACTERISTICS AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE CENTRAL AMERICAN PRODUCTIVE STRUCTURE ...	87
A. Structure of the Production of Goods and Services	87
1. Structure of the Output by Major Sectors of Economic Activity	87
2. Structure of the Economically Active Population and of Productivity by Sectors	98

I N D E X (Cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
B. MANUFACTURING STRUCTURE	103
1. Potential of Industrial Growth and its Components .	103
2. Structure and Development of the Industrial Output	106
3. Recent Development in the Production of Selected Products and per capita Production and Consumption Levels	115
4. Industrial Employment and Capital Structure; Productivity and Capital Intensity	123

SYMBOLS USED

Three dots (...) indicate that data are not available or are not separately reported.

A dash (—) indicates that the amount is nil or negligible.

A minus sign (-) indicates a deficit or decrease.

A stroke (/) indicates a crop year or fiscal year--e.g., 1954/55.

A full stop (.) is used to indicate decimals.

A space is used to distinguish thousands and millions (3 421 520).

Use of a hyphen (-) between two dates --e.g., 1950-1954--normally signifies an annual average for the calendar years involved, including the beginning and end years.

"to" between the years indicates the full period--e.g., "1950 to 1954"--means 1950 to 1954 inclusive.

Reference to "tons" indicates metric tons; and to "dollars," United States dollars, unless otherwise stated.

Totals do not necessarily correspond to the sum of their components, because of rounding.

An asterisk (*) is used to indicate figures partially or wholly estimated.

The term "billion" signifies a thousand million.

INTRODUCTION

A. OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPAL RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

The effort to achieve the economic integration of Central America, which is motivated by an understanding of current needs and is based on the deep roots of a tradition of community feeling, has been receiving an increasingly more favorable reaction from the nations of this area. This is manifested in the attitude of the government circles themselves, who, following their basic decisions regarding the establishment of a common market, have accelerated the efforts to achieve that objective, at the same time expanding its scope; and in the attitude of private enterprise and the public, who, by their active interest and participation, have added practical content to the process leading to progressive economic union. Despite the serious difficulties that characterize the launching of any undertaking of this kind, many signs in recent developments point to the possibility of a great success.

Such a success, of course, depends on several circumstances. It naturally presupposes a consistent course of action, carried forward not only with the patient dedication of the participants themselves, but also with the effective cooperation of the appropriate international organizations and an understanding attitude on the part of those industrialized nations that are in a better position to cooperate in achieving the goals that have been fixed. But in addition the joint development must follow, in conformity with the desires of all those concerned, appropriate objective and technical standards. This, in turn, presupposes a better knowledge of the situation and of the possibilities that arise from the new conditions.

This study is a result of the desire of the sponsoring agencies of the American States to help clarify the problem and of the positive cooperation of other organizations that are engaged in making studies in other fields of economic development of this area.

The aim has been to throw some light on three fundamental aspects of the recent structural developments and to make certain projections regarding the immediate future, with the emphasis always on the physiognomy of the combined economy of Central America.^{1/} These aspects are: i. exports; ii. total production by large sectors, especially industry; and iii. imports and their partial replacement under the integration system.

1. In relation to production consideration was also, given, in so far as possible, to the combined economy of the entire Central American Isthmus parallel to that of traditional Central America. In the latter concept, the area of the five Central American States was included, and in the former, the Republic of Panama was added.

The immediate aim of the study is to serve as a basis for more thorough up-to-date studies, which would adequately fill the existing lacunae by the use of more recent, more detailed statistics obtained on the spot. However, it has been considered desirable to publish now the results obtained, even though they are incomplete, in order to make them accessible to a greater number of persons interested in the economic development of the Isthmus, and to stimulate constructive objectives for later studies.

A few conclusions concerning the studies made are set forth below.

1. In considering Central American exports and their composition, the study concentrated on recent trends and their probable development in the next five years in an attempt to ascertain whether or not there are possibilities of a renewed "development outward" and also to throw a little light on the possibilities of financing, with largely internal resources, and accelerated internal development.

It was found, first of all, that--with the exception of the intra-area trade, which has tripled in six years, but which still represents a small percentage of the total--exports of the region have been almost stationary recently. This was due chiefly to the unfavorable development in prices for the principal products. Although certain efforts have been made to diversify this trade, about three-fourths of Central American income from abroad still comes from the export of three commodities: coffee, bananas, and cotton. There are, to be sure, a few other items in the region's trade that have not been so affected by the unfavorable development in foreign markets and that have better prospects for the future, for example lumber, meat, sugar, and cacao. However, the relative importance of these products is still too small to change decisively the general export trend in the near future.

The projections made in this report 2/ suggest that under reasonable assumptions, it may be expected that the stagnation in Central American exports outside the integration area will not continue, but even so the sales of the most important primary

-
2. The projections have been made by an extrapolation of the trends observed into the next five years, but other indications of the probable future development of the world market for the basic products in question have also been taken into account. Although the large number of unreliable elements makes it necessary to interpret these results with due caution, it is believed that these projections show roughly what is most likely to occur on the basis of the assumptions established.

/products of

products of the region to its traditional markets will probably only increase slowly--about 2.7 percent a year--in the coming five-year period. In particular, the outlook for coffee and bananas does not appear very good owing to the saturation of the United States market for those products and the present unfavorable conditions for increasing sales of those products in the more dynamic European market where the preferential treatment accorded to the associated African countries by the European Economic Community prevails. As for cotton, which is a relatively new product in Central America, trade in this product has shown a marked potential for growth, but up to now only Japan has been an expansive market for it. It should also be considered that possible increases in sales of this article will depend almost entirely on the Japanese textile industry, whose present development is characterized by rather uncertain tendencies.

Under these conditions, a definite impetus to accelerate the growth of the Central American export sector could only be expected from significant gains in obtaining new markets for traditional exports and a pronounced development of new or secondary export products, such as lumber, meat, shellfish, soluble coffee, nonferrous metallic minerals, etc.

In any case, the present export outlook makes necessary an intensive development within the area and an increasing careful diversification of production.^{3/}

- ii. The analysis of the structural aspects of the total production, of goods and services in the area, especially those referring to industrial production, contains more evidence and comments regarding the status of diversification, which, to be sure, is not very advanced, of these economies and the progress made in the process of structural conversion in the last decade. The emphasis is, above all, on the meaning of certain relatively rapid changes that have occurred in the ratios of the large economic sectors. Such dislocations in the production structure are, in general, a natural phenomenon in the course of development. The marked increases in the ratio of certain services, and nonimportable elements are quite typical of this stage in the development of economies, while a slow decline in the ratio of the primary sector to total output is the logical result of the

3. It should be stated before going further that the diversification proposed, as will be expressed later does not mean a fragmentation of activities or the establishment of industries that are not able to achieve a certain degree of competitiveness.

advance by the more dynamic sectors. However, in this process in Central America certain special characteristics are worthy of attention.

First of all, it should be stated that these changes during the ten-year period in question were somewhat greater than those experienced at the same time by most of the countries in other regions in a somewhat similar stage of development.

This fact could be considered as a sign of a more dynamic diversification process. However, this characteristic of Central American development has been, to a large measure, attributable to an insufficient growth of agriculture in the period under examination--and more specifically, during the second five-year period--in relation to the unfavorable trend in farm exports, whose rather unsatisfactory development has been made up only in part by the gains in agriculture for domestic consumption. Actually, the rate of agricultural growth was even less than that of population growth. This endangered the balanced advance of the diversification process, since, during industrialization and the development of services, agriculture should continue to supply these economies with increasing amounts of exchange resources or at least ensure the supplying of their increasing demand for food and industrial inputs.

The unfavorable relationship of the sectoral rates of growth also caused a near-stagnation of the total output per capita. In fact the rate of agricultural growth dropped from 3.5 per cent a year during the first part of the decade to about 1.5 in the second half; it should be remembered that in 1960 agricultural activities still represented almost 40 per cent of the total output of Central America. The development of the manufacturing sector, with a rate of growth of 6.5 percent a year, followed a rather even course throughout the ten-year period, but did not make up for the decline in agricultural expansion. The rate of increase of the tertiary activities in the first five years was slightly higher than that of manufacturing industry, but during the second five years, it was somewhat lower. In short, the total growth of the Central American economies dropped from almost 5.5 per cent a year in the first five years to 3.5 in the second, with a resulting drop in the rate of increase of per capita output from 2.2 per cent a year to 0.3.

In examining the possible favorable effects of the shift in the relative position of the various sectors, the impact of this change on the imbalance in sectoral productivity was considered. The progressive industrialization and development of certain services have tended to decrease the marked differences that formerly existed between the productivities of the various

/segments of

segments of the economy, but without remedying these disparities substantially or bringing about a notable reduction in disguised unemployment, which is concentrated in the primary sector. On this point, there are appreciable differences among the various countries of the Isthmus.

The fact that the ten-year transformation of the productive structure has not been motivated by powerful internal forces tending toward diversification is also seen in the course of the structural development within the manufacturing sector. Indeed, the composition of industrial output--at least by large groups and branches--showed only slow changes, in contrast to the more striking changes noted in the composition of the total output by broad sectors. In other words, Central American industrial development has not been marked by the usual sharp differences in the growth of the various manufacturing groups.

The traditional industries--which in 1953 represented 85 per cent, and in 1958, 84 per cent, of Central American manufacturing output--showed in this period an annual growth of 5.6 per cent, indicating a greater upward surge than in the more developed economies and than the corresponding average rates for Latin America. This confirms the fact (which is also seen in the low levels of per capita production) that there have been more or less ample opportunities to replace imports in such industries, and indicates that a continued development of these activities would probably have permitted the countries of the Isthmus to achieve a greater than mere vegetative industrial growth for several more years, even without integration. However, if operating conditions are taken into account, it also appears likely that an attempt to take advantage of such margins for replacement would have led fairly soon to increasing problems in production costs, which, in turn, would have affected incentives for investment, unless an excessive protectionism had been established.

At the same time, the development of a large part of the intermediate-industries--which together represented 9 per cent of the sector's output--has been impeded by the rigid restrictions of the economies of scale, and so its over-all growth was only slightly greater than that of the traditional industries, and its rate less than the corresponding average rate for Latin America. Moreover, the intermediate industries of the Isthmus had a rather narrow spread, not only because they lack certain important branches, but also because they consist in several categories of complementary activities of the industries themselves (packing, mixing, repairs, etc.). Nevertheless, it should be stated that several promising initiatives were made in the second half of the period under consideration, and the integration atmosphere has led to the appearance of several projects of major importance.

/What has

What has been achieved in the mechanical industries up to now is even less significant, but here, too, there are several initiatives that may be valuable, although they consist for the most part, in the assembling of certain appliances.

The integration movement, recently initiated, is expected to have an effect on several characteristics of manufacturing development.

First of all, it is to be hoped that, because of its diverse stimulating effects, it will accelerate the sector's growth, with the first impetus affecting the traditional industries; this will probably be superseded within a few years by the development of the intermediate industries.

Consequently, a certain manufacturing diversification is also likely to occur, chiefly as a result of the establishment of the so-called "integration industries," most of which will be intermediate industries.^{4/} Such new activities would not only benefit from the unification of national markets, which in themselves are very small, but also from the pooling of certain scarce resources.

The establishment of these industries will probably strengthen somewhat, at least temporarily, the monopolistic structure of the Central American industrial market, although measures have been taken to ensure reasonable prices. However, at the same time, the expansion of the market for industries for which the scale of operation is not so important will make it possible to increase internal competition, which in turn will promote the gradual rationalization of costs and prices through market forces.

With respect to the problem of costs and prices, it is noted that the potential industrial growth of the developing countries --viewed from the standpoint of demand--is composed of several elements. Although the replacement of imports is at present the most important component, it is also important to consider the possibilities that may exist in the area of industrial exports and those derived from the simultaneous expansion of the other sectors, including the possible increase in the local market for certain products through the progressive redistribution of income.^{5/} Progress along these last three lines of

^{4/} The integration industries, which because of their nature, require production on a larger scale, are being established in the various participating countries, where they enjoy certain temporary privileges, for the purpose of supplying the common market. See footnote 1 on page 1.

^{5/} In this connection, it is to be noted that higher income groups show a greater tendency to consume imported articles.

industrial expansion is substantially affected by excessively high industrial costs and prices. That is why a process of selection should be applied to manufacturing development, reserving priorities for industries having a solid foundation and promising future and especially for those that can be competitive on the international market.

In making a selection, priority should also be given to those industries that, besides being economically and technically feasible, are capable of exercising a greater stimulating effect through interindustrial linkage forward and backward, that is to say, their effect of promoting additional industrialization by providing inputs for other industries, or markets for the output of intermediate industries.

Another criterion for selection, which is appropriate to the Central American region, is the possibility of increasing employment, which would mean--in addition to its obvious social implications--utilization of the most abundant resource in the Isthmus and would help increase the value of that resource by practical training.

Attention is given, finally, to the increase in industrial employment, and to the structure of employment and capital in the various manufacturing activities. It is shown that in this area there is scarcely any relationship between the productivity of labor by industrial branches and capital intensity. Among the possible reasons for this phenomenon is the prevalence of inadequate utilization of the installed capacity, because it has not been possible to obtain equipment adapted to the requirements of the small Central American national markets, or, in other cases, because the shortage of qualified supervisory personnel has prevented operation on three, or at least two, shifts, or else because the coordination of the operations and the maintenance of the machinery have suffered owing to a lack of adequate technical skill. It is hoped, owing to certain encouraging signs in the integration process begun, that the strengthening of intra-area trade and common efforts to put production on a technological basis will help solve these problems.

- iii. The final part of the study is devoted to the development of Central American imports, the volume of which depends chiefly on import capacity, and through it, on exports, but the composition of which bears above all the stamp of the production structure, on whose future development the prospects for intra-area import replacement also depend.

Central American imports grew very rapidly in the decade following the war, during which period the volume tripled. In contrast,

/beginning in

beginning in the middle fifties, imports were marked by a declining rate of growth and virtual stagnation as a result of the unfavorable export trend, and in three recent years (1958, 1959, and 1961), temporary declines were recorded.

The nature of the changes that occurred simultaneously in the composition of imports varied throughout that period, and there were differences noted also among the various countries. For example, up to 1953, the ratio of intermediate goods to imports in the area increased significantly, while the share of ordinary consumer goods tended to decline. This latter trend was, however, manifested only in the foreign purchases of the three Central American countries having the most advanced industrial development, since the other nations not only maintained, but increased, the ratio of their consumer goods to their imports at the cost of other items. In the second half of the period, the decrease in the volume of manufactured imports subject to replacement tended to extend throughout the area, but even so, the rate of such changes was smaller in the totals. At the same time, there occurred another somewhat significant increase in the share of raw materials.

The inter-area trade continued to be relatively light until 1958, but at that time a definite expansion, at least relatively speaking, began (20.5 million dollars in 1958; 32.7 million in 1960; and 47.0 in 1962).

The growth in the intra-area trade and its undeniably promising outlook has stimulated the industrialization of Central America, which has led, above all, to better utilization of installed equipment, and as stated earlier, has also been an incentive to the establishment of industries. By bringing with it a replacement of imports from outside the area, this trade has increased manufacturing diversification and the strengthening of certain traditional industries, especially textiles and foodstuffs. In its initial stage, this reciprocal trade has encountered several obstacles. These include the tardy accession of two countries; the lack of experience in integration of the agencies called on to put it into effect; and the inexperience of the entrepreneurs in estimating the requirements of the regional market. Nevertheless, such difficulties are being gradually overcome, and coordinated development programming and the solution of financing problems are the chief tasks now.

In considering the area as a whole, an important fact is that additional "justified" replacements can be made. Actually, from the standpoint of the integration area, it can be considered that it is a matter of an "overdue" replacement, since it could already have occurred, at least in part, if a common market had

/already existed

already existed in the area. The elimination of this margin presupposes an accelerated growth, chiefly of the manufacturing sector, and the rate at which this process advances depends on prevailing conditions, particularly the volume of investments in the years to come. It has been estimated that an investment of at least 150 million dollars is needed to cover this replacement lag, if it is to be done within the next four years. The amount would be considerably higher if the total industrial capacity now being inadequately utilized were not used. It should be noted that this estimate does not include the requirements for a parallel expansion of the infrastructure.

In analyzing the possibilities of the foreign sector, it was not possible to go beyond the export projections already described, although the logical objective was to establish tentatively the future (net) import capacity. Therefore, it is not possible to compare the demands of future development with the exchange resources available for that purpose. Nevertheless, the rather narrow margins of possible income from exports indicate in advance that it would be very difficult to purchase the necessary equipment abroad unless rather substantial foreign funds were available for that purpose. Moreover, the present situation also indicates that if foreign loans or additional private foreign investment are tied to high amortization rates or repatriated profits, development, which has been stimulated by an initially favorable atmosphere, could soon be obstructed by the effect of an external imbalance.

B. THE PROBLEMS OF SMALL COUNTRIES AND THEIR APPLICATION TO
THE CENTRAL AMERICAN SITUATION

The circumstances that have, in the past, retarded the economic development of the Central American countries or have tended to channel it in less favorable directions have been most varied. However, if we consider them in rather broad terms, there have been three things, in particular, that have somewhat determined the direction of the other forces involved.

1. The underdevelopment of the production resources of the area is so marked that in many fields any gain meant overcoming the initial inertia. To illustrate this point, it is sufficient to refer to the almost complete lack of economic and social infrastructure in vast areas and to the considerable shortage of the other external economies that normally accompany a certain degree of development, including those related to the human element. This situation has prevented access to known or potential natural resources or has impeded their profitable exploitation.^{6/} Of course, there is also a causal interaction in this situation, since the extremely small economic activity in these areas was also one of the causes of the slowness in establishing basic installations there. Similar problems have also hampered several aspects of the manufacturing development, and, in the last analysis, the formation of industrial capital and the strengthening of the other factors of production. It should be noted that in recent years, there have been certain perceptible indications of improvement in some of the countries of the region in this situation known as the "vicious circle" of the early stages of development.

2. The institutional conditions prevailing in a large part of the Isthmus, as is widely known, have been largely responsible for restricting any possible progressive initiatives and decreasing their favorable results. In that connection, mention should be made of the serious problems involved in the existing distribution of wealth, especially in the agricultural sector, the precarious educational and health situation, and the system, which is obsolete in many respects, of socioeconomic incentives that prevail in the community.

3. Finally, reference should be made to the smallness of the countries under study. This factor--in combination with the stage of development of these countries--also appears to have conspired against their more rapid, balanced development. Although the role played by the two above-mentioned factors

6. As examples, we could mention the case of Honduran lumber and that of the potential resources in the nearly inaccessible province of Petén, which constitutes one-third of the entire territory of Guatemala.

scarcely requires comment today, the third has received little attention.^{7/} Additional research will have to be devoted to this subject in the future, since the empirical evidence concerning it is far from satisfactory but certain main points can be established as a hypothesis.

Despite the fact that the problems in connection with economic development involved in the small size of certain countries have not yet been studied very carefully, certain recent events have pointed up their importance. It is reflected especially in the progressive establishment of regional markets, which can be interpreted as an attempt to approach the problem, although at times it has also been the result of political considerations.

In this analysis, it appears sufficient to sum up the conditions considered to be the most frequent disadvantages of those nations that may be classified as "small." It should be noted that ordinarily such a classification is based on the number of inhabitants and, of course, always in a very relative sense. It should also be emphasized that the effects of these disadvantages could be calculated accurately only if all the other circumstances were practically the same in relation to the aspect being considered.

Outstanding among the more general disadvantages of the small countries is the fact that their small size does not permit them to utilize properly the economies of scale, which are essential or very beneficial to several industries, especially the intermediate and mechanical industries. For this and other reasons, related principally to less variety, on the average, in natural resources, their production structure tends to reveal less diversification than that of the big nations, and their dependence on foreign trade is often greater.^{8/} Actually--according to certain statistical evidence--not only is their ratio of foreign trade to output usually higher, but also the

7. Efforts have been started, however, to analyze the problem seriously. In addition to works by international organizations, see, for example Economic Consequences of Size of Nations (proceedings of a Conference held by the International Economic Association, 1957, edited by E.A.G. Robinson, New York, 1960).

8. The greater potential for diversification of the large countries not only helps reduce their vulnerability to external factors, but also leads to several domestic advantages. Among the latter should be mentioned the broad play of external economies that usually results from a highly integrated industrial system. The importance of the external economies that can be derived from their specialization is sometimes stressed as comparable advantages in the situation of the small countries. However, the transformation of a system having but one facet into a highly technological one is a very difficult task and even if it succeeds, it is doubtful that the results will in themselves be comparable to those of the more or less integrated production machinery. As a matter of fact, the kind of specialization that is carried out by the enterprises of a large, diversified economy, spontaneously and chiefly as an internal division of labor appears, in principle to be more conducive to optimum

concentration of exports among the countries of destination.^{9/} Parallel to this situation, and combined with the fact that the share of their principal products in world trade is often not very high, their negotiating power also tends to be weaker.

Moreover, the burden of certain administrative expenses is often heavier for the small countries. Especially, the uneconomic use of their resources, for reasons of security or prestige, can be a heavier burden. At the same time, the need to train and maintain an outstanding intellectual group in a greater variety of specializations, familiar with world progress--may exceed their financial possibilities.

Although disadvantages of this kind tend to be linked with the situation of the small countries that are more or less developed, the problems that arise from this fact are much more difficult for those countries that are both small and underdeveloped. Their principal export products, which constitute a significant part of their income, are, for the most part, primary products subject to considerable fluctuations on the world markets. There is little likelihood that a great variety of natural resources that are easy to exploit and market will be found in their territory, which is usually also very small. At the same time, they have a narrower field of selection of personnel for more complicated tasks, and frequently their capital is insufficient for an undertaking of broader scope. This being the case, a serious error in a project requiring large amounts of scarce resources--for example, a mistake in locating a large hydroelectric plant--can be an irreparable error, because it will probably be a long time before the necessary means to carry out a better planned project are available.

8. (Cont.)

solutions than the "specialization" of a small country, which concept refers rather to the principal course followed by its entire economy, which is adopted in a somewhat compulsory manner and primarily at the precarious level of an international division of labor, while preserving perhaps a high degree of vertical integration at the enterprise level. In any case, the prosperity of such an economy depends largely on the skill of the entrepreneurial group and the government in selecting the specialized activities and continued success presupposes, in addition, a greater degree of flexibility in order to adjust the direction of the national specialization to the changing conditions of foreign markets.

9. These differences in the importance and direction of foreign trade appear, of course, only in a comparison of countries at a similar stage of development. For the statistics mentioned, see S. Kuznets in Robinson op. cit., pp. 19-23, and a Summary of the Discussion, id., pp. 352-352. In the final section of this study, some of the aspects of Central American exports will be described.

/The case of

The case of Latin America illustrates the disadvantageous situation of the small countries in an environment of underdevelopment. Whereas in the economically more advanced areas of Europe, certain small nations have overcome the abovementioned obstacles and have achieved a considerable degree of development, a marked relationship between size and stage of development prevails in Latin America.^{10/}

As a matter of fact, the three most economically advanced countries in Latin America, Argentina, Brazil, and Mexico, are also the largest in population and area,^{11/} whereas the nations having the lowest per capita income are almost always among the smallest in population. And although this coincidence is partly due to other factors that are difficult to measure, such as climate, geographic location and configuration, and various ethnic characteristics, the circumstances that surround Latin American development leave little doubt regarding the existence of a causal relationship between national size and economic potential.

In considering the problem of size with respect to Latin America, approaching it from the standpoint of markets, it should be remembered that income levels and the resulting purchasing power of this region are substantially lower than in the industrialized areas. In Western Europe, the per capita output is on an average three and one-half times higher than in Latin America, and in the combined industrialized areas of the world, including the United States, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and Japan, it is between four and

10. In speaking of Europe, the examples of Switzerland and Denmark are usually mentioned. However, it should be borne in mind that the economic power of these nations and of others in a similar situation and their solid relations with foreign markets had been formed before World War I, that is, in a period when national boundaries were not such an important barrier in economic events--particularly with respect to the mobility of factors, the monetary system, taxation, and tariff protection--as has been the case in more recent years. However, examples that are more or less comparable, though less striking, are also found in other regions. In such countries, among which New Zealand and Israel are outstanding, it has been a matter of colonization by heavy immigration from the industrialized areas of Europe, accompanied by considerable amounts of capital, foreign exchange, skills and entrepreneurial experience, at times supplemented by preferential access to large protected markets.

11. These countries also belonged to the small group of countries whose rate of manufacturing growth between 1957 and 1961 exceeded that of 1950-1957. See OAS-ECLA, Economic and Social Study of Latin America, Graph VI-1.

/five times

five times higher.^{12/} Thus, in this region the market absorption capacity of a country with 12 or 15 million inhabitants can be the same as, or less than, that of nations having three, four, or five million inhabitants in the industrialized parts of the world. Suffice it to say that, despite the equality in size of the total market, the structure would be very different.

With respect to Central America in particular, we should not lose sight of the fact that the population of the countries in this region, when considered separately, ranges between only one and four million inhabitants, an average of slightly more than two million, of which 44 per cent are under 15 years of age, and that their per capita income is scarcely 40 per cent of that of the five relatively more prosperous nations of Latin America. Therefore, their national markets cannot be classified simply as "small," but rather as belonging, even within this category of size, to the extreme cases. In short, no matter how minor the influence of national size on development may be after a certain minimum has been reached, it appears reasonable to consider that, in such definite cases, especially with respect to the inadequacy of the market and of the developed resources that can be combined within it--size has been a rather decisive element in the past economic development.

This is also corroborated by the experience in the past few years since the launching of the first plans and government decisions on economic integration, since in this brief period, there has been a very promising current of intra-area trade and, at the same time, the production of several industries has registered an accelerated growth.

However, in noting such a favorable phenomenon, it should also be remembered that, in the Central American countries, economic union implies only the possibility of terminating an extreme situation, but the market of the integrated area, with its 11 million inhabitants today and its 14 million in 1970 ^{13/}

12. The abovementioned amounts were estimated on the basis of figures given by P. N. Rosenstein-Rodan. See his "International Aid for Underdeveloped Countries" in Review of Economics and Statistics, May 1961. In this work, figures are given on the per capita output, in dollars, for several countries and areas of the world. The computations are made in two ways, with and without adjustment to impute the greater domestic purchasing power of currency in certain parts of the world. These adjustments do not make the original relationship between the output levels of Latin America and those of Europe vary significantly, but with respect to certain other industrialized areas of the world, there are differences of some importance. Thus, in comparing the Latin American per capita output with that of the highly developed regions as a whole, a ratio of approximately 1:5 was obtained without adjustment, and about 1:4, with an adjustment.

13. If Panama is included, it would have 12 million inhabitants now, and something over 15 million by 1970.

will not become similar to that of a large country. In other words, the integrated group will continue to have the characteristics of the rather small economies, but will be significantly improved over the past, with levels comparable to those of the medium-type economy of Latin American countries.^{14/}

^{14.} In the symposium already cited (See Robinson, *op. cit.*, page 349 *et seq.*), it was suggested that the most "appropriate" dividing lines between large countries and small countries was about 15 million inhabitants. It should be pointed out that this statement developed principally from an analysis of the small economically advanced countries, and no attempt was made to establish a different dividing line for the less advanced countries. The statistics given by Kuznets to demonstrate the existence of a relationship between the size of a country and certain aspects of foreign trade were obtained by a division at about 10 million inhabitants. In this study, the criterion of a population of 15 million was applied as the basis for the classification by size in the computations made for Table I-5, but distinctions were made between countries on the basis of their degree of industrialization.

Chapter I

STRUCTURE, RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND PROSPECTS OF CENTRAL AMERICAN EXPORTS

Central America's role in the world economy is still largely the traditional one of an underdeveloped area located outside of the temperate zone, that is, as supplier of only two or three tropical agricultural commodities to the industrialized countries of Europe, and the United States. For a long time this trade pattern had provided a valuable stimulus to the region's development. In recent years, however, the relative saturation of the traditional markets for tropical products and the unfavorable trend of prices paid for them have been responsible for a virtual stagnation of Central American export earnings; and while some effort has been made to diversify, excessive dependence on a small number of primary products is likely to remain a serious obstacle to the expansion of exports in the coming years.

These points are illustrated in the present chapter, which seeks to analyze the structure and trend of Central American exports since 1955, and to examine the prospects for the area's major primary product exports in the next five years.

A. PATTERN AND DEVELOPMENT OF EXPORTS

Outside of intra-regional trade, which though it has tripled still remains a small percentage of the total, Central American exports virtually stagnated between 1955 and 1961. This was largely a reflection of the unfavorable evolution of prices for two of the area's key exports, coffee and bananas. The sharp export rise experienced in 1962 was solely the result of a large increase in cotton exports, which boosted the export receipts of El Salvador and Nicaragua appreciably, but had little or no impact on the rest of the area. Throughout the period, despite the recent appearance of some new export lines, such as meat, shrimp and soluble coffee, Central America continued to derive the bulk of its export income from coffee, bananas and cotton. The destination of the coffee and cotton exports underwent some significant shifts, which involved a substantial increase in West Germany's coffee purchases and the emergence of Japan as a major importer of cotton from the area. On balance, however, these shifts did not add up to a marked diversification of the market structure for either product, and Central American exports taken as a whole continued to depend almost exclusively on the United States and Western Europe for their markets.

Currently, the total export trade of the five Central American countries amounts to approximately \$500 million. The two largest exporters are El Salvador and Guatemala, which each ship over \$100 million of goods annually, while the value of exports from the three remaining countries, Costa Rica, Honduras and Nicaragua, amounts to some \$80 million each (see Table 1). Coffee, which represented nearly 60 percent of all exports from the area in 1955, now accounts for less than half of the total, and the share of bananas has also declined slightly; however, these two products, together with cotton, continue to make

/Table 1

Table 1

CENTRAL AMERICAN EXPORTS BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN, 1955-62

(in millions of dollars)

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 *
Costa Rica	80.9	67.5	83.4	91.9	76.7	85.8	84.2	85.7
El Salvador	106.9	112.7	138.5	116.0	113.4	116.8	119.1	136.4
Guatemala	107.1	123.3	115.9	107.2	107.5	119.4	115.1	111.6
Honduras	51.5	73.3	64.9	69.8	68.7	63.1	73.0	78.0
Nicaragua	71.9	57.8	64.3	63.8	65.0	56.0	60.6	82.5
Total	418.3	434.6	467.0	448.7	431.3	441.1	452.0	494.2

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, May 1963.

up about three-fourths of Central America's exports (see Table 2). A few other basic commodities, namely wood, meat, sugar and cocoa, together now account for about 8 percent of the total, as against 2 to 4 percent in 1955-57. The remaining 18 to 20 percent consists primarily of the growing number of agricultural and manufactured products entering Central American intra-regional trade. In trade with the outside world, on the other hand, there are still few other exports, and their value, though rising, is still small. At present, their list would include among other things, a variety of metals from Honduras and Nicaragua, soluble coffee from El Salvador and Guatemala and, since 1960, shrimp from El Salvador.

As mentioned above, the continued predominance of coffee and bananas in the Central American export structure was the major factor behind the lackluster performance in 1955-62. During this period, the value of exports of both dropped by 15 percent (see Table 3). In both cases, the declines reflected primarily the fall of prices on the world market. In the case of coffee, the drop in the unit value was so severe that the loss in earnings could not be offset even with sizeable increases in the volume exported. The slow growth of demand for Central American bananas restricted the scope for compensatory volume increases. With cotton, on the other hand, a drastic expansion of the volume exported effectively made up in the 1950's for unfavorable price trends. By 1962, moreover, cotton prices were rising and the value of exports was nearly double what it had been in 1955. As for the less significant basic product exports from Central America, excepting cocoa, they benefited both from stable or rising prices and expanding volumes. Wood exports rose only moderately, but meat exports, which had hardly existed until 1959, almost doubled in the next two years. Central American sugar exports increased sharply after 1959, as a result of the windfall increase in United States purchases following the exclusion of Cuban sugar from that market.

Throughout the period under review, the heavy specialization of Central America in the export of a few primary commodities has been paralleled by the concentration of its markets (see Table 4). The United States, besides providing the largest outlet for Central America's coffee, takes nearly all of its banana, meat and sugar exports, as well as the greater part of its cocoa. The fall of coffee prices led to a substantial decline in the dollar value of this trade in 1958-59, but in 1961 over one-half of all Central American exports still went to the United States and Canada (the latter importing small amounts of coffee and bananas), Western Europe, which bought increasing amounts of coffee--and some cotton--from Central America, maintained its share of the area's total export trade at about 30 percent throughout--with West Germany now accounting for the bulk of Central America's European coffee trade and one-third of its total coffee exports, against only 17 percent in 1955. On the other hand, intra-regional exports, though they rose rapidly in this period, still represented less than 10 percent of the total in 1961, and trade with the rest of Latin America and the Caribbean area countries was almost nonexistent. Japan's share, which country came to supplant Western Europe as Central America's major cotton importer, rose to 9 percent of the total in 1961, solely because of trade in this one commodity.

/Table 2

Table 2

COMMODITY DISTRIBUTION OF CENTRAL AMERICAN EXPORTS, 1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962*
	(in millions of dollars)							
Coffee	243.4	250.0	273.2	247.3	213.5	231.7	210.7	206.2
Bananas	74.9	84.7	80.5	77.3	66.2	69.2	70.7	64.0 ^{a/}
Cotton	44.7	46.5	42.1	51.2	59.9	36.9	50.8	84.7 ^{b/}
Wood	9.6	8.9	11.7	10.2	12.5	12.8	11.4	
Meat	---	.1	.1	1.0	5.2	8.6	9.1 ^{c/}	
Sugar	1.8	.6	2.0	2.9	3.8	6.7	8.4 ^{c/}	12.0 ^{d/}
Cocoa	6.3	3.2	4.3	6.4	7.9	6.3	5.2 ^{e/}	5.0 ^{e/}
Total major products	380.7	394.0	413.9	396.3	369.0	372.2	366.3	
Other	37.6	40.6	53.1	52.4	62.3	68.9	85.7	
Total exports	418.3	434.6	467.0	448.7	431.3	441.1	452.0	494.2
	(in percent of total)							
Coffee	58	58	59	55	50	53	47	42
Bananas	18	19	17	17	15	16	16	13 ^{a/}
Cotton	11	11	9	11	14	8	11	17 ^{b/}
Wood	2	2	3	2	3	3	3 ^{c/}	
Meat	---	---	---	---	1	2	2 ^{c/}	
Sugar	---	---	---	1	1	2	2 ^{c/}	2 ^{d/}
Cocoa	2	1	1	1	2	1	1 ^{e/}	1 ^{e/}
Total major products	91	91	89	88	86	84	81	
Other	9	9	11	12	14	16	19	
Total exports	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	

--- Less than \$50 thousand, or .5 percent.

a. Does not include small amounts exported from El Salvador and Nicaragua.

b. Does not include small amounts exported from Costa Rica.

c. Exports from Guatemala estimated on the basis of U.S. and Central American import data.

d. Estimated on the basis of U.S. imports.

e. Estimated on the basis of Costa Rican exports.

Sources: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, May 1963, and: Costa Rica, Dirección General de Estadística y Censos, Comercio Exterior, 1955-61. El Salvador, Dirección General de Estadística y Censos, Anuario Estadístico, 1955-61. Guatemala, Dirección General de Estadística, Anuario de Comercio Exterior, 1955-60 and Banco Central de Guatemala, Boletín Estadístico, January-February 1963. Honduras, Dirección General de Estadística y Censos, Comercio Exterior, 1955-61. Nicaragua, Recaudación General de Aduanas, Memoria, 1955-61.

Table 3

VALUE, VOLUME AND UNIT VALUE OF CENTRAL AMERICA'S MAJOR PRIMARY PRODUCT EXPORTS,
1955-62

(Indices 1955=100)

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962*
Value:								
Coffee	100	103	112	102	88	95	87	85
Bananas	100	113	107	103	88	92	94	85
Cotton	100	104	94	115	134	83	114	189
Wood ^{a/}	100	93	122	106	130	133	119	
Meat ^{a/}					100	165	175	
Sugar	100	33	111	161	211	372	467	667
Cocoa	100	51	68	102	125	100	83	79
Volume:								
Coffee	100	93	109	122	126	133	132	147
Bananas	100	110	116	113	99	114	113	101
Cotton	100	115	109	136	190	106	141	238
Wood ^{b/}	100	91	117	99	124	128	117	
Meat ^{a/}					100	170	176	
Sugar	100	28	89	136	209	353	395	546
Cocoa	100	68	75	82	121	123	108	88
Unit value:								
Coffee	100	110	103	83	69	71	66	58
Bananas	100	103	93	91	89	81	84	85
Cotton	100	90	87	84	71	78	80	81
Wood ^{b/}	100	102	117	118	116	118	119	
Meat ^{a/}					100	97	100	
Sugar	100	120	121	117	100	105	117	119
Cocoa	100	77	90	123	104	82	77	84

- a. For meat, 1959 was used as base year. Exports were insignificant in early years.
b. For wood, the volume and unit value indices exclude Nicaraguan exports.

Sources: For values, Table 2; for volumes, FAO Trade Yearbooks and Monthly Bulletin of Agricultural Economics and Statistics. Also, national sources listed in Table 2.

Table 4

CENTRAL AMERICAN EXPORTS BY DESTINATION, 1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961*	1962*
	(in millions of dollars)							
Total exports	<u>418.3</u>	<u>434.6</u>	<u>467.0</u>	<u>448.7</u>	<u>431.3</u>	<u>441.1</u>	<u>452.0</u>	<u>494.2</u>
Central America	13.1	14.7	17.7	21.3	28.7	30.9	37.0	47.3
Total exports outside of Central America	<u>405.2</u>	<u>419.9</u>	<u>449.3</u>	<u>427.4</u>	<u>402.6</u>	<u>410.2</u>	<u>415.0</u>	<u>446.9</u>
United States and Canada	260.9	252.7	260.1	239.7	207.8	218.8	229.6	
Western Europe	113.8	134.4	158.5	146.8	141.8	148.6	129.0	
Japan	16.1	19.4	10.8	25.0	37.6	27.9	41.4	
Other	14.4	13.4	19.9	15.9	15.4	14.9	15.0	
	(in percent of total exports)							
Central America	3.1	3.4	3.8	4.7	6.7	7.0	8.2	9.6
United States and Canada	62.4	58.1	55.7	53.4	48.2	49.6	50.8	
Western Europe	27.2	30.9	33.9	32.7	32.9	33.7	28.5	
Japan	3.8	4.5	2.3	5.6	8.7	6.3	9.2	
Other	3.5	3.1	4.3	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	

Sources: For total exports, International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics. For exports by destination, United Nations, Direction of International Trade. For Guatemala the banana customs valuation adjustment, which is given only for total exports, has been prorated to the U.S. and Western Europe components. For Nicaragua, gold exports have been subtracted from both regional and total export figures. The 1962 intra-regional trade figure is an estimate from the Secretariat for Economic Integration of Central America (Carta Informativa, Anexo Estadístico No. 11).

A more detailed review of the evolution of trade and market distribution of Central America's principal primary product exports in 1955-62 is given in the commodity analyses that follow.

1. Coffee

Coffee is still by far the most important source of export earnings for Central America. Its share of the total, however, has been declining fairly steadily in recent years, and now represents less than half of all export receipts (see Table 5). In absolute terms, the annual value of Central American coffee exports has ranged between \$200 and \$275 million in the period covered by this study (see Table 6). Its abrupt fluctuations had been one of the major factors in the year-to-year changes in total export receipts until about 1960, but had relatively less impact in the last two or three years, as other export products have risen in importance.

At present, coffee brings about \$200 million to the region. It is a major export product for each of the five Central American countries. The bulk of the area's coffee exports, however, comes from three major suppliers, Costa Rica, El Salvador and Guatemala, where coffee earnings account for roughly half of total exports and range between \$50 and \$70 million. In Honduras and Nicaragua, on the other hand, coffee brings in only \$10 to \$15 million and, while it still accounts for 15 to 20 percent of total export receipts, it is topped in one country by bananas and in the other by cotton as a source of foreign exchange.

During the 1955-62 period, the violent price fluctuations that characterized the world coffee market naturally had a strong impact on Central America. After remaining high in 1955-57, the export unit value of Central American coffee fell by approximately one-third between 1957 and 1959. Coffee export receipts dropped sharply as a result. The decline, however, was mostly confined to El Salvador and Nicaragua, where export volumes remained relatively unchanged. In the other three countries, where coffee production had been much expanded in response to the price increases of the early 1950's, large increases in the volume of coffee exported permitted the maintenance of receipts at a relatively steady level (see again Table 6). Between 1955 and 1962, the value of Central American coffee exports dropped by 15 percent but the volume rose nearly 50 percent, chiefly as a result of increased shipments from Costa Rica and Guatemala. Costa Rica, in fact, increased its dependence on coffee as a source of foreign exchange in recent years, in contrast to the rest of Central America, where as mentioned above, the role of coffee has steadily diminished. In El Salvador, in particular, whose dependence on coffee was virtually complete in 1955, the share of coffee in total export receipts was reduced to less than 50 percent by 1962 (see again Table 5).

Central America exports almost all its coffee to the United States and Western Europe. While the United States remains the bigger of the two markets, Europe now stands a close second. Since 1955, Western Europe's share in Central American coffee exports has, in fact, risen sharply (see

/Table 5)

Table 5

SHARE OF COFFEE IN CENTRAL AMERICAN EXPORTS RECEIPTS, 1955-62

(in percent)

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962*
Central America	58	58	59	55	50	53	47	42
Costa Rica	46	50	49	55	52	53	53	55
El Salvador	86	78	79	72	63	66	59	47
Guatemala	70	75	72	73	71	66	60	61
Honduras	21	19	19	16	17	18	13	15
Nicaragua	39	40	44	38	21	34	29	19

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.

Table 6

CENTRAL AMERICAN COFFEE EXPORTS - TOTAL AND BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN,
1955-1962

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 *
Value		(in millions of dollars)						
Total Central America	<u>243.4</u>	<u>250.0</u>	<u>273.2</u>	<u>247.3</u>	<u>213.5</u>	<u>231.7</u>	<u>210.7</u>	<u>206.2</u>
Costa Rica	37.4	33.8	40.6	50.6	40.1	45.4	44.9	46.8
El Salvador	91.5	87.4	109.8	84.1	71.3	76.7	70.2	64.2
Guatemala	75.5	91.9	82.3	77.5	76.3	78.6	69.2	68.3
Honduras	11.1	13.7	12.0	10.9	11.9	11.8	9.0	11.5
Nicaragua	27.9	23.2	28.5	24.2	13.9	19.2	17.4	15.4
Volume		(in thousand metric tons)						
Total Central America	<u>190.3</u>	<u>177.5</u>	<u>207.0</u>	<u>232.4</u>	<u>240.6</u>	<u>253.4</u>	<u>251.1</u>	<u>278.8</u>
Costa Rica	28.3	22.8	29.5	46.2	43.3	46.7	52.0	65.1
El Salvador	71.8	64.5	83.2	80.5	83.0	89.5	86.6	97.5
Guatemala	58.4	61.4	61.8	71.5	82.7	79.9	79.0	80.3
Honduras	8.9	11.9	10.4	11.4	15.3	15.5	12.6	14.5
Nicaragua	22.8	16.9	22.0	22.9	16.3	21.8	20.9	21.4
Unit value		(in dollars per ton)						
Total Central America	<u>1 279</u>	<u>1 408</u>	<u>1 320</u>	<u>1 064</u>	<u>887</u>	<u>914</u>	<u>839</u>	<u>740</u>

Sources: For value figures: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.

For volume figures: FAO, Trade Yearbooks and Monthly Bulletin of Agricultural Economics and Statistics.

Table 7). In both markets, Central America supplies an equally small share of the total demand for green coffee--approximately 10 percent. In 1955-61, however, there was a great deal of difference in the behavior of these two markets. In absolute terms, the volume of United States coffee imports from Central America, though it fluctuated widely from year to year, showed little tendency to expand. Central America merely continued to supply a fairly steady share of a total demand that was rising very slowly. In the European market, on the other hand, not only did total demand grow very rapidly but Central America also managed to secure some improvement in its rather marginal position (see Table 8). In practice, what happened is that Western Europe was able to absorb practically all of the increment in Central America's coffee output since 1955.

Within the European market, Central American coffee exports are virtually limited to the European Economic Community (EEC), and within the EEC they have been highly concentrated in one major country, West Germany. The volume of Central American coffee exports to West Germany increased by nearly 150 percent between 1955 and 1961, while the small sales made to other EEC countries showed almost no change (see Table 9). West Germany is in fact the only European country where Central American coffee exports are large in absolute terms and have captured a substantial share of the total market--38 percent in 1961. Central American coffee holds a fairly important place--about 20 percent of the market--in a few other countries, such as Austria and Switzerland, but the small size of the over-all market in these countries makes the actual export figures rather marginal (see Table 10). This underlines the great importance of the West German market for Central American coffee producers--the only market, in fact, that can be said to have been a dynamic factor in the evolution of Central American coffee exports in the past seven or eight years.

2. Bananas

Bananas, traditionally the second largest source of export earnings for Central America, declined gradually in importance during the 1955-62 period and in 1962 were surpassed by cotton exports. As a proportion of total export receipts in Central America, bananas represented 18 percent in 1955 and 19 percent in 1956, declining in subsequent years to 16 percent in 1961 and, according to a partial estimate, to 13 percent in 1962 (see Table 11). In Honduras, which is now the largest producer, bananas have accounted for roughly one-half of total exports throughout the period. On the other hand, the relative share of bananas dropped considerably in Costa Rica, which now ranks as the second Central American exporter of the product. In value terms, banana exports have fluctuated within a range of \$64 to \$85 million around the average value for the eight year period of \$73 million. In 1961 bananas brought in \$71 million in export receipts; preliminary figures indicate \$64 million in 1962 (see Table 12).

The loss in export receipts in 1962, compared with 1961, is attributable to a sharp drop in volume of bananas exported, which itself was the result of

/Table 7

Table 7

CENTRAL AMERICAN COFFEE EXPORTS BY DESTINATION, 1955-61

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961
			(in thousand metric tons)				
United States	133.8	111.3	125.9	137.8	128.4	124.3	130.0
Western Europe	54.4	65.4	78.8	91.9	109.5	121.9	111.7
Other	<u>2.0</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.1</u>
Total	190.2	177.5	207.0	232.4	240.6	249.5	244.8
			(in percent)				
United States	70.3	62.3	60.9	59.3	53.3	49.4	53.1
Western Europe	28.6	36.6	38.1	39.5	45.4	48.7	45.6
Other	<u>1.1</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>1.3</u>
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Sources: 1955-59: FAO, *La Economía Mundial del Café*, 1961. For 1960-61: Pan American Coffee Bureau, *Annual Coffee Statistics*. The data from the Pan American Coffee Bureau differ slightly from that given by the FAO, hence the slight difference between the 1960 and 1961 export volume figures given in this table and those appearing in Table 6.

Table 8

POSITION OF CENTRAL AMERICAN COFFEE IN MAJOR MARKETS, 1955-61

(import volumes in thousand metric tons)

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961
United States market							
Total coffee imports	<u>1 179</u>	<u>1 275</u>	<u>1 252</u>	<u>1 210</u>	<u>1 390</u>	<u>1 324</u>	<u>1 348</u>
Imports from Central America	134	111	126	138	128	124	130
Central American percentage share	11.4	8.9	10.1	11.4	9.2	9.4	9.6
Western European market							
Total imports	<u>699</u>	<u>763</u>	<u>775</u>	<u>820</u>	920	<u>978</u>	<u>1 071</u>
Imports from Central America	54	65	79	92	110	122	112
Central American percentage share	7.8	8.6	10.2	11.2	11.9	12.5	10.4

Sources: 1955-59: FAO, La Economía Mundial del Café, 1961. For 1960-61: Pan American Coffee Bureau, Annual Coffee Statistics.

Table 9

CENTRAL AMERICAN COFFEE EXPORTS TO WESTERN EUROPE BY COUNTRY OF DESTINATION, 1955-61

(in thousand metric tons)

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961
West Germany	32.4	41.8	53.3	68.8	76.9	85.6	77.7
Netherlands	9.9	11.4	9.8	8.2	10.0	11.6	12.1
Belgium-Luxembourg	4.7	3.0	5.0	3.8	5.2	7.1	6.0
Italy	2.3	3.1	4.5	4.6	7.9	6.2	4.3
France	<u>0.4</u>	<u>0.5</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>0.5</u>	<u>1.6</u>
Total EEC	49.7	59.8	73.0	85.5	99.9	110.9	101.8
Other countries	<u>4.7</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>5.8</u>	<u>6.4</u>	<u>9.6</u>	<u>11.0</u>	<u>9.9</u>
Total Western Europe	54.4	65.4	78.8	91.9	109.5	121.9	111.7

Sources: For 1955-59: FAO, La Economía Mundial del Café, 1961. For 1960-61, Pan American Coffee Bureau, Annual Coffee Statistics.

/Table 10

Table 10

SHARE OF CENTRAL AMERICAN COFFEE IN IMPORTS OF MAJOR EUROPEAN COUNTRIES IN 1961

Importing country	Total imports	Imports from Central America	Share of Central America
	(in thousand metric tons)		(in percent)
West Germany	212	80	38
France	207	1	--
Italy	105	5	4
Sweden	78	6	8
Netherlands	69	5	8
Belgium-Luxembourg	62	4	7
United Kingdom	59	1	1
Denmark	44	--	1
Finland	38	1	3
Switzerland	32	7	20
Norway	27	--	1
Austria	13	3	19

Note: The figures in this table, based on European import data, differ slightly from the figures in Table 9, which are based on Central American export data.

-- Less than 500 metric tons, or .5 percent.

Source: Pan American Coffee Bureau, Annual Coffee Statistics;

Table 11

SHARE OF BANANAS IN CENTRAL AMERICAN EXPORT RECEIPTS, 1955-62

(in percent)

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 *
Central America	18	19	17	17	15	16	16	13
Costa Rica	41	38	39	29	25	24	25	25
El Salvador	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Guatemala	16	12	13	12	14	17	14	8
Honduras	47	60	52	54	47	45	46	44
Nicaragua	1	--	--	--	--	3	--	

-- Less than .5 percent.

Sources: See Table 2.

/Table 12

Table 12

CENTRAL AMERICAN BANANAS EXPORTS - TOTAL AND BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN, 1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 *
Value			(in millions of dollars)					
Total Central America	74.9	84.7	80.5	77.3	66.2	69.2	70.7	64.0a/
Costa Rica	33.2	25.7	32.2	26.5	19.1	20.3	20.9	21.1
El Salvador	--	--	--	0.1	0.2	0.2	--	--
Guatemala	17.0	15.0	14.5	13.1	14.7	19.9	16.4	8.5
Honduras	24.3	43.9	33.7	37.6	32.1	28.7	33.3	34.3
Nicaragua	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.4	0.1	n.a
Volume			(in thousand metric tons)					
Total Central America	727.9	798.6	844.7	821.7	722.8	832.6	821.3	736a/
Costa Rica	329.5	232.8	374.0	301.8	213.4	273.5	230.9	269
El Salvador	--	0.2	0.3	1.4	2.0	2.4	0.5	--
Guatemala	170.6	168.6	129.7	115.8	146.2	190.2	158.5	82
Honduras	220.3	393.6	338.9	401.2	359.6	363.1	430.4	385
Nicaragua	7.5	3.4	1.8	1.5	1.6	3.4	1.0	--
Unit value			(in dollars per ton)					
Total Central America	103	106	95	94	92	83	86	87a/

-- Less than US\$50 thousand, or 50 metric tons.

a. Excluding El Salvador and Nicaragua.

Sources: Value figures: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, for Costa Rica, Guatemala and Honduras. For 1955-61, the other value and all volume figures were obtained from the national sources listed in Table II. For 1962, the volume of banana exports from Costa Rica, Guatemala and Honduras was estimated on the basis of the indices given in International Financial Statistics.

adverse weather conditions in Guatemala. As a consequence of recurring blow-downs and the persistence of Panama disease, the volume of exports has fluctuated widely from year to year, but in the period under review there has been a tendency to increase. In most years the volume has been maintained well above the 1955 level and has contributed toward slowing the decline in export receipts, which may be traced instead to falling export prices. The unit value of banana exports declined steadily from 1955 through 1960 and despite a slight improvement in 1961-62 remained about 15 percent lower than in 1955.

More than half the regional banana exports come from Honduras, where the volume of banana exports between 1955 and 1962 increased enough to offset the falling price and to counteract the effect on total value of the declining banana exports from Costa Rica. In that country, the volume of banana exports has been subject to substantial fluctuations and has not yet recovered from the fall in 1959. In Guatemala, the third largest producer, export receipts were relatively stable throughout the period 1955-61, but fell by almost 50 percent in 1962 causing a loss of \$7 million in total regional exports. El Salvador and Nicaragua are not major exporters of bananas.

Canada and the United States each purchase about 40 percent of their bananas from Central America and together absorb about 90 percent of the region's banana exports (see Table 13). The remainder is largely shipped to Europe, mainly to West Germany, Norway and Sweden. To date, however, Central America is only a marginal supplier of these markets, and its exports to them are minor in comparison with its dependence on the North American market. As for intra-regional trade, it is very small, for all five Central American countries produce bananas in some quantity.

Table 13

CENTRAL AMERICAN BANANA EXPORTS BY DESTINATION, 1956-61

	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961*
	<u>(in thousand metric tons)</u>					
United States and Canada	716.5	753.4	743.2	626.7	739.9	731.7
Western Europe	76.9	79.7	73.8	82.7	76.9	76.9
Other	<u>5.2</u>	<u>11.6</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>13.4</u>	<u>15.8</u>	<u>12.7</u>
Total	798.6	844.7	821.7	722.8	832.6	821.3
	<u>(in percent)</u>					
United States	89.7	89.2	90.4	86.7	88.9	89.1
Western Europe	9.6	9.4	9.0	11.4	9.2	9.4
Other	<u>.7</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>1.5</u>
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Sources: National sources listed in Table 2 .

3. Cotton

Cotton has ranked close to bananas as a source of foreign exchange for Central America in recent years. By 1962, it was bringing in about \$85 million, or 17 percent of total export receipts, as compared to \$45 million and 11 percent of the total in 1955-56 (see Tables 14 and 15). This remarkable expansion was largely the result of three and four-fold increases in the value of cotton exports from Guatemala and El Salvador, where the development of cotton cultivation, encouraged as a matter of government policy, effectively made up for the coffee and banana income losses of the period. The pattern was quite different in Nicaragua, however. That country, having developed its cotton exports a few years ahead of the other two major Central American producers, was already highly dependent on this product in the mid-1950's. In 1959, however, fiscal difficulties led the government to withdraw its subsidy to cotton producers. This and falling world prices led to a sharp curtailment of output in the 1959/60 and 1960/61 crops so that, by 1962, Nicaraguan cotton export earnings were just recovering their 1955 level.

The growth of cotton export volumes roughly paralleled that of values in Central America in the 1955-62 period. Cotton prices declined relatively less on the average, between those two years, than those of other basic exports on the world market. The price of Central American cotton exports dropped gradually in 1955-58 by nearly 30 percent, but has been rising since 1959. As a result, and though there were fluctuations during much of the period, by 1962 the Central American cotton producers were able to turn virtually all of their expanded export volumes into rising foreign exchange income.

The major markets for Central American cotton are to be found in the industrialized countries, and, among these, notably Japan, West Germany, the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. At present, Japan is by far the largest purchaser of Central American cotton, absorbing from two-thirds to three-fourths of the area's exports. The rest goes mostly to Western Europe. This represents a complete reversal of the situation prevailing in 1955, when Western Europe took in the bulk of Central America's cotton exports and Japan bought only one-fourth of the total (see Table 16).

In terms of volume, exports to Japan increased about seven-fold between 1954/55 and 1961/62, as Central America benefited both from the rapid growth of the over-all Japanese demand for cotton in 1955-62 and from a substantial improvement in its share of the Japanese market (see Table 17). In the major Western European markets, on the other hand, where demand for cotton showed few signs of increase, Central American cotton sales remained more or less stagnant. The Japanese market has thus provided the only outlet for Central America's increased cotton production in recent years. All three major Central American cotton producers are equally dependent on Japan in this respect, and all three have seen the growth of their cotton exports paralleled by an increasing concentration of their sales in one single market (see Table 18).

/Table 14

Table 14

SHARE OF COTTON IN CENTRAL AMERICAN EXPORT RECEIPTS, 1955-62

(in percent)

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 *
Central America	11	11	9	11	14	8	11	17
Costa Rica	—	—	—	—	1	—	1	...
El Salvador	9	16	11	16	20	14	18	26
Guatemala	4	4	4	5	4	5	9	14
Honduras	—	1	1	4	4	1	—	...
Nicaragua	43	41	34	39	45	26	30	38

— Nil or less than .5 percent.

Sources: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics; and Costa Rica, Dirección General de Estadística y Censos, Comercio Exterior. Guatemala, Dirección General de Estadística, Anuario de Comercio Exterior, and Banco de Guatemala, Boletín Estadístico. Honduras, Dirección General de Estadística y Censos, Comercio Exterior.

Table 15

VOLUME AND VALUE OF CENTRAL AMERICAN COTTON EXPORTS TOTAL AND BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN, 1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 *
Value	(in millions of dollars)							
Total Central America	44.7	46.5	42.1	51.2	59.9	36.9	50.8	84.7 ^{a/}
Costa Rica	-	-	-	.3	.9	.1	.4	...
El Salvador	9.1	17.6	15.8	18.1	23.2	15.8	21.3	35.0
Guatemala	4.5	4.9	4.1	5.4	4.0	5.7	10.5	15.4
Honduras	.1	.4	.4	2.6	2.6	.7	.3	3.0 ^{b/}
Nicaragua	31.0	23.6	21.8	24.9	29.3	14.7	18.3	31.3
Volume	(in thousand metric tons)							
Total Central America	63.8	73.5	69.3	86.9	121.1	67.6	90.0	152.0 ^{c/}
Costa Rica	-	-	-	.5	1.7	.3	.7	...
El Salvador	12.4	27.9	25.2	29.7	44.0	27.2	35.5	...
Guatemala	6.5	7.8	6.7	9.6	9.7	11.5	20.7	...
Honduras	.9	1.4	1.4	4.4	4.0	1.2	.6 ^{b/}	...
Nicaragua	44.0	36.3	36.0	42.7	61.7	27.4	32.5	...
Unit value	(in dollars per ton)							
Total Central America	701	633	608	589	495	546	564	560

... Less \$ 50 thousand, or 50 tons.

a. Excluding Costa Rica.

b. Estimated on the basis of production figures.

c. Estimated on the basis of 1961-62 production data.

Sources: Values: Same sources as in Table 13. Volumes: FAO, Trade Yearbook and Monthly Bulletin of Agricultural Economics and Statistics. For Guatemala in 1961, Banco de Guatemala, Boletín Estadístico.

Table 16

CENTRAL AMERICAN COTTON EXPORTS BY MAJOR MARKETS, 1955-62^{a/}

Country of destination	1954/55	1955/56	1956/57	1957/58	1958/59	1959/60	1960/61	1961/62
			<u>(in thousand metric tons)^{b/}</u>					
Japan	10	33	14	23	75	41	58	74
West Germany	19	35	9	16	24	6	5	15
United Kingdom	5	9	8	6	15	6	5	7
Netherlands	3	7	3	8	11	2	1	4
			<u>(in percent of total)</u>					
Japan	26	35	22	32	52	67	74	59
West Germany	46	36	13	23	17	10	7	12
United Kingdom	12	10	13	8	10	9	7	5
Netherlands	9	8	6	11	8	3	1	3

a. Crop year ending in August.

b. Converted from bales at the rate of 0.21682 metric tons per bale.

Source: International Cotton Advisory Committee, Cotton-World Statistics.

Table 17

TOTAL COTTON IMPORTS AND SHARE OF CENTRAL AMERICA IN SELECTED MARKETS 1955-62^{a/}

	1954/55	1955/56	1956/57	1957/58	1958/59	1959/60	1960/61	1961/62
Total Imports		(in thousand metric tons)						
Japan	444	517	640	521	550	713	770	619
West Germany	264	278	348	316	266	368	310	282
United Kingdom	322	322	384	314	246	304	249	211
Netherlands	74	73	83	68	69	87	84	74
Share of Central America		(in percent)						
Japan	2	6	2	4	14	6	8	12
West Germany	7	13	2	5	9	2	2	5
United Kingdom	2	3	2	2	6	2	2	3
Netherlands	5	10	4	11	16	2	1	6

a. Crop year ending in August.

Source: International Cotton Advisory Committee, Cotton-World Statistics.

Table 18

SHARE OF MAJOR MARKETS IN COTTON EXPORTS OF MAJOR CENTRAL AMERICAN PRODUCERS, 1955-1962^{a/}

(in percent)

	1954/55	1955/56	1956/57	1957/58	1958/59	1959/60	1960/61	1961/62
El Salvador								
Japan	42	57	50	59	70	86	91	76
West Germany	8	12	4	12	2	3	6	17
United Kingdom	28	11	5	8	6	4	1	
Netherlands	8	5	4	5	1	--	--	1
Nicaragua								
Japan	24	20	11	12	33	40	57	--
West Germany	24	41	24	28	21	18	18	--
United Kingdom	6	8	20	9	9	17	10	--
Netherlands	23	21	24	25	15	10	6	--
Guatemala ^{b/}								
Japan	--	18	9	16	73	79	80	77

-- Nil or less than .5 percent.

a. Crop year ending in August.

b. A consistent breakdown of exports by destination is not available on the same basis as for El Salvador and Nicaragua. The figure given here for Guatemalan cotton exports to Japan is based on Japanese import statistics.

Source: International Cotton Advisory Committee, Cotton-World Statistics.

4. Wood

Since 1955 the value of wood exports has fluctuated annually around an average value of \$11.0 million, reflecting fluctuations of similar proportion in volume; unit value, on the other hand, has remained almost unchanged since 1957 following a rise in that year from the level of 1955-56. In 1961, wood exports accounted for \$11.4 million or 2.6 percent of total export receipts.

Almost two thirds of the region's wood exports originate in Honduras, another 20 to 25 percent come from Nicaragua, with Costa Rica and Guatemala exporting considerably smaller quantities. Wood exports from Honduras showed some increase over the 1955-62 period; exports from the other countries have been stable or declining since 1955 (see Table 19).

Although the largest market for Central American wood is the Caribbean area—particularly Venezuela, Jamaica and Cuba—Central America also exports wood to the United States and to almost every country in Western Europe. There has been a gradual increase in exports to Europe since 1955, and more recently (since 1959), a marked increase in exports to the United States, both shifts taking place at the expense of exports to the Caribbean area while total exports remained stable (see Table 20). In 1961 the share of total wood exports sent to these three areas was approximately 42 percent to the Caribbean, and 25 percent each to the United States and Western Europe compared with 58, 19 and 14 percent in 1955. Intra-regional exports, primarily to El Salvador, accounted for less than 10 percent of the total throughout this period, with a small residual quantity sent to other areas, usually Japan.

5. Meat

Only Costa Rica of the five Central American nations has been an exporter of meat throughout the 1955-62 period; for the region as a whole this is a new export product. Meat exports are still small, only \$9.0 million, or two percent of total exports in 1961, but the rate of increase in recent years has been exceptionally high. The volume exported rose from 14 tons in 1955 to more than 14 thousand tons in 1961, with the value increasing from \$10.0 thousand to \$9.0 million during the same time (see Table 21).

Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua have all participated in this expansion. Scarcity of land causes El Salvador to remain a net importer. Prior to 1958 Costa Rica was, in effect, the only exporter of meat. Honduras began exporting in 1958 and Nicaragua and Guatemala followed its example in 1959. The high growth rates of 1959 and 1960 were not maintained in 1961, however, as Nicaragua in mid-1961 found it necessary to prohibit the export of live cattle. This led to a reduction in meat exports from Costa Rica, which had relied heavily on imported Nicaraguan cattle for the expansion of its beef herds. Nicaragua output, on the other hand, continued to rise rapidly.

Table 19

CENTRAL AMERICAN WOOD EXPORTS - TOTAL AND BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN, 1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 *
Value	(in thousands of dollars)							
Total Central America	9 626	8 925	11 728	10 167	12 454	12 790	11 443	
Costa Rica	195	251	174	153	86	71	144	
El Salvador	--	--	--	--	--	24	6	
Guatemala	500	572	392	435	463	886	876	1 028
Honduras	5 394	4 796	7 810	6 540	8 236	8 217	7 507	7 207
Nicaragua	3 528	3 307	3 352	3 039	3 669	3 592	2 910	
Volume	(in thousand metric tons)							
Total Central America ^{a/}	168.9	153.3	197.7	167.9	209.4	216.4	197.9	
Costa Rica	7.3	9.6	6.6	4.6	2.1	2.3	3.9	
El Salvador	--	--	--	--	--	1.5	0.2	
Guatemala	11.1 ^{b/}	10.3 ^{b/}	6.1	7.2	6.9	9.9	11.9 ^{c/}	12.9 ^{c/}
Honduras	150.5	133.4	185.0	156.1	200.4	202.7	181.9	161.7
Unit Value	(in dollars per ton)							
Total Central America ^{a/}	36.10	36.65	42.37	42.45	41.95	42.50	43.11	

-- Less than US\$500 or 50 metric tons.

a. Excluding Nicaragua.

b. Converted from York tons at the rate of 790 metric tons per York ton (the 1957-58 average).

c. Metric ton figure estimated on the basis of data in square feet.

Sources: National sources listed in Table 2.

Table 20

CENTRAL AMERICAN WOOD EXPORTS BY DESTINATION, 1955-61

	1955*	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961*
	(in thousands of dollars)						
United States and Canada	1 864	1 620	1 431	1 287	1 849	2 283	2 981
Caribbean area ^{a/}	5 558	5 098	7 562	6 453	7 784	6 693	4 745
Western Europe	1 321	1 372	1 745	1 477	1 925	2 823	2 753
Central America	865	784	933	842	823	836	864
Other	9	50	56	110	72	153	104
Total	9 626	8 925	11 728	10 167	12 454	12 790	11 443
	(in percent)						
United States and Canada	19.4	18.2	12.2	12.7	14.8	17.8	26.1
Caribbean area ^{a/}	57.7	57.1	64.5	63.5	62.5	52.3	41.5
Western Europe	13.7	15.4	14.9	14.5	15.5	22.1	24.1
Central America	9.0	8.8	8.0	8.3	6.6	6.5	7.6
Other	0.1	0.6	0.5	1.1	0.6	1.2	0.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

a. Panama, Canal Zone, Mexico, Colombia, Venezuela, Puerto Rico, Cuba, Dominican Republic, British West Indies, Dutch and French Antilles.

Sources: National sources listed in Table 2.

Table 21

CENTRAL AMERICAN MEAT EXPORTS - TOTAL AND BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN, 1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962
	(in thousands of dollars)							
Value								
Total Central America	<u>10</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>152</u>	<u>972</u>	<u>5 188</u>	<u>8 591</u>	<u>9 111</u>	
Costa Rica	<u>8</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>147</u>	<u>914</u>	<u>2 892</u>	<u>4 297</u>	<u>2 782</u>	1 839
El Salvador	--	--	5	30	27	7	4	
Guatemala	--	--	--	--	5	205	750 ^a	
Honduras	1	--	--	28	458	1 114	1 532	2 926
Nicaragua	1	1	--	--	1 806	2 968	4 043	
Volume	(in metric tons)							
Total Central America	<u>14</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>368</u>	<u>2 014</u>	<u>8 162</u>	<u>13 909</u>	<u>14 348</u>	
Costa Rica	<u>12</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>364</u>	<u>1 934</u>	<u>4 862</u>	<u>7 258</u>	<u>4 849</u>	2 599
El Salvador	--	--	4	25	22	6	5	
Guatemala	--	--	--	--	7	540	971 ^a	
Honduras	1	--	--	54	710	1 656	2 381	5 072
Nicaragua	1	1	--	1	2 561	4 449	6 142	
Unit value	(in dollars per ton)							
Total Central America	714	736	413	483	636	618	635	

-- Less than \$500 or .5 metric tons.

a. Estimated from import data of U.S., El Salvador and Honduras.

Sources: National sources listed in Table 2.

At present the United States market absorbs more than 95 percent of Central American meat exports (see Table 22). Intra-regional exports have risen but remain small and exports to other Latin American and Caribbean countries, although also increasing, originate only in Costa Rica and represent less than 3 percent of total regional exports.

6. Sugar

Within total regional exports sugar remains a minor item, contributing approximately 12 million dollars, or 2.5 percent in 1962. The period 1955-62 was one of rapid increase, however, during which the value of sugar exports rose sixfold. Because of the dominant position of the United States market, where the import price of sugar is tied to the domestic price, historically stable and--until recently--considerably higher than the world price, the unit value of Central American sugar exports has also tended to be relatively stable and above the world price. The total value of Central American exports increased steadily during the 1955-62 period paralleling a rapid rise in export volume, which was itself largely induced by the availability of the United States market (see Tables 23, 24 and 25).

Prior to 1960 Nicaragua and Costa Rica were the only exporters outside the region. On occasion each sold large quantities of its sugar exports on the world market, Costa Rica shipping more than 50 percent of its exports to Holland, Jordan and France in 1955, 1959 and 1960, respectively, and Nicaragua sending more than half its sugar exports to Japan in 1959. But in general the higher-priced United States market was preferred and these overseas contacts were not maintained. Beginning in 1960, when the Cuban share of the U.S. market was reallocated to other producers, developments in that market became almost the sole factor to affect the trend of Central American sugar exports.

Under the ad hoc reallocation of the Cuban share that took place in 1960 and 1961, El Salvador and Guatemala were granted U.S. import quotas for the first time, and the quotas of Costa Rica and Nicaragua were considerably enlarged. The Central American producers were then awarded still larger shares of the U.S. sugar market in virtue of the new sugar legislation adopted by the U.S. Congress in 1962. Broadly speaking, the new law incorporated about half of the former Cuban quota into the permanent quotas of other suppliers. The remainder was placed into a "global quota" to be filled with sugar from any foreign supplier on a first-come, first-served basis. Central America benefited on both counts, raising the volume of its 1962 sugar exports to the U.S. nearly 50 percent above the already high level reached in 1961.

While permitting the import of larger volumes of sugar from foreign suppliers other than Cuba, the new U.S. legislation also aimed to reduce the price premium earned by these countries in the U.S. market in relation to the world sugar price. Sugar coming in under the global quota was to be purchased at a price approximating the world market price. This was to be achieved by collecting a special import levy, fixed from time to time by the U.S. Department of Agriculture at a level corresponding more or less to the difference between the world and the U.S. price.

Table 23

CENTRAL AMERICAN SUGAR EXPORTS - TOTAL AND BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN, 1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962
Value	(in thousands of dollars)							
Total Central America	<u>1 819</u>	<u>614</u>	<u>1 963</u>	<u>2 880</u>	<u>3 799</u>	<u>6 690</u>	<u>8 377</u>	<u>11 850</u>
Costa Rica	580	-	104	147	605	1 809	3 132	
El Salvador	293	183	648	1 085	1 001	1 376	1 594	
Guatemala	6	-	198	7	64	67	807	
Honduras	1	2	-	2	15	3	12	
Nicaragua	939	429	1 013	1 639	2 114	3 435	2 834	
Volume	(in thousand metric tons)							
Total Central America	<u>18.5</u>	<u>5.2</u>	<u>16.5</u>	<u>25.1</u>	<u>38.7</u>	<u>65.2</u>	<u>73.0</u>	<u>102.6</u>
Costa Rica	7.0	-	0.9	1.2	6.7	18.8	26.5	
El Salvador	2.4	1.2	5.2	8.5	6.9	10.9	13.9	
Guatemala	0.3	-	1.4	0.1	0.5	0.7	7.0	
Honduras	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	0.3	
Nicaragua	8.8	3.9	9.0	15.4	24.4	34.9	25.3	
Unit value	(in dollars per ton)							
Total Central America	98.	118	119	115	98	103	115	117

- Less than US\$500 or 50 metric tons.

Note: 1961 exports from Guatemala and total exports in 1962 were estimated on the basis of U.S. imports.

Sources: National sources listed in Table 2.

Table 24

RAW CANE SUGAR SPOT PRICE, 1955-1963

(in U.S. cents per pound)

	World market	U.S. market	U.S. quota Premium or discount
1955	3.24	5.95	+1.70 (1955-56 aver.)
1956	3.47	6.09	
1957	5.16	6.25	+0.14
1958	3.50	6.27	+1.91
1959	2.97	6.24	+2.38
1960	3.10	6.30	+2.21
1961	2.91	6.30	+2.45
1962	2.98	6.45	+2.58
1963 (Jan.-May)	7.22	7.98	-0.13 (Jan.-Apr. aver.)

Sources: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Sugar Reports; and Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner and Smith, Weekly Sugar Letter.

The 1963 quotations are based on average daily quotations for January-April and an average of Thursday quotations for May.

World market: Prior to 1961, spot price based on No. 4 Contract which was bagged sugar f.a.s. Cuba. Beginning with 1961, spot price under No. 8 Contract, which is also for bagged sugar but f.o.b. and stowed at greater Caribbean ports (including Brazil).

U.S. market: Prior to 1961, spot price for sugar in bags under Contract No. 6 plus 50 cents per pound duty (Cuban). Beginning with 1961, spot price for bulk sugar under Contract No. 7, the terms of which are duty paid or duty free.

U.S. quota premium or discount: Net differential between U.S. and world price quotations, once they are reduced to a comparable basis and allowance is made for shipping, U.S. import duties, etc.

Table 25

CENTRAL AMERICAN SUGAR EXPORTS BY DESTINATION 1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962
	<u>(in thousand metric tons)</u>							
United States and Canada	6.6	3.4	9.9	16.1	15.3	49.1	69.0	102.6a/
Central America	7.3	1.8	6.6	9.0	7.6	6.4	3.9	
Other	4.6	-	-	-	15.7	9.8	-	
Total	18.5	5.2	16.5	25.1	38.7	65.2	73.0	
	<u>(in percent)</u>							
United States and Canada	35.7	65.4	60.0	64.1	39.5	75.3	94.5	
Central America	39.5	-	40.0	35.9	19.6	9.8	5.3	
Other	24.9	34.6	-	-	40.6	15.0	-	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

a. Estimated on the basis of U.S. import data

Sources: National sources listed in Table 2.

- Nil or less than 50 metric tons or .05 percent

/Moreover,

Moreover, sugar sold under the exporting countries' individual quotas was also to pay an import fee which, although much lower than the levy on global quota sugar, was to rise gradually to 30 percent of this levy by 1964, when the law comes up for review. These two measures would normally have resulted in gradually falling unit values for Central American sugar exports. So far, however, the opposite has happened. The development of a sugar shortage began in the second half of 1962 to lift the world market price substantially above its depressed level of recent years. The reversal of the U.S. world market price differential for the time being undermined the U.S. import levy system, which had been based on it (see Table 24). In February 1963, the import levies were suspended to insure an adequate flow of sugar imports into the U.S., and in subsequent months the spot price rose to almost unprecedented heights in both the U.S. and the world markets, heralding substantial increases in the unit and the total value of Central American sugar exports for the current year.

7. Cocoa

Cocoa accounts for only about one percent of Central American export receipts. The only important producer is Costa Rica, though small amounts of cocoa beans are also exported by Guatemala and Nicaragua. The total value of Central American cocoa exports has varied from as little as \$3 million to as much as \$8 million in recent years (see Table 26). This is attributable both to large fluctuations in the size of the Costa Rican crop from year to year, and to the ups and downs of cocoa prices in the world market. Between 1958 and 1962, for instance, the export unit value of Costa Rican cocoa dropped about one-third. The maintenance of high export volumes first helped slow down the resulting decline in exchange receipts, but by 1961-62 both volume and unit values were relatively depressed. Over the 1955-62 period, cocoa has been an export of irregular importance for Costa Rica, its share ranging from 4 to 10 percent of the total (see Table 27).

Cocoa from Guatemala and Nicaragua is largely consumed locally or exported within the Central American region. The bulk of Costa Rica's cocoa production, on the other hand, is shipped to the outside world. Substantially more than half goes to the United States and about one-fourth to the Canal Zone and Panama (see Table 28). Other American importers are Chile and Colombia. The EEC countries, for their part, purchase only a very small amount. This pattern seems to have been rather stable throughout the period under study.

Table 26

VOLUME AND VALUE OF CENTRAL AMERICAN COCOA EXPORTS
1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962
<u>(in millions of dollars)</u>								
Value								
Total Central America	<u>6.3</u>	<u>3.2</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>6.4</u>	<u>7.9</u>	<u>6.3</u>	<u>5.2^{a/}</u>	<u>5.0^{a/}</u>
Costa Rica	5.9	2.9	4.0	5.9	7.4	5.9	4.8	4.6
Guatemala	.2	.2	.2	.4	.4	.2
Nicaragua	.2	.1	.1	.1	.1	.2
<u>(in thousand metric tons)</u>								
Volume								
Total Central America	<u>10.2</u>	<u>6.9</u>	<u>7.7</u>	<u>8.4</u>	<u>12.3</u>	<u>12.5</u>	<u>11.0^{a/}</u>	<u>9.6^{a/}</u>
Costa Rica	9.7	6.2	7.4	7.7	11.5	11.8	10.2	8.8
Guatemala	.3	.5	.2	.6	.6	.4
Nicaragua	.2	.2	.1	.1	.2	.3
<u>(in dollars per ton)</u>								
Unit value								
Total Central America	618	478	558	762	642	514	473	521

a. Estimated on the basis of Costa Rican exports only.

Sources: Volume: FAO Cocoa Statistics, January 1963.

Value: Costa Rica: IMF International Financial Statistics.

Guatemala: Dirección General de Estadística, Anuario de Comercio Exterior. Nicaragua: Recaudación General de Aduanas, Memoria.

Table 27

COSTA RICAN COCOA STATISTICS, 1955-62

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 ^a
Production ^{a/} (in thousand metric tons)	10.0	7.0	7.4	8.2	11.0	10.7	13.4	9.9
Exports								
Value (in million dollars)	5.9	2.9	4.0	5.9	7.3	5.9	4.8	4.6
Volume (in thousand metric tons)	9.7	6.2	7.4	7.7	11.5	11.8	10.2	8.8
Unit value (in dollars per ton)	613	477	544	768	630	495	467	523
Share of cocoa in total exports receipts (in percent)	7	4	5	6	10	7	6	5

a. Crop year ending in September.

Sources: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics; and FAO, Cocoa Statistics.

Table 28

COSTA RICAN COCOA EXPORTS BY DESTINATION IN 1961

	Volume (in metric tons)	Percent
United States	5 965	58.4
Canal Zone	1 859	18.2
Panama	644	6.3
Colombia	657	6.4
Chile	341	3.3
EEC	444	4.3
Japan	212	2.1
Others	<u>92</u>	<u>0.9</u>
Total	10 214	100.0

Source: Dirección General de Estadística y Censos, Comercio Exterior.

B. PROSPECTS IN 1963-67 FOR EXPORTS OUTSIDE OF CENTRAL AMERICA

Export projections for an area such as Central America can only be very tentative, even when they cover a time span as short as that between 1962 and 1967. The main reason for this is that Central America earns most of its export income in primary product markets which are per se rather unstable. Because of its small size, moreover, Central America constitutes only a very marginal supplier and therefore cannot hope to influence in any significant way the evolution of the over-all supply and demand. The high degree of concentration of Central American exports in a few major products and its dependence on a few major importing countries also enhances the probability that some unexpected isolated trend or event, which would have only a minor impact on an area endowed with a more balanced export structure, might have a profound effect on the value of its total export earnings. To these permanent factors of uncertainty must be added, for the period under review, two important question marks: that of the impact that the gradual implementation of the European Economic Community's policies will have on the pattern of international trade in tropical products; and that of the trend in international commodity prices, which may now be reaching a turning point in their long postwar downswing and whose future behavior might henceforth reflect a different, and as yet undiscernible set of basic forces.

Such a combination of factors might be enough to discourage any attempt at forecasting the future trend of Central American exports. Neither does the erratic pattern of these exports in recent years offer much encouragement. A few guiding threads do emerge, however, from the analysis of this pattern in Section A above, and it is possible to extrapolate them in the light of the various, though scanty, clues that are available on future conditions in major commodity markets, future trends and patterns of world demand, and other relevant considerations. Crude as these projections may be, however, they may nevertheless be taken--with a great deal of caution--as a rough indication of what might be expected to happen to Central American exports under a given set of assumptions, which have been chosen as realistically as possible although necessarily not without some arbitrariness among the various alternatives that appear relatively reasonable at the present time.

1. Assumptions

Because Central American exports still consist mainly of a few primary products--namely, coffee, cotton, bananas, wood, meat, sugar and cocoa--the major effort was devoted to an analysis of the export prospects of each one of those products. An ad hoc method was adopted in each case. For the two big traditional exports, coffee and bananas, where Central America's productive capacity is ample in relation to demand, the projection of exports is based entirely on the anticipated trend of demand in major markets. The estimates for two other traditional products, sugar and cocoa, rest essentially on expectations concerning eventual marketing arrangements (arrangements affecting only the U.S. in the case of sugar, but the world market in the case of cocoa).

/Supply considerations

Supply considerations are directly relevant when coming to projections of the trend of the major Central American exports. The cotton estimates, for instance, are closely related to expected trends in land acreage and yields, with only crude assumptions about world demand brought into the picture. Finally, in the case of wood and meat exports, for which foreign markets are readily available, the major factor considered is the potential growth of Central American output of these products in the next few years.

The most important assumptions made to arrive at the present projections are those relating to future price trends, the impact of EEC policies on the West German market for Central American coffee, and the evolution of the textile industry in Central America's major cotton market, Japan. In keeping with prevailing market tendencies and current efforts to establish more effective international agreements for some key commodities (coffee and cocoa), fairly stable prices have been assumed for Central America's major exports in the next few years. Cotton prices, for instance, have been postulated to decline by only about one per cent a year between 1962 and 1967, and coffee, banana, wood and meat prices to remain approximately unchanged. Similarly, it is not expected that cocoa prices will go below their present floor (they are more likely to rise if the recent improvement in supply-demand balance persists, as it may, especially if an International Cocoa Agreement actually comes into existence). In sugar, the world market price is expected in the model here employed to come down sharply from its recent peaks, as the transitory factors which have caused them are corrected, but it is assumed to remain substantially above the depressed 1959-62 level. On the other hand, in the U.S. market, which is more directly relevant for Central America, by 1967 the price of sugar is expected to be brought back down to its 1955-56 level of 5 cents per pound, as the U.S. is assumed to pursue insofar as possible its policy of narrowing the differential with the world price both before and after the 1964 expiration date of the current Sugar Act.

With regard to the influence of EEC policies on tropical products, it is assumed that there will be little impact on Central America's cocoa and banana exports, in view of the marginal nature of the European market for these two products and in view of the establishment of a duty-free quota for the importation of Central American bananas in West Germany. The case of coffee, however, is expected to be entirely different and the present projections are based on the assumption of a substantial improvement in the position of African coffee and a substantial deterioration in that of Central America in the important West German market, which may completely stifle the growth of Central American coffee exports to Europe, if it does not actually force some decline in these exports.

Other important assumptions about foreign demand include a fairly steady economic expansion in industrialized countries at something near the current rates (real rise in per capita gross product at about 2 - 2.5 percent a year in the United States and 4 - 5 percent a year in most of Western Europe); the continued exclusion of Cuba from the U.S. sugar market; and the maintenance of a high level of activity in the Japanese cotton textile industry, which has been the major factor behind the growth of Central American cotton exports in

/recent years.

recent years. On the whole, however, it was thought prudent not to anticipate the opening up of any major new markets for Central America's basic exports, which will thus, according to these estimates, continue to be highly concentrated in the United States, Western Europe and Japan.

On the supply side, as mentioned above, no limitations were assumed on Central America's capacity to satisfy forthcoming demands for coffee, bananas, or sugar. In the case of cocoa cultivation, a continuation of the present unsatisfactory state of technique through the next few years is expected to keep Costa Rica's exportable supply well within any ceilings that might be imposed by an eventual International Cocoa Agreement. For cotton, on the other hand, continued strong government encouragement and rapid technological progress are postulated. It is similarly assumed that, in line with recent trends, rapid advances in meat production are feasible. In the development of wood exports, on the other hand, transportation difficulties and the need for investment in new processing facilities are expected to hold back progress somewhat in the near future.

On the basis of these various assumptions, separate projections have been made of the trend of each one of the major primary product exports from Central America to the outside world between 1962 and 1967 (see Table 29, column A). Since it has not been possible, however, to avoid considerable arbitrariness in the selection of the assumptions and since a high degree of uncertainty is clearly attached to some of the most crucial choices, it has been decided to make alternative high and low projections, under different sets of assumptions for the three most important products, coffee, bananas, and cotton. In the case of coffee, the low estimate is based on the assumption that severe overproduction would depress world prices substantially further in the next few years (price declines of 3 to 3.5 percent a year are postulated) and that Central America would lose a particularly important portion of its West German Market as a result of the adoption of the EEC common external tariff. The high projection, on the other hand, is founded on the assumption of a somewhat faster expansion of U.S. demand for coffee between 1962 and 1967 and the removal of internal taxes on coffee in the EEC countries. For bananas, the derivation of the high and low estimates only involves dropping the assumption of price stability, and postulating instead a 10 percent rise or fall between now and 1967. Finally, in the cotton projections, the crucial factor, as mentioned above, is Japanese demand, which is assumed to grow at a particularly rapid rate to yield the high projection, while a virtual stagnation of this demand and a 2.5 percent annual drop in cotton prices are incorporated in the low projection. While the "preferred" projections represent what appears to be the best choice at the time of writing, the assumptions embodied in the low and high estimates are far from being ruled out, and the low and high estimates are given here as an illustration of the extent to which actual exports could easily be expected to vary from the "preferred" projection figures by 1967.

Table 29.

PROJECTED TREND IN VALUE OF CENTRAL AMERICA'S MAJOR PRIMARY PRODUCT EXPORTS
OUTSIDE OF INTEGRATION AREA IN 1962-67

	Value of exports in 1961* in \$ million <u>2/</u>	Preferred projection		Alternative projections			
		1967 in \$ million	Percent annual rate of change in 1962-67 <u>b/</u>	LOW		HIGH	
				1967 in \$ million	Percent annual rate of change in 1962-67	1967 in \$ million	Percent annual rate of change in 1962-67
		(A)		(B)		(C)	
Coffee	210	205	-0.4	160	-4.2	220	1.2
Bananas	70	78	1.8	70	-	86	3.5
Cotton	51 (85)	85	8.9 (-)	50	-0.3	115	14.5
Wood	11	15	5.3				
Meat	9	25	18.6				
Sugar	8	14	12.2				
Cocoa	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3.1</u>				
Total	364 (393)	428	2.7 (1.7)	340	-1.1	481	4.8

a. 1962 estimates for cotton exports and total are given in parentheses.

b. percent annual rate of change in 1963-67 given in parentheses for cotton exports and total on the basis of 1962 estimates.

Note: All figures exclude Central American intra-regional trade.

Source: A detailed description of the assumptions and methods used to arrive at the projections for each product is presented in Section C of this Chapter.

2. Projections

If international commodity prices stabilize, the depression that has affected Central American exports outside of the integration area in recent year may be at an end (see Table 29). The present projections also suggest, however, that in the next few years the area's major primary product exports to its traditional markets are likely to grow only slowly. Under the "preferred" set of assumptions, export income from these products would increase by about \$65 million between 1961 and 1967 (see Table 29, Column A). This would represent a distinct improvement over the downward trend that prevailed in the preceding six-year period. The average annual rate of growth during the 1962-67 period, however, would only amount to 2.7 percent. Moreover, as Central American primary product exports are estimated to have increased sharply in 1962, the area, according to this projection, could in fact look forward only to a 1.7 percent annual rate of growth in these exports for the remainder of the period.

On a product-by-product basis, the present study projects virtually no change in coffee export receipts between now and 1967, a slow growth of banana exports, and moderate annual increases in income from wood and cocoa. Rapid growth would characterize sugar, and above all meat exports, but the relative importance of these two items is too small for their expansion of itself to have much impact on over-all export trends.

Under these conditions, a really decisive impetus to faster export growth in Central America could come only from further expansion of cotton exports or from significant advances in the conquest of new markets and the development of new and secondary exports. As regards cotton exports, their spectacular growth was the major factor behind the exceptional performance of Central American exports in 1962. Income from this product, however, is more likely to stabilize in the next few years than to continue its upward course, in view of the unfavorable over-all demand-supply situation in the world market and the competition from synthetics. This is what has been assumed in Column A of Table 29 which shows cotton exports rising at an average annual rate of 8.9 percent in 1962-67, but undergoing no change in 1963-67. A further large increase in Central American cotton exports in 1963-67, which is embodied in the "high" alternative projection appearing in Column C, could easily lift the rate of growth of Central American exports to 5 percent a year in the next few years. Such increased dependence on cotton exports, however (and presumably on the Japanese market which alone could absorb them), would leave Central America in a precarious position over the longer run unless significant headway is made at the same time in diversifying the area's over-all export pattern by developing minor exports, such as shrimp, soluble coffee, copper, lead, zinc, and simple manufactures (in addition to wood and meat products). The need for such export diversification would, of course, appear all the more pressing if the "low" projection shown in Column B should be accepted, since, in that alternative, which embodies in particular the assumption of a continued marked downtrend in coffee prices in the next few years, Central America's export income from major primary products would decline by about one percent a year in the 1962-67 period.

/PROJECTION

PROJECTION OF THE VALUE OF CENTRAL AMERICA'S MAJOR PRIMARY
PRODUCT EXPORTS IN 1967

1. Coffee

a. Exports to the U.S.A.

Total U.S. imports of green coffee are expected to rise only slowly. Per capita consumption of coffee is already high (more than 7 kilograms per year) and appears stable. The income and price elasticities of the demand for coffee are rather low and it is generally considered that U.S. consumption will rise only a little faster than population. As a rough approximation a two percent annual rate of increase in U.S. coffee consumption could be selected. In this study, an FAO projection of United States coffee imports for 1965 was adopted; this assumes an annual increase of about two percent in real GNP per capita, and a price elasticity of -0.29 in the demand for coffee. Assuming, further, that the income elasticity of the demand for coffee ranges between 0.25 and 0.52, the FAO estimates that U.S. coffee imports in 1965 will range between 1 400 and 1 490 thousand tons if coffee prices fall 30 cents below their 1958 level, and between 1 430 and 1 530 thousand tons if prices drop by 40 percent.^{1/} These figures imply an annual rate of growth of 1 to 3 percent a year in U.S. coffee demand and yield a range of 1 430 to 1 620 thousand tons as estimated U.S. coffee imports by 1967 (see Table 30). This appears to be in close agreement with a slightly more recent FAO estimate that by 1970-72, North American coffee consumption (i.e., U.S.A. and Canada) may rise to 1 800 thousand tons if real per capita GNP rises by 2.5 percent a year and coffee prices continue to fall.^{2/}

Assuming no drastic change in Central America's share of the U.S. coffee market, which has fluctuated around 10 percent for an extended period, it can be estimated, on the basis of the above figures, that Central America's coffee exports to the United States, which amounted to 130 thousand tons in 1961, could range between 143 and 162 thousand tons by 1967.

Within this range, perhaps the best estimate would be based on the low income-elasticity assumption. The two alternative price assumptions yield very similar result, in view of the low-price-elasticity assumption adopted at the outset.

1. FAO, La Economía Mundial del Café, 1961. In terms of 1961 export unit values, the FAO price assumptions imply a 10 to 25 percent decline for Central American coffee.

2. FAO, Agricultural Commodities - Projections for 1970, 1962.

Table 30

PROJECTION OF VOLUME OF CENTRAL AMERICAN COFFEE EXPORTS TO THE
UNITED STATES IN 1967

	Actual exports		Alternative projections for 1967			
	1960	1961	1962-67 price change:			
			-10 percent		-25 percent	
			<u>Low</u>	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>High</u>
Total U.S. coffee imports (in thousand metric tons)	1324	1348	1430	1580	1460	1620
Share of Central America in U.S. market (in percent)	9	10	10	10	10	10
Volume of Central American coffee exports to U.S. (in thousand metric tons)	124	130	143	158	146	162

Note: The low and high estimates correspond to the alternative income elasticity assumptions of 0.25 and 0.52

b. Exports to Western Europe

Projections of Central American coffee exports to Western Europe can only be very tentative. European demand for coffee is expected to continue rising at a rapid rate. It is difficult, however, to forecast the extent to which Central American coffee will benefit, in view of the fact that the area's position in most European markets is extremely marginal. In West Germany, where Central American coffee does hold a substantial share of the market, a large element of uncertainty prevails regarding the potential impact of the common external tariff on coffee, the introduction of preference for EEC associated overseas states, and possible modifications in the present regime of high internal taxes on coffee.

On the basis of the abovementioned FAO projections for 1970, which assume a 3.9 to 4.7 percent annual increase in real per capita expenditure, a price elasticity of demand for coffee similar to that of the U.S., and a somewhat greater income elasticity, EEC total coffee imports can be expected to range roughly between 720 and 745 thousand metric tons by 1967 if coffee prices fall 30 to 40 percent from their 1958 level (see Table 31, projection (1)). This assumes no change in the high internal taxes on coffee that are restricting consumption in Italy, France and West Germany. Should these taxes be abolished, the FAO calculates on the basis of 1958 imports that EEC imports might rise 43 thousand tons.^{3/} If it can be assumed that at higher import levels the impact of the tax removal would be correspondingly greater, the application of the individual percentage increases to each of the three countries in question yields total EEC import estimates of approximately 780 to 805 thousand tons for 1967 in the event internal coffee taxes are removed (see Table 31, projection (2)).

How much of this can be expected to be supplied by Central America will depend almost entirely on what happens in the German market. West Germany currently accounts for roughly one third of total EEC coffee imports. This is likely to remain approximately unchanged in the next few years, if all three major coffee markets in the EEC (France, Germany and Italy) expand at about the same rate between 1962 and 1967 (or, which is more probable, if the Italian market expands somewhat more and the French somewhat less rapidly than the German). On this basis it can be expected that in 1967 West German coffee imports will amount to 240-248 thousand tons if internal taxes are maintained, and 260-268 thousand tons if they are removed. A drop in Central America's share of this market should probably be expected when the full margin of EEC tariff preference is established in favor of associated African producers (by 1966). The anticipated level of the common external tariff (9.6 percent) might possibly not be high enough per se to offset German preference for the milder Central American coffees over the African ones. However, it must be noted that at present West Germany imposes a high specific duty on coffee. This has the

3. FAO, Boletín Mensual de Economía y Estadística Agrícolas, September 1960.

Table 31

PROJECTION OF VOLUME OF CENTRAL AMERICAN COFFEE EXPORTS TO
WESTERN EUROPE IN 1967

(in thousand metric tons)

	Actual exports		Alternative projections			
	1960	1961	for 1967			
			(1)		(2)	
Total EEC coffee imports	617	658	720 - 745		780 - 805	
West German coffee imports	197	212	240 - 248		260 - 268	
Coffee imports of other EEC countries	420	446	480 - 497		520 - 537	
Central American coffee exports to:			(1A)	(1B)	(2A)	(2B)
West Germany	86	78	49	73	53	79
Other EEC countries	25	24	24	24	26	26
Rest of Western Europe	11	10	20	20	20	20
Total Central American Coffee exports to Western Europe	122	112	93	117	99	125

Note: The ranges given for total coffee imports by the EEC countries correspond to the alternative assumption of 3.9 and 4.7 percent annual increases in real per capita expenditure. The two alternative price assumptions yield very similar results, and have therefore not been listed separately. Additional assumptions for projection of Central American coffee exports to the EEC:

EEC internal coffee taxes are maintained and

(1A) Central America's share of the West German market drops to 20 percent

(1B) Central America's share of the West German market drops to 30 percent

EEC internal coffee taxes are removed and

(2A) Central America's share of the West German market drops to 20 percent

(2B) Central America's share of the West German market drops to 30 percent

/effect of

effect of discriminating in favor of higher quality coffee, for the ad valorem equivalent of this duty is 25-50 percent depending on the price of the coffee. The adoption of the common external tariff, which is ad valorem, would eliminate this bias, from which Central American coffee has undoubtedly benefited so far at the expense of the cheaper African grades. The removal of internal taxes would have somewhat the same effect, since they are also large and specific, hence weigh more heavily on the lower-priced coffees.

Assuming a drop in Central America's share in the German market from about 40 to only 30 percent yields projections of about 73 and 79 thousand tons as coffee sales to this market in 1967 under our alternative internal tax assumptions (see Table 31, projections 1B and 2B). This would represent no major change from current levels and would merely imply that producers outside of Central America would get all the benefit from the anticipated growth of the German market between now and 1967. Conceivably, however, the implementation of the EEC policies affecting coffee might push the share of Central American coffee in the German market down to the 20 percent level that prevails in countries like Austria and Switzerland. In this case, the projected exports of Central American coffee to Western Germany would only be roughly 49 or 53 thousand tons, which would imply a sharp drop from current levels (see Table 31, projections 1A and 2A).

Elsewhere in the EEC area, where Central America supplies only about five percent of total coffee imports, EEC developments are not likely to have much practical impact. In Italy, preferential treatment of the African associated states is expected to work somewhat to the detriment of Latin American coffee producers, but the brunt of the burden is expected to be felt by Brazilian coffee, whose quality is most directly in competition with Africa. In France, tariff preference for African coffee will actually be lessened by the move to the external common tariff, which will be significantly lower than the present duties on Latin American coffee, but this will have little effect in view of the fact that coffee imports from outside the associated states are severely restricted by quotas anyway. For these reasons, it is assumed that Central American coffee imports to the EEC outside of Germany will remain about five percent of total imports, and show virtually no change from their current 24-25 thousand ton level.

Outside of the EEC, Central American coffee exports are very small. They doubled from 5 to 10 thousand tons in 1955-61 and can perhaps be expected to double again to 20 thousand tons by 1967, since coffee consumption is also rising rapidly in the rest of Western Europe.

c. Total exports

To sum up (see Table 32), in terms of volume, the projected range for Central American coffee exports in 1967 is wide: 250 to 290 thousand metric tons if it is assumed that Central America finds no new major market for its coffee outside of Europe and the United States in the next few years. Within

/Table 32

Table 32

SUMMARY OF PROJECTION OF CENTRAL AMERICAN UNROASTED COFFEE EXPORTS IN 1967

	Actual exports			Alternative projections for 1967		
	1960	1961	1962 *	Low	High	Preferred
Volume (in thousand metric tons)						
Exports to United States	124	130		146	158	146
Exports to Western Europe	122	112		93	125	117
Total exports	253	251	279	250	290	270
Export unit value (in dollars per ton)	915	840	740	a) 10 percent decline in 1962-67 ...	755	755
				b) 25 percent decline in 1962-67 630
Value (in millions of dollars)	232	211	206	a) at \$ 755 per ton b) at \$ 630 per ton 160	220	205

Note: The figures projected for 1967 are for exports outside of the Central American Common Market area. The 1960-62 figures given here include intra-regional trade in unroasted coffee; the value of this trade was less than \$1 million in each of these years.

/this range,

this range, the best single estimate is probably one based on the low assumption for the U.S. (income elasticity of demand 0.25) and for Western Europe on the assumption that EEC internal taxes on coffee are maintained but Central America's share in the West German market does not drop below 30 percent from its present 40 percent level. This means total exports would reach about 270 thousand tons, which represents no major change from present levels and agrees rather closely with the size of the basic quota assigned to the five Central American countries together in the new International Coffee Agreement.^{4/} On the basis of present production levels in Central America--300 thousand tons in the 1961/62 crop year and 325 thousand tons forecast for 1962/63--a 270 thousand ton export level implies that if attempts are not made to slow the growth of coffee production in some of the Central American producers, the area may be faced with a substantial accumulation of stocks by 1967.

The selection of a price assumption, which has had relatively little impact on the volume projections, becomes crucial when coming to estimate the total value of Central American coffee exports in 1967. A drop in coffee prices by 30 to 40 percent of their 1958 level, that is to say a decline of about 10 to 25 percent of the 1961 export unit value of Central American coffee, has been incorporated in the volume estimates. The assumption of some continuing decline in coffee prices appears fairly reasonable since the current situation of over-production is expected to prevail throughout the world for several more years merely as a result of the plantings undertaken in the mid-1950's before the break in coffee prices. On the other hand, the new coffee agreement should help stabilize prices, but in the immediate future it may be handicapped by the size of the present accumulated coffee stocks. It is difficult, therefore, to determine whether the lower or the higher of the percentage of price reductions that were considered above should be used in computing the value of Central American coffee exports in 1967. Since the price of most types of coffees (excluding African robustas) dropped 5 to 10 percent in 1962, the assumption of a 10 percent fall from 1961 would imply virtually no further change by 1967. The alternative hypothesis of a 25 percent fall in price in 1962-67 would leave room for substantial further price drops after 1962 (at an annual rate of 3 to 3.5 per cent).

Under the more favorable assumptions, there would be no further declines in coffee prices after 1962, and the volume of coffee exports would expand to 290 thousand tons by 1967. In that case, coffee export receipts would rise to \$220 million between now and 1967 (see again Table 32). In the eventuality of continued price declines, on the other hand, and of a 250 thousand ton export volume, the value of coffee export receipts in Central America would drop sharply in the next few years. But perhaps the best estimate at this time is that by 1967 the price of coffee will have stabilized at about the present level, and that the volume of Central American coffee exports will approximate the size of the region's present quota under the new International Coffee

4. 266 thousand metric tons.

Agreement. This would imply an export volume of 270 thousand tons, a unit value of something like \$755 per ton, and no change in total coffee export receipts over 1962.

2. Bananas

a. Export volume

The general outlook for Central American banana exports depends basically on demand in the major importing countries. A review of these markets suggests that their expansion is not likely to be of such magnitude as to exceed the region's export capability. As the supply in any one year, however, may be greatly affected by disease or poor weather, the estimate of 1967 exports should be considered rather as an attainable average for 1967-68.^{5/}

Both the United States and Canadian markets, which offer the largest outlets for Central American bananas, have been for some time saturated in terms of per capita consumption. As there is no indication of a change in this situation in the near future, total banana imports in 1967 have been assumed to increase only in response to the growth of population, that is, by an average annual rate of two percent (see Table 33). Assuming further that Central America continues to supply the same proportion of these imports, exports to the United States and Canada would also increase two percent a year to 835 thousand metric tons by 1967.

Guatemala and Honduras, and to a lesser extent Costa Rica, have also found an outlet for their bananas in Europe. Within the European Economic Community the French and Italian markets are virtually closed to Latin American bananas and are supplied solely by the African countries associated with the EEC. In view of the 20 percent tariff to be imposed on banana imports from third countries by 1967, the Benelux market may also be expected to rely increasingly on the African suppliers. West Germany, however, is allowed a duty-free quota for import from third countries and, as per capita consumption is still rising, there remains room for Latin American exports to Germany to continue. Nevertheless the restrictive effects of the quota arrangement will undoubtedly be reflected in a relative deterioration of the Latin American export position; as presently calculated, the quota for 1967 is lower than that for 1961. Consequently, although German banana imports have been estimated to rise by between 2.4 percent and 4 percent a year in the next few years,^{6/} Central American exports to Germany are projected to increase by only one percent annually through 1967 (see again Table 33).

5. It has also been assumed that while Guatemalan banana production may continue to be relatively depressed, increased production elsewhere will permit the total regional production to increase by the amount projected here.

6. See OAS, The Impact of Trade Restrictions in Europe on the Banana Producing Countries (doc. UP/G.27/12, 18 March 1963).

Table 33

PROJECTED TREND IN VOLUME OF BANANA IMPORTS FROM CENTRAL AMERICA
IN MAJOR MARKETS IN 1962-67

	<u>Per capita</u> consumption of bananas in 1961 (in kilos)	Projected rate of population increase (in percent)	Projected annual rate of increase of banana imports from Central America in 1962-67 (in percent)
United States	10.1	1.7	{ 2.0
Canada	9.0	2.6	
West Germany	8.4	1.3	1.0
Netherlands	5.9	1.2	-
Norway	7.6	0.9	{ 4.0
Sweden	5.1	6.6	

Sources: Per Capita banana consumption: OAS Group to Prepare a Plan for Action Concerning Banana Exports, Working Paper (UP/G. 27/6/Add.), January 1963. Projected rate of population increase: UN Demographic Yearbook for 1961.

/Aside from

Aside from occasional exports of small quantities of bananas to other countries, the remainder of Central American banana exports go to Norway and Sweden. Central American exports to this area might be assumed to maintain, but not expand substantially, their share of a market in which per capita consumption remains constant; that is, on the basis of the relatively low rate of population increase in these countries and a decline in per capita consumption of bananas in Sweden between 1955 and 1960,^{7/} they would rise only by one percent a year. On the other hand, current per capita consumption of bananas is lower than in most other European countries and the United States, and should the decline noted recently in Sweden have been attributable to temporary factors no longer relevant, imports might increase considerably faster than population in the next few years. A more plausible assumption would therefore be an increase of four percent a year in Central American exports to these countries. No new important markets are anticipated in these projections of 1967 exports although Denmark and Japan are two specific possibilities.

b. Unit value

While export unit values vary among the Central American republics depending on the proportions of different varieties of bananas exported, they have shown a similar tendency to decline during the 1950's. Given the saturation of per capita demand in the largest market and the competition of African suppliers for the European market, a rising price is not anticipated in the next few years. Neither does any substantial further price decline appear likely at this time. Central American production is well established, its markets fairly well defined and its exports closely controlled by a few companies; although the volume of exports fluctuates widely from year to year, it is doubtful that present U.S. market prospects will inspire increases in acreage planted to bananas substantial enough to cause a glut. However, if several of the newly independent African nations, encouraged by their prospects in the expanding European market, launch overoptimistic production programs, an over-all excess of supply could force world banana prices still lower. For the present projections, three alternative assumptions have been made regarding the unit value of Central American banana exports in 1967. They are: stability; a 10 percent decline from the 1961 level; and 10 percent increase in export unit value over its 1961 level.

c. Total value of exports

On the basis of the export volume estimates for each major market (derived from Table 33), a projected value of banana exports in 1967 is derived for each of the three alternative price assumptions (see Table 34). The preferred projection, embodying the assumption of price stability, suggests that banana export receipts may rise slightly between now and 1967, and the high estimate based on a price increase shows a more substantial improvement. On the other hand, the low estimate, based on a 10 percent drop in unit value, implies no change in total value over 1960-61, despite rising export volumes.

^{7/} U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service, "Bananas" (FAS - M - 128) April 1962.

Table 34

SUMMARY OF PROJECTION OF CENTRAL AMERICAN BANANA EXPORTS IN 1967

	Annual Exports			Alternative Projections in 1967		
	1960	1961	1962	Preferred	Low	High
Volume (in thousand metric tons)	833	821	736	910	910	910
Unit value (in dollars per ton)	83	86	87	86	77	95
Value (in millions of dollars)	69	71	64	78	70	86

Note: The figure projected for 1967 are for exports outside of the Central American Common Market area. The 1960-62 figures given here include intraregional trade, whose value was about \$1 million in each year.

3. Cotton

a. Exportable supply

Cotton is a relatively new crop in Central America. Compared with 1948-52, Central American cotton production has grown almost tenfold as a result of a quadrupling of the land area under cultivation and a near doubling of yields per hectare (see Table 35). Though yields are now among the highest in the world, they could still rise, and the implementation of various irrigation projects in the major producing countries will tend to increase both the volume and stability of annual output (otherwise subjected to the vagaries of weather conditions). Whether or not the area under cotton cultivation will continue to increase through 1967, however, depends to a large extent on the trend of prices in the world market. As a working hypothesis it can be assumed that prices will either remain the same or drop only moderately from their present levels. In the long run, cotton prices, which have fallen by more than one-third since their 1950/51 peak, should continue to suffer from the growing competition of synthetic fibers. But drastic declines are not expected for a substantial widening of the price differential with synthetics would induce a shift of demand back to cotton.^{8/}

If prices stabilize at their present level, which have stimulated the most recent spurt in output, Central American cotton acreage can probably be expected to expand by another 25 percent or so between now and 1967 (see Table 35, projection (B)). A decline in price on the world market, on the other hand, would undoubtedly inhibit such growth, but acreage might remain about unchanged (see Table 35, projection (A)) as long as the price decline was moderate.

In El Salvador, where cotton acreage rose sharply in 1961/62, some cut-backs have been anticipated in the 1962/63 season and a somewhat lower level of plantings might continue to prevail in subsequent years if prices fall. However, if prices are maintained and markets available, there is little reason to believe that cotton plantings in a few years' time will be substantially below 80 thousand hectares. On the other hand, presumably only rising cotton prices could induce a further extension of the area devoted to that crop from its 1961/62 high, in view of the over-all shortage of land resources.

There is no shortage of land in Guatemala and Nicaragua, and the governments in both countries are currently making intensive efforts to stimulate cotton production by providing irrigation, roads and credits as well as technical assistance to cotton farmers. In Guatemala, cotton acreage, which rose 26 thousand hectares in 1960/61 to 46 thousand hectares in the following year, has probably reached 60 to 65 thousand hectares in the 1962/63 crop year. In

8. FAO, Agricultural Commodities-Projections for 1970, p.II-72.

Table 35

CENTRAL AMERICAN COTTON PRODUCTION STATISTICS, 1955-63^{a/} AND PROJECTIONS FOR 1967

	1948-52 (average)	1954/55	1955/56	1956/57	1957/58	1958/59	1959/60	1960/61	1961/62	1962/63 ^{b/}	1966/67 projection ^{c/}	
Production				(in thousand metric tons)							(A)	(B)
El Salvador	8	20	30	32	36	39	30	40	56	61	63	72
Guatemala	2	9	10	10	14	16	14	21	31	54	54	72
Honduras	-	-	1	1	3	4	1	1	4	6		
Nicaragua	8	44	35	42	48	47	28	33	55	61	68	90
Total	18	73	76	85	101	106	73	95	146	182	185	234
Area				(in thousand hectares)								
El Salvador	21	30	46	38	40	53	38	51	81		70	80
Guatemala	5	16	21	13	18	28	18	26	46		60	80
Honduras	1	2	2	5	10	8	2	2	...			
Nicaragua	21	86	87	70	61	74	67	61	77		75	100
Total	48	134	156	126	129	163	125	140	204 ^{c/}		205	260
Yield				(in kilos per hectare)								
El Salvador	360	685	665	834	891	732	786	789	696		900	
Guatemala	300	562	449	772	785	583	791	794	690		900	
Honduras	290	300	390	270	380	440	780	770	...			
Nicaragua	380	511	400	602	778	630	423	534	700		900	
Average	375	545	487	675	785	650	585	680	695 ^{c/}		900	
Central America				(indices 1954/55 = 100)							(A)	(B)
Production	25	100	104	116	138	145	100	130	200 ^{c/}	249	253	320
Area	36	100	116	94	96	122	93	104	152 ^{c/}		153	194
Yield	69	100	89	124	144	119	107	125	128 ^{c/}		165	

... Less than 500 tons.

a. Crop years ending in August

b. Estimated on the basis of ginnings within season

c. Excluding Honduras

Note: Projection (B) assumes no drop in price from 1962 level.

Projection (A) assumes prices decline up to 10 percent from 1962 level.

Sources: International Cotton Advisory Committee, Cotton-World Statistics and FAO
Production Yearbook.

/the light

the light of these increases, it might even be conservative to forecast 80 thousand hectares under cotton cultivation in Guatemala by 1967 under the assumption of stable world prices. Falling prices might restrain net increases in planted area after 1962/63, with the bulk of the government effort being devoted to the retirement of less productive lands and their replacement by irrigated or otherwise more suitable plots. In Nicaragua, where cotton cultivation was depressed for several years in a row by bad weather, unfavorable world prices and credit shortages, the firming world price situation has reportedly induced sharply increased plantings in the past two years. By 1967, cotton acreage might have increased substantially beyond its record extension of the mid-1950's, if prices stabilize, but presumably no further gains could be expected in the event of a price decline.

Central American cotton yields, as mentioned above, are among the highest in the world. However, government efforts to introduce irrigation, more advanced cultivation techniques and better varieties of cotton are likely to result in still higher yield levels in the next few years. Though in Nicaragua yields have lagged behind those of El Salvador and Guatemala in most recent years, the intensity of the effort now reportedly being made in this area may enable it to catch up with the rest of Central America. It is therefore assumed that by 1967 yields will rise everywhere to 900 kilos per hectare, a result which was already achieved by El Salvador during the 1957/58 crop and should therefore not be beyond the reach of any producing country in the region.

On the basis of these assumptions, cotton output in the three major Central American producing countries in 1966/67 can be projected at 185 to 234 thousand tons, depending on whether prices fall or stabilize between now and then. The size of the allowance that should be made for Honduras depends on whether or not that country can be expected within the next few years to develop cotton cultivation beyond its present small scale. Assuming that it does not, the present projections yield a range of about 190 to 240 thousand tons for total Central American cotton production by 1967.

How much of this output will be available for export depends on the trend of local consumption. Central American consumption of raw cotton has more or less doubled between 1957 and 1962, to a level of about 15 thousand tons a year. The evolution of the textile industry in Central America will determine how fast this growth can continue in the next few years. There is considerable scope for import substitution in the industry. According to a special study by the International Cotton Advisory Committee, however, total fiber consumption in Central America is only expected to rise to about 35 thousand tons by 1965 and 44 thousand tons by 1970.^{9/} This would imply that local consumption of raw cotton is not likely to do more than double between now and

9. International Cotton Advisory Committee, Prospective Trends in Consumption of Textile Fibers, Document IOB XXI, Washington, March 1962, p. 74.

1967 to approximately 30 thousand tons. Under these circumstances exportable production would amount to 160 to 210 thousand tons on the basis of our production estimates. Under either price hypothesis, the 1967 figure could thus show a substantial increase over the export volumes of 90 thousand tons in 1961 and 135 thousand tons in 1962 (see Table 36).

b. World demand

It is very difficult to say whether demand will be sufficient to absorb such a large volume of exports from Central America in 1967. World demand for cotton is expected to rise only slowly--perhaps at an annual rate of 1 percent a year in Western Europe and 2 or 2.5 percent a year in Japan.^{10/} It might not even rise that fast, in view of the competition from synthetic fibers. On the whole, it seems reasonable to assume that between now and 1967 there will be little change in the level of Central American exports to its traditional markets in Western Europe, where the textile industry is to some extent a depressed sector. This means exports to Western Europe would remain in the 20-40 thousand ton range where they have fluctuated in the past three or four years. The crucial question, however, will concern the evolution of the Japanese textile industry, for if its growth is checked as a result of restrictive development in world trade for manufactured cotton textiles, Central America is not likely to find adequate markets for the rising cotton output that has been projected here. On the assumption that Japanese demand for cotton is maintained at high levels, on the other hand and that Central America continues to improve its relative position on that market, exports to Japan could continue to rise as rapidly as they have in the past. Only this, together with the opening up of new markets elsewhere in the world, could sustain the growth of Central American cotton production at the levels the region will be capable of in the next few years. If this assumption is not acceptable, it should probably be expected that at best exports to Japan can be maintained at their present rate of 60 to 70 thousand tons, and total exports of cotton at little more than 100 thousand tons--in other words there would be no further expansion (see again Table 36).

c. Value of exports

The 1967 value projections corresponding to these different sets of alternatives are summarized in Table 36. The low projection corresponds to the most pessimistic assumption of virtual stagnation in world demand for Central American cotton, and assumes a return of export unit value to its 1959 low. If this should occur, the high cotton export receipts of 1962 would appear as a temporary departure from an otherwise stagnant trend in the value of cotton exports after 1961. The high projection, on the other hand, assumes that the buoyant price situation which has induced the record crops of 1961/62 and 1962/63 will continue to prevail and that demand for cotton will be high, particularly in Japan. Under that hypothesis, Central American cotton export earnings could rise very rapidly in the next few years and top by 35 percent their 1962 record. Perhaps the most prudent single estimate, however, should be

10. FAO, Agricultural Commodities - Projections for 1970, p. III-71.

Table 36

SUMMARY OF PROJECTION OF CENTRAL AMERICAN RAW COTTON EXPORTS
IN 1967

	Actual export			Alternative projection for 1967		
	1960	1961	1962	Low	High	Preferred
Volume of exports (in thousand metric tons)	68	90	152	100	210	160
Export unit value (in dollars per ton)	545	565	560	500	550	525
Total value of exports (in millions of dollars)	37	51	85	50	115	85

Note: The figures projected for 1967 are for exports outside of the Central American Common Market area. The 1960-62 figures given here include intra-regional trade in raw cotton, but the value of this trade was less than \$1 million in each year.

/should be

should be based on the assumption that export unit value will fall somewhat from present levels, and that, though markets will be available for Central American cotton in Japan and elsewhere, demand will not be so high as to stimulate much further increases in production after 1962/63. In that case, Central American cotton export receipts can be expected to remain at their present high level, but the next few years would be a period of consolidation rather than one of further advances.

4. Wood

The prospects for increased exports of wood products from Central America are encouraging, but the rate of growth of these exports is likely to be held back by the high demand for wood for local consumption ^{11/} as well as by the diminishing accessibility of coniferous timbers for which foreign demand is greatest. The wood-processing industry is in the first stages of development and will require considerable planning and investment for expansion. Thus, although the resource is plentiful and demand in the Latin American and Caribbean markets is expected to grow rapidly, ^{12/} the extent to which exports increase during the next five years will depend largely on deliberate government action in that direction.

El Salvador possesses limited quantities of a variety of woods, which it exports to other Central American republics. Costa Rica and Guatemala have considerable resources as yet unexploited. Wood exports from these two countries declined from 1955 to 1959, but increased in 1960 and 1961 although remaining below the levels exported in 1955. Assuming that road construction

11. Per capita consumption of sawn wood in Central America exceeds that of all other Latin American countries but Brazil, and increased 70 percent between 1948-51 and 1956-59, faster than in any other subregion of Latin America. Per capita consumption of timber in logs is also among the highest in Latin America, and wood continues to be an important form of fuel through Central America. Boards and sheets of wood are new products to the area, produced only in Guatemala and not yet widely available. Consumption demand for wood in Central America, British Honduras and Panama has been projected for 1970 in United Nations, ECLA and FAO Tendencias y Perspectivas de los Productos Forestales en América Latina, Santiago de Chile, 1962. The data are as follows:

	1956-59	1970
	<u>Actual consumption</u>	<u>Estimated consumption</u>
	(in thousand cubic meters)	
Sawn	910	1 430
Logs	610	780
Firewood	15 000	18 200
Boards, sheets	14	82

12. United Nations, FAO, Agricultural Commodities - Projections for 1970, 1962.

/will permit

will permit access to some forest areas previously unexploited, and that some technological improvements will take place during the next five years, the volume of wood exports from Costa Rica and Guatemala is assumed to recover the levels of 1955 by 1967. A recent study by FAO and ECLA points out that Honduras, the only major producer of sawn wood in the region, was operating its sawmills at full capacity in 1959 and is unlikely to be able to maintain this peak level in the near future. Nevertheless, Honduras offers the most optimistic outlook for wood exports of the Central American countries; numerous studies attest to this potential and plans have been drafted for a major industrial project destined to speed up the development of the country's forest resources and expand production of both sawn wood and more elaborated products based on this raw material.^{13/} Assuming that this or a similar project is implemented in the next few years, Honduran wood exports can presumably be expected to continue increasing over the peak levels of 1959-60, although at a much slower rate (5 percent a year) than that attained in the period 1945-59 (25 percent +), due to the difficulty of constructing new coordinated facilities in a short period of time and to the possible effects of past overextraction in a country where forest resources, although extensive, are already largely (74 percent) ^{14/} in use.

Nicaragua shares the problem of uneconomic exploitation of forest resources. On the basis of overextraction in the recent past of FAO-ECLA study mentioned above predicts a decline in wood exports from Nicaragua in the 1960's. The slightly more optimistic viewpoint has been adopted here that the volume of wood exports will be maintained at the average level of 1955-60 through 1967. This presupposes some increase in investment to open new forest areas as those now accessible are fully in use.

On the assumption that prices will not change, the value of wood exports outside the integration area is estimated at about \$15 million in 1967 (see Table 37).

5. Meat

Meat is one of the most promising newer export products of the Central American republics.^{15/} The market for these exports is at present almost exclusively the United States, with occasional small shipments to South America. As the Central Americans are low-cost producers of this product, there is a good possibility that certain varieties of frozen meats may be sent in the future to Europe and to Japan. But even for the present, the market appears to be ample and the export situation to depend primarily on conditions of supply

13. ECLA, El Desarrollo Económico de Honduras, 1960.

14. United Nations, ECLA and FAO, op. cit.

15. "Meat" includes beef, veal, pork and poultry, fresh chilled or frozen. As exports outside Central America consist predominantly of beef and veal, the projections are based on estimates of the growth of exports of those products.

Table 37

SUMMARY OF PROJECTION OF CENTRAL AMERICAN WOOD EXPORTS IN 1967

	Actual exports		Projection for 1967
	1960	1961	
Volume			
Nicaragua (in million board feet)	35.8	27.1	36
Other four countries (in thousand metric tons)	174.4	160.7	233
Unit value			
Nicaragua (in dollars per board foot)	0.10	0.11	0.10
Other four countries (in dollars per ton)	48.8	48.4	48.5
Value (in millions of dollars)	11.47	10.68	15.00

Note: Intra-regional exports of wood are excluded both from the 1967 projection and from the 1960-61 figures.

/El Salvador

El Salvador has little opportunity to take advantage of this ready market as pasture land is already fully used. The other four countries are exporters of meat outside the region, however. With the completion of its first packing plant at the end of 1961, Guatemala increased its prospects for higher meat exports. The outlook is even more favorable in Costa Rica, Honduras and Nicaragua where the interest in expansion is prompting the import of pedigreed breeding cattle and extension of pasturage, as well as the construction of new slaughterhouse and packing facilities. Assuming that the recent fall in Costa Rican exports, due to the inability to import Nicaraguan cattle, will be remedied in the next year or two, meat exports from the region may be expected to continue to show a vigorous rate of increase through the 1962-67 period.

The estimates of 1967 beef exports are based on certain rough statistical relationships found to prevail in Central America in recent years, concerning the rate of slaughter and average meat content per animal. The size of herd, which in 1961 varied between 1.0 and 1.6 million head in each of the five countries, is assumed to increase by 4 percent a year through 1967, the rate of slaughter to rise slightly from the 8-10 percent level of 1960-61 to 11-13 percent in 1967-68 and consumption to increase 4 percent annually. Although the conditions governing the livestock industry vary among the several countries, projections on an individual basis differ little in result from those obtained by treating the region as a whole. The results for beef exports are summarized in Table 38.

Assuming that total meat exports will change in the same proportion, the estimated volume of exports in 1967 is about 40 thousand tons. Aside from the crude method of arriving at this figure, the possibility of attaining an export volume of such a magnitude, which implies an annual rate of increase of close to 20 percent, depends primarily on careful planning of the expansion necessary and on the extent to which domestic consumption increases. Demand conditions appear to be highly favorable. A United Nations (FAO) study points out that on the basis of a high and a low income-growth assumption for the economies of Western Europe and the United States, the demand of these countries for meat in 1970 could either absorb all of the estimated world supply at that time or fall slightly short of supply.^{16/} In the latter case as the demand for meat is highly elastic with respect to price, as well as to income, a moderate price decline would easily clear the market. In either case the outlook for Central American meat exporters is favorable; as relatively low cost producers of chilled and frozen meats, they may be able to sell at a lower price and also to more distant markets should their present advantage of proximity to the United States market not be sufficient to absorb increasing supplies in the future.

On the assumption of constant prices (of 1960-61), an export volume of 40 thousand tons of meat in 1967-68 would bring in export receipts of \$25 million (see Table 39).

6. Sugar

Central American exports of sugar are directed primarily to the United States market as regulated by the quota system for United States imports of

16. FAO, Agricultural Commodities - Projections for 1970, Rome 1962.

Table 38

PROJECTED VOLUME OF CENTRAL AMERICAN EXPORTS
OF BEEF IN 1967

	Actual exports 1960-61 (average)	Projections for 1967-68 (average)
Size of herd (number of heads)	5 600 000	7 100 000
Number of heads slaughtered	570 000 ^{a/}	860 000
Consumption (number of heads)	465 000 ^{a/}	590 000
Exports (number of heads)	105 000	270 000
Average meat content per animal exported (Kg.)	130 ^{a/}	140
Volume of beef exports (in metric tons)	13 600	37 800

- a. Somewhat higher than usual as a proportion of total slaughter as Guatemala did not yet have packing facilities in operation so almost the total slaughter was attributed to consumption.
- b. A weighted average, based on 1960-61 estimates of the volume of exports and estimated boneless equivalent meat content of 92 kg. in Honduras and 135 kg. in Costa Rica, Guatemala and Nicaragua.

Note: Intra-regional exports of meat are excluded both from the projections and the actual figures.

Sources: Size of herd, slaughter and consumption from: Costa Rica, Banco Central de Costa Rica, Informe Anual; Guatemala, Banco de Guatemala, Boletín Estadístico; United Nations, Análisis y Proyecciones del Desarrollo Económico, XI: El Desarrollo Económico de Honduras (E/CN.12/549), 1960; Nicaragua, Dirección General de Estadística y Censos, Boletín de Estadísticas; and United Nations, FAO, Production Yearbook, 1961. Also: United Nations, ECLA, La Ganadería en América Latina, (E/CN.12/620), 1961..

Table 39

SUMMARY OF PROJECTION OF CENTRAL AMERICAN MEAT EXPORTS IN 1967

	Actual exports		Projection for 1967
	1960	1961*	
Volume (in thousand metric tons)	13.8	14.2	40.0
Unit value (in dollars per ton)	618	638	628
Value (in millions of dollars)	8.5	9.1	25.0

*Note: Intra-regional meat exports are excluded both from the 1967 projection and the 1960-61 figures.

/that commodity.

that commodity. Under the present legislation, effective until December 31, 1964, the basic annual quota allocated to the five Central American countries taken together is 72.6 thousand tons. As of early 1963, allocations under the global quota for that year and additional amounts prorated in proportion to the basic quotas or awarded as a result of supply deficiencies in other producing countries totaled 43.3 thousand tons, so that Central America's total allowance for export to the United States during the year reached nearly 116 thousand tons, and was likely to be increased still further before the end of the year.

A substantial part of this amount corresponds to the reallocation of the Cuban quota. A major assumption in estimating Central America's probable U.S. quota in 1967 is, therefore, that Cuba will continue to be excluded from the U.S. market. In addition, it is assumed that while neither total U.S. sugar consumption nor the share of imports in total consumption is likely to rise considerably, some increase in Central America's allotment is politically feasible, as well as consistent with the production conditions in the countries themselves. The 1963 import allocation so far is only moderately higher than actual exports in 1962. On the basis of these assumptions, the 1967 allocation is estimated at 145 thousand tons, with the increase expected to accrue largely to El Salvador, which needs a larger foreign market now that Honduras has become self-sufficient in sugar, and to a lesser extent to Nicaragua, the largest of the Central American sugar exporters.

This is an optimistic estimate, dependent on the assumption that Central American sugar production will continue to show the vigorous increase which followed the announcement of the 1960 quotas, at which time El Salvador and Guatemala obtained an import allotment for the first time. As stocks are currently low and prices very high, large increases in production are indicated in the next year or two; after that both the volume exported and the destination will depend on the rate at which domestic consumption rises and on the course of prices from their present peaks. Assuming that domestic consumption rises slowly, relative to production, the surplus available for export may allow some additional quantities for sale above the U.S. import quota. Only a small increase in total exports to 155 thousand tons is estimated here, although sales on the world market could increase at the expense of sales to the U.S. if the U.S. price premium should vanish for any extended period.

The future course of sugar prices is extremely difficult to predict. Historically the United States price has exceeded the world price of sugar by a considerable margin. As mentioned in the analysis of recent trends in sugar exports appearing in Section A above, the current sugar legislation provides for the elimination of this premium on all sugar imported under the global quota and for its gradual reduction on sugar imported under the individual countries' basic quotas, but the import levy system through which this was to be achieved has been temporarily suspended because of the present perturbations in the world sugar market. However, the extraordinarily rapid rise of the world price for sugar in 1963 is attributed to temporary factors, such as the effects of a severe winter on the European beet crop and production cutbacks in some Latin American exporting countries where heavy stock had accumulated. These factors suggest a future decline in price under conditions of expanding supply.

/Furthermore,

Furthermore, additional sugar beet acreage is being planned in Europe, and the European Economic Community is expected to be fully self-sufficient in sugar by 1965. Assuming that the world price will have declined from its present peak by 1967, although remaining above the average of the last five years, it should again be below the United States price. If this does occur and the United States renews its policy of reducing the U.S. market price premium through import fees, the United States price paid to the exporter may be expected to drop below the 1961-62 level. This is what has been assumed here. For the purposes of this projection the U.S. price is assumed to have declined 20 percent below the 1961 level by 1967 and the unit value of exports on the world market is arbitrarily estimated at 3.60 cents per pound, about 25 percent higher than in 1960.

Under these assumptions, the projected value of Central American sugar exports in 1967 would be \$14 million (see Table 40).

7. Cocoa

Costa Rican productivity is low. Cocoa plants are mostly old and cultivation is done on a small scale by farmers reluctant to adopt modern techniques. Overcoming this resistance could reportedly lead to considerable increases in yields within a very short time (two to three years), and would both improve the quality and stabilize the volume of the Costa Rican cocoa crop. Barring such a development, however, there is no reason to expect substantial increases in the level of Costa Rican cocoa production in the next few years.

Under these circumstances, Costa Rican cocoa exports should not be much affected by the forthcoming International Cocoa Agreement. Whether or not Costa Rica finally comes under the denomination of small producers, (for which there will be no export quotas) depends upon the selection of two thousand tons or ten thousand tons annual export maximum as the criterium. But even if the former limit is selected, and Costa Rica must be subjected to an export quota, this quota is likely to be ample, in view of the fact that it would apply only to exports of "bulk" cocoa, and that one-quarter of Costa Rican exports would be exempt as "fine." Preliminary calculations indicate that, should Costa Rica not be given small producer status, its basic quota for bulk cocoa is likely to be set at nine to ten thousand tons. Adding fine cocoa exports of about three thousand tons to this figure it appears that Costa Rica would be allowed an annual export level of 12 to 13 thousand tons, which would be quite adequate at the present production rates.

On the demand side, the maintenance of Costa Rican cocoa exports at a level of about 12 thousand tons a year merely implies that present markets remain available. There is no reason why this should not be so. United States imports of Costa Rican cocoa have been rather stable in recent years. Prospects are not particularly bright in the Colombian market, but Japanese purchases may grow in importance. The discrimination to be established by

Table 40

SUMMARY OF PROJECTION OF CENTRAL AMERICAN SUGAR EXPORTS IN 1967

	Actual exports			U.S. quota for 1963	Projection for 1967
	1960	1961*	1962*		
Volume (in thousand metric tons)	58.9	69.0	101.0	115.9	Exports to U.S. 145.0 Other 10.0
Unit value (in U.S. cents per pound)	4.54	5.23	5.32		Exports to U.S. 4.15 Other 3.60
Value (in millions of dollars)	5.9	8.0	11.8		14.0

*Note: Intra-regional trade in raw sugar has been excluded from both the 1967 projection and the 1960-62 actual export figures.

/the EEC

the EEC in favor of African suppliers should have little direct impact on Costa Rican exports, in view of the very marginal nature of the European market.

As far as cocoa prices are concerned, they should begin to stabilize, particularly with the help of the minimum price mechanisms contemplated by the draft Cocoa Agreement. While no minimum price has been negotiated yet, there are indications that the price levels that have prevailed in 1962 are considered low by both consuming and producing countries. We can therefore expect that in the next few years prices will at worst be no lower, and probably slightly higher. On the assumption that for Costa Rica by 1967 the unit value of cocoa exports is at about its 1960-1961 levels, we have a value projection of \$5.5 - 6.0 million for 12 thousand tons--or a little less if export volume should fail to rise even that much from its present depressed level (see Table 41).

Table 41

SUMMARY OF PROJECTION OF COSTA RICAN COCOA EXPORTS IN 1967

	Actual exports			Projection for 1967
	1960	1961	1962*	
Volume (in thousand metric tons)	11.8	10.2	8.8	12.0
Unit value (in dollars per ton)	495	467	523	465 - 500
Value (in million dollars)	5.9	4.8	4.6	5.5 - 6.0

Note: The 1967 projection is for exports outside of the Central American Common Market. The 1960-62 figures may include insignificant amounts of intra-regional exports.

Chapter II

PRINCIPAL CHARACTERISTICS AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE CENTRAL AMERICAN PRODUCTIVE STRUCTURE

A. STRUCTURE OF THE PRODUCTION OF GOODS AND SERVICES

1. Structure of the output by major sectors of economic activity

In order to evaluate properly the range of Central American economic diversification, it must be considered both in global terms --with a view toward appraising the general features of the structural development-- and in its more important details, among which those relating to industrialization and foreign trade are especially outstanding.

The purpose of this section is to shed some light on recent trends in current production of goods and services as represented by major sectors of economic activity, with the focus on the changes that have occurred in the sectoral composition of output during the last decade. Variations in the productive structure are, of course, the consequence of the different rates of growth in the several sectors; hence, the analysis will also be extended to the varying progress of sectoral growth and the changing pattern of the forces that determine it. ^{1/}

The most prominent characteristic of the combined productive structure of the five Central American countries lies in the fact that the sector of primary activities, which as early as 1950 was somewhat below half the total product, had dropped by 1960 to levels below 40 percent (see Table 42). ^{2/} It should be noted that the relative position of this sector --which almost entirely represents the agricultural and livestock sector, since the importance of fisheries ^{3/} and mining is small-- is slightly outstripped by the position of the tertiary group, consisting of services of all kinds.

1. The problems of the manufacturing structure will be discussed in the two following sections, and the composition of imports, another important indicator of structural changes, will be examined in Chapter III.

2. It is appropriate to observe that because of various statistical problems relating to both the national macroeconomic estimates used in the calculations and the effort to consolidate the pertinent data for the entire area, the figures in the table may be regarded only as approximations. Nonetheless, there are reasons to think --and a certain internal consistency of the figures seems to confirm this-- that the margins of error are not so great as to distort the essential features of the present structure, and still less the long-range trends shown during the ten years in question. Aside from this, the statistical aspects first mentioned will not be commented upon except in global terms or to point out the reservations that must be kept in mind in interpreting them, and only the latter aspects --with respect to the development time has brought-- will be analyzed in greater detail.

3. According to recent preliminary studies, the prospects of the fishery sector look quite encouraging for the area and apparently will make possible a marked increase in exports in this line, as well as an increased domestic supply.

Table 42

CENTRAL AMERICAN ISTHMUS: STRUCTURE OF THE COMBINED OUTPUT
OF THE COUNTRIES OF THE AREA, EXCLUDING AND INCLUDING PANAMA,
BY SECTORS OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, 1950, 1955, AND 1960

(In percentages of the gross domestic product)

Sectors	Central America (historic) a/			Central American Isthmus b/		
	1950	1955	1960	1950	1955	1960
Agriculture-stock raising, forestry, hunting, fisheries	45.3	41.9	36.3	42.1	39.9	34.1
Mining (including quarrying)	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1
<u>Subtotal (I): Primary sector</u>	<u>46.7</u>	<u>43.1</u>	<u>37.5</u>	<u>43.4</u>	<u>41.0</u>	<u>35.2</u>
Manufacturing industry	11.7	12.3	14.3	11.5	11.8	13.4
Construction	4.0	4.5	4.2	3.9	4.4	4.5
Electric power, gas, and water	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.8	1.1
<u>Subtotal (II): Secondary sector</u>	<u>16.2</u>	<u>17.5</u>	<u>19.4</u>	<u>16.1</u>	<u>17.0</u>	<u>19.0</u>
<u>(I+II): Sectors producing goods</u>	<u>62.9</u>	<u>60.6</u>	<u>56.9</u>	<u>59.5</u>	<u>57.1</u>	<u>54.2</u>
Transportation and communications	4.3	4.5	5.2	4.4	4.5	5.2
Commerce	12.2	13.3	12.8	12.0	13.1	12.7
Banking and insurance	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.3	2.5
Government	5.6	7.6	8.0	5.8	6.6	6.8
Personal services	7.5	7.3	8.6	10.1	10.0	11.6
Income from property	5.4	4.3	5.8	4.8	4.7	6.0
Other services	0.1	0.1	0.2	1.3	0.8	1.0
<u>Subtotal (III): Tertiary sector</u>	<u>37.1</u>	<u>39.4</u>	<u>43.1</u>	<u>40.5</u>	<u>42.0</u>	<u>45.8</u>
<u>Total: Gross Domestic Product</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>

a. Includes Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Nicaragua.

b. In addition to countries named in a/, also includes Panama.

Sources: The computations shown in the table were based on figures in the following publications and reports: United Nations, Yearbook of National Accounts Statistics (1957, 1961); United Nations, ECLA, Boletín Económico de América Latina, Suplemento Estadístico (1960, 1961, 1962); Desarrollo Económico y Social de El Salvador, Desarrollo Económico y Social de Guatemala, Desarrollo Económico y Social de Honduras, Desarrollo Económico y Social de Nicaragua, and Desarrollo Económico y Social de Panamá, reports submitted by the respective Governments to the Inter-American Economic and Social Council in

/Sources

Sources (continued)

October 1962 (OAS, Docs. Nos. 25, 33, 30, 31, and 22); U.S. Department of Commerce, Investment in Central America (1956); direct information from the Central Reserve Bank of El Salvador; the basic data referring to the Guatemalan productive structure for 1950 were estimated on the basis of fragmentary reports, and those for 1955, on the basis of the 1956 productive structure.

In the computations an effort was made to make certain adjustments in the basic figures, mainly for the purpose of eliminating or lessening the conceptual differences that exist in the matter of sectoral classification. For example, it was endeavored to transfer the product of state enterprises, including public utilities and nationalized banking, to the appropriate lines of economic activity; in doing this recourse was taken to fragmentary information and, in the absence of better indicators, to estimates by analogy. For El Salvador the figures used were for 1959 instead of 1960, since the data prepared by ECLA for that series come up only to 1959, although on the other hand they go back to 1950 and also include 1955. Although the Salvadoran figures on the output of the construction and manufacturing industries and agriculture in 1959 were slightly adjusted, in the sense that they indicate the data of the new national series, it is possible that, even so, the proportion shown for construction --which was slightly decreased in the process of the adjustment-- may still be somewhat high with respect to the real situation. Constant values were then found for all the amounts and, finally, they were converted into dollars of 1950 in order to add them together for the entire area; in making the conversion the method described in the Boletín Económico de América Latina (ECLA, 1956, No. I-2) was used. Possible deficiencies in the parities used may have had some influence on the weighted value, but there are reasons to believe that the proportions in the consolidated structure have not been distorted to any major degree, since the probable errors of weighting have tended to compensate themselves between the more developed and the less developed countries of the area.

When the principal structural characteristics of historic Central America are compared with those of the Central American Isthmus as a whole, it is noted that the proportions do not differ substantially, although the inclusion of Panama, with its special situation resulting from the Canal Zone economy, increases the relative importance of services to some extent and at the same time slightly lowers the position of the primary activities. 4/

4. Furthermore, this latter effect is also the consequence of the fact that the agriculture of Panama has no export component of as much importance as those of the other states of the area, and that agricultural output for domestic consumption is usually lower, in terms of value, than that of production for export. While in a number of countries of the Isthmus the share of the export component is around half of the agricultural output, in Panama it is one third.

/With respect

With respect to the relative importance of the primary sector of the economy, the highest is found in Honduras, the only country where such activities represented more than half of the total at the beginning of the decade and where they still are not much below that level (see Table 43). The lowest proportion for these activities is in Panama, where they account for only one-fourth of output, while in El Salvador, Costa Rica and Nicaragua they exceed one-third and are almost that high in Guatemala.

On the other hand, the share of the tertiary sector is relatively higher in the output of Panama, although it is likewise marked in the products of Costa Rica and Guatemala.

With respect to the recent position of the secondary activities, the figures given seem to indicate coefficients for the six countries that range between 15 and 22 percent. These figures, however, require certain qualifications. The national data used in preparing this table do not refer to identical variants of the concept of gross national product, and this fact particularly affects the relative position of industry. Following the necessary adjustments, the range of variation of these national coefficients is restricted to a spread between 15 and 19 percent. At the same time, after such adjustments, the shares of the manufacturing sector vary only between 10 and 13.5 percent. 5/

A study of the development that has taken place by sectors reveals a marked decline in the rate of growth in the agricultural sector, which dropped from more than 3.5 percent per year during the first five years to little more than one or a half of one percent during the second five years, chiefly because of the less than favorable development of the world market for the area's principal export products (see Table 44).

5. Although the original data available for Costa Rica, Honduras and Panama give the output "at factor cost," the data for the other countries give it "at market prices"; that is, they include indirect taxes which are levied mostly on manufactured goods, although they also affect certain tertiary activities. In order to obtain the adjusted sectoral percentages mentioned above, an effort has been made on the basis of the partial information available, especially in the case of El Salvador, to convert the national data that indicated the output "at market prices" to figures that would represent it "at factor cost." This procedure means that the relative position of some sectors decreases as a result of the elimination of the net indirect tax, while the share of the other increases. In the cases considered, the relative position of the entire tertiary sector has scarcely varied, since the decreases in some of its subdivisions --transportation, commerce-- were offset by automatic increases in the share of other components of the group. On the other hand, the proportion of the secondary sector, and particularly of the manufacturing industry in the total, decreased, and agriculture's proportion increased by about the same amount. But increases in the share of the agricultural sector are less significant if they are viewed in the light of the earlier shares of this sector, which were characterized by quite high figures.

Table 43

CENTRAL AMERICAN ISTHMUS: STRUCTURE OF THE PRODUCT BY MAJOR SECTORS
OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY OF THE SIX COUNTRIES OF THE AREA, SEPARATELY,
1950 AND 1960 ^{a/}

(In percentages of the gross domestic product)

	Costa Rica		El Salvador		Guatemala		Honduras		Nicaragua		Panama	
	1950	1960	1950	1959	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
Agriculture-stock raising, forestry, hunting, fisheries	45.2	35.8	43.4	37.9	41.2	31.1	55.1	44.1	45.4	37.6	26.9	24.3
<u>Entire primary sector</u>	<u>45.9</u>	<u>36.3</u>	<u>44.1</u>	<u>38.3</u>	<u>42.9</u>	<u>32.8</u>	<u>56.5</u>	<u>45.1</u>	<u>47.8</u>	<u>39.3</u>	<u>27.4</u>	<u>25.0</u>
Manufacturing industry	10.7	11.0	11.4	14.9	14.9	17.3	8.1	12.0	10.1	12.3	10.4	9.6
<u>Entire secondary sector</u>	<u>14.6</u>	<u>15.0</u>	<u>17.1</u>	<u>22.2</u>	<u>19.6</u>	<u>22.2</u>	<u>12.2</u>	<u>16.0</u>	<u>13.2</u>	<u>17.4</u>	<u>15.4</u>	<u>16.9</u>
<u>Tertiary sector</u>	<u>39.5</u>	<u>48.7</u>	<u>38.8</u>	<u>39.5</u>	<u>37.5</u>	<u>45.0</u>	<u>31.3</u>	<u>38.9</u>	<u>39.0</u>	<u>43.3</u>	<u>57.2</u>	<u>58.1</u>
<u>Gross domestic product</u> (Total of the three sectors)	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>

a. 1950 and 1959 for El Salvador.

Sources: The publications and data mentioned in the reference note and the methodology of Table 42. Although the figures cannot be regarded as precise within one decimal point, the decimal points were kept in order not to increase the possibilities of error by rounding the relatively small quantities.

Table 44

CENTRAL AMERICAN ISTHMUS: GROWTH RATE OF THE VARIOUS SECTORS OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
IN THE CONSOLIDATED GROSS PRODUCT OF THE AREA, EXCLUDING AND INCLUDING PANAMA
1950-55, 1955-60, AND 1950-60

(Cumulative annual rates of growth)

	Central America (historic)			Central American Isthmus		
	1950-55	1955-60	1950-60	1950-55	1955-60	1950-60
Agriculture, stock raising, forestry, hunting, fisheries	3.7	0.6	2.1	4.0	0.8	2.4
Mining (including quarrying) a/	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.7	3.3	3.0
<u>Subtotal (I): Primary sector</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>0.9</u>	<u>2.5</u>
Manufacturing industry	6.3	6.7	6.5	5.7	6.8	6.2
Construction	8.0	2.0	5.0	7.7	4.4	6.0
Electric power, gas, water	8.8	13.3	11.0	7.6	11.0	9.3
<u>Subtotal (II): Secondary sector</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>5.8</u>	<u>6.3</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>6.4</u>	<u>6.3</u>
<u>Goods-producing segments (I-II)</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>3.6</u>
Transport and communications	6.1	6.5	6.3	5.7	7.0	6.4
Commerce	7.2	2.8	4.9	6.7	3.4	5.5
Banking and insurance	8.1	5.4	6.7	7.3	6.2	6.8
Government	8.3	4.6	6.4	8.1	4.8	6.4
Personal services	4.9	6.8	5.9	4.7	7.2	6.0
Income from property	5.0	9.7	7.3	4.8	9.2	7.0
Other services	14.9 b/	14.3 b/	14.6 b/	-3.9	8.9	2.3
<u>Subtotal (III): Tertiary sector</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>5.8</u>	<u>5.9</u>	<u>5.9</u>
<u>Total: Gross Domestic Product</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>5.1</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>4.6</u>

- a. Since the share of mining was estimated in some cases on the basis of somewhat uncertain indicators and since small amounts are concerned, it may be that the rates of growth shown are not very exact.
- b. These rates are very high, owing to the fact that they have been computed on the basis of small initial quantities; furthermore, this item refers only to Costa Rica and represents the residual that could not be incorporated into the other sectors.

Source: The basic data indicated in the footnote to Table 42 were used.

/Meanwhile,

Meanwhile, the growth of the manufacturing sector, with an annual rate of increase of around 6.5 percent, followed a more or less even course throughout the decade, at least in historic Central America. Since Panama's industrial development was less vigorous during the first five years, the inclusion of its figures somewhat lowers the coefficient for the first period, and does not much increase it for the second period.

It is appropriate to point out that the expansion in the secondary sector as a whole was not very different from that shown by manufacturing industry, its most important component. The discrepancies noted are due to the recent less dynamic progress in construction, brought about by the weak growth of total income. The favorable growth rate in the power sector, of 11 and approximately 9.5 percent, excluding and including Panama, respectively, had little influence on the development of the entire secondary sector, because its weight in the total product is only one percent.

As for the expansion of the tertiary activities, wherein the growth rate was slightly higher during the first five-year period than even the rate shown by manufacturing industry, it is sufficient for the moment to note that during the second five years some of the impetus was lost.

In short, the total growth rate of the Central American economies, both with and without Panama, declined from almost 5.5 percent per year in the first period to 3.5 percent in the second, thus giving a growth rate of 4.5 percent for the entire decade.

With reference to total output, it may be noted that the highest 10-year increase --between 5 and 6 percent per year-- corresponds to Costa Rica, Panama and Nicaragua, while the lowest occurred in Honduras, where development was at a standstill during the first five years (see Table 45). With regard to any increases in the per capita output, attention is called to the fact that the extraordinary population increase in Central America has largely neutralized the effects of the economic expansion. Thus, for the Isthmus as a whole, there was an annual per capita increment of 1.4 percent in the 10-year period, and for the area without including Panama, 1.2 percent. For the last five years in particular, it is noted that the rates declined to 0.8 and 0.3 percent, respectively, having dropped to a negative figure for Nicaragua and remained almost stationary for Costa Rica. Panama was the only country that showed a relatively favorable growth in its per capita product during this second period.

Before attempting to interpret the changes that have taken place in the composition of the Central American output and to evaluate their significance, it is interesting to compare the percentage changes shown in this area with the analogous development of productive structures at the world level. To do this, data for 51 countries ^{6/} --omitting the Central American nations-- were

6. The analysis covers the economic structure of practically all of those countries whose output by sectors is given in the latest edition (1961) of the United Nations Statistical Yearbook, the chief exception being the countries that have centrally planned economies, since their special characteristics and the fact that they use different macroeconomic concepts preclude comparability of their data.

Table 45

CENTRAL AMERICAN ISTHMUS: GROWTH RATE OF THE TOTAL PRODUCT
AND PER CAPITA GROWTH RATE FOR THE SIX COUNTRIES OF THE AREA
1950-55, 1955-60, AND 1950-60

(Cumulative annual growth rates)

	Annual growth of total product			Annual per capita growth of the product		
	1950-55	1955-60	1950-60	1950-55	1955-60	1950-60
Costa Rica	7.4	4.5	6.0	3.8	0.2	2.0
El Salvador	4.5	3.1	3.8	1.6	1.2	1.4
Guatemala	4.9	4.0	4.4	1.7	0.9	1.3
Honduras	2.3	4.5	3.4	-1.1	0.7	-0.3
Nicaragua	9.3	1.0	5.1	6.4	-2.6	1.8
Panama	4.0	6.8	5.4	1.2	4.2	2.1
<u>Central America</u> <u>(historic)</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>1.2</u>
<u>Central American</u> <u>Isthmus</u>	<u>5.1</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>1.4</u>

Sources: The basic data mentioned in the footnote to Table 43 were used, as well as the publication of the Inter American Statistical Institute entitled América en Cifras, 1960, Estadísticas Demográficas.

/classified in

classified in seven categories, corresponding to four successive stages of industrial development, and in each category the countries were subdivided into large ones and small ones, except for the very earliest stage. 7/

If what happened in the rest of the world is compared with the changes that took place in the productive structure of Central America, certain interesting conclusions become apparent, despite the limitations of the data. In the first two stages of economic development (Categories A and B in Table 46), the tertiary sector tends to increase more rapidly than the other two sectors of the economy, and even more rapidly than the primary sector. 8/ The explanation of this phenomenon is fairly clear. While from its very beginning economic and social development promotes an increase in and diversification of requirements, it is not indispensable that a large part of the goods so required be produced locally, for, at least to the extent of the capacity to import, they can be purchased abroad. However, this solution is scarcely feasible with respect to services, and therefore a greater increase in tertiary activities is almost inevitable in this phase of development. An accompanying circumstance is found in the different investment requirements. While the national production of a large part of the new industrial articles, together with the generation of electric power, presupposes relatively sizeable fixed investments, this requirement is not tied to an equal extent with the expansion of various tertiary sectors such as, to give prime examples, commerce and general public administration. In turn, various services whose development usually goes along on a par with an appreciable amount of construction or with other relatively heavy physical investments, are more likely to have the necessary funds available; this customarily occurs in the case of banking and defense. The professional services inevitably require certain investments in human capital, but if longer periods are considered rather than only a few years, the supply of such services can be relatively elastic, although often through various emergency solutions.

The tertiary sector that generally requires larger fixed investments and that frequently fails to obtain them in the first phases of development is transportation, and on top of that situation, numerous regulations frequently curb the natural development of its prices. Therefore, the participation of this sector in the gross product does not usually increase much at the beginning, or, to put it in other words, it tends to increase only after a certain degree of industrialization has already been reached.

7. For this particular phase of economic development, data have been found for only one populous country, Nigeria, the other nations included in this group having less than 15 million inhabitants.

8. Actually, this table only shows what the world trend was during the last decade. However, other available figures seem to indicate that similar trends also prevailed in preceding periods. For example, see Colin Clark, The Conditions of Economic Progress (London, 1940), or Simon Kuznets, Aspectos Cuantitativos del Desarrollo Económico (CEMLA, Mexico, 1959).

Table 46

CENTRAL AMERICA AND THE WORLD: CHANGES IN THE STRUCTURE OF THE PRODUCT
OF SELECTED COUNTRIES, GROUPED ACCORDING TO DEVELOPMENT AND SIZE, 1950 a/

(In percentages of the gross domestic product)

	A. Underdeveloped countries			B. Less industrialized countries						C. Semi-industrialized countries						D. Highly industrialized countries						Central America					
	Small and Large			I. Small			II. Large			I. Small			II. Large			I. Small			II. Large			Including Panama			Excluding Panama		
	1950	1960	Variation	1950	1960	Variation	1950	1960	Variation	1950	1960	Variation	1950	1960	Variation	1950	1960	Variation	1950	1960	Variation	1950	1960	Variation	1950	1960	Variation
Primary sectors	60	52	-8	41	33	-8	53	46	-7	33	29	4	31	29	-2	19	15	-4	19	12	-7	47	37	-10	43	36	-7
Secondary sectors	11	13	2	19	21	2	14	17	3	24	27	3	23	26	3	40	43	3	39	42	3	16	19	3	16	19	3
Tertiary sectors	29	35	6	40	46	6	33	37	4	43	44	1	46	45	-1	41	42	1	42	46	4	37	43	6	41	46	5
TOTAL:	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	
Manufacturing sector only	6	7	1	13	15	2	10	12	2	18	20	2	18	20	2	31	33	2	31	33	2	12	14	2	11	13	2

a. A population of 15 million was the standard used for classification of the countries outside Central America into two size groups. Their classification into industrial development groups was made, first, according to the share of the manufacturing sector in the total product, ranges of 41-26, 25-14, 13-10, and 9-3% having been set up. Then, the original classification was slightly modified in those cases where other indicators of manufacturing development — primarily the per capita national product — were in marked contradiction to the sectoral share. In fact, all the categories include seven countries, except C-I, which covers nine. In cases where the source did not give data for the initial or final reference year, the figures for a year close thereto, or the average for an earlier and later year, equally separated from the reference year, were used. An attempt has been made by the use of such averages to soften, when possible, the effects of extraordinary years. In each category the simple averages of the sectoral shares in the gross national product of each country were calculated, except for Central America, where the sectors and total product were combined for the entire area.

Sources: Table 42 and Statistical Yearbook, 1961, United Nations.

In the later stages of development --Categories C and D in the table-- the total share of services in the gross product does not generally increase so much. Even though it appears to gather new impetus in the highly industrialized stage, a second advance of its relative position is to be seen only in the group of large countries. 9/

As opposed to this fluctuating tendency insofar as the participation of services is concerned, the increases in the relative position of the secondary sector appear to be remarkably constant. In fact, in almost every category of countries, a 3 percent increase in the ratio of secondary activities in the total product is seen, except for the really underdeveloped countries (Category A), which includes only African and some Asiatic countries, and for the group of small countries just beginning to industrialize (B/I). In these two latter cases the relative progress of the secondary sector, evidently more difficult than in the later stages, was only 2 percent.

As for the Central American countries, their structural and various other characteristics place them in the group just mentioned (B/I) and the typical evolutionary forces for this stage do in fact appear to have predominated in the recent structural development of the Isthmus. Nevertheless, it should be noted that their per capita income and certain of their cultural features place them at a slightly higher level than the average of this B/I group. 10/

9. A new expansion in the share of the tertiary sector may be attributed to various circumstances, such as, for example, the constantly improving relative remuneration for services in the developed economies and the fact that in many modern societies, flooded with not very costly physical goods, there is a certain tendency to consume increasing volumes --and improved qualities-- of specific cultural, health, communications and recreational services. However, in the case of the larger world powers, especially the United States, the decisive factor in the increased participation of the tertiary sector lies in the extremely high cost of defense.

10. The per capita income in the large countries included in Category B/II, that is, countries relatively less advanced than those of group B/I, is still lower, in average terms, a fact that is also shown by the less developed status of their productive structure.

/Accordingly,

Accordingly, the Central American advance in the field of secondary activities was also somewhat more rapid than that of the group in reference. ^{11/} Another fact that may be mentioned is that the growth of transportation in Central America does not seem to have been much below that of the entire tertiary sector, contrary to general experience in this stage of development. Nonetheless, it should be borne in mind that the rates of growth of the transportation sector shown in Table 44 were derived for a number of countries from somewhat precarious indicators of the increase in this item.

2. Structure of the economically active population
and of productivity by sectors

To complete the picture of the economic structure by large sectors, attention must also be drawn to the distribution of the economically active population among the various sectors of productive activity. Since population censuses were taken in or around 1960 in only three countries of the Isthmus --El Salvador, Honduras, and Panama-- recent figures are available for these three countries only, but there are figures for 1950 for all the countries of the area and for the aggregate (see Table 47).

11. In view of the significance of increases shown in the relative position of a specific sector, it must always be kept in mind that such increases depend not only on the expansion of the sector concerned but also on the behavior and output of the other sectors. However vigorous the growth of one component element may be, its ratio to the total will not increase if all of the other components grow more rapidly. For the same reason a sector may also increase its share in the total with a modest expansion if the growth rate of all the others as a whole are less.

In less developed economies agricultural output for domestic consumption, and particularly of production for home consumption, is normally very low. Therefore, despite much greater efficiency in the agricultural component that produces for export, the average output of this sector is usually low. If in this stage of development the relative position of the agricultural sector is high, it is due above all to the small volume of production in the industrial sectors. Therefore, in such economies, the relative position of the agricultural sector is not very "resistant" to the possible pressure of the other sectors. As somewhat more vigorous activities, which strictly speaking may not be very strong or very efficient, appear and begin to strengthen themselves, they gain ground relatively easily at the cost of the agricultural sector. Relatively modest industrial investments at this phase, even though possibly more difficult to carry out than larger investments at later stages, may be sufficient to increase the relative position of the secondary sector by various points, at the cost of the "soft" position of agricultural activities of low productivity.

/Table 47

Table 47

CENTRAL AMERICAN ISTHMUS: STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMICALLY ACTIVE POPULATION
IN THE SIX COUNTRIES AND IN THE AREA AS A WHOLE,
EXCLUDING AND INCLUDING PANAMA, 1950-60 (OR 1961)

(In percentages of the total)

Sector	Costa Rica		El Salvador		Guatemala		Honduras		Nicaragua		Panama		Central America		Entire Isthmus ^{a/}	
	1950	1960	1950	1961	1950	1961	1950	1961	1950	1961	1950	1960	1950	1960 ^{a/}	1950	1960
Agriculture	54.7	...	63.1	60.2	68.2	...	83.1	65.8	67.7	...	50.6	51.1	69.1	...	67.5	...
<u>Primary sector</u>	<u>54.9</u>	...	<u>63.4</u>	<u>60.3</u>	<u>68.4</u>	...	<u>83.5</u>	<u>66.1</u>	<u>68.6</u>	...	<u>50.8</u>	<u>51.2</u>	<u>69.4</u>	...	<u>67.9</u>	...
Manufacturing industry	11.0	...	11.4	12.5	11.5	...	5.8	7.7	11.4	...	7.9	7.4	10.1	...	9.9	...
<u>Secondary sector</u>	<u>15.9</u>	...	<u>14.4</u>	<u>16.9</u>	<u>14.3</u>	...	<u>7.0</u>	<u>9.6</u>	<u>14.3</u>	...	<u>12.2</u>	<u>11.0</u>	<u>12.8</u>	...	<u>12.8</u>	...
<u>Tertiary sector</u>	<u>29.2</u>	...	<u>22.2</u>	<u>22.8</u>	<u>17.3</u>	...	<u>9.5</u>	<u>24.3</u>	<u>17.1</u>	...	<u>37.0</u>	<u>37.8</u>	<u>17.8</u>	...	<u>19.4</u>	...

a. On the basis of data interpolated for the year 1960, in the case of the 1961 censuses.

Sources: United Nations, Demographic Yearbook, 1955, for the year 1950. Data received from the Inter American Statistical Institute, based on the results of the last national censuses for 1960 and 1961.

/It will be

It will be noted that the proportion of the population engaged regularly in agricultural activities is in all cases much higher than agriculture's share in total output (see again Tables 42 and 43). Furthermore, in no case is the population associated with this sector less than half of all the economically active population (the figures here referring to the beginning or to the end of the decade). The coefficients for Panama and Costa Rica are the only ones that do not significantly exceed 50 percent, those of the other countries ranging around two-thirds.

The participation of the economically active population in manufacturing industry yields figures which, in all the known cases, are rather less than the figures for industry's share in the total output. The sectoral coefficients of Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala and Nicaragua vary between 11 and 12.5 percent and those of Honduras and Panama between 6 and 8 percent. In Central America as a whole, both including and excluding Panama, manufacturing has a coefficient of 10 percent, while its share in the product is more than 12.5 percent.

The percentage of population engaged in all of the various services in most cases ranges between 17 and 29 percent, except for Panama where, because of the importance of the sale of services to the Canal Zone, it reaches levels of 37 or 38 percent, and Honduras where the coefficient for 1950 is exceptionally low. ^{12/} The figures for the area as a whole are 18 percent and a little over 19 percent, excluding and including Panama, respectively. It may be deduced that the wide differences noted between the participation of the primary sector in employment and the total output are mainly compensated by the differences in the opposite direction that appear in the shares of the tertiary sector.

Such marked disproportions between the shares of the various economic sectors in output and the corresponding working population emphasize the existence of greater discrepancies in productivity, by sectors, of the labor force. ^{13/} Even with the necessary theoretical reservations, it does not seem out of place, considering the specific conditions of Central America, to relate these phenomena in respect of relative productivity to the high degree of hidden unemployment in the area, which prevails principally in agriculture. There is special justification for this, in view of the open unemployment that appears to exist in various cities of the Isthmus, even though statistics on the matter are scattered. Furthermore, the relationship in this particular case between output and labor also reflects the great importance of coffee production in the agricultural sector of the Isthmus, since the coffee harvest gives employment to a large number of people, most of whom are employed only on a seasonal basis.

12. Although there may be a certain lack of preciseness in relation to the classification of the Honduran data for 1950, there is not much doubt that in terms of order of magnitude the figures approach the real situation.

13. The term "labor force" is used in this context in a wide sense; that is, it refers to all of the economically active population in the various sectors.

This does not exclude the possibility that there is an appreciable lack of mechanization in certain other fields in this sector, in which the introduction of more modern methods would substantially increase output. 14/

A brief comparison of the relative sectoral productivities of the various countries reveals, first of all, that the differences between productivity in the agricultural and manufacturing sectors were less pronounced in the case of Costa Rica, El Salvador and Nicaragua, and more marked in Guatemala, Honduras and Panama. 15/ On the other hand, with respect to productivity in agriculture and services as a whole, relatively less disproportion was found in Costa Rica, El Salvador and Panama, and more in Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua. Finally, a comparison between manufacturing industry productivity and the tertiary segment shows that although the latter surpassed the former in all cases, the discrepancy was less in Costa Rica, Panama and El Salvador, and more marked in Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua. A summary of the three classifications, made in groups of two, brings out the existence of a more balanced pattern of productivity in Costa Rica and El Salvador, which is obviously related to the relatively greater industrialization of these two countries. On the other hand, the relationships here commented upon do not show the industrial advance of Guatemala, despite its numerous active manufacturing enterprises in various fields. The explanation of this phenomenon is related to certain individual aspects of the country's employment structure, deriving from its ethnic characteristics and especially from the largely non-assimilated way of life of the Indian element. 16/

14. It should be recalled that fluctuations in the terms of trade may considerably increase the relative prices of industrial goods, thus augmenting the value of the sectoral products and contributing to the differences between the respective "productivities."

In relation to the concept of the relative sectoral productivities, it should be noted that since their values are figured through the use of data on a sector's output and the labor employed in producing that output and similar data for other sectors, the resultant coefficients may accumulate statistical errors from various sources. Therefore, in this analysis they have been used only in order to compare the sectoral discrepancies within one country with the corresponding discrepancies elsewhere in the area. Likewise, it must be noted that in view of the incomplete state of information on the more recent period, only the figures for the year 1950 have been used in the computations.

15. It need scarcely be mentioned that such comparisons cannot be used as elements of judgments as to the greater or lesser absolute efficiency of any given sector in any of the countries concerned. On the other hand, the quantitative relationships may be interpreted as indications, though certainly rough and approximate ones, of the degree of distortion prevailing in the respective economies and, consequently, of the opportunities that exist to increase the total product through a better distribution of the economically active population among the various sectors.

16. The high relative position in the industry of this country of rural craftsmen --whose productivity is low-- even though coexisting with a competent factory element, tends to increase the margin between the relative productivity of industry and of service. At the same time the high proportion of a subsistence rural population, living practically outside the monetary economy, lowers the coefficient of the agricultural sector and helps to widen the differences shown between it on the one hand and industry and services on the other.

/Finally,

Finally, in view of what has been said in the preceding paragraphs, it is appropriate to comment on the significance of the continued decline of agriculture's participation in the generation of total product. This is one of the outstanding aspects of the structural transformation that is taking place, and attention should be focused on its scope from a number of angles, taking into account both its possible consequences and its causes.

On the one hand this process may be regarded as an element of the progressive diversification of production, which is part of the structural transformation implicit in development and which can help to reduce vulnerability to external forces and at the same time ease internal instability. Thus, this process reflects positive factors when it is the consequence of a relatively strong development of the secondary and tertiary segments. The contribution of these sectors to a reduction of hidden unemployment, concentrated especially in the primary segment, certainly merits positive consideration.

However, since agriculture has to provide these developing economies with an increasing volume of foreign exchange resources and insure supplies for constantly increasing food and industrial raw-material requirements, concern may arise with respect to the balanced course of the diversification if --as is the case in Central America-- agricultural expansion does not attain a certain minimum, and especially if its rate of growth does not exceed the population growth. One must also take into account the fact that the prevailing impulse in the industrial and service sectors of the area --which in any event is not very pronounced-- has not been the only reason for the decreasing share of agriculture in its total product. In fact, in the structural change noted, a large part has been played by the very appreciably worsened situation on the world market for the products of this sector, which, despite noticeable official and private efforts to adapt the structure to the new conditions, has been unable in all cases to attain sufficient flexibility, or at least has not attained it in the short time available. 17/

17. The present version of this study will not extend to an analysis of the transformation of the Central American agricultural structure, although reference will be made to certain changes that have occurred in the composition of agricultural and livestock production in relation to certain foreign trade problems.

B. MANUFACTURING STRUCTURE

1. Potential of industrial growth and its components

Since the most dynamic element of economic development is normally the growth of manufacturing activities, the potential of development of the industrial sector decisively determines the magnitude of the major changes that are likely to occur in the composition of the total product of the entire economy during the developing stage.

This potential of manufacturing development in turn depends not only upon the general availability of resources for industrialization but also upon the internal and external possibilities of this sector to establish itself in new fields. Its advance toward new areas enables it to expand at a faster rate than what would apply to it if its activities were limited to their original sphere. The most important area for such expansion of the developing manufacturing sector --at any rate, the most generally employed and at times abused area-- is the gradual substitution of imports, especially in the beginning stages of industrialization. However, there are also other possible fields for industrial expansion besides mere natural growth, such as judicious recourse to possibilities for export, the additional market that may result from dynamic progress in other sectors (and of the industry itself), and adequate improvement in the distribution of income.

With regard to import substitution, the manufacturing sector's growth potential depends in good measure upon its ability to diversify; that is, upon its capacity to provide substitutes for imports not only in the field of industries producing ordinary consumer goods --which ordinarily occurs during the first phase of industrialization-- but also, if the need arises, in other manufacturing activities. In this respect it need only be recalled that certain industries, especially intermediate and mechanical ones, as a general rule require broader or more developed markets and greater productive factors, which initially are in short supply, but once established on firm and rational bases within an economy they tend to expand in a sustained form and, through a series of reactions, to give incentive to the expansion of other industries. 18/

Industrial exports --excepting those primary products that are exported after only slight processing-- are not usually very significant in the first phases of industrial development and they have not been so in Latin America. In Central America, aside from the increasing trade among the countries of the area in recent years, such exports to the rest of the world have been practically nil. However, it looks very possible --and in fact desirable-- that in the future the impetus of intra-Central American trade will also stimulate interest in the Isthmus in certain lines of manufacture, now only local, that can be exported outside the area, making use of the possible advantages that may result from the lower cost of labor, the availability of certain low-cost

18. Reference will be made later to the problem of these incentives at the interindustry level, when the elements of the potential of industrial growth are considered from the point of view of supply. For further details concerning the nature and growth of the various branches of industry in Latin America, see: OAS/ECLA, Economic and Social Survey of Latin America, 1961, Chapter V.

raw materials or materials of a specific kind, and certain other differential cost factors. In short, the need to keep open the possibility of future industrial exports, as well as other important considerations that have recently come to be gradually accepted in Latin America, may make it possible to vest Central American protectionism with a kind of selective orientation --without detracting from its effectiveness-- in order not to encourage purely anti-economic activities or to distort excessively the cost-price system.

When dynamic expansion in the other sectors is thought of as a factor in the industrial growth potential the importance of the development of the primary sectors which, in appropriate circumstances, can continue to make great contributions toward increasing income and the internal market is worth noting. Naturally beyond a certain point, the expansion of these sectors becomes difficult and meets increasingly more rigid limitations, through decreasing returns or other progressively restrictive factors. ^{19/} However, in a large part of the Isthmus, the development of agriculture for internal consumption, encouraged also by the continual improvement of internal transportation, still offers great possibilities for expansion that is free of such barriers.

As mentioned above, the dimensions of the growth capacity of domestic manufacturing also is related to the distribution of income. In fact, a more equitable distribution could increase the market for a great variety of articles and favor the demand for industrial products of local manufacture at the expense of imported products, which are preferred by the more affluent social classes. ^{20/}

Although the last three courses indicated for possible manufacturing expansion do not appear to be very promising for the immediate future, which reaffirms the fact that the replacement of imports is the most important expression of present-day Central American industrialization, consideration of these elements of the industrial growth potential, especially the element of possible future exports, leads to certain judgments which perforce have their effect on an appraisal of past and future development. Keeping those in mind, the structural diversification of manufacturing production, especially when production is limited to a certain number of more or less traditional lines, continues to be a desideratum, highly important but subject to some qualification that will place emphasis on economic efficiency.

Viewed from this angle, the recognized advantages of Central American economic integration stand out in sharp relief.

19. Such is the case with the possible exhaustion of mineral deposits. The situation is similar when it stems from the necessity of voluntarily limiting certain primary exports in order to protect their prices.

20. Of course, the effect of measures taken to lead to a better distribution is also subject to limitations and at a certain point, when the average tendency to save decreases, forces contrary to the requirements for greater investment and hence the requirements of industrialization may come into play. However, the state may go beyond this critical point in the gradual redistribution without causing a major drop in savings by taking up the difference through taxation for purposes of public investment, although it is understood that the effectiveness of this type of intervention also reaches its limits at some point by appreciably reducing the incentives of the entrepreneurial class.

/In the first

In the first place, despite the still existing limitations of the integrated market, such a step would make possible the establishment of a series of new industries which could not possibly have been established before in conformity with even a minimum of economic efficiency. Since ultimately free trade within the Isthmus should likewise afford incentive to the aggregate of the primary sector, it may be envisaged that the additional income resulting from this situation will in turn contribute to a widening of the market for industrial goods.

On the other hand, when one considers the possible impact of integration from the supply standpoint, it is evident that the future economic union will significantly expand the field within which limited resources may be pooled in order to undertake activities of greater scope.

Furthermore, beneficial effects can be expected from inter-industry relations. In fact, a number of the industries contemplated under the new conditions --especially the intermediate and certain mechanical industries-- are capable of exercising "forward and backward linkage effects," ^{21/} or in other words, of encouraging the creation of or strengthening those industries which are their potential consumers or which may be their principal suppliers. In principle, it may be considered that the greater the size of the economy thus integrated, the better the possibilities will be for profitable combinations in the interindustry field, although sometimes --and this must also be kept in mind-- it is not so much the size of the economy that counts in that sense but rather the inclusion of certain countries or areas in the integration, which, because of their complementary characteristics, can offer more favorable conditions for establishing such linkage. ^{22/} The gradual complementation of the manufacturing system with interrelated industries will naturally increase the external economies of all of them.

The foreseeable effects of development under these new conditions are of course numerous and varied. On the one hand, it can be expected that in the case of industries that can more easily be set up and that are already more or less spread throughout the area --and in which the scales of operation are not so important-- skill and consequently operational efficiency will increase. On the other hand, it is possible that the establishment of certain new activities that place greater demands on scales of production and limited resources may at least temporarily have the effect of strengthening the monopolistic structure of the industry. Among other effects of integration, it may be expected that the industrialization of the area on very broad bases will have a favorable impact on the present unbalanced situation of productivity among the

21. For the origin of this term, see A.O. Hirschman, The Strategy of Economic Development, Yale University Press, 1958. p. 100.

22. It is appropriate to remember that the scope of these linkage effects, with all their probable ramifications, also offer certain at least partial elements of judgment for determining priorities among projects according to their social usefulness.

various sectors; that is to say, it will alleviate the hidden unemployment situation, localized chiefly in agriculture although to a lesser extent it is also present in some tertiary sectors, or it will at least prevent the situation from worsening in line with the population growth.

2. Structure and development of the industrial output

The main purpose of the following analysis is to define the present stage of development of Central American manufacturing production from the standpoint of its structural evolution and to determine the intensity with which this process is currently being carried out. This part of the analysis of the structural changes will be supplemented with a brief study of the growth by lines of industry and by groups thereof, and an examination of the development of production by principal manufactured articles.

Data by which the analysis can be brought up to recent years are available only with respect to the manufacture of certain selected articles, since information on the entire structural development of the manufacturing sector beyond the year 1958 is incomplete and of unacceptable quality; in fact, in a number of important aspects it is available, or usable, only up to 1955. For this latter year, the detailed manufacturing structure of Central America as a whole will first be analyzed and, with some consolidation among certain interrelated lines, the structure of the six countries of the area (see Tables 48 and 49). 23

23. It is appropriate to make some comments about the quality of the data used in these tables and to mention the procedures applied to compensate for some of the deficiencies. In very general terms, it would seem that the data available in this respect merit a greater degree of confidence than the macro-economic figures given in the preceding section, since the manufacturing structures here noted are based primarily on census data --those for Panama are the result of a relatively broad survey-- and, except in the case of Guatemala, little estimating is involved. Although the extrapolation of the census data has been carried out with production indexes that are subject to a bias that increases with the time involved, this operation has been limited in the present case to "running" the census structure forward or backward for only a few years. However, the census data themselves also suffer from various weaknesses. Along with the usual problems of evaluation, difficulties have been found especially in the matter of coverage and comparability, and although efforts have been made to clear this obstacle as far as possible, the final figures still must be regarded with reservations in some respect. With reference to coverage, an attempt was made to include the handicraft component in so far as data were available. Somewhat sketchy census data were used for El Salvador and slightly adjusted national estimates for Guatemala; coverage for Panama and Honduras, and possibly also for Nicaragua, is not so complete, and for Costa Rica the figures used in certain particular respects --structure and capital intensity-- exclude repair shops. Another problem was found in the

(Footnote continued on next page)

/The lack of

The lack of diversification in the transformation industries of the area is notable (see Table 48). In 1955, as may be seen in the table, 84 percent of the combined manufacturing product of the integration area, both excluding and including Panama, pertained to the traditional industries, engaged almost exclusively in the production of common consumer goods and their raw materials, excluding the processing of coffee. ^{24/} Within this industrial group, the most important subgroup consists of the preparation of food, beverages and tobacco, representing approximately half of the total product of the sector; the food industries alone account for close to 27 percent of the product. The industries producing textiles, clothing, leather and footwear together account for almost 29 percent of the total, with textiles alone showing 10 percent. When the Panamanian industries are included in the computation, these last two coefficients drop slightly, to 26 and 9 percent, respectively. In both cases lumber and wood products amount to 4 percent and the manufacture of furniture and related products 2 percent.

23. (continuation)

discrepancies in national censuses with regard to the inclusion of the initial processing of certain agricultural products, especially coffee. The figures on coffee processing, originally included in the censuses of some countries, were eliminated from the data presented in the interests of comparability and because they were apparently a major source of errors in evaluation. Finally, an attempt was made to moderate the effects of various differences of classification that affected certain interrelated lines in particular, increasing some to the detriment of others. When the data were consolidated at the inter-area level (Table 48), the slight deviations of some censuses from the standard classification of the other countries became less important, but in the tabulation of national data (Table 49), they would have continued to hinder any easy appreciation of the differences between the countries. Therefore, in this table the items that appeared more susceptible to such reciprocal defects were combined among themselves. Certain interrelated items were likewise combined in the subsequent table, since it was considered that the data were not sufficiently comparable in time. All in all, the best structural data for the area as a whole were those assembled for the year 1955, and it is these that are used in Table 48. Similar data for 1960 or some other recent year will be available for Panama and El Salvador possibly during the current year, since their most recent industrial censuses are in the course of being prepared or completed. In Costa Rica, Guatemala and Nicaragua, new industrial censuses will be taken in 1964.

24. Also included in this large manufacturing group are the lumber and furniture industries, since both are traditional and are similar in their behavior. As for coffee processing, it may be observed that in El Salvador in 1956 it represented almost 27.5 percent of the total value of manufactures according to the census (which total included the processing of coffee), and a year later in Costa Rica it accounted for 12.5 percent. It is possible that the Salvadorean figure may be somewhat overvalued.

Table 48

CENTRAL AMERICAN ISTHMUS: CONSOLIDATED STRUCTURE
OF THE MANUFACTURING OUTPUT OF THE COUNTRIES OF THE AREA,
EXCLUDING AND INCLUDING PANAMA, 1955

(In percentages of the total value added by manufacturing)

CIIU group number	Industrial groups and branches	Central America (historic)	Central American Isthmus
	<u>Traditional industries a/</u>	<u>83.8</u>	<u>84.0</u>
20	Food	26.5	27.9
21	Beverages	16.4	17.6
22	Tobacco	6.0	5.9
23	Textiles	10.0	8.8
24	Footwear and clothing	17.3	16.0
25	Lumber and cork	4.1	4.1
26	Furniture and accessories	2.1	2.1
29	Hides and skins	1.4	1.6
	<u>Intermediate industries b/</u>	<u>9.3</u>	<u>9.7</u>
27	Paper, cardboard, and paper products	0.2	0.2
30	Rubber products	0.5	0.4
31	Chemical products	4.3	4.0
32	Petroleum products	-	-
33	Materials made of nonmetallic minerals	4.1	4.9
34	Basically processed metals	0.2	0.2
	<u>Mechanical industries c/</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>3.1</u>
35	Simple metal articles	0.9	0.7
36	Mechanical apparatus and accessories	0.7	0.6
37	Electrical apparatus and accessories	0.3	0.3
38	Transport material	1.5	1.5
	<u>Residual industrial group</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.2</u>
28	Printing and publishing	2.2	2.1
39	Miscellaneous	1.3	1.1

- This group consists almost exclusively of industries engaged in the production of ordinary consumer goods and the pertinent raw materials, although, because of their traditional character and similarity in growth, the lumber and wooden furniture industries are also included here.
- The intermediate industries are excluded from the traditional group, but they include the manufacture of certain common consumer goods, especially in certain lines of chemicals, which statistically cannot be separated from the groups of intermediate goods.
- This group also includes the industries manufacturing simple metallurgical products and the apparatus and equipment repair shops.

(Sources given on next page)

/The share of

The share of the intermediate industries --excluding those that operate principally for the traditional industries-- ranges between 9 and 10 percent, whether or not Panama is included. This figure contrasts sharply with the participation of approximately 30 percent attained by this industrial group in the manufacturing product of all Latin America. Of course, in the Latin American structure, the data of the larger and semi-industrialized countries have much weight. In Central America about half of the intermediate group consists of the various chemical industries, especially if the rubber industry is added to them, as it is in the later tables. The production of construction materials of nonmetallic mineral origin has almost as high a share in the total, in fact a larger share taking the Isthmus as a whole. Cement manufacture is particularly important among these activities, although it does not predominate; the manufacture of bricks, largely on a handicraft basis, and of cement and asbestos-cement products, is also of some importance.

It should be noted that the importance of the intermediate industries in the Isthmus is somewhat reduced, not only because of their low volume of production but also because of their poor composition. In fact, not only is their range very incomplete but various lines shown in this group are really complementary activities connected with other industries. A significant part of the

(Continuation of Table 48)

Sources: For Costa Rica: General Bureau of Statistics and the Census, II Censo de Industrias en Costa Rica, 1958, and publications of the University of Costa Rica (Institute of Economic Research), El Desarrollo Económico de Costa Rica No. 2, Estudio del Sector Industrial, 1959. For El Salvador: General Bureau of Statistics and the Census, Segundo Censo Industrial y Comercial, 1956. For Guatemala: Data of the second industrial and commercial census, on the basis of the OAS publication, Censos y Encuestas Industriales de las Naciones Americanas (IASI Doc. 3979 Esp.-9/15/59-2600); general results of the third industrial census, adopted from the publication of the General Bureau of Statistics of the Republic of Guatemala, Guatemala en Cifras, 1960; and estimates with respect to the handicraft segment of the industry, received directly from national sources and slightly adjusted by the OAS Secretariat. For Honduras: United Nations, El Desarrollo Económico de Honduras, 1960 (E/CN.12/549). For Nicaragua: Direct information from the Central Bank of Nicaragua. For Panama: OAS (IASI), op. cit. The figures used for Nicaragua are averages of the manufacturing structure in the years 1954, 1955, and 1956; this was done to reduce the effects of some fluctuations between consecutive years. For the same purpose the figures for Honduras were slightly adjusted, taking both earlier and later data into account. In the case of Guatemala, an interpolation between the data for 1953 and 1958 was made. The manufacturing structure of El Salvador, derived from its 1956 census, was weighted for the purpose of consolidating it with the manufacturing product of 1955. The data for Costa Rica for 1955 were obtained by applying the index of production given in the above-mentioned study made by the University to the census data of 1957. The data were consolidated by following the procedure and methodology indicated in the reference notes of Table 42.

/activities

activities classified under the chemical industry more aptly belong to the "parachemical" industries, ^{25/} and another not insignificant part is concerned with products made on the basis of simple formulas, with packaging, etc. The rubber industries considered here --aside from some plants that manufacture rubber products such as rubber hose, heels, soles, mats, etc.-- consist in good part of tire and tube repair shops and some retread shops. In fact, the only tire factory in the region, established some years ago in Guatemala, had not yet begun to operate at the time under consideration.

Neither were there any oil refineries in the Isthmus in 1955, although one is now functioning in Panama, another in Guatemala, a third, recently installed, in El Salvador, and a fourth, likewise recently set up, in Nicaragua. ^{26/} Furthermore, there are some minor semi-integrated iron and steel plants in Panama and El Salvador and some small plants of this kind in Costa Rica, Guatemala and Nicaragua, but since in the reference period the two steel plants were not yet in operation and the other foundries have very small capacity, the figures shown in the table for basically processed metals are very low in value. ^{27/}

On the other hand, most of the countries of the Isthmus, except Honduras and Costa Rica, were already producing cement in 1955, and later on a cement factory was started in Honduras. Furthermore, Guatemala and El Salvador were producing paper in that year, although only in very small quantities, and although their production has doubled since then, the volume still remains at a low level. ^{28/} Cellulose paste is not yet produced in the area, but it may be noted in this connection that the forest resources of Central America,

25. Under this heading the principal activities included are those producing cleaning materials, toilet goods, candles, paints, matches, and similar items which in large part are consumer goods. See OAS/ECLA, op. cit.

26. There is a similar project for Costa Rica and a less definitive plan for Honduras. Although the social usefulness of such dispersion might be open to argument in view of the integration of the Central American economies now under way, it should also be pointed out that the establishment of refineries in all or nearly all of the countries of the area is related to the interests of large companies in the matter of distribution, and therefore these companies provide scarce resources. It is also well to note that recent innovations in operational methods have reduced the limits of the economic scales of production in this industry. However, it is debatable whether these small refineries will be able to serve in the future as a basis for additional industrial development, especially petrochemical complexes.

27. Actually, even in a current table, no very significant coefficients would be shown for this line, since the volumes of production continue to be quite low.

28. Along with the small industries producing paper and cardboard in the two countries mentioned, the lines shown in Table 48 and subsequent ones also include the manufacture of paper products, such as paper bags, boxes, etc., chiefly from imported materials. This activity is developing also in Costa Rica and Panama.

/especially

especially its pine forests, are an important potential source of raw material for the cellulose industry. The greatest problem facing the entire forestry industry of the area is the lack of adequate transportation. 29/

Ranking still lower in significance are the incipient mechanical industries of the Isthmus, in terms of both volume --less than 3.5 percent of the manufacturing product, as compared with 18 percent for all of Latin America-- and composition. In fact, the most important line in this group is the "transport equipment industry", represented almost entirely by the various motor vehicle repair shops; 30/ the product of this line constitutes a little more than 1.5 percent of the total manufacturing product. Along with this there are several industries producing relatively simple metal goods, chiefly ironware, nails, containers and similar items.

In the fourth group, which is a residual group composed of activities difficult to classify elsewhere, the relative position of printing and publishing stands out, representing somewhat more than 2 percent of the total manufacturing product.

The manufacturing structures of the several countries of the Isthmus do not differ much among themselves in terms of the four principal groups making up the primary subdivision of the manufacturing sector, at least not in respect to the ratios of the traditional group to total manufactures in the six countries (see Table 49). Despite this great similarity in regard to the importance of the traditional group as a whole, the industrial lines included in it show greater variability in so far as their relative positions are concerned. This suggests that the uniformly high ratio of the group to the national totals of the sector is due primarily to the weakness of the other three groups. 31/

29. Furthermore, it should be noted that according to a study made by the ECLA-FAO-DOAT Advisory Group on Paper and Cellulose for Latin America, ". . . the development of the paper and cellulose industry in these countries is closely related to development of the sawmills. Because of the nature of Central American forests, the forestry industry should be regarded as an integrated combination of activities of sawmill and pulp manufacture." (Document E/CN.12/570, March 1961.)

30. In Costa Rica a plant for the rebuilding of aircraft parts is also functioning. This is a good example of the country's gradual industrialization. Most of the plant's activity is directed toward filling orders from outside the country.

31. It is well to point out that within the industrial branches or groups of branches shown in the table there is also a notable geographic variability which in some instances is greater than the variability between the various branches, and, as noted before, the differences in the composition of the various lines of manufacture also lead to discrepancies in the degree of industrialization of the area. This analysis of the structure does not go into such details, but the existence of differences of this type among the six industrial systems stands out on examining the volume of production of selected articles.

Table 49

CENTRAL AMERICAN ISTHMUS: STRUCTURE OF THE MANUFACTURING PRODUCT
OF THE SIX COUNTRIES OF THE AREA, BY COUNTRY, 1955

(In percentages of the total value added by manufacturing)

Industrial groups and lines	Costa Rica	El Salvador <u>a/</u>	Guatemala	Honduras	Nicaragua	Panama
<u>Traditional industries c/</u>	<u>79.7</u>	<u>79.4</u>	<u>84.0</u>	<u>89.3</u>	<u>89.2</u>	<u>86.0</u>
Food, beverages, tobacco	55.2	55.1	40.7	58.2	48.9	69.2
Textiles and clothing; leather and footwear	15.7	22.0	37.6	19.0	33.5	10.1
Lumber, wooden furniture and accessories	8.8	2.3	5.7	12.1	6.8	6.7
<u>Intermediate industries d/</u>	<u>9.6</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>4.8</u>	<u>8.0</u>	<u>12.5</u>
Paper, cardboard, paper products	0.5	0.2	0.3	--	--	0.4
Chemical and rubber products	6.2	3.9	5.3	3.9	3.5	1.9
Nonmetallic mineral products	2.9	6.0	4.0	0.9	4.5	10.2
Metals, primary processing	0.0	0.1	0.6	--	0.0	--
<u>Mechanical industries e/</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>0.9</u>	<u>0.7</u>
Simple metal articles	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.2	--
Mechanical and electrical apparatus	1.0	2.3	0.8	0.5	0.4	--
Transport material	3.2	2.1	1.2	1.5	0.3	--
<u>Residual industrial group</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>0.8</u>
Printing and publishing	3.9	3.0	2.3	2.6	1.8	0.8
Miscellaneous	1.4	2.0	0.5	1.0	0.1	--

a. 1956.

b. 1957.

c. According to the concept indicated in footnote a/ of Table 48.

d. According to the concept indicated in footnote b/ of Table 48.

e. According to the concept indicated in footnote c/ of Table 48.

Sources: The basic data listed in the reference note to Table 48 were used.

/As for the

As for the production of food, beverages and tobacco, the coefficients for Guatemala and Nicaragua are somewhat less than the average for the area and those for El Salvador, Honduras and Costa Rica are somewhat higher, and for Panama very much higher. On the other hand, the relative position of the textile, clothing, leather, and footwear subgroup is relatively prominent in Guatemala and Nicaragua but very low in Panama, where at that time the textile industry was of practically no importance at all. The lumber and furniture industries have a role of some importance in Costa Rica and Honduras, although in the latter country the industry is confined almost exclusively to the primary processing of the lumber. 32/

The share of the intermediate industries is somewhat more variable; the largest is found in Panama and the least in Honduras. The manufacture of chemical and related products is most widely developed in Costa Rica and is of least significance in Panama, while the processing of materials of nonmetallic mineral origin was salient in the 1955 manufacturing structure of Panama and remains in the background in Honduras and Costa Rica.

As for the area's budding mechanical industry, the figures for Costa Rica and El Salvador stand out, relatively speaking, those for Guatemala and Honduras being less and those for Nicaragua and Panama very low. 33/

The change in the combined manufacturing structure of historic Central America between the years 1953 and 1958 was very reduced (see Table 50). 34/ The only change worth noting was a slight increase in the participation of the intermediate industries, at the cost of the traditional group. Much of this variation resulted from the increase shown in the industrial processing of nonmetallic minerals, under which the increase in cement manufacture is outstanding.

32. There are reasons to presume that in Costa Rica part of the lumber industry was not included in the census. It should be observed in this regard that lumber is a very important element in common construction in Costa Rica. According to the 1949 housing census, almost 70 percent of urban buildings had wooden walls, as compared with 22 percent in Honduras. In the other Central American countries the more widely used construction materials are adobe and wattles.

33. It is possible that the very low figures for Nicaragua stem in part from the use of a more restricted basis in the primary compilation.

34. Although the probable biases of the industrial production indexes used in extrapolating part of the data may have contributed somewhat to the insignificant variations in the figures, there can really be little doubt that the slow transformation reflected therein does portray the actual situation, at least for the five-year period under consideration.

Table 50

CENTRAL AMERICA: CONSOLIDATED STRUCTURE OF THE MANUFACTURING PRODUCT
OF THE FIVE COUNTRIES, 1953 AND 1958;
GROWTH BY GROUPS AND LINES OF INDUSTRY, 1953-58

(In percentages of the manufacturing product and cumulative annual growth rates)

Industrial groups and lines	Structure of the manufacturing product		Cumulative annual growth
	1953	1958	1953-58
<u>Traditional industries a/</u>	<u>84.9</u>	<u>83.8</u>	<u>5.6</u>
Food, beverages, tobacco	50.0	50.8	6.2
Textiles and clothing; leather and footwear	28.3	26.4	4.2
Lumber, wood furniture and accessories	6.6	6.6	5.7
<u>Intermediate industries b/</u>	<u>8.2</u>	<u>9.1</u>	<u>8.1</u>
Paper, cardboard, paper products	0.2	0.2	1.0
Chemical and rubber products	4.2	4.8	9.1
Nonmetallic mineral products	3.5	3.9	7.8
Metals, primary processing	0.3	0.2	0.2
<u>Mechanical industries c/</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>5.2</u>
Simple metal articles	0.6	0.7	7.2
Mechanical and electrical apparatus	1.1	1.1	4.2
Transport material	1.8	1.6	5.1
<u>Residual industrial group</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>7.5</u>
Printing and publishing	2.6	2.8	7.5
Miscellaneous	0.8	0.9	7.5

a. According to the concept indicated in footnote a/ of Table 48.

b. According to the concept indicated in footnote b/ of Table 48.

c. According to the concept indicated in footnote c/ of Table 48.

Sources: The basic data listed in the reference note to Table 48 were used.

/The rates of

The rates of growth in the various manufacturing lines during the five-year period concerned (see last column of Table 50) confirm the structural changes noted above and at the same time reveal certain special features of the industrial situation of the area. The traditional industries showed a growth rate of more than 5.5 percent per year. This progress is clearly higher than the usual normal growth of such industries, according to what has taken place in many other areas, and suggests the possibilities for replacement that still exists in such fields. It also reflects the existence of effective incentives for both established enterprises and new investors.

The subgroup in the traditional industry field that showed the greatest relative growth was the processing of food, beverages, and tobacco, similar to the growth noted in that line in all Latin America. In fact, the cumulative annual growth of this activity was over 6 percent, while the rate of expansion of the combined group of textiles, clothing, leather, and footwear was only slightly over 4 percent, and the combined lumber and furniture industries had a growth rate of less than 6 percent.

The most intensive growth, more than 8 percent, was shown by the intermediate industries. However, it must be pointed out that the dynamism of this group was far from surpassing that of the traditional group by the same proportion as occurred in the average for all Latin America. In fact, although at the Latin American regional level the relation between the intensity of expansion of the two industrial groups was 1:2.5, in Central America it was less than 1:1.5. Particularly noteworthy in the increment of the group as a whole was the expansion of its two most important lines, that is, the production of construction materials of nonmetallic mineral origin and of the combined chemical and rubber industries. In the period concerned the latter showed a very marked quantitative growth --24 percent-- owing to the establishment of a tire factory in Guatemala, but the high coefficient is attributable in large part to the fact that the comparison is made with the very small values shown for the beginning of the period.

3. Recent development in the production of selected products and per capita production and consumption levels

In order to explain somewhat the industrial development that occurred in the years immediately preceding 1958 and to point up certain details that do not fall within the over-all structural analysis, we shall now attempt to

/combine the

combine the above rates of growth in the various industrial branches with the coefficients of growth recorded in the manufacture of certain important products, taking into account, at the same time, other information available (see Table 51). 35/

Wheat flour and sugar are among the food products whose production registered the sharpest rise in the totals for the area in the period 1953-1958. According to the figures available for three countries for both products, but especially for flour production, the development continued at a healthy rate in the course of the next two years, which are the last ones covered by the information available. It should be noted that the contribution of flour production to the aggregate value of the entire item of foodstuffs is relatively small. In contrast, the contribution of the sugar industries is quite large. Moreover, isolated data show that the processing of milk products and meats, as well as of several canned food products did not increase markedly in the five-year period under reference or in the next two years, although it was a period of continued development, and it was favored by the prospect of future demand. From all of this, it could be concluded that the rate of growth of foodstuffs, despite the constant contribution of certain items enjoying a very dynamic expansion, did not change substantially in general in Central America between 1958 and 1960.

The increase in the production of beer, which, in the five-year period was slightly less than foodstuffs, beverages, and tobacco put together, although probably somewhat higher than beverages alone, suffered a small net decrease in the two following years. The manufacture of cigarettes had been even less dynamic in the preceding period, and this trend has not changed much. 36/

35. In relating such information to this subject, it should be remembered that the rates of growth considered above are derived from basic data expressed in deflated aggregate values, whereas the coefficients of growth that are going to be studied below are based on data expressing production volumes in physical terms. This may lead to certain minor discrepancies, chiefly in the variations in relative prices. Moreover, it should also be remembered that one of the determining criteria in the selection of the products being considered here was the availability of statistics on that product, in chronological order --and this condition does not necessarily coincide with the importance of the products under consideration-- and that, in any case, the exact weights of these products in the respective production totals are unknown. In view of all these factors, the figures shown cannot be considered to be strictly representative of Central American industrial development, although they do appear to be adequate to illustrate several of the most significant aspects of that development.

36. This does not mean, of course, that this item has not had a somewhat more pronounced growth, in terms of value, due to certain increases in the fiscal surcharges applied to it.

Table 51

CENTRAL AMERICA: GROWTH IN THE COMBINED PRODUCTION OF
SELECTED INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS BETWEEN 1953 AND 1961

(Cumulative annual rates of growth)

Products	1953-58	1958-60	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61
Wheat flour <u>a/</u>	14.5	23.0	12.5	33.5	...
Sugar	15.0	11.8	11.4	12.2	8.9
Beer	5.7	- 4.5	- 5.8	- 3.2	...
Cigarettes	2.5	3.6	2.1	5.0	...
Cotton cloth <u>b/</u>	6.8	18.3	8.0	29.6	...
Cement	15.5	4.0	- 2.8	11.2	4.5
Electric power <u>c/</u>	10.8	9.9 <u>d/</u>	9.9

- a. There is no data for Costa Rica, since the figures on this item are not very significant.
- b. No data available for Costa Rica and Guatemala.
- c. Concerns the total generation of electric power, including public service and generation of power by companies for their own use. These figures are given as an index of economic activity, although they also include the electricity produced for consumption.
- d. 1958/59.

Sources: Most of the data: United Nations, Statistical Yearbook (several numbers) and Pan American Union (IASI). América en Cifras 1961: 3, Estadísticas Económicas - Producción Industrial. Certain figures on El Salvador and Guatemala were obtained from national statistical publications, and --with respect to El Salvador-- from direct information.

The manufacture of cotton cloth --at least in the three countries covered by the pertinent series-- increased at an annual rate of about 7 percent in the period 1953-1958, and in the last two years of the decade, the expansion has been accelerated considerably. 37/

In contrast, the production of cement, whose marked expansion of about 15 percent was definitely higher than that of the other building materials of non-metallic mineral origin, appears to have lost something of its impetus in the past two years. Such a drop in its rate of growth is related, among other things, to the temporary saturation of the market in several producing countries, although a contributing factor was a simultaneous weakening of the purchasing power in certain countries of Central America. 38/ Nevertheless, it should be noted that the prospect for the long-range demand for cement does not appear to be unfavorable, and therefore a new impetus in this branch of production is predicted.

The lumber industry, whose expansion in the past has continued to lag somewhat behind that of the traditional group as a whole, has recently shown signs of a certain revival of vigor. At the same time, fragmentary data indicate an even more marked dynamic trend in furniture manufacturing.

The paper industry, characterized in this area by a rather slow growth, also appears to have gained a certain impetus in recent years, although what is expanding is not the basic paper industries, but rather the processing of paper products, an activity included under this heading.

The information available on the manufacturing volume of these products also permits certain interesting comparisons to be made between the countries of Central America, and between them and other areas of the world regarding their respective production levels (see Table 52).

Inasmuch as the comparison is made in terms of per capita production, differences in production volumes relating to the influence of the size of population tend to be eliminated. However, the effect of the expansion of areas suitable for certain crops continues to be evidenced in the industrial processing of those agricultural products. Thus, at least relatively speaking, wheat milling in Guatemala, the activity of sawmills in Honduras, and the processing of sugar in Nicaragua and Costa Rica stand out. Moreover, an increase

37. In evaluating these increases, we should not lose sight of the fact that, at the same time, the cottage industries and crafts in this industrial activity grew at a slower rate.

38. In El Salvador, the gradual exhaustion of the ore deposits at the Ajacutla plant has also caused problems, leading to the execution of a new project, soon to be installed, whose equipment will be completed later by the addition of two furnaces from the above-mentioned plant.

Table 52

CENTRAL AMERICA AND OTHER AREAS OF THE WORLD: PER CAPITA PRODUCTION OF SELECTED PRODUCTS, 1960

(Based on figures expressed in physical units) a/

Countries and areas	Wheat flour	Sugar	Beer	Cigarettes	Cotton cloth	Lumber	Paper and cardboard	Cement	Steel	Electric power <u>b/</u>
Costa Rica	2.6	51	8	1 023	0.7	93	-	-	0.3*	340
El Salvador	2.5	19	5	327	1.7	1	0.4	33	1.2*	93
Guatemala	13.0	20	4	616	...	10	0.2	31	0.0	67
Honduras	3.3	10	8	533	0.3	141	-	17	-	46
Nicaragua	-	48	2	557	1.4	72	-	22	-	117
Panama	-	24	20	560	...	20	-	104	9.3*	212
<u>Central America (historical)</u>	<u>5.9 c/</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>529</u>	<u>1.1 d/</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>0.1 e/</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>0.4 e/</u>	<u>105</u>
Central American Isthmus	5.4 <u>c/</u>	25	7	532	...	53	0.1	32	1.2 <u>f/</u>	114
Latin America	30	73 <u>g/</u>	17	838	2.7	28	9 <u>h/</u>	86 <u>h/</u>	26 <u>h/</u>	422
United States	63	14	59	2 726	9.3	257	167 <u>b/</u>	310	499	5 292
European Common Market	89	28	50	1 055	6.3	78	37	430	361	1 471
European Free Trade Zone	60	21	64	1 813	5.2	112	63 <u>b/</u>	356	256	2 499

a. The basic data for wheat flour, sugar, cotton cloth, cement, paper products, and steel are given in kilograms; for beer, in liters; cigarettes, in units; and electric power, in kilowatt-hours.

b. 1959.

c. The estimate was made by using the production figures of the four producing countries, but the populations of all the nations of this area.

d. Does not include Guatemala.

e. Although there are actually only two producing countries, the total population of Central America was taken into account in the estimate.

f. Although there are only three producing countries, the entire population of the Isthmus was taken into account in making the estimate.

g. Cuba is included in this figure. If Cuban sugar production were omitted, the per capita coefficient would be 39.

h. 1961.

Sources: For the Central American Isthmus, those indicated in Table 51. In addition, for wheat flour, CEPAL, *Situación de las Actividades Productoras de Trigo y la Industria Elaboradora de Trigo en Centroamérica*, Doc. E/CN.12/CEE/SC.1/78; with respect to lumber: FAO, *Yearbook of Forest Products Statistics 1961* and ECLA/FAO, *Latin American Timber Trends and Prospects*, Santiago 1962. (mimeo.); paper and cardboard: United Nations (ECLA), document E/CN.12/570, 1961, prepared by the ECLA/FAO/DOAT Advisory Group on Paper and Cellulose for Latin America; steel: archives of the Economic and Social Study of Latin America 1961; and, population, Pan American Union (IASI), *América en Cifras 1961: 1, Estadísticas Demográficas*. Figures prepared for the other areas of the world; OEA/CEPAL, *Estudio Económico y Social de América Latina 1961*; in addition, with respect to electric power: United Nations (CEPAL), *Estado Actual y Evolución Reciente de la Industria de la Energía Eléctrica en América Latina*, Doc. E/CN.12/560, 1961. The figures on the per capita production of electric power in Europe have been computed on the basis of United Nations figures, *Statistical Yearbook 1961*.

in manufacturing is apparent in the figures on El Salvador for textile manufacture, and especially, in the Costa Rican figures for the generation of electricity. The existence of basic paper and steel industries, although, in the infant stage, indicates a keen interest in industrialization in El Salvador, Guatemala, and Panama.

A comparison of the figures of Central America or those of the entire Isthmus with those of Latin America as a whole and other areas of the world shows appreciable differences in a large number of the items considered. However, it should also be pointed out that Central American per capita production of sugar is no lower than that of the other areas considered, except Latin America, but even there, the coefficients do not differ substantially if the figures for Cuba are omitted from the totals for the region. One item for which the figure for Central American production does not lag much behind Latin America --although it does, with respect to the industrialized countries of the world-- is the cigarette; and a product in which the Isthmus surpasses the rest of Latin American in production is lumber.

In considering also the coefficients for per capita consumption (see Table 53), it can be noted that for certain products of the traditional industries, such as flour, textiles --and certainly this is true also of many other products not included owing to a lack of figures-- there continues to be an appreciable margin for substitution, although such margins are much broader in the intermediate industries considered here than in the regular consumer industries. ^{39/}

Finally, both the results of the structural analysis of the Central American manufacturing industry and the rather brief survey of the per capita production and consumption of several important products show that there are still relatively broad margins for substituting imports in the traditional industries, and also in the other manufacturing activities that are not very sensitive to scales of operation. Progress in this direction would probably have permitted the countries of the Isthmus to have a greater industrial growth than mere vegetative for several more years, even without integration. However, it also appears likely that the practical utilization of such margins of substitution would also quite soon --long before the margins were exhausted-- have led to increasing problems in production costs and investment incentives. An increasing protectionism, of course, would have maintained those incentives for some time, but with additional sacrifices in production costs, which would put an increasingly heavy burden on the rest of the community and be detrimental to future manufactured exports.

An attempt to compare the above-mentioned prospects of an individual industrial development in the Central American states with its potentiality for integrated industrial growth --the outlines of which are already perceptible-- reveals three promising factors in the picture:

^{39.} In any case, it should be remembered that a rather significant part of the difference between production and apparent consumption is covered, in the regular consumer items considered, by imports from other countries in the area. More details on this point will be given in the next Chapter.

Table 53

CENTRAL AMERICA AND OTHER AREAS OF THE WORLD: PER CAPITA APPARENT CONSUMPTION OF SELECTED PRODUCTS, 1960

(On the basis of figures expressed in physical units) a/

Countries and areas	Wheat flour	Sugar	Beer	Cigarettes b/	Cotton cloth	Lumber c/	Paper and cardboard d/	Cement	Raw steel e/
Costa Rica	29.1	35	8.0	1 042	2.2	158.0	8.6	57	...
El Salvador	10.8	15	5.5	341	(3.3)*	7.5	5.0	39	...
Guatemala	13.4	19	4.5	502	...	10.0	4.5	31	...
Honduras	7.8	14	7.5	539	1.0	81.8	2.2	12	...
Nicaragua	10.2	24	2.9	569	2.5	50.0	3.2	29	...
Panama	19.3	22	19.9	576	...	23.0	9.7	114	...
Central America (historical)	13	21	5.3	536	2.0 f/	44.0	4.4	31	13
Central American Isthmus	14	22	6.6	548	...	42.0	4.9	33	...
Latin America	32	33	17	848	2.8	34.0	13	82	39
United States	61	40.0	59	2 612	...	274.0	134	320*	500

- a. The figures for wheat flour, sugar, cotton cloth, cement, lumber, and steel are given in kilograms; for beer, in liters; and for cigarettes, in units.
- b. The figures on imports and exports have been converted from tons to units at the rate of one million cigarettes per ton according to a method used by the IASI.
- c. In the conversion of the basic data from cubic meters to kilograms, the conversion rates used were 730 and 520 kilograms per cubic meter for standing broadleaf and evergreen timber, respectively, following a method described by the FAO in Yearbook of Forest Products Statistics 1961.
- d. The basic data refer to 1959.
- e. Includes the imported processed steels with their equivalents in raw steel.
- f. Does not include Guatemala.

Sources: For production and population, the same as for Table 52. For foreign trade for Latin America: foreign trade yearbooks of the respective countries, except with respect to paper, the source of which was ECLA document E/CN.12/570; for steel, ECLA document E/CN.12/CCE/245; and for lumber: FAO, Yearbook of Forest Products Statistics 1961. With respect to the United States: United Nations, Yearbook of International Trade Statistics 1960.

i. A review of the principal industrial plans under consideration suggests that even the partial execution of such plans will bring with it development of the new possibilities for diversification, even though such diversification is on a selective basis. 40/

ii. A marked increase can also be noted in the other new enterprises, and in the tendency to invest, although, in this area there is also a desire to maintain a reasonable cost level.

iii. Finally --with respect to the two above points-- it can be expected that the rate of growth in the sector will speed up. This may occur especially as the result of an increase in the replacement of manufactured imports from other areas, 41/ although the possibility of certain new exports of industrialized products outside the Isthmus is also being considered. 42/

Judging from recent experience, at least a temporary acceleration can be expected, first of all in the field of several traditional industries, such as foodstuffs, textiles, lumber, etc., whose impetus is spreading to the branch of pharmaceutical products. And, according to the above-mentioned tentative plans

40. An instrument whose efficacy has not yet been tested is the integration industries, which are "chiefly [certain] plants that produce intermediate goods or capital that must have the whole Central American market for profitable operation" and to which are granted, by special instruments, on the condition that they meet certain requirements, special free trade treatment for their products within the area and exemption from duties on the importation or local manufacture of the raw materials and semimanufactured products required for their operation for a period of ten years. The conditions the companies must fulfill involve the obligation to meet the standards of quality established by ICAITI (Central American Industrial Research and Technology Institute) and certain commitments regarding prices, system of distribution, and market supply. (For more details, see: ECLA, Informe de la Octava Reunión del Comité de Cooperación Económica del Istmo Centroamericano, Doc. E/CN.12/CCE/303 Rev. 1. Up to the present, the treatment has been applied only to the tire industry (Guatemala) and caustic soda (Nicaragua).

41. A more systematic examination of the problem of substitution based on an analysis of foreign trade statistics is presented in the next chapter.

42. Among the existing and planned industries that will include in their program possible exports even outside of the integration area are those of Kraft cellulose, plywood, dried milk products, canned fruits and meats, citric concentrates, instant coffee, chocolate, essential oils, medicinal extracts, certain kinds of jewelry, etc.

/of the integration

of the integration industries, a more dynamic behavior is to be expected within a few years, particularly in the new sectors of the nontraditional groups. In this connection, mention should be made of the plans to establish an integrated paper industry using the abundant local long-fiber raw materials; to broaden the range of the chemical industries to include the production of soda, chlorine, insecticides, fertilizers, etc.; to launch the manufacture of glass bottles and a greater variety of ceramic articles; and also to undertake, on a broader scale, the manufacture of basic metal products. Among these, consideration is being given, first of all, to the production of steel rods in larger quantities, and the manufacture of certain other non-flat goods (profile steel and wire) will also be undertaken. In addition, there is a good chance that these new manufacturing activities will soon be complemented by several machine and electrical industries, although several will be only at the assembling level. At the same time, it is expected that the operations in the new refineries will soon be normalized, and that there will soon be an expansion in cement production.

Although the information available is not sufficient to permit a projection, on objective bases, of the total industrial development of the integration area with the new activities and those now expanded, it can be stated, with some assurance, that the execution of most of the above-mentioned projects and of some of those that are today being considered only tentatively could strengthen substantially the development of the intermediate industries in the area, and possibly also that of certain branches of the mechanical industries, thereby increasing appreciably their share of the total sector within the next 15 years.

4. Industrial employment and capital structure; productivity and capital intensity

We shall now attempt to examine Central American industrial development from other standpoints, supplementing the study of the structural development of its products with a brief review of certain aspects of employment, productivity, and capital intensity by industrial groups and branches.

Actually, these topics deserve a much more detailed study, because a systematic clarification of such factors could help solve several problems of great importance to the area. For example, undoubtedly the abundance of labor, inadequately utilized, plus the acute shortage of capital resources would seem to call for an industrial policy that is best adapted to such a disparity in the availability of certain factors of production, that is to say, a policy designed to promote, through tax and other incentives, solutions in the combining of productive resources and the selecting of methods that, while consistent with the other criteria of the development planned, would be the most suitable from the standpoint of maximum joint productivity of existing resources. A

/course of

course of action to that end would, in turn, presuppose a thorough knowledge of the elements involved. ^{43/} However, the present lack of basic statistics --which are even scarcer or more deficient for employment and especially capital, than for production-- reduces the possibility of research on certain aspects of the situation, which can be only partially clarified.

This being the case, an effort will be made, above all, to ascertain the group of industrial workers for which statistical data are available and whose characteristics can be examined, and then to relate the figures for that group --from the standpoint of comparability-- to those of the industrial output analyzed above, and to the total economically active population in that sector. Then, an attempt will be made to ascertain the over-all growth of the industrial employment in the area, examining the employment structure and linking it to productivity by groups and branches. The fixed capital structure and its intensity by groups and branches will be examined only briefly for two countries, Costa Rica and Honduras. Finally, the problem of the utilization of existing capital in industry will be considered.

The figures considered on employment for Costa Rica, El Salvador, Honduras and Nicaragua apply to all the workers employed in those industrial establishments whose output was studied in preceding sections (especially in Section B, 2). Thus, the two types of figures are, in this respect, comparable for those countries.

The case of Guatemala is different. As a matter of fact, when the characteristics of its industrial output were examined earlier, the census data referring to the manufacturing component of its industry were considered along with an estimate of its home industry sector, which had not been included in the census, while the information available on employment refers only to the "factory" sector. Therefore, the figures on Guatemalan industry will be taken up separately and, in those areas where it is desirable to provide a synoptic resumé for all of Central America, only the figures covering the other four countries will be combined. ^{44/}

^{43.} The price system is not a sufficiently reliable index to the relative abundance or shortage of the various factors of production in the different sectors of the economic activity, since, especially with respect to the cost of these factors, it is subject to various effects of distortion. In this connection, it is sufficient to mention the existence of chronic unemployment, both open and hidden, in most of the countries of the Isthmus, and the disequilibrium in the productivity of the various sectors.

^{44.} However, the presentation of the statistics on factory employment in Guatemala will be supplemented by a presentation of the output of that same industrial sector of the country to permit a comparison of these two aspects of Guatemalan industry.

To interpret correctly the facts given below, it should be remembered that the figures on production and employment in the four countries mentioned are for all the industrial establishments covered by the census and include most of the handicraft sector as far as industrial employment is concerned. These figures, however, do not cover the very small handicraft industries --especially those in rural areas, which include mostly family shops-- where self-employment and employment without remuneration are important.

This fact also helps to explain that, although all the workers included in the industrial censuses of the four countries only totaled about 120 000 laborers and white-collar workers according to the figures projected to 1957, ^{45/} their economically active population in the industrial sector, projected to the same year, totaled about 100 000 more persons. Naturally, the productivity of this additional group --which, moreover, also includes persons who were not employed during the entire year-- was very low. ^{46/}

Another point of great interest is the growth in industrial employment in the Central American countries. Unfortunately, the data available permits only a very approximate figure on the subject. Taking into account the incomplete census and other data that are relatively comparable in time, as well as the industrial employment indexes of two countries --the last two, with the reservations necessary owing to their fragmentary nature-- it can only be estimated that in the period 1953-57, employment in the establishments covered by the census --including that part of the handicraft industry covered by the census-- grew at a rate of about 5 percent a year. The rate of growth was higher in the manufacturing sector of Costa Rica and in the manufacturing segment of the Guatemalan industry, where it rose to about six percent. However, it appears to have been somewhat lower in El Salvador and still lower in Nicaragua and Honduras.

An average growth of about five percent in industrial employment for the entire area --accompanied by an increase of about six percent in the corresponding output-- does not suggest a very rapid structural disruption to the advantage of activities requiring a smaller amount of labor. However, neither does it reveal much progress in productivity, which --as will be seen further on-- is not very closely related to the intensity of capital in this area. At any rate, the total productivity in the sector --that is to say, in industry as a whole, including the segment not covered by the census-- has registered a slight additional increase, owing to the gradual decline in the ratio of smaller handicraft establishments. As a matter of fact, there is evidence that the expansion of the latter industries was slower than that of the establishments for which figures were available. Of course, it also means a somewhat slower rate of increase in employment in the industrial sector as a whole.

^{45.} Of that total, 51 000 refer to El Salvador, 27 000, Costa Rica, 22 000 to Nicaragua, and almost 20 000 to Honduras. These figures do not include the employment in coffee processing, with a total of 11 000 persons in El Salvador and 4 300 in Costa Rica; the figures for Honduras and Nicaragua are not known.

^{46.} Its contribution to the total value added by the manufacturing sector, which is difficult to determine, could scarcely have amounted to 15 percent in all four countries together.

An even more difficult task is to establish, through comparison, the average productivity in the Central American countries. Such an attempt necessarily is impeded by problems of methodology and information that can be solved only in part. The most serious obstacle until recently had been the lack of a rate of conversion to express in a single unit the manufacturing output of the various countries, in conformity with the price level in each of the countries, being compared. Nevertheless, using the parity figures of a very recent study, certain estimates have been made for the purpose of establishing at least certain categories of volume in this connection. 47/

According to the results of the above-mentioned approximate estimate, it appears that productivity --if the added value per worker, in terms of the purchasing power of currency, is measured-- is quite similar in the industries of El Salvador, Honduras and Nicaragua. In contrast, the average productivity of Costa Rican industry is about 50 percent higher than that of the other countries mentioned. 48/

One of the structural aspects of industrial employment that deserves attention is the breakdown of employment by large industrial groups and branches, and its relationship to the composition of the sector's output. It is possible to compare roughly the employment and added value structures for the region as a whole, by countries. 49/ Such a comparison brings out similarities and differences in various elements. The differences are especially apparent in the proportions represented by certain industrial branches in the two totals. Nevertheless, there are certain particularities that should be noted even in the large manufacturing groups.

47. The parity figures for the estimates under reference were taken from an ECLA study, A Measurement of Price Levels and the Purchasing Power of Currencies in Latin America, 1960-62, E/CN.12/653. It should be noted that the parities used refer to the purchasing power of currencies in relation to total expenditures and not merely to the price for industrial products.

48. It may be added, for purposes of comparison, that the average productivity of Chilean industry, in turn, appears to have been 50 percent higher than Costa Rican --according to the most recent tentative figures-- somewhat higher even than the Mexican. It is sufficient to say that such comparisons are lacking in accuracy, owing to the uncertainty of both terms and statistics.

49. With respect to these four countries, as a whole, the figures for them being relatively comparable, the last two columns of Table 54 permit a comparison, but for a comparison of the various individual structures of these countries, one must also consult the figures in Table 49. For Guatemala, see Table 55.

Table 54

CENTRAL AMERICA: MANUFACTURING OUTPUT AND EMPLOYMENT OF FOUR COUNTRIES,
TOTAL AND BY COUNTRY, 1955 AND OTHER YEARS NEAR 1955

(In percentages of total industrial employment) a/

Industrial groups and branches	Employment						Central America (without Guatemala) b/	
	Costa Rica		El Salvador	Honduras		Nicaragua	Structure of industrial employment	Structure of industrial output
	1953 c/	1957	1956	1953	1957	1953	1955	1955
I. <u>Traditional industries</u>	(78.3)	77.3	83.4	83.0	82.8	86.7	82.6	83.6
Foodstuffs d/		41.8	46.6	21.5	27.1	56.0	43.9	27.5
Beverages		3.3	2.9	8.0	6.3	3.5	3.7	20.0
Tobacco		1.1	0.8	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.9	6.6
Textiles		5.0	8.4	3.6	1.3	3.8	5.6	8.0
Clothing		14.8	19.8	14.1	12.9	12.2	16.4	13.8
Lumber and cork		5.2	1.1	31.6	31.1	6.2	7.7	4.9
Furniture and accessories		4.5	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.5	2.6	1.6
Hides and leather		1.6	1.5	1.6	0.7	2.7	1.8	1.2
II. <u>Intermediate industries</u>	(8.4)	8.5	7.6	5.1	7.5	6.6	7.4	8.7
Paper, cardboard, and paper products		0.6	0.2	-	-	-	0.3	0.2
Rubber products		0.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.6
Chemical products		3.9	2.3	2.3	4.9	3.3	3.0	3.8
Petroleum derivatives		3.1	4.7	2.8	2.6	3.1	3.7	4.1
Basic metals		0.0	0.1	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0
III. <u>Mechanical industries</u>	(7.0)	8.7	5.2	8.1	6.4	2.3	5.7	3.8
Simple metal products		1.3	1.6	1.0	0.4	0.6	1.2	0.7
Mechanical equipment and accessories		1.1	1.3	2.7	0.3	0.8	1.2	0.9
Electrical equipment and accessories		0.7	0.4	1.3	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.3
Transportation equipment		5.6	1.9	3.1	5.4	0.7	2.8	1.8
IV. <u>Residual industrial group</u>	(6.3)	5.5	3.8	3.8	3.3	4.4	4.3	4.0
Print shops and publishing houses		3.8	2.0	1.1	0.4	2.4	2.0	1.8
Miscellaneous		1.7	1.8	2.7	2.9	2.0	2.3	2.2
<u>Total</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>

- a. The figures in the last column of the Table, as indicated in the heading, do not represent employment percentages, but percentages of the total added value of the industrial sector.
- b. Figures for the employment structure in the factory segment of the Guatemalan manufacturing sector that are not sufficiently comparable with the figures contained here are given in Table 55.
- c. The figures for the Costa Rican manufacturing structure in 1953 are estimates based on the industrial employment recorded by the 1957 census, projected in accordance with the ratio of the industrial employment structures of 1957 to that of 1952 in the aforementioned study of the University of Costa Rica. It was necessary to use this procedure owing to various inconsistencies between the employment structure recorded in the 1957 census and that reported, for the same year, in the study. In that connection, account was taken of the fact that the final preparation of the figures in the census came after those figures had been used in making the said study.
- d. The figures under this heading, just as in Tables 48, 49, and 50, do not include the added value for the processing of coffee.

Sources: The basic figures shown in Table 48 have been used for the four countries for which figures are given here. The figures in the aforementioned study of the University of Costa Rica have been used as stated in footnote c. of this table. In the two countries where figures were available for only one year, the figures for the group of four countries in 1955 were computed by projecting the census figures on the basis of the fluctuation in the corresponding output. Since, in one case, the original figures preceded 1955, and in the other, followed 1955, and for other reasons, it was assumed that the resulting bias would not be significant. A linear interpolation was made in the case of the other two countries in order to obtain figures for 1955.

Table 55

GUATEMALA: STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYMENT AND ADDED VALUE
IN THE MANUFACTURING COMPONENT OF THE INDUSTRIAL SECTOR, 1953 AND 1957

(In percentages of total employment and added value for the sector)

Industrial groups and branches	Employment		Output	
	1953	1957	1953	1957
I. <u>Traditional industries</u>	<u>72.7</u>	<u>70.7</u>	<u>73.0</u>	<u>74.5</u>
Foodstuffs	18.6	19.7	16.5	17.1
Beverages	10.1	8.9	20.3	19.5
Tobacco	3.1	2.3	7.1	13.5
Textiles	12.8	12.5	9.6	8.4
Clothing	14.7	13.2	10.1	6.9
Lumber and cork	7.5	7.2	6.0	4.3
Furniture and accessories	3.2	4.1	1.9	2.1
Leather and hides	2.7	2.8	1.5	2.7
II. <u>Intermediate industries</u>	<u>17.2</u>	<u>15.4</u>	<u>18.7</u>	<u>16.0</u>
Paper, cardboard, and paper products	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.6
Rubber products	0.2	1.2	0.1	0.7
Chemical products	6.7	5.6	9.6 a/	5.7
Petroleum derivatives	-	-	-	-
Nonmetallic minerals	7.6	8.0	5.4	8.9
Basic processing metals	2.5 b/	0.1	3.5 b/	0.0
III. <u>Mechanical industries</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>6.9</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>4.0</u>
Simple metal products	2.5	2.7	1.4	1.2
Mechanical equipment and accessories	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.5
Electrical equipment and accessories	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.5
Transportation equipment	2.6	2.9	1.7	1.8
IV. <u>Residual industrial group</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>7.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>5.5</u>
Printing and publishing	0.5	1.1	0.5	4.4
Miscellaneous	3.9	5.9	4.1	1.1
<u>Total</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>

a. The figure for this heading may be somewhat high.

b. Although the activity in the production of nonferrous metals actually appears to have decreased between the two periods, it may be that the high figure for 1953 is due in part to the inclusion under this heading of part of the respective primary activities.

Sources of the basic data: Industrial censuses of 1953 and 1957 of Guatemala, on the basis of publications referred to in the footnotes to Table 48.

/Thus, the

Thus, the percentage of total employment represented by the mechanical industries (5.7 percent for the four countries as a group) is definitely larger than its share of the output (3.8). This once more points up the fact that the mechanical industries of the area are operated almost exclusively on the handicraft basis, and their output per person is certainly not high. ^{50/} It is not all surprising that the intermediate industries, in contrast, account for a larger proportion of the aggregate value than of employment. In fact, it is surprising that the difference is not greater. ^{51/} This situation may be partially explained by the fact that most of the intermediate industries in the area have not yet developed the characteristics of such activities in the more industrialized countries, that is to say, large-scale, more mechanized operation. ^{52/}

The differences between the percentages of employment and output in the various manufacturing branches can be more easily seen from the standpoint of relative productivity, a summary of which gives a synoptic view of a greater number of activities (see Table 56).

A comparison of relative productivities ^{53/} in the whole sector (see the first column in Table 56) reveals immediately that the branches having the largest production are easily the manufacture of tobacco and beverages. Since this is largely due to the high government monopoly prices and other fiscal

50. In Brazil, the opposite has happened, since, according to the most recent statistics, its machine industries account for a larger proportion of the total output than of the total employment.

51. Whereas, in the four Central American countries as a group, the productivity ratio between the traditional industries and the intermediate group is 1:1.16, in Chile it is 1:1.6, and in Mexico and Brazil, approximately 1:1.8.

52. The fact that certain intermediate industries should be more mechanized for efficient operation than several other manufacturing activities can be used as an argument against their establishment, but certainly not an unassailable argument, since, in making such a decision, the special conditions of each economy must also be taken into account, and, in the case under consideration, those of the Central American area as a whole. In fact, the establishment of certain industries --especially basic industries, intended to stimulate development in the rest of the sector-- may be justified from the standpoint of their usefulness to the public, even though their establishment may temporarily increase the average degree of mechanization within the industrial whole instead of increasing, to the maximum extent, the absorption of labor. But in deciding in favor of industries of this type, it appears in any case to be desirable --without going into theoretical considerations here concerning the various criteria of preference and priority used in evaluating projects-- to select one having the technical characteristics that, in addition to satisfying, in so far as possible, the microeconomic principle of profit-making capacity, would ensure the greatest possible utilization of available resources for the economy.

53. The relative productivity of an industrial branch is its productivity --expressed in the form of an index-- with respect to the average productivity of the manufacturing sector.

Table 56

CENTRAL AMERICA: STRUCTURE OF THE RELATIVE PRODUCTIVITY OF LABOR
IN THE COMBINED MANUFACTURING SECTOR OF FOUR COUNTRIES, 1955 ^{a/}

(Index numbers: average productivity of the manufacturing sector = 100)

	Indexes of the total productivity of the manufacturing sector	Indexes of the productivity of most of the manufacturing sector
I. <u>Traditional industries</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>95</u>
Foodstuffs ^{c/}	63	82
Beverages	563	not included
Tobacco	711	not included
Textiles	144	188
Clothing	84	110
Lumber and cork	64	83
Furniture and accessories	59	77
Hides and leather	68	88
II. <u>Intermediate industries</u>	<u>117</u>	<u>153</u>
Paper, cardboard, and paper products	57	not included
Rubber products	167	not included
Chemical products	127	165
Petroleum derivatives	-	-
Nonmetallic mineral products	112	145
Basic metals	.d/	not included
III. <u>Mechanical industries</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>85</u>
Simple metal products	{ 67	{ 87
Mechanical equipment and accessories		
Electrical equipment and accessories		
Transportation equipment		
IV. <u>Residual industrial group</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>123</u>
Printing and publishing	83	117
Miscellaneous	93	128
<u>Total</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u> ^{b/}

- a. The combined statistics on Costa Rica, El Salvador, Honduras and Nicaragua are given. The reason for not including the figures on Guatemala in the calculations is stated in footnote ^{44/} of the text. The productivity in the manufacturing sector and its subdivisions has been calculated at the ratio between the statistically recorded values of the output --aggregate value-- and of employment --number of persons employed-- in the various units. Relative productivity is the productivity of a group or branch, expressed as an index based on the average productivity of the entire industrial sector.
- b. The beverages, tobacco, paper, rubber, and basic metal industries (groups 21, 22, 27, 30, and ³⁴ of the CIIU) are omitted for the reasons indicated in the comments in the text.
- c. The coffee-processing plants are not included.
- d. It could not be calculated because the basic figures, which were very small, lost accuracy when rounded off.

Sources: Those stated in the footnotes to Tables 48 and 54.

/surcharges

surcharges 54/ applied to these products, and possibly also to certain foreign profits that can be classed as royalties, these two branches are excluded from the more detailed comparison below, which tends rather to treat the intrinsic elements of the various productivities by branches. Moreover, neither did it seem desirable to include in this comparison the paper, rubber, and basic metal industries of the area, since their employment and output figures are too small to show any significant ratios. On the other hand, it was not necessary to eliminate the data for the first three machine industry headings entirely, although they were very small, since their homogeneity permitted them to be considered as a unit.

If we now compare the remaining 11 headings with each other and with the adjusted average for the sector (see the second column in Table 56), we see that they tend to group themselves into three categories. As a matter of fact, three of these branches --the textile, chemical, and nonmetallic mineral industries-- stand out because of their relatively high productivity indexes. The miscellaneous industries, printing, and manufacturing of shoes and clothing have an average index, located somewhat above the adjusted average, although below the average for the whole sector. Finally, low indexes of relative productivity characterize the leather and mechanical (not including those for transportation equipment) industries which have very similar coefficients, and the lumber, foodstuff, and transportation equipment industries, whose statistics are also very concentrated, and finally, the manufacture of wood furniture and accessories.

Since productivity can be attributed somewhat to greater mechanization and more efficiency, or higher relative prices for the product, 55/ it would certainly be of considerable interest to determine the possible influence of all these elements on the productivity indexes recorded. However, such an investigation is not possible on the basis of the data now available, and so we shall present below a summary of the scant pertinent information available for two countries of the area.

The data to be given in the table for the fixed renewable capital 56/ structure of the Costa Rican and Honduran industries --taken from two works on the development of these countries 57/ and supplemented with the pertinent estimates-- are quite detailed, although, owing to the known difficulties in

54. The basic data are given, in most cases, in terms of the added value at market prices and not of added value at factor cost, which would be more correct for the analysis used here. This is one of the examples of the statistical discrepancies that make the results of this analysis inaccurate.

55. And, for the reasons given, as a result of the inflated prices for goods under a government monopoly.

56. The term fixed renewable capital in the manufacturing sector or its subdivisions includes buildings and structures, and machinery and equipment, less corresponding depreciation. Land, inventories, and intangibles are not included.

57. See the footnotes to Table 57.

Table 57

COSTA RICA AND HONDURAS: STRUCTURE OF EXISTING FIXED CAPITAL
AND CAPITAL INTENSITY IN THE MANUFACTURING SECTOR
BY INDUSTRIAL GROUPS AND BRANCHES, 1957 ^{a/}

(In percentages of totals for capital/output ratios and structure for industry)

Industrial groups and branches	Structure of existing renewable fixed capital ^{b/}			Output structure		Capital intensity ^{c/}		
	Costa Rica		Honduras ^{d/}	Costa Rica	Honduras ^{d/}	Costa Rica		Honduras
	Renewable fixed capital	Machinery and equipment	Renewable fixed capital	Value added	Value added	Renewable fixed capital	Machinery and equipment	Renewable fixed capital
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
I. <u>Traditional industries</u>	<u>81.2</u>	<u>80.0</u>	<u>83.7</u>	<u>76.6</u>	<u>84.4</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>1.0</u>
Foodstuffs ^{e/}	31.9	29.6	27.7	23.9	14.5	2.4	1.7	1.9
Beverages	9.6	10.2	17.1	7.5	31.6	1.9	1.6	0.5
Tobacco	3.1	3.2	5.2	2.9	9.2	1.3	1.0	0.6
Textiles	8.3	9.6	14.7	4.7	8.7	3.3	3.0	1.6
Clothing	5.4	5.9	4.7	12.6	8.4	0.8	0.7	0.5
Lumber and cork	16.7	15.6	12.7	12.9	9.8	2.2	1.6	1.3
Furniture and accessories	3.9	3.6	1.1	7.9	1.2	1.8	0.6	0.9
Hides and leather	2.3	2.3	0.4	4.2	1.0	1.5	1.1	0.4
II. <u>Intermediate industries</u>	<u>9.7</u>	<u>9.8</u>	<u>6.5</u>	<u>14.0</u>	<u>6.5</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>1.0</u>
Paper, cardboard and paper products	0.8	0.9	-	0.7	-	2.2	1.9	-
Rubber products	1.6	2.0	-	1.2	-	2.4	2.2	-
Chemical products	4.7	4.8	5.3	9.9	5.6	1.0	0.8	0.9
Petroleum derivatives	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonmetallic mineral products	2.6	2.1	1.2	2.2	0.9	2.2	1.4	1.3
III. <u>Mechanical industries ^{f/}</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.7</u>
Metal products	1.1	{ 1.2	{ 2.4	{ 1.6	{ 3.4	{ 1.5	{ 1.2	{ 0.7
Mechanical equipment and accessories								
Electrical equipment and accessories								
Transportation equipment	2.3	2.7	1.2	2.1	1.6	1.9	1.7	0.7
IV. <u>Residual industrial group</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>6.3</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>1.5</u>
Printing and publishing	2.9	3.4	3.7	4.6	3.1	1.0	0.9	1.1
Miscellaneous	2.8	2.9	2.5	1.1	1.0	4.8	3.8	2.4
<u>Total</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>1.0</u>

- a. The table also contains the output structure for the two countries under reference with comparable figures for their capital statistics. On the basis of the respective basic data, the capital intensity coefficients contained in the last three columns were calculated. These basic data on output differ somewhat from those of previous tables, for the reasons explained in footnote 1 on page 87, but they are the same as, or comparable with the figures used in the next table.
- b. This item includes the buildings and structures in addition to machinery and equipment.
- c. The numerator of the ratio represents the renewable fixed capital according to footnote (b); the denominator, the added value.
- d. Statistics for 1956.
- e. The Costa Rican figures do not include coffee processing plants, rice-husking mills, and sugar mills.
- f. Including the values for basic processing materials, which are very small.

Sources: For Costa Rica: Publications of the University of Costa Rica (Economic Research Institute); El Desarrollo Económico de Costa Rica, No. 2, Estudio del Sector Industrial, 1959; for Honduras: United Nations, (CEPAL): El Desarrollo Económico de Honduras.

/terms, they

terms, they may not be very accurate (see Table 57). ^{58/} Therefore, the figures will be used with the necessary reservations, and even so, we shall endeavor only to interpret the aspects that appear to show the existence of one characteristic or another with sufficient distinction.

In comparing the figures for the capital structure of Costa Rican industry with figures for the composition of its output (all this information is shown, along with similar figures for Honduras, in the first five columns of Table 57), ^{59/} one is struck by the fact that, with respect to the traditional industries, their proportion of the total capital of the sector is larger than that of output. In contrast, in its intermediate industries, the proportion of total capital is definitely lower than that of output, which, in this case, is due especially to the lack of capitalization of the quasichemical industries. The comparable figures for Honduran industry --where the general capitalization level is even lower-- do not show such differences in the traditional and intermediate industry groups, although they do show a very low capital intensity for the machine industry group. These differences, as is obvious, are also apparent in the coefficients of capital intensity --or capital-output ratios-- by groups or branches shown in the last three columns of Table 57. ^{60/}

Although, as already stated, all the fixed capital figures should be interpreted with a certain amount of caution, it appears likely that the coefficients indicating capital intensity in the totals of the two manufacturing sectors are realistic and have an acceptable degree of approximation. According to those coefficients, the capital-output ratio in the Costa Rican industry as a whole is somewhat less than two --and, with respect to its machinery and equipment, somewhat less than 1.5-- while, in Honduran industry it appears to be nearly unity.

^{58.} The same caution is expressed in one of the above-mentioned studies.

^{59.} The figures available for the capital structure of Costa Rican industry are not fully comparable with the figures in the preceding tables, but comparable figures for output --taken from the same source as the data on capital-- are included in Table 57. Moreover, these data on output were also used in making the calculations on capital intensity, and along with equally comparable figures on employment, in computing the indexes in Table 58. These figures on output differ in various aspects of coverage and valuation from the census figures. Among other differences should be mentioned the fact that they exclude coffee processing plants, rice-husking mills and sugar mills, as well as almost all repair shops. They may also contain adjusted values for the headings beverages and tobacco, and figures with more complete coverage for the lumber industries, etc.

^{60.} "Capital intensity" is the ratio of existing renewable fixed capital in the manufacturing sector or its subdivisions to the added value of the respective production unit. It seemed preferable not to use the term "capital density" here, since this term usually means the ratio of capital to employment.

The manufacturing sectors that are most highly capitalized in Costa Rica --in terms of their renewable fixed capital-- are the miscellaneous industries, textile and rubber ^{61/} manufacturing, and the manufacture of foodstuffs, paper products, nonmetallic mineral materials, and lumber. In terms of machinery and equipment, the order of the more mechanized industries is quite similar with the difference that the rubber and paper products industries are above foodstuffs, and the place occupied by lumber is taken by the transportation equipment industries, probably because of the part that the equipment of the airplane parts plant plays in this branch.

In Honduras, the groups whose capital coefficients occupy the first five placed are the miscellaneous industries, foodstuffs, textiles, lumber, and nonmetallic minerals. All these are activities that are also among the relatively more capitalized activities in Costa Rica.

Moreover, the manufacture of clothing and shoes, chemical industries, and printing are the chief activities with little fixed capital in Costa Rica. With respect to printing, it should be borne in mind that its output also includes the output of the publishing industry. In machinery and equipment, furniture manufacturing, chemistry, and printing occupy the last places. In Honduras, the lowest capitalization characterizes these industries: leather, clothing, beverages, tobacco, transportation equipment and other metallurgical products, as well as furniture manufacturing and the chemical industry, whose coefficients are less than unity.

An interesting fact is the lack of a clear relationship between relative capital intensity and relative productivity by groups and branches (see Table 58). It is usually assumed that there is a certain correlation between capital intensity and productivity, and that this relationship is maintained when both categories are classified in order or in relationship to their own averages.

It is not possible to give here a complete explanation of the apparent anomaly noted, which it is assumed results merely from statistical errors. However, certain observations on this point may be made.

The importance of the frequency with which equipment is replaced in several Central American industries should be emphasized. This phenomenon, in addition to explaining at least in part the inadequate effect of capital intensity on productivity in several activities, should be given more attention in view of the high cost of factory installations, part of which are consistently not being adequately utilized. It is true that part of the machinery that is not being sufficiently used is made up of machine units that are not very efficient although still usable, but similar observations have been made, though to a lesser extent, also in relatively modern plants.

61. This industry is relatively diversified in Costa Rica and among other lines of production includes the manufacture of foam rubber.

COSTA RICA AND HONDURAS: RELATIVE CAPITAL INTENSITY
AND RELATIVE PRODUCTIVITY, BY INDUSTRIAL GROUPS AND BRANCHES, 1957

(Number indexes: average intensity and productivity in the manufacturing sector = 100)

Industrial groups and branches	Relative capital intensity ^{a/}			Relative productivity	
	Costa Rica ^{b/}		Honduras	Costa Rica ^{b/}	Honduras ^{c/}
	Renewable fixed capital	Machinery and equipment	Renewable fixed capital		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
I. <u>Traditional industries</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>105</u>	<u>101</u>
Foodstuffs ^{d/}	133	124	191	136	52
Beverages	109	115	54	242	184
Tobacco	72	74	57	259	175
Textiles	186	216	168	131	59
Clothing	46	51	56	67	179
Lumber and cork	122	114	129	86	85
Furniture and accessories	47	43	96	65	104
Hides and leather	81	81	36	193	280
II. <u>Intermediate industries</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>174</u>	<u>100</u>
Paper, cardboard and paper products	120	141	-	119	-
Rubber products	132	163	-	158	-
Chemical products	57	58	94	284	106
Petroleum derivatives	-	-	-	-	-
Nonmetallic mineral products	124	100	134	52	75
III. <u>Mechanical industries</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>136</u>
Simple metal products	{ 84	{ 89	{ 72	{ 54	{ 136
Mechanical equipment and accessories					
Electrical equipment and accessories					
Transportation equipment	105	122	77	72	139
IV. <u>Residual industrial groups</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>152</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>66</u>
Printing and publishing	57	66	119	103	84
Miscellaneous	270	279	250	66	40
<u>Total</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>

- a. The relative capital intensity of an industrial group or branch is the capital-output ratio within that group expressed as an index based on the capital-output ratio of the entire manufacturing sector.
- b. The basic data used in this table are fully comparable with those of the preceding tables, except Table 57. More details are given in footnote 59 in the text.
- c. The figures for Costa Rica do not include coffee-processing plants, rice-husking mills, or sugar mills.
- d. The figures for this heading, which are very small, are included in the next heading, metallurgical and mechanical industries.

Sources: Those stated in the note to Table 54.

/Although

Although there is no over-all information, even by branches, concerning the average degree of utilization of manufacturing equipment in the countries of Central America, some fragmentary data summed up below can throw some light on the situation. 62/

In a survey made in Costa Rica in 1957-58, the conclusion was reached that several of the principal factories for producing foodstuffs could have increased their production between 20 percent and 25 percent with the equipment they had without major technical difficulties. In some of the soft-drink plants, some installations were found, which, to be sure were quite old, that were being operated at barely one-third of their capacity. 63/ While one large textile factory operated at full capacity, several other plants having equipment of inferior quality were operating with varying degrees of excess capacity. Even more serious was the unused capacity in shoe factories, sometimes amounting to one-fifth of their total potential capacity, and in sawmills. In sawmills, a serious obstacle to better utilization of equipment were the seasonal difficulties in transportation. When another briefer survey was made in Costa Rica two years ago, it was again noted that some equipment was far from being adequately utilized.

The report of a consulting firm, which made a direct survey in Guatemala recently, states that only one-fourth of the companies observed operate on more than one shift. Another technical group that went to Nicaragua at almost the same time also found a number of possibilities for increasing the production of several companies in that country by using existing installations.

Among the various reasons for persistent inadequate utilization of the industrial capacity, the following, which appear to be the most important, should be stressed: 64/

1. Certain industries were not able to acquire installations suited to the small Central American markets, especially in the previous period of relative isolation, either because equipment of those sizes are not manufactured or because, owing to certain "indivisibilities," the expectation of possible future expansion made it necessary to purchase much larger units than were desired.

62. Sources of such information are: University of Costa Rica, op. cit., Wolf Management Engineering Co. (for AID), Costa Rican Industrial Crossroads, 1961; Barrington and Co. (for the Government of Guatemala and for AID): Industrial Development of Guatemala, 1962; International Economic Consultants Inc., Incentives to Private Industry in Nicaragua, 1961.

63. It should be noted, however, that the brewing industry of the country showed a high degree of utilization of its equipment.

64. In this discussion no reference is made to the temporary inadequate utilization of equipment owing to accidental causes, strikes and others, much less to equipment existing in name only, that is to say, installations that are so obsolete or in such disrepair that they are useful only to be held in reserve, in other words, for use for short emergency periods.

ii. There is strong resistance among factory operators to running their plants in three --often even in two-- shifts. There are several reasons for this, but one of the chief reasons is the marked shortage of administrative, technical, and supervisory personnel --sometimes the most important bottleneck in industrialization-- which makes it necessary for the operator himself to perform all these functions at once, thereby preventing him from delegating his authority so that the operations might be carried on in his absence.

iii. In several instances, the equipment could produce a great deal more, even with the same number of hours of operation, if more efficient administrative and technical procedures were applied, but the necessary knowledge and technical skills are not yet sufficiently disseminated.

iv. In general, there is also a great lack of knowledge about the operation, maintenance, and repair of machinery. The result is premature deterioration, and the repairs made are often quite temporary, with the resulting loss of time. All of this is aggravated by difficulty frequently in obtaining spare parts locally.

The above points show that some of the problems, that is, those relating to the size of the market, can be remedied without great difficulty, at least for those companies that are sufficiently efficient to be able to compete in the other countries of the area, although less "automatically" for those that must make a special effort in order to be on a competitive footing. As a result of the new configuration in Central America, the situation will probably tend to be alleviated, at least partially, with respect to the local supply of certain spare parts. However, other more important difficulties can be solved only by a significant advance in technical and administrative training, and this has also been contemplated within the framework of joint industrialization efforts.



IA-ECOSOC

INTER-AMERICAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL

OEA/Ser.H/X.4
CIES/299 (English)
1 September 1963
Original: Spanish/English

PART II
Chapter III

SECOND ANNUAL MEETINGS OF THE IA-ECOSOC
AT THE EXPERT LEVEL AND AT THE MINISTERIAL LEVEL
OCTOBER-NOVEMBER 1963
SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

**PART II. Principal Characteristics and Development of the
Central American Productive Structure**

**Chapter III. Evaluation and Structure of Central
American Imports and Prospects for
Intra-Central American Trade**

PROVISIONAL

PAN AMERICAN UNION General Secretariat of the Organization of American States, WASHINGTON, D. C.

This document contains Chapter III of Part II of the Economic and Social Survey of Latin America for the year 1962. The Survey is being distributed in parts in order to make this material available at the earliest possible moment. The first two chapters plus Parts I and III will be distributed as soon as possible.

N O T E

This Survey was prepared by the Secretariat of the Organization of American States which alone is responsible for its contents. The Secretariat wishes to express its gratitude to the Economic Commission for Latin America (ECLA) for the cooperation received during the preparation of the Survey.

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF LATIN AMERICA, 1962

- PART I. The Latin American Economy and the Alliance for Progress
- PART II. Principal Characteristics and Development of the Central American Productive Structure
 - Chapter I. Structure, Recent Development and Prospects of Central American Exports
 - Chapter II. Principal Characteristics and Development of the Central American Productive Structure
 - Chapter III. Evaluation and Structure of Central American Imports and Prospects for Intra-Central American Trade
- PART III. Social Survey

I N D E X

PART II Chapter III

Page

CHAPTER III. EVALUATION AND STRUCTURE OF CENTRAL AMERICAN IMPORTS AND PROSPECTS FOR INTRA-CENTRAL AMERICAN TRADE	
A. Changes in Import Value.....	139
B. Changes in the Composition of Imports.....	140
1. Foodstuffs (SCIT Section O).....	146
2. Chemical and Pharmaceutical Products (SCIT Section 5)	148
C. Changes in Sources of Imports.....	153
1. Renewal of Trade with Europe and Japan.....	153
2. Variations in Prices paid by Central America.....	155
3. Sectoral Relative Prices.....	157
4. Import Substitution.....	157
5. Direction of Investments.....	162
D. Intra-Central American Trade.....	164
1. Reciprocal Food Imports.....	177
2. Reciprocal Textile Imports.....	180
E. Prospects for Inter-Central American Trade.....	185
1. Factors Delaying Reciprocal Trade and the Integration Program.....	185
2. Replacement of Overseas Imports and Reciprocal Trade	188
ANNEX. CENTRAL AMERICA: OVERSEAS AND RECIPROCAL IMPORTS IN 1961, IN APPROXIMATE RELATION TO REPLACEMENT POTENTIAL.....	193

SYMBOLS USED

Three dots (...) indicate that data are not available or are not separately reported.

A dash (--) indicates that the amount is nil or negligible.

A minus sign (-) indicates a deficit or decrease.

A stroke (/) indicates a crop year or fiscal year--e.g., 1954/55.

A full stop (.) is used to indicate decimals.

A space is used to distinguish thousands and millions (3 421 520).

Use of hyphen (-) between two dates--e.g., 1950-1954--normally signifies an annual average for the calendar years involved, including the beginning and end years.

"to" between the years indicates the full period--e.g., "1950 to 1954"--means 1950 to 1954 inclusive.

Reference to "tons" indicate metric tons; and to "dollars," United States dollars, unless otherwise stated.

Totals do not necessarily correspond to the sum of their components, because of rounding.

An asterisk (*) is used to indicate figures partially or wholly estimated.

The term "billion" signifies a thousand million.

Chapter III

EVOLUTION AND STRUCTURE OF CENTRAL AMERICAN IMPORTS AND PROSPECTS FOR INTRA-CENTRAL AMERICAN TRADE

A. CHANGES IN IMPORT VOLUME

About 1945, the five Central American countries entered upon a period of steady economic development based primarily on heavier foreign demand for their traditional exports and supplemented by a certain measure of diversification in agricultural export commodities for four of the countries, and the continuation of manufacturing development initiated in three of them during the first half of the 1940's. Economic development was accompanied by a rapid growth of urban population, which served to diversify the expansion of domestic demand.

Central American production for sale on the domestic markets also grew rapidly, but owing to the difficulties outlined in the Introduction to this study, such output was unable to improve its relative share in the satisfaction of domestic demand during most of this period of intensive economic development. With reference to the demand for capital goods, which had been entirely imported in the mid-40's, cement is the only exception to be noted; its production was initiated gradually in four of the countries. The rest of Central American output aimed at internal supply encountered, in the case of foodstuffs, a serious obstacle in the rigidity imposed on agricultural production by deficient land ownership systems and the lack of adequate transportation facilities, especially secondary roads between the producing areas and the new or expanding consumer centers.

With the exception of cement and foodstuffs, the manufacturing sector expanded rapidly, outstripping the growth of actual demand for part of its output. Available data on manufacturing structure and growth are insufficient for even an approximate evaluation of the extent to which over-all manufacturing production succeeded in satisfying the demand for manufactured goods. Information on changes in manufacturing and import structures indicates, however, that the latter must not only have increased rapidly, but also have adapted to the diversified demand for finished goods created by the rising urban population. Imports must also have supplied the steadily lengthening list of intermediate products called for by manufacturing expansion.

Accordingly, the volume of imports increased at a very high rate. During the ten years prior to 1955, this figure tripled, climbing from 141 million dollars in constant currency in 1945 to 415 million dollars in 1955. This increase was not affected by national differences with regard to manufacturing expansion and, consequently, to the replacement of imports generated by that sector. Thus, for example, in El Salvador and Guatemala, where the increased flow of manufactures was steadiest, imports expanded less than in Nicaragua, and more than in Honduras, both under-industrialized countries. In like manner, imports by Costa Rica, whose manufacturing sector represents the

/largest share

largest share relative to domestic product, expanded somewhat more than those of Honduras, not only during the ten years in question but since as far back as 1937 (see Table 59).

Between 1955 and 1957, the rate of expansion for total imports by the region was maintained but on a less general basis. Nicaragua, whose current capacity to import was affected by the decline in international quotations for its export commodities that began in 1956, curtailed its volume of imports by 11 percent in that same year. In the other countries, the gradual deterioration of these prices was partly counteracted by a greater volume of exports and partly by international loans for public works. Thus, in 1957, Central American imports exceeded the 1955 level by 21 percent, despite the scant increase in Costa Rican imports.

Since 1958, however, this balancing factor proved, in general, insufficient to offset the increasingly sharper drop in world prices. In that year, imports declined by 7 percent in El Salvador and to a lesser extent in Guatemala and Honduras. Aggregate imports by the five countries decreased by only 1.5 percent, however, since Costa Rica and Nicaragua achieved a moderate rise in imports owing to the greater volume of coffee exported by the former and the reduction in international reserves held by the latter. In 1959, only the first of these two countries showed an increase in import volume; this is partially explained by the rapid development of its meat-freezing industry based on cattle imports. If this item is balanced out by the re-exportation of meat, the volume of Costa Rican imports would then decline in a manner similar to that observed in the other countries.

The stepped-up volume of imports in 1960 largely compensated for the drop of 5.2 percent in the preceding year, but in 1961 this level was depressed again to almost the 1959 figure.

In short, aggregate import volume has been at a standstill since 1957. The incomplete data available for 1962 indicate a rise that will, at most, only recover the decline of 1961.

B. CHANGES IN THE COMPOSITION OF IMPORTS

The initial expansion and subsequent contraction of Central American imports in the last ten years have led to moderate changes in their composition. It is difficult to classify the determining factors in order of importance because of their different impact in each of the two five-year periods.

Nevertheless, one of the main factors is the obvious circumstance that the years under study are subsequent to or a continuation of a period of maximum structural transformation in Central American imports. That is to say, in 1953, prior economic development had assured a high level of the ratio of capital and intermediate goods that would attenuate relative changes in the future. This is clearly shown by a comparison of the figures available for 1953, 19 percent and 32 percent respectively, with those of 1950, when capital goods amounted to

Table 59

Table 59

VOLUME OF IMPORTS IN CENTRAL AMERICA, SELECTED YEARS

(In millions of dollars at 1955 prices)

	Costa Rica	El Salvador	Guatemala	Honduras	Nicaragua	Central America
1937	29.8	25.7	44.5	27.9	13.9	127.9
1945	36.8	27.6	34.2	26.7	15.3	140.6
1950	50.0	53.0	82.7	44.8	30.1	260.6
1955	87.5	91.9	103.5	62.0	69.6	414.5
1956	90.7	102.8	130.9	71.8	61.9	458.1
1957	99.2	111.0	147.3	80.2	66.2	503.9
1958	99.8	103.7	146.0	79.2	67.8	496.5
1959	101.1	98.3	139.8	73.7	57.7	470.6
1960	108.0	116.0	138.0	72.0	59.0	494.0
1961	103.0	101.2	137.0	73.0	60.0	474.2

Sources and Notes: Up to 1959, Suplemento Estadístico, Boletín Económico de América Latina, ECLA; 1960 and 1961, preliminary projections based on national indexes.

to only 16 percent and intermediate goods to only 22 percent of import value calculated at constant prices. Comparatively speaking, consumer goods dropped from 63 percent in 1945 to 43 percent in 1950, and to 39 percent in 1953. The importance of these variations shows that import composition in 1953-62 should not be measured in terms of reduced volume alone but rather by how long-term factors in the Central American economies were accentuated or deemphasized.

Another factor to be kept in mind, particularly in considering the future activation of long-term factors, is that changes in composition have not always been identical in the five countries. The greater industrial development of Costa Rica, El Salvador and Guatemala has enabled those countries to cut back imports of consumer goods to a greater extent than the Central American group as a whole, that is, to maintain their relative share of total imports when domestic demand for these goods spiraled. In the other two countries, in contrast, expansion of this demand in 1953-57 brought an increase in the ratio of consumer goods to total imports. In Honduras,^{1/} for example, this ratio rose from 37 percent in 1953 to 54 percent in 1957, thus reducing the decline of this percentage for Central America as a whole.

Finally, the most important factor in the composition of imports during 1958-62 has been the rapid development of reciprocal trade among the five countries. This trade differs sharply as to content from that of import trade with other areas. The percentage of capital goods and fuels, for example, is minimum in reciprocal trade, while the ratio of intermediate goods, in addition to being less than that in trade with other areas, is subject to acute fluctuations that generally respond to climatic variations in the exporting country.

Reciprocal trade has prevented the relative decline that would otherwise have occurred in consumer goods imports following increased balance of payments difficulties in 1958. The Treaty of Association signed by El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras and, especially, the General Treaty of 1960, created the conditions required for reciprocal trade to overcome the limitations imposed by the system of bilateral treaties on which it was based and to go beyond the mere transaction of unprocessed foodstuffs by which it had been characterized up to that time. Although the volume of such foodstuffs continued to increase, the Treaty permitted a substantial increase in the trade of Central American manufactured articles, mostly nondurable goods.

Because of the moderating effect of these three factors, the analysis of changes in the composition of imports is difficult and unsatisfactory when based exclusively on a classification of great aggregates, such as the economic use of the goods, that is, consumption, investment and industrial processing. Accordingly, this study has preferred to use the Standard Classification of International Trade ^{2/} (SCIT), which, although it lends itself less readily

1. United Nations, Document E/CN.12/549, p. 107.

2. United Nations, Statistical Papers, Series M, N° 10, New York, 1958.

to possible subdivision of domestic demand, is fully compensated for in this case by the criterion of degree of elaboration. Classification by economic use is employed, however, as a guide for relating the SCIT sections to the changes in such demand (see Table 60).

Between 1953 and 1957, the expansion of imports was relatively uniform for the various SCIT categories. Only Beverages and Tobacco in Section 1 remained stable, while the growth rates for the other sections were very similar to those obtained for the total index. This situation changed in the next four years, for this time the decline in the total index did not result from a general contraction. In contrast, imports of foodstuffs (Section 0) continued above or level with the 1957 figures.

During the past four years, import composition ^{3/} has varied more rapidly and in greater depth than during the preceding five-year period. Except in the very special case of Section 7 (Machinery and Automotive Equipment), which because of its direct relation to the investment rate sharply parallels short-term fluctuations in the Central American economy, changes during the four-year period merely reflect an accentuated continuation of the trends of the previous period. In effect, sections with a high component of goods required by agricultural production or processed by industry maintain their expansion, while those including consumer goods decline in volume even though these goods do not directly compete with Central American industry.

Generally speaking, the sections analyzed can also be divided into three main groups: a) fuel and equipment; b) items consisting principally of raw materials, and c) manufactures not included in the previous groups.

The essential fact of this classification is that the first two groups, by their very nature, have been far less subject to replacement by domestic production than the third group, with reference to the process of import replacement in national terms. As will be noted elsewhere, the distinction between b) and c) is accentuated when extra-Central American trade is studied exclusively by the substantial degree of expansion in intraregional trade of the products included under c).

The sections best suited to replacement reduced their share in imports from 41.6 percent in 1953 to 37.5 percent in 1961 (see Table 61). This percentage is based mainly on imports of intermediate goods and foodstuffs. It should be recognized that not all of the increase in the sections grouped under B are the result of replacement, for an important share of the expansion in chemical products is the result of more widespread use of modern techniques in agriculture.

3. An apparent contradiction exists between the dislocation indicated by these trends and the previous statement concerning the gradual nature of changes in import composition. As already noted, SCIT is used in order to distinguish in greater detail the changes less clearly indicated by the other classification.

Table 60

CENTRAL AMERICA: INDEXES OF PHYSICAL VOLUME OF IMPORTS

(1955 = 100)

SCIT DESCRIPTION	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961
A. Primarily consumer goods									
0. Foodstuffs	65.2	80.0	100.0	103.8	100.7	110.3	114.0	106.8	100.4
1. Beverages and tobacco	93.0	119.2	100.0	80.6	94.2	106.7	83.1	81.0	73.7
6. Manufactures by materials	94.5	99.7	100.0	112.3	132.9	126.3	118.6	124.0	120.9
8. Other manufactures	85.7	97.0	100.0	111.8	115.0	110.8	113.5	108.4	95.7
B. Primarily capital goods									
7. Machinery and automotive equipment	79.4	87.8	100.0	125.9	128.1	110.4	105.5	110.2	106.8
C. Primarily intermediate goods									
2. Raw materials	65.0	85.5	100.0	109.5	145.0	138.6	86.7	95.8	106.3
3. Fuels	80.2	80.9	100.0	107.3	114.6	127.1	125.7	129.2	133.5
4. Oils and fats	81.7	110.3	100.0	110.3	127.6	120.3	113.1	182.4	183.0
5. Chemical products	65.7	90.6	100.0	106.5	125.1	115.9	114.5	143.2	142.0
Total	83.2	92.0	100.0	110.5	121.6	119.8	113.5	119.2	114.4

Sources and Notes: The total index corresponds to the ECLA estimate based on official data. The partial indexes have been calculated using quantum indexes for El Salvador, Costa Rica, and Honduras, and deflating current values for Guatemala and Nicaragua through unit value indexes based on data by products published in the United Nations Yearbook of International Trade Statistics, several issues.

Table 61

CENTRAL AMERICA: PERCENTAGE OF IMPORTS BY SCIT SECTIONS

(In percentages of yearly totals)

SCIT	DESCRIPTION	1953	1957	1961
A.	FUEL AND EQUIPMENT			
3.	Fuel	6.6	6.5	8.1
7.	Equipment	23.4	25.7	22.8
	Subtotal	30.0	32.2	30.9
B.	HIGH RAW MATERIAL COMPONENT			
2/4	Raw materials and noncombustible oils and fats	1.8	2.3	2.4
5.	Chemical products	10.5	13.7	16.2
	Subtotal	12.3	16.0	18.6
C.	REPLACEABLE COMPONENTS			
6.	Manufactures by materials	31.6	30.3	29.4
8.	Other manufactures	10.0	9.2	8.1
	Subtotal	41.6	39.5	37.5

Source: OAS, Department of Economic Affairs, General Studies Unit, calculations based on national statistics.

/1. Foodstuffs

1. Foodstuffs (SCIT Section O)

During the ten years covered by the study, food purchases have accounted for about 11 percent of Central American imports. In other words, as in the case of imports as a whole, food imports have also undergone a period of expansion followed by a period of stagnation. However, the latter period, already begun in 1955, appeared to break in 1958, continuing on again in 1959. The persistent rise in the volume of imported foodstuffs during 1953-56 can only be explained by the negative effect of excessive rural land concentration, the cumulative investment deficit in the basic sectors and the lack of marketing mechanisms, among others, which prevented Central American agricultural output from growing and diversifying to keep pace with demand. During that five-year period, the per capita domestic product maintained its former high growth rate, and the urban population responsible for the diversification of demand and absorbing most of the imported foodstuffs increased by 5 percent a year. A study of Honduras illustrates this point. ^{4/} It showed not only a continued increase in the percentage of imported foods consumed by the population but also changes in composition which served to diversify consumption. Thus, the imported items increased their share in food consumption from 7.2 percent in 1953 to 11.2 percent in 1957, continuing a long-established trend. Urbanization, which made the least progress in the five Central American countries, ^{5/} led to a relative displacement of traditional foods, since rice and corn consumption rose by only 1.7 percent and 2.1 percent a year respectively, as compared to the rate of 3 percent a year recorded for over-all food consumption. Available information on Costa Rica ^{6/} shows similar trends and leads to the assumption that, with due regard for the differences involved, the situation in Honduras reflected approximately the discrepancy between actual demand and production of foodstuffs in Central America.

The increases registered in 1958 and 1959 were partly the result of the drop in output for consumption in Nicaragua and, to a lesser extent, in El Salvador and Guatemala. However, the importance of this cut-back in production was secondary as compared to the rapid development of reciprocal trade in foodstuffs resulting from the treaties already cited and from the Multilateral Treaty of 1958. As a consequence of these agreements, reciprocal trade in these products continued to expand, doubling by 1961 its value in 1957. The lack of variation in imports from all sources indicated by the preceding indexes was due to the fact that the volume of imports from other than Central American sources dropped by 15 percent between those two years.

These factors have brought about certain modifications in the composition of food imports (see Table 62).

4. United Nations, Análisis, Proyecciones del Desarrollo Económico, XI El Desarrollo Económico de Honduras, Mexico City, December 1960.

5. ECLA, Boletín Económico de América Latina, Volumen 8, N° 1, Mexico City, 1962.

6. National University of San José, El Desarrollo Económico de Costa Rica, 1958.

Table 62

CENTRAL AMERICA: IMPORTS OF FOOD PRODUCTS

(In millions of dollars)

ITEMS	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961
Volume index (1955=100)	<u>65.2</u>	<u>80.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>103.8</u>	<u>100.7</u>	<u>110.3</u>	<u>114.0</u>	<u>106.8</u>	<u>100.4</u>
Livestock	1.9	2.3	2.3	3.0	3.2	3.6	5.9	5.4	2.9
Canned milk and cream	3.7	4.0	4.8	5.2	5.3	5.9	5.3	4.8	5.6
Wheat	1.7	1.7	2.0	2.2	3.3	3.5	4.3	5.8	7.6
Rice	...	1.2	2.2	2.5	1.3	1.9	2.2	0.9	0.7
Corn	0.8	1.7	2.9	4.4	0.4	2.0	2.6	1.3	0.9
Wheat flour	10.2	11.8	13.0	11.0	11.0	12.2	11.0	10.5	10.4
Fruits and vegetables	3.4	4.0	5.3	6.6	5.9	6.0	7.2	7.0	7.8
Sugar	1.0	1.1	1.5	2.8	2.0	2.0	1.6
Fodder	0.5	0.5	0.7	1.3	1.5	1.8	2.6	3.1	3.0
Margarine and lard	3.6	4.2	5.8	5.0	5.2	6.6	3.4	3.7	3.8
Not classified	11.0	14.0	15.3	13.7	16.0	14.1	14.1	14.6	...
Total	<u>37.8</u>	<u>46.5</u>	<u>56.0</u>	<u>57.7</u>	<u>55.1</u>	<u>59.6</u>	<u>60.7</u>	<u>57.7</u>	<u>55.6</u>

Source: OAS, Department of Economic Affairs, General Studies Unit, calculations based on national statistics.

Imports of livestock products--livestock and canned milk--have substantially improved their position within the food group; their 1953 value of 5.6 million dollars doubled to 11.2 million dollars by 1959. The imposition of restrictive quotas on cattle exports by Nicaragua in 1960 was followed by almost total prohibition in 1961, curtailing livestock imports to barely 2.9 million dollars in 1961, one half of the value recorded in 1959.

With respect to imports of canned milk and cream, their stable value in recent years is partly due to the promotion of dairy cattle production in Guatemala, El Salvador and Costa Rica. These three countries are making increasing use of stabling, which has signified a steady increase in the consumption of processed fodder.^{7/} Although there are by-products from the manufacture of oil and milling of grains available for this purpose, the demand for concentrates has exceeded production and led to an increase in the importation of fodder between 1953 and 1960 amounting to one thousand percent in terms of volume and 650 percent in terms of value.

2. Chemical and pharmaceutical products (SCIT Section 5)

Central American imports under Section 5 are characterized by almost uninterrupted growth within the period under study. This steady expansion is due to the fact that it includes both raw materials for Central American industry and agriculture and finished goods for which demand usually is unaffected by any decrease in the per capita product and which, in the case of Central America, are also involved in a relatively recent process of import replacement. Despite the fact that the difficulties entailed in this replacement tend to maintain a high level of consumer goods in this section, the ratio of intermediate goods rose in total value from 51 per cent in 1953 to 58 percent in 1961 (see Table 63). This relative increase is attenuated by the practical difficulty of evaluating quantitatively the component of intermediate goods, in the case of pharmaceutical and toiletry products,^{8/} and by the high volume of chemical products, classified as basic by two countries, imported by the banana companies at the beginning of the decade, primarily for their campaign to eradicate sigatoka disease.

Import requirements of those companies have decreased as their campaign for plant sanitation has prospered, resulting in the declining curve shown by basic chemical products up to 1959. In 1960, however, the companies operating in Costa Rica and Honduras were compelled to renew imports of these and other

7. In Costa Rica, a high percentage was accounted for in 1959 and 1960 by the fattening of cattle for processing and subsequent exportation.

8. There are reasons to suppose that part of the items classified under 54 and 55 should actually be classified as intermediate goods and that these were the very products that increased rapidly during the period under study.

Table 63

CENTRAL AMERICA: IMPORTS OF CHEMICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS

(Millions of dollars)

SCIT DESCRIPTION	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961
Volume index (1955 = 100)	<u>65.7</u>	<u>90.6</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>106.5</u>	<u>125.1</u>	<u>115.9</u>	<u>114.5</u>	<u>143.2</u>	<u>142.0</u>
A. <u>Intermediate goods</u>	20.2	25.1	30.5	33.0	39.7	39.3	35.5	46.1	47.1
51/52 Basic chemical products	8.8	6.7	8.3	7.4	8.1	4.9	5.4	10.0	9.3
531/2 Tanning extracts and dyes	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.6	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.3
56 Chemical fertilizers	3.7	7.5	7.3	10.9	14.6	13.9	12.5	13.5	12.9
59 Other intermediate products	7.3	10.7	14.5	14.1	15.7	19.4	16.3	21.6	23.6
B. <u>Finished products</u>	19.3	22.6	25.1	25.8	32.4	32.2	32.1	34.7	33.9
533 Paints	3.0	3.7	3.6	4.0	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.6
54 Pharmaceutical products	11.4	13.2	15.7	15.4	20.5	21.1	20.2	22.6	22.0
55 Toiletry products	4.9	5.7	5.8	6.4	7.7	6.9	8.0	8.2	8.3
5 Total chemical and pharmaceutical products	<u>39.5</u>	<u>47.7</u>	<u>55.6</u>	<u>58.8</u>	<u>72.1</u>	<u>71.5</u>	<u>67.6</u>	<u>80.8</u>	<u>81.0</u>

Source: OAS, Department of Economic Affairs, General Studies Unit, calculations based on national statistics.

/similarly classified

similarly classified products. The rise in imports of basic products in 1960 and 1961 was also the result of the growing demand for these products by industries located in El Salvador and Guatemala. In the first country, this volume doubled between 1957 and 1960, but the increase was even greater in Guatemala because of the demand for lamp black and other chemical inputs for the rubber industry.^{9/}

Up to 1957, the greater expansion in the importation of intermediate chemical products can be attributed to prepared fertilizers. The available index of unit value shows relatively minor variations; it is accepted as an initial approximation that current values coincide more or less with those calculated at constant prices.^{10/} It is concluded, therefore, that these imports increased by almost 41 per cent a year between 1953 and 1957, a much higher rate than any of the agricultural production indexes of the five countries. This is, of course, because intensive use of fertilizer has benefited export products. Up to 1953, most imported fertilizer was used in the cultivation of bananas, with a smaller volume earmarked for coffee, cotton and sugarcane crops. Since that year, fertilizer for these three crops has absorbed most of the increase in this import item since both the cultivated area and the yield per hectare have expanded significantly.

The stable level of volume recorded after 1957 corresponds to the reduction in area used for growing cotton and to the fact that the low price for coffee and bananas has to some extent discouraged intensive use of fertilizer. This stability is, to a lesser degree, the result of recent processing of fertilizers in two countries that use products classified here as basic.

In the case of Central American imports, SCIT Division 59 (see Table 63) includes three types of intermediate products that deserve mention. First are the synthetic plastic materials in primary form ^{11/} whose growth, in terms of both volume and value, has been rapid and is mainly due to the recent date of its technological development and introduction in Central America. Consequently, it has continued to expand even during the years of greatest import contraction (that is, 1958 and 1959), which suggests an equally intensive growth in the corresponding industries.

9. Although statistical difficulties prevent a numerical demonstration, in recent years the Guatemalan rubber industry has expanded rapidly for both tires and inner tubes and for other articles. As will be noted elsewhere, Guatemala shows a certain degree of specialization in these industrial lines.

10. Although it is true that the unit price for nitrogen has dropped on the international market in the past three years, the lack of mixers has prevented Central America from taking advantage of this circumstance. Thus, the unit value index reflects the costs of prepared fertilizers.

11. Also including artificial resins in primary form and unwoven plastic sheets, but excluding rayon and wood pulp.

The second type of intermediate product in this division are the insecticides, fungicides and disinfectants, most of which are not confined to domestic use. The volume of these imports shows an average trend toward rapid expansion resulting, as in the case of fertilizer, from their increasing use for export crops. In the last five to six years, their growth has been accentuated by the extent of the damage caused by pests to cotton production in Nicaragua and by the defensive measures adopted by the other producers. This explains why these items have, since 1957, accounted for an unusual share, 40 percent, of Nicaragua's total imports of chemical products, while the maximum figure for the other countries came to only 20 percent.

The third group of products under SCIT Division 59 is a heterogeneous one, including as it does industrial spare parts, sanitation and other services. Its value fluctuates sharply and shows no well-defined trend.

The foregoing outline stresses the impact of the spread of improved agricultural techniques on import demand for intermediate chemical products. Since no quantitative analysis is possible over several years, by way of simple illustration it has been estimated that in 1961, 54 percent of these imports, or a market of 25 million dollars, corresponded to a comparatively limited number of agricultural inputs. It should be emphasized that the number of items is limited because of the prospects for their future production in Central America and, particularly, because of the need for introducing these improved methods in agricultural production for domestic consumption. At present, there are biological laboratories in at least three of the countries and two have fertilizer mixing plants still operating on a limited scale; therefore, it can be noted that there is already a tendency toward replacing imports from other areas.

In mentioning previously the relative ratios of intermediate products and finished goods in the section of chemical and pharmaceutical products, an explanation was given for the diminished importance of the second group. It was also noted that for statistical reasons it was difficult to separate the components of this second group, which, in practice, constitute industrial inputs. Thus, for example, a distinction could be made in the pharmaceutical products between those prepared for retail sale and those imported in bulk. Such a distinction would, however, prove inadequate, since the pharmaceutical products received by the retail merchant in bulk are many and varied. It should be kept in mind that this group of consumer goods includes a component of intermediate products that appears to be on the increase.

Pharmaceutical products contribute to the bulk of imports of chemical consumer goods. Their value increased by 100 percent between 1953 and 1961, representing an even greater increase in real terms, since the percentage of antibiotics and vitamins--whose international price has declined steadily--has been high and growing.^{12/} During the last five years, however, these imports

12. Mention should also be made of the lower sale prices offered by European suppliers, whose share of supply has increased relatively more than the North Americans, as a factor operating in the same direction.

/have remained

have remained comparatively stable; it should also be pointed out that inter-Central American reciprocal trade began to acquire importance in this case.

This latter circumstance is also true for toiletry products and prepared paints, which have for practical reasons, been defined here as finished goods. The decline since 1957 in the import value of paints has been contained by the expansion of intraregional trade, for by 1961, half of Honduran and Guatemalan imports of this product came from Central America. In the case of toiletry articles, this ratio amounted to approximately 15 percent, including not only imports by the two countries mentioned, but those by El Salvador and Nicaragua as well. In general terms, the value of intraregional trade under this heading can be estimated at slightly over 1.5 million dollars, or 4.5 percent of imports of finished chemical products.

C. CHANGES IN SOURCES OF IMPORTS

Over the past decade, fairly substantial changes took place in the sources of Central American imports. Among these, beginning in 1958, mention should be made of the increase in reciprocal trade, the steady, rapid drop in the ratio of imports supplied by the United States, and the rise in the corresponding ratios for Japan and the European Economic Community (see Table 64).

Although trade policy--and particularly the series of bilateral treaties ^{13/} that preceded the Multilateral Treaty of 1958 and the General Treaty of 1960--accounts for the recent development of reciprocal trade, in the case of other areas, changes in the source of imports have not been the consequence of national discriminatory practices. Except for the "Central American clause", which safeguards concessions among Central American countries, the countries of the region have posed no obstacles to trade by any country with which they maintain normal diplomatic relations. The most notable exception was a minor restriction by Guatemala on imports from countries with which it had a deficit trade balance. Except in the case of countries where transshipment of foreign trade is compulsory, such as Switzerland, the Guatemalan restriction has had no effect on the origin of its imports.

The factors that have led to the changes noted in the direction of imports have been related to prevailing conditions in world trade and, to a lesser degree, to structural changes in Central American external demand. Generally speaking, these factors have varied in both number and importance during the past decade. For this reason, they are grouped in the following analysis into general categories, relating, where relevant, strictly Central American factors with those arising from international participation by the highly industrialized countries.

1. Renewal of trade with Europe and Japan

In 1938, at least one third of Central American imports were drawn from the six countries that today make up the European Economic Community, and almost 3 percent of this total from Japan. The value of Central American exports to those countries was on approximately the same scale. This trade came to a standstill at the beginning of the 1940's and was not renewed until the end of the same decade.

13. These treaties include the following: El Salvador-Nicaragua (1951); El Salvador-Guatemala (1952), amplified in 1957 and 1959; El Salvador-Costa Rica (1954); Guatemala-Costa Rica (1957), and Guatemala-Honduras (1957). It should be noted that some of these treaties had already brought about substantial increases in reciprocal trade; for example, between 1950 and 1957, exports from El Salvador to Nicaragua and Costa Rica multiplied 8 and 4 times, respectively, while Guatemalan imports from Costa Rica and Honduras expanded 100 and 4 times.

Table 64

CENTRAL AMERICA: ORIGIN OF IMPORTS

(In percentages of the total)

	1950	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 ^{a/}
<u>Latin America</u>	<u>9.0</u>	<u>7.7</u>	<u>8.0</u>	<u>8.0</u>	<u>7.7</u>	<u>7.3</u>	<u>8.6</u>	<u>11.2</u>	<u>11.0</u>	<u>11.7</u>	...
Central America	3.1	3.2	3.6	3.2	2.9	3.2	4.2	6.1	6.4	7.5	11.0
Mexico	3.4	2.1	1.9	2.4	2.1	1.8	2.1	1.7	1.4	1.6	...
Others	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.2	2.4	3.4	3.2	2.6	...
<u>North America</u>	<u>73.1</u>	<u>65.8</u>	<u>65.0</u>	<u>64.4</u>	<u>62.7</u>	<u>59.7</u>	<u>57.1</u>	<u>53.2</u>	<u>50.6</u>	<u>48.5</u>	
United States	70.4	63.9	63.0	62.1	60.8	57.8	55.0	51.0	48.6	46.5	...
Canada	2.7	1.9	2.0	2.3	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.0	...
<u>Western Europe</u>	<u>12.0</u>	<u>18.9</u>	<u>20.7</u>	<u>20.5</u>	<u>21.5</u>	<u>23.4</u>	<u>25.0</u>	<u>25.5</u>	<u>27.5</u>	<u>27.6</u>	...
E E C	6.1	12.0	14.2	14.0	14.9	17.4	17.5	17.8	19.8	19.8	...
Germany	2.6	5.7	7.8	7.4	7.4	8.5	9.2	9.1	10.2	9.6	...
Netherlands	0.7	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.6	3.1	3.5	3.4	3.5	4.0	...
Belux	1.2	2.1	1.8	2.1	2.4	3.0	2.2	2.6	2.8	2.9	...
Italy	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.7	...
France	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.6	1.5	...
United Kingdom	3.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	...
<u>Japan</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.9</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>4.9</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>6.5</u>	...
Others	5.2	5.9	4.4	4.8	5.4	6.1	5.5	5.3	4.7	5.8	...

a. Estimated, Department of Economic Affairs, OAS.

Source: United Nations, Direction of International Trade, several issues.

/This renewal

This renewal was quite rapid, since Central American exports to Europe rose from 62 million dollars in 1948 to 93 million in 1950. Imports, in turn, rose from 37 to 68 million dollars respectively for the same years. ^{14/} In the case of the European Economic Community members, Central American imports increased from 11 to 32 million dollars and exports from 17 to 35 million.

However, exports to Europe, and particularly to the Community, were restricted by the balance of payments difficulties of the European countries and the fact that the five Central American countries belonged to the dollar area. The latter were, therefore, obliged to accept trade or payments agreements that entailed a quasi-obligation to purchase in Europe when they wanted to increase the volume of their exports. This situation persisted until the mid-1950's, although it gradually became less significant as European balances of payments improved.

With respect to Japan, the renewal of trade was gradual and slow up to 1956. Subsequently, it increased fairly rapidly its relative contribution to Central American imports to become, by 1961, the third most important supplier for the area.

2. Variations in prices paid by Central America

Unit value indexes for the exports of highly industrialized countries showed diverse tendencies during the last decade. Especially outstanding was the spectacular improvement shown by the Japanese series in comparison to the other industrialized countries (see Table 65). While the unit values for exports by the United States and the United Kingdom increased by 8 and 12 per cent respectively, and those of the European Economic Community decreased by 5 per cent, in 1961, the Japanese values averaged only slightly more than two thirds of the same index ten years before.

These changes are only partly explained by fluctuations in the source of purchases for Central American imports. With the exception of Japan, the countries that showed the greatest increase in their sales to Central America were not those whose indexes of unit value of exportation recorded the greatest relative improvement. The maximum expansion of these sales was achieved by Germany, whose prices increased on a level with those of the United States; the minimum was registered by Belgium and Italy, where the indexes of unit value of exports dropped by 20 and 25 per cent respectively.

The disparity in these trends shows the need for a more detailed examination of these prices in order to verify whether the structure of Central American demand for imports can explain the shift to the European Economic Community and Japan. As will be indicated below, the prices of certain industries in these countries have attained a relatively more favorable position than that reflected by the unit value indexes for total exports.

^{14.} United Nations, Estudios del Comercio entre América Latina y Europa, Mexico City, 1953. See pp. 30 and 31.

Table 65

INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRIES: INDEXES OF UNIT VALUES OF EXPORTS

(1958 = 100)

	1951	1957	1961
<u>United States</u>	95	100	103
<u>EEC</u>	105	103	100
German Federal Republic	96	101	104
Belgium - Luxembourg	121	107	97
France	101	109	96
Italy	123	105	92
Netherlands	108	104	101
<u>United Kingdom</u>	91	101	102
Japan	145	110	105

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.

3. Sectoral relative prices

Chemical products and machinery and automotive equipment were among the Central American import items that expanded most rapidly. It should be noted that in both lines the relation of German prices to those of the United States improved throughout the entire period. Between 1950 and 1957, wholesale prices for German chemical products increased by slightly more than half of the United States rate and while the latter remained almost steady after 1957, German prices declined (see Tables 66 and 67). The main decline in Central American imports of chemical products from the United States affected those groups in which consumer goods predominate. These, which had expanded by 5.4 million dollars between 1953 and 1957, decreased by 6.5 million dollars in the four following years. In both periods, however, purchases in other zones increased by 7.7 million and 7.0 million respectively, although if reciprocal trade were excluded, these figures would drop to 7 million in 1953-57 and 4 million dollars in 1957-61. Consequently, a displacement of North American supplies took place during the latter period due to both greater Central American production and trade and increased purchases in other regions (see Table 68).

The situation with regard to machinery is more complex, with a relative advantage for Germany developing only after 1957. Since automotive vehicles are a chief component of this heading--where the relative loss of the United States was greater--it should be noted that while wholesale prices in the United States increased at the rate of 3.4 per cent a year between 1950 and 1957, those of Germany declined slightly. After 1957, this difference in price variation disappeared, but other additional factors influenced the Central American demand for automobiles, such as heavy, rising taxes on gasoline in most of the Isthmus countries, making the European automobiles, which usually burn less fuel, more desirable. It should also be pointed out that with reference to farm machinery and tractors, whose relative prices developed much more favorably for the United States, exports to Central America showed no significant differences in comparison with those of other regions (see Table 69). In the case of paper, cardboard and their manufactures, United States sales increased to 7.3 million dollars between 1953 and 1961. Purchases from other zones, primarily Canada and the Scandinavian countries, also increased, by 2.3 million dollars.

4. Import substitution

With regard to the demand for food and beverages imports (SCIT Sections 0 and 1), whose chief extra-Central American component had also been North American, two different factors were at work. Up to approximately 1957, imports from other regions exhibited a greater increase, but after 1958, both these and imports from the United States dropped off as a result of rapidly intraregional trade in these products (see again Table 65). Only continued increases in grain exports under Public Law 480 and the greater commercial demand for fodder related to the development of meat exports prevented a far more serious reduction in the North American share of this item.

/Table 66

Table 66

ANNUAL RATES OF INCREASE FOR WHOLESALE PRICES OF SELECTED GROUPS OF
INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS IN THE UNITED STATES, THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC
AND JAPAN 1950-61 ^{a/}

	1950-57			1957-61		
	United States	German Fed. Republic	Japan ^{b/}	United States	German Fed. Republic	Japan
Machinery, total	4.3	5.0	1.4	3.3	2.0	-3.7
Farm machinery	2.7	7.1	...	2.8	1.5	...
Automotive vehicles	3.4	-0.1	...	0.9	0.8	...
Iron and steel	7.5	9.8	...	0.6	-	...
Chemical products	1.9	1.0	-1.2	-0.1	-1.5	-2.3
Pulp, paper and paper products	3.6	4.8	...	-0.1	-1.3	...
Paper	4.2	3.0	...	0.5	2.0	...
Textile products	-0.6	-1.0	-6.9	-0.3	-1.9	-1.5
Clothing						
Leather and leather products	-0.7	-2.6	...	2.9	2.0	...
Leather products (footgear)	1.8	0.3	...	2.5	2.4	...
Capital goods, total	...	4.0	...		0.7	0.2
Consumer goods, total	...	0.3	3.2		-	0.7

- a. Due to the different definitions and groupings employed in national statistics, the groups listed here are not fully comparable. However, the differences are not so great as to invalidate the comparisons if they are interpreted as merely indicating general trends.
- b. The period selected was 1951-57, because the value of Japanese exports in 1951 increased by 50 percent, despite a rise in unit values, which appears to indicate that the rate of reconstruction was still the determining factor in trade.

Source: United States Departments of Commerce and Labor, Business Statistics of the United States and Monthly Labor Review; Statistisches Bundesamt, Wirtschaft und Statistik; Japanese Government Planning Agency, Japanese Economic Statistics.

Table 67

CENTRAL AMERICA: DIFFERENCE IN IMPORT VALUE OF CHEMICAL
PRODUCTS BY SUPPLIERS AND SELECTED PERIODS

(In millions of dollars)

	1953-57		1957-61		1953-61	
	U.S.A.	Others	U.S.A.	Others	U.S.A.	Others
Pharmaceutical products ^{a/}	3.2	5.9	-2.8	4.3	0.4	10.2
Soap and toiletry articles ^{a/}	1.2	1.6	-1.1	1.7	0.1	3.3
Paints ^{a/}	1.0	0.2	-1.6	1.0	-0.6	1.2
Fertilizers	3.3	7.6	-2.0	9.0	-7.9	18.2
Others	7.5	1.1	0.2	9.0	7.7	10.1

a. The text refers to these three groups in the discussion on consumer goods.

Sources: See Table 68. The year 1953 was chosen as the beginning of the period for statistical reasons, since classifications for the preceding years are too heterogeneous.

Table 68

CENTRAL AMERICA: IMPORTS BY SCIT SECTIONS AND SELECTED SOURCES

1953, 1957 and 1961

		Volume indexes		Geographical distribution of imports (Percentages of annual value)								
				Central America			United States			Other areas		
		<u>1957</u>	<u>1961</u>	<u>1953</u>	<u>1957</u>	<u>1961</u>	<u>1953</u>	<u>1957</u>	<u>1961</u>	<u>1953</u>	<u>1957</u>	<u>1961</u>
Food and drink	(0/1)	148	145	16	14	26	58	49	46	26	37	28
Fuel	(3)	144	166	-	-	1	16	21	16	84	79	83
Chemical products	(5)	190	215	2	2	5	67	60	44	31	38	51
Machinery and automotive equipment	(7)	162	135	-	-	1	77	72	61	23	28	38
Other manufactures	(6/8)	139	117	2	2	7	60	55	49	38	43	44
Total		147	137	3.5	3.2	7.5	66.7	59.6	46.4	29.8	37.2	46.1

Notes: The FOB values given for the United States source have been adjusted to CIF by means of the coefficients corresponding to total imports.

Sources: See Table 60 for volume indexes. For percentages see Table 61 and U.S. Department of Commerce, Exports by Country of Destination, Washington, D.C., 1953, 1957 and 1961.

Table 69

CENTRAL AMERICA: DIFFERENCE IN IMPORT VALUE OF MACHINERY AND
AUTOMOTIVE EQUIPMENT ACCORDING TO ORIGIN

(In millions of dollars)

	1953-57		1957-61		1953-61	
	U.S.A.	Others	U.S.A.	Others	U.S.A.	Others
Farm machinery	0.7	0.8	-0.5	-0.9	0.2	-0.1
Tractors	3.9	2.0 ^a	-4.1	-1.1 ^a	-0.2	0.9 ^a
Electric machinery	4.2	5.6	-1.6	1.7	2.6	7.3
Office machinery	-0.2	1.2	-0.2	-0.3	-0.4	0.9
Industrial machinery ^b	11.6	1.4	-6.9	10.5	4.7	11.9
Automobiles	7.7	8.2	-8.2	1.2	-0.5	9.4

a. Including non-agricultural tractors.

b. Including die equipment and excavating machinery.

Source: See Tables 67 and 68.

/A similar

A similar trend could be observed in certain other light industries ^{15/} that were affected simultaneously by the process of national replacement and the increase in intra-Central American trade. Thus, for example, imports from the United States of leather and leather products and textiles diminished but both reciprocal trade and national production by the countries increased ^{16/} (see Table 70).

5. Direction of investments

Another element in the relative displacement of imports from the United States was the change in investment structure. Although data in this respect are incomplete and at times inconclusive, it appears that since 1958 investments in agriculture have contracted sharply. Because of the existence of large North American agricultural enterprises in Central America, equipment and machinery for this type of investment were in the past supplied primarily by the United States. Although this country, as already noted, was not placed in a disadvantageous position by rising farm machinery prices until 1957, after that date, Central American imports of tractors and farm machinery declined from 9.3 to 4.6 million dollars, with similar drops for railroad equipment and other non-highway transportation machinery used by those companies.

At the same time, the increased relative importance of the manufacturing sector in the use of investments during the last five years also appears to have increased the relative importance of Japanese and European investors, with a resulting tendency to acquire industrial equipment in those regions. Thus, between 1957 and 1961, imports of industrial machinery from the United States decreased by slightly less than 7 million dollars, while those from other sources increased by more than 10 millions.

15. For statistical reasons, SCIT Sections 6 and 8 cannot be presented separately. In the present analysis, however, the chief components of Central American imports of these products are broken down.

16. However, Costa Rica also sharply stepped up its textile purchases from Japan.

Table 70

CENTRAL AMERICA: DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 1953-57 AND 1957-61 IN VALUE IMPORTED
FROM THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER SOURCES BY CHIEF COMPONENTS
OF SCIT SECTIONS 6 AND 8

(In millions of dollars)

	1957-53		1961-1957		1961-53	
	U.S.A.	From other sources	U.S.A.	From other sources	U.S.A.	From other sources
Leather and leather products	0.1	0.8	-0.9	0.5	-0.8	1.3
Non-metallic mineral products	0.9	2.3	-0.9	0.9	0.0	3.2
Textiles and textiles manufactures	-4.0	12.4	-9.4	10.4	-13.4	22.8
Rubber and rubber manufactures	4.7	0.2	-1.8	2.2	2.9	2.4
Paper and cardboard and their manufactures	2.2	2.0	5.1	0.3	7.3	2.3
Metals and metal manufactures	11.8	13.7	-12.0	3.1	-0.2	16.7

Sources: See Tables 67 and 68.

D. INTRA-CENTRAL AMERICAN TRADE

The preceding section has reviewed the growth and structural transformation of foreign demand for the five Central American countries as a whole. Reference was also made to changes in the direction of that trade, placing certain emphasis on the factors that led to displacement of imports from the United States by those from overseas countries and regions. Central American reciprocal trade was mentioned only insofar as it played a significant part in that displacement or as it modified or accentuated trends in the structural change of imports. This treatment is justified mainly because reciprocal trade is still a limited share, 10 per cent, of total imports by the five countries. Therefore, in contrast to the size of the remaining imports, its changes in the past are attenuated and, at certain points and periods, become insignificant.

However, it would be a mistake to measure the importance of reciprocal trade in the past or the immediate future solely by the relation between its value and that of total imports. Total volume should increase rapidly and steadily in the next few years if the working hypothesis outlined in this study is realized: a minimum growth of per capita domestic product of 2.5 per cent a year. The growth rate of reciprocal trade will obviously be higher, but, according to the hypothesis, its ratio of total imports will consistently maintain a comparatively low level. It should be kept in mind that with a domestic product increase of 6 per cent annually, the demand for imports from other areas for capital goods, construction materials and raw materials should grow at an equal or higher rate, without prejudice to a possible substantial rise in overseas purchases of durable consumer goods and fuels. Reciprocal trade, on the other hand, may find itself constrained until such time as Central American production can provide it with raw materials and mechanical products, in addition to the farm commodities and manufactured consumer goods that predominate in current trade.

Its chief importance lies, therefore, in three facts: a) it has to date made possible the use of productive capacity; b) it is giving rise to the study or installation of industries operating efficiently in markets with a larger volume than those confined within national boundaries; c) it has prevented a sharper decline in the importation of consumer goods without unfavorable consequences for balance of payments by the mutual cancellation of balances inherent in such trade.

Generally speaking, it has been difficult to evaluate the first and last of these facts. This is largely the result of the unfavorable prospect presented by the low value of reciprocal trade in comparison to that of total importation or exportation and, to a lesser extent, of statistical problems in relating, on the one hand, productive capacity with exports to Central America and, on the other, consumption to the pressure on external demand. It should be noted, for example, that the increase in exports of vegetables from Guatemala has served to expand the volume of this production, which is cultivated by a great many small and intermediate farmers ^{17/} who do not have easy access to other marketable commodities. This exportation doubled between 1956 and 1960,

17. In the chief producing region, the average area of horticultural farms amounted to one thousand square varas (0.07 hectares) in 1950.

/reaching in

reaching in the latter year 14 million pounds with an FOB value of 420,000 quetzals, a trade that did not require the heavy supplementary investments in transportation, refrigeration, quality controls, etc., that would have been needed for export to other markets. It is impossible to speculate on what would have happened to the income of these farmers without the Central American market. However, it is nonetheless interesting to point out that in zones where, because of their location, production is utilized solely for the farmers' own consumption or exclusively for the national market, there has been a contraction in horticultural activities.^{18/}

Another example of how reciprocal trade has led to more efficient use and to expansion of productive capacity is the case of Salvadoran and Guatemalan textile products. According to the Banco Central of El Salvador, the index (based on 1956=100) of production for the textile industry increased from 120 in 1957 to 239 in 1961. Production value rose, according to the same source, from 19 million colones in the first year mentioned to 36 million in 1961. Consolidating these figures in order to eliminate the double recount represented by the inclusion of raw thread and other items used in production gives an expansion within that period of 13.2 million colones.

The value of textile exports from El Salvador to Central America amounted to 0.2 million colones and 7.4 million in 1957 and 1961 respectively. It may be said that one half of the increased sales by the industry in that period were derived from reciprocal trade ^{19/} or, too, that 25 per cent of the activities of the Salvadoran textile industry in 1961 can be directly traced to the Central American market.

These two examples are not unique for these two countries, and additional ones can also be cited for the remaining countries. However, a wealth of detail is not necessary to illustrate that although the figures for Central American trade are small, they signify a greater impact than those of total trade. It is obvious that the benefits derived by the Guatemalan economy from a sale of 400,000 quetzals divided among two or three thousand small farmers are greater than those resulting from the exportation of an equal value of bananas or coffee. The three million dollars in yarn and fabrics exported by El Salvador also have an economic and social impact that can hardly be equaled by the traditional export products.

That is to say, reciprocal trade has become significant because at a time when factors outside the region were having an unfavorable effect on its economic development, it has provided a market for products for which there was a surplus capacity and, to a certain extent, has prevented a more serious decline in the Central American economies. This has been achieved with a minimum of additional investments in supplementary services and with greater utilization of previous investments in regional transportation systems.

18. See Banco de Guatemala, Informe Económico, Year IV, No. 7, Guatemala City, January 1962.

19. The difference between ex-factory and port of export values is unknown but considered to be relatively insignificant.

A brief examination of the composition of reciprocal trade for the region in recent years makes it possible to evaluate easily not only the intensity and continuity of its growth, but also the diversification that has led to better use of existing productive capacity (see Table 71). The expansion of Sections 0, 5, 6 and 8 in particular are sufficient proof of the direction being taken by effective realization of the 1952 Economic Integration Program.

Nor has the influence of intra-Central American trade on the structure of external demand of the countries in the area received sufficient statistical recognition. The contraction of this demand usually affects first consumer goods, that is, the reducible margin of importation. In cases such as Central America in 1957-61, when such a contraction became necessary because of unfavorable changes in the balance of payments, the decline of these imports led to a shorter supply of goods for consumer units. Although in the long run national production tends to fill this vacuum, initially it calls for greater sacrifice by the low-income urban classes.

Reciprocal trade has maintained the level of food imports and has contained the decrease in other consumer goods (see Table 71 again). The volume of food trade rose 2.5 times ^{20/} between 1957 and 1961, making it possible for external supplies in the countries importing such goods to maintain their level and to prevent a decline in the already low standards of Central American nutrition.^{21/} Since 1957, the relative size of increases in other consumer goods have been too high for proper comparison. An example of the percentages that would have to be used is the figure on fabric exports by El Salvador, given in a preceding paragraph, which amounts to 3,700 per cent.

In short, it can be stated that, although reciprocal trade could not of itself prevent a decline in consumption, it has at least supplied products that could not have been acquired in other regions without accentuating still further the negative balances of foreign trade in goods.

Finally, it is necessary to analyze the impact of reciprocal trade on the development of new industries, a factor of maximum importance.

Reciprocal trade has passed through three stages. The second corresponds to the period between 1951 and 1957 and the current stage to the last five years. Recent events point to the initiation of a fourth phase, characterized by formation of a common market valid not only for one section but for all the countries of the region.

20. Excluding livestock for re-exportation.

21. Central American rates of morbidity and mortality relating to dietary deficiencies are among the highest in Latin America. Reference: WHO Annual Epidemiological and Vital Statistics Reports.

Table 71

CENTRAL AMERICA: RECIPROCAL^{a/} CIF IMPORTS BY SCIT SECTIONS.
1955-1962

(In millions of dollars)

	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 ^{b/}
0 Foodstuffs	6.2	7.0	7.9	9.8	14.4	14.9	14.6	21.5
1 Beverages and tobacco	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.3	1.7	1.1	0.9	0.9
2 Raw materials	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.6	1.6	2.0	2.3
3 Fuels ^{c/}	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
4 Oils and fats	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.7	1.6	1.7	1.9
5 Chemical products	0.6	0.8	1.2	1.2	1.9	2.4	3.5	4.5
6 Manufactures by materials	1.2	1.2	1.9	2.4	3.2	6.2	8.1	9.8
7 Machinery and automotive equipment ^{c/}	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.1	1.9	1.5	1.3	1.2
8 Other manufactures	0.8	0.8	1.1	2.3	2.0	3.0	4.4	4.8
Total ^{d/}	12.7	13.4	16.1	20.2	27.5	32.4	36.7	47.0 ^{e/}

- a. FOB and CIF values are considered to be equal.
b. Based on partial data.
c. Including a small number of re-exported products that it was not possible to excluded.
d. Excluding SCIT Section 9. It exhibits minor differences with other totals given here and in other sources. See Note c.
e. 50.9 million, if, instead of using data on Guatemalan exports for nine months, the total for twelve months is obtained based on data for imports by the other countries.

Sources and Notes: 1960/62 Sieca (Permanent Secretariat of the General Treaty For Central American Integration; 1955-1959, OAS based on official data of the countries.

What is here designated as the first stage actually represents the period of border trade that began in 1838 with the dismembering of the Republic of the United Provinces of Central America. Since then, attempts have been made on various occasions to form political or economic unions, but such initiatives have failed to prosper owing to the lack of communication facilities between the interested countries. In 1940, only one public service railroad connected Guatemala with El Salvador, and the other facilities were rudimentary except when directly and immediately connected with overseas exportation.

The status of transportation conditioned trade among these countries, which except for exchanges between Guatemala and El Salvador, favoring the incipient industry of both countries, was restricted to merchandise that did not require developed communication facilities, such as livestock, and to the sale of farm surpluses between neighboring areas of bordering countries. Among these areas, the most important was the border region between El Salvador and Honduras, where traffic was facilitated at the end of the 1940's by development of the highway system of El Salvador ^{22/} and the free trade it maintained with Honduras. ^{23/} These factors contributed to the fact that in 1950 El Salvador's trade with Guatemala and Honduras represented 75 per cent of Central American exports.

It should be noted that the limitations of the road network and the scant diversification achieved by the manufacturing sector did not enable intra-Central American trade to expand to the same degree as that shown by trade between the other Latin American countries in 1940-46. In those years, however, Central American industrialization was initiated, resulting in the installation of plants utilizing national raw materials and some based on the importation of intermediate goods.

In 1945, construction was begun on the Central American segment of the Pan American Highway, designed to link the 17 continental republics. As in the other Latin American countries, the continental goal had to be subordinated to the availability of resources and, naturally, to prior satisfaction of local needs. Thus, at the end of the '40's, the Central American segment was composed of broken national sections unconnected with the Mexican network in the north or the Colombian system in the south. ^{24/}

At the start of the 1950's, reciprocal trade entered upon a new stage by becoming one of the basic instruments in the economic integration policy adopted by the Central American countries. In 1952, the Economic Commission for Latin American (ECLA), at the request of the five Central American governments, convoked the first meeting of the Committee on Economic Cooperation of the Central American Isthmus, established the preceding year. At that meeting, the Committee agreed to initiate a gradual program of economic integration and asked the

22. See United Nations, El Transporte en el Istmo Centroamericano, Mexico City, September 1953.

23. See SIECA (Permanent Secretariat of the General Treaty for Central American Economic Integration), Centroamérica y su Mercado Común, Algunos Datos Económicos, Guatemala City, May 1962.

24. The Guatemalan and Mexican networks were connected in the 1960's. Still under study is the section that will cross the Darien region in the south.

Secretariat of ECLA to make a series of studies in this respect. These studies include several directly related to the prospects and possibilities of development offered by reciprocal trade 25/ and, among others, one on the deficiencies and required improvements in transportation systems. 26/

For their part, the governments, utilizing these studies, have multiplied their investments in road networks. Such investments not only led to improvements in highway transport at the national level, but also aimed at the establishment of a Central American trunk network. Thanks to this effort, in May of 1955, the national systems of Nicaragua and Costa Rica were interconnected, uniting at last the automotive transportation systems of the five countries.27/ Expansion and interconnection of the road network was reflected in the stock of automotive freight vehicles; trucks in circulation tripled between 1950 and 1956, while passenger automobiles increased by only 70 per cent.

In the institutional field, intra-Central American trade received a strong incentive through the bilateral treaties signed as of that period. The prior experience of Central America consisted only of the Free Trade Treaty signed in 1918 by Honduras and El Salvador and the "Central American clause" with which the five republics tacitly complied. The latter made any "most favored nation" concessions agreed by any one of the five with countries outside the region extensive to all the Central American countries. In 1951, El Salvador signed a similar treaty with Nicaragua and, at the beginning of 1952, another with Guatemala. In 1954, it completed its system of bilateral agreements by signing one with Costa Rica and revising the old treaty with Honduras. In 1957, free trade treaties between Guatemala and Costa Rica and between Guatemala and Honduras were also concluded.

The effect of these treaties, improved communication facilities and increased automotive stock was almost immediate. The value of reciprocal imports rose by 37 per cent between 1950 and 1953, reaching 11.9 million dollars in the latter year. In 1957, this figure rose to 16.9 million dollars, practically doubling the level of the first year mentioned.

Despite these increases, the ratio between reciprocal and total imports did not vary significantly, and even registered a slight decline. This incapacity to expand in excess of total imports can be partly explained by the

25. See the following ECLA publications: La Integración Económica de Centroamérica, su Evolución y Perspectivas (E/CN.12/422); La Política Tributaria y el Desarrollo Económico en Centroamérica (E/CN.12/486); Los Problemas Actuales del Comercio Interlatinoamericano (E/CN.12/423); and El Mercado Común Latinoamericano (E/CN.12/531).

26. United Nations, El Transporte en el Istmo Centroamericano, Mexico City, 1953.

27. The aggregate of trunk highways will amount to 5,162 kilometers, of which 4,485 were serviceable by 1962. In 1959, the total network of the five countries came to 17,000 kilometers firmed or paved.

insufficient growth of domestic supply. It should be recalled that reciprocal exports include goods that can be used for consumption and are, therefore, only channeled into reciprocal trade when surpluses are produced or special factors make it necessary.^{28/} It is not surprising, consequently, that increased trade between the Central American countries was based fundamentally on the foodstuffs required by El Salvador, which up to 1956 was the only country to have concluded bilateral treaties with the other four.

Although the bilateral treaties provided a strong incentive to reciprocal trade, they also gave it a radial direction by which one country served as the center. This direction confined additional trade fundamentally to the products El Salvador needed to buy or had for sale. The other participating countries could not, consequently, make efficient use of the structural unproductive capacity that characterizes underdeveloped countries with a small market.^{29/} Bilateral conventions signed by Guatemala with Costa Rica and Honduras would unquestionably have led to a more balanced distribution of reciprocal trade. However, in practice, they would have superimposed a new radial direction on the former, relegating trade among the other three countries to a marginal position in the Central American market.

In order to remedy this distortion, the Central American governments, at the fifth meeting of the Committee on Economic Cooperation, signed the Multilateral Treaty on Free Trade and Central American Economic Integration. This treaty provided for the establishment of a common market and, as an initial measure, drew up a list of products that, for the purposes of reciprocal trade, should be exempt from import or export duties or other charges by which they are distinguished in each country from national products. An examination of the list, which is in addition to the lists agreed upon bilaterally, shows three groups of products: a) those already participating in Central American trade, mainly foodstuffs; b) those produced in one or more countries but not previously exchanged regularly or in significant volume, and c) articles not produced commercially in any Central American country.

28. For example, Honduran exports of raw cotton for ginning and subsequent exportation by El Salvador.

29. It should be recalled at this point that the installation of industries in limited markets and with ad hoc protection, as in the case of the Central American countries, occurs, as a rule, when capacity exceed possible sales. Part of this surplus capacity results from the limited number of subtypes of products that these installations produce in relation to the greater diversity of such output obtainable from the same equipment. As an illustration, this is the case of looms whose operation can be programmed for various grades or qualities of fabric and whose productive capacity varies depending on the number of daily shifts.

/Therefore, this

Therefore, this treaty was not solely designed to eliminate bilateral distortion of reciprocal trade, for which inclusion of the first two groups would have sufficed. It is the last group of products that lends added importance, since it transforms reciprocal trade into a basic instrument for the development of new 30/ industries and also of prime importance, for the expansion of new sub-types of products by the manufacturing industry. Indirectly, the treaty signified a change in the application of industrial protection laws enacted by the individual countries. Existing systems extended protection to established industries or to those for which specific installation plans were available, that is, they were exercised subsequent to the decisions of the entrepreneurs, whether or not that group had considered the prospects of the Central American market. The lists of the treaty provided the agencies responsible for industrial protection with an essential pattern for channeling investments into the projects best suited to the interests of national economy. This channeling was facilitated by the growing number of applications for protection in all the countries, many of which also solicited the category of Central American industries of integration.

At the seventh meeting of the Committee on Economic Cooperation, held in late 1960, a second treaty was signed: the General Treaty on Central American Economic Integration. This agreement reaffirmed and expanded the stipulations of the Multilateral Treaty, establishing total free trade and coordinating these provisions with those agreed upon in establishing the special regulations governing integration industries, transportation, external charges and other instruments provided for by the Program of Central American Economic Integration in 1952.

The clause of the General Treaty of Integration dealing with transitory special trade regulations is of particular importance for reciprocal trade in the period covered by this study. These regulations led to a broader list of merchandise included in the second and third groups already described and specified the restrictions applicable between pairs of countries in order to prevent unfavorable effects on manufacturing sub-sectors.

30. The Multilateral Treaty has been supplemented, among others, by the Agreement on Regulation of Central American Industries of Integration. Such industries are considered to be those that require access to the Central American market in order to operate efficiently.

Note that the 1960 General Treaty overcame an important limitation of the Multilateral Treaty by abolishing the system of lists of products eligible for free trade status. In their place, it was established that total free trade --except for minor exceptions--was the goal to be reached in a pre-established minimum period by means of general reductions in tariffs with reference to reciprocal trade.

/The impact of

The impact of the General Treaty on Integration can only be measured in a very preliminary manner, since only a short time has elapsed since its ratification. For the time being, it can be stated that it has accentuated the multilateral nature of reciprocal trade as defined by the 1958 treaty. ^{31/} At least, the proportion of this trade corresponding to imports by El Salvador declined to 54 per cent in 1957, to 41 per cent in 1960 and, finally, to 39 per cent in 1961. This decline has been paralleled by an increase, in 1957 and 1961, of the proportions corresponding to Guatemala from 11 to 25 per cent respectively, those of Costa Rica from 5 to 11 per cent, and those of Honduras from 15 to 17 per cent. It must be noted that these percentages do not imply a displacement unfavorable to El Salvador, since they are exclusively the result of larger volume participation by the other countries.

The benefits derived by the Central American countries from the gradual and still incomplete ^{32/} application of these two treaties should be measured, first, on the basis of the greater participation by all the countries in reciprocal trade and, second, by the impact these exports have had and will have on the utilization of the industrial capacity of the five countries.

It has been pointed out previously that the initial consequence of the Multilateral Treaty was elimination of the radial trend that had restricted reciprocal trade in previous years. The recent development of reciprocal trade among countries that had formerly traded very little between themselves (Honduras-Nicaragua, Honduras-Costa Rica, Nicaragua-Costa Rica) has been rapid and is beginning to show a certain regularity, but is limited by the scant industrial development of Honduras and Nicaragua, which seriously restricts the number of trade products, and by relative withdrawal from the Program of Central American Integration in the case of Costa Rica. These three countries however, have increased their mutual imports from 1.4 million dollars in 1957 to 2 million in 1961, despite a decrease of 0.5 million in Nicaraguan imports from Costa Rica.

The figure of value traded among these three countries should not be confused with their total participation in reciprocal trade. In 1953, they received 33.3 per cent of intra-Central American imports, a ratio that reached 35.3 per cent in 1961 (see Table 72). It is also pertinent to note that the trade of goods with El Salvador and Guatemala has consistently resulted in positive balances that have served to attenuate the unfavorable impact of their deficit balances with the rest of the world in recent years.

31. The expansion of intrazonal trade also continued. Between 1958 and 1960, this sector increased by 12.2 million dollars, while the increase between 1960 and 1962 amounted to between 15 and 18 million (see Table 17).

32. Costa Rica did not sign the General Treaty until July 1962.

Table 72

CENTRAL AMERICA: RECIPROCAL IMPORTS
BY GROUPS OF COUNTRIES AND SELECTED YEARS

(In millions of dollars)

I M P O R T S					
Years	Costa Rica, Honduras and Nicaragua		El Salvador and Guatemala		Total intra-Central American
	Mutual	From El Salvador and Guatemala	From Costa Rica Honduras, and Nicaragua	Mutual	
1953	0.9	2.8	5.6	2.0	11.4
1957	1.4	4.6	7.0	3.5	16.6
1960	1.3	8.9	10.0	11.1	32.7
1961	2.0	11.2	9.9	14.3	37.9
1962	2.0	15.2	17.1 *	16.2 *	50.4 *

Source: Secretariat for Central American Economic Integration, op. cit., p. 12.

/These facts

These facts are mentioned in order to confine this problem to the prospects offered to Central American trade by the expansion of trade among these three countries and their industrialization. The sole adherence of Costa Rica to the General Treaty in July 1962, ^{33/} will bring about a rapid growth of its trade with Honduras and Nicaragua. At the same time, there is already a series of manufacturing projects in these two countries whose capacity has been planned in accordance with the size of the regional market. Their exportable output will consequently expand and diversify, thus helping to correct the radial distortion still present in intra-Central American trade.

In short, it can be said that the last two stages through which intra-Central American trade has passed have resulted in substantial economic benefits for the participating countries. On the one hand, it has made possible the use of agricultural and manufacturing productive capacity which, without the regional market, would have been wasted owing to the limitations of national demand. On the other hand, it has made possible access by the consumer population to a volume of goods whose purchase abroad would have been smaller without the payments compensation implicit in reciprocal trade. These two points were discussed before examining evolution of that trade.

The task of evaluating the influence of reciprocal trade on industrial development presents serious obstacles. There is a lack of up-to-date statistics that would be required by the optimum system for such evaluation, that is, a framework relating total sales of the sectors to their destination, whether for exportation, additional processing, consumption or stocks. The approximate equivalent of such a framework has been obtained through studies conducted in Costa Rica, El Salvador and Honduras by national working groups or joint groups in association with international agencies.^{34/} Although these studies furnish valuable information, amply utilized in the present study, the most recent is provided by the last of these studies, which corresponds to 1959, year of little significance for the study of contemporary intra-Central American trade. Since it has been impossible to obtain patterns of this type for a sufficiently recent year, quantitative evaluations are offered, or in their absence qualitative ones, for sectoral production, in discussing statistics on trade classified by sector of origin (see Table 73). The gaps and mistakes occasioned by this substitute system can only be corrected in the future with the completion of the study on Central American economic development initiated by a joint group of international, regional and Central American agencies.

33. In November of the same year it signed the protocol required for its admission to the Central American Common Market.

34. University of Costa Rica, El Desarrollo Económico de Costa Rica, San José, 1958, and United Nations, Análisis y Proyecciones del Desarrollo Económico; VII, El Desarrollo Económico de El Salvador and XI, El Desarrollo Económico de Honduras, México City, 1960 and 1961.

Table 73

CENTRAL AMERICA: VALUE OF INTRA-CENTRAL AMERICAN IMPORTS BY EL SALVADOR, GUATEMALA AND HONDURAS BY SECTORS AND INDUSTRIES OF ORIGIN IN 1957 AND 1961

(In thousands of dollars)

Sectors and industries of origin	1957	1961
I. <u>Primary</u>	<u>5 948 8</u>	<u>9 765 8</u>
a) Agriculture	5 794 8	9 413 6
b) Forestry	98 7	53 6
c) Mining	55 3	298 6
II. <u>Secondary</u> ^{a/}	<u>7 032 1</u>	<u>20 830 7</u>
a) Food	1 875 5	5 620 1
b) Textiles	224 6	3 755 3
c) Footgear, clothing and other similar items	1 052 2	2 077 0
d) Wood and furniture	978 2	1 588 1
e) Leather and hides	203 3	375 2
f) Paper and cardboard	284 2	596 7
g) Printing	61 0	115 1
h) Rubber	85 7	779 8
1) Tires and tubes	2 8	481 7
i) Chemical products	972 2	3 102 7
1) Paints and dyes	0 8	467 4
2) Toiletries and pharmaceutical products	263 6	1 675 9
j) Mineral products non-metallic	244 3	1 127 8
1) Cement	213 1	722 5
k) Metallic products (except machinery)	63 4	400 9
Total ^{a/}	12 980 9	30 596 5

a. Including non-classified goods.

Source: Official data.

/Based on

Based on official data on importation by three countries--El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras--it is possible to break down the goods according to the industry and sector producing or processing them prior to their entry into intra-Central American trade. This breakdown shows that such trade has, in general, benefited all the sectors (see Table 73 again). The goods of the primary industries increased in this trade from almost 6 million dollars in 1957 to 9.8 million in 1961, while those of the secondary sectors amounted to 7.0 and 20.8 million dollars in the same year respectively. A comparison of these figures indicates that there has been a substantial increase in the degree of processing of goods traded, since because of the greater processing, those of the secondary sector mentioned rose from 54 percent in 1957 to 68 percent of the total in 1961.

The significance of these proportions and their increases for Central American trade is even greater when the industrial groups most benefited are examined. Chapter I outlined in some detail the structure of the manufacturing sector in these countries, but it is advisable to recall that the Central American manufacturing industries can be divided into those recently established and those initiated in the early 1950's or before. A second division might be based on a technical level, which varies between production methods only barely above the artisan level and the most modern techniques, employing highly productive equipment.

Using these definitions with the latitude required by the statistics, it will be noted that trade in the products of the most recent industries was the most dynamic. Thus, rubber articles increased 9 times in value; toiletry and pharmaceutical products, as well as metallic articles, 6.3 times, and nonmetallic mineral products, excluding cement, 14 times. Among the industries considered for the system of Central American integration industries, ^{35/} the figures (which are minimal even though they include re-exports in 1957) are too high to be significant as percentages. Trade in tires and inner tubes increased from 3 000 dollars to 482 000 dollars in 1957 and 1961 respectively. For the same years, values for trade in paints and dyes amounted to 800 dollars and 467 000 dollars.

With respect to the older industries--here designated as traditional--with only one exception the relative increases are less, although in absolute terms they are the most important. Thus, food products increased between 1953 and 1957 by 3.8 million dollars, equivalent to 200 percent, and, among others, footgear and clothing expanded by one million dollars, or 100 percent. The exception mentioned is textiles, whose import value in 1957 came to 225 000 dollars and increased 16 times, amounting to 3.8 million dollars in 1961.

It is difficult to attribute a precise significance to these figures for lack of a basis for comparing them quantitatively and in an orderly manner with those of the corresponding output. However, based on the substitutive analysis by sectors and industries of origin, it is possible to arrive at some preliminary conclusions with respect to the effect of reciprocal trade on Central American production.

35. This refers to the 1958 Agreement on Regulation of Central American Industries of Integration.

/Unquestionably,

Unquestionably, this trade has stimulated the industrialization of Central America. In the first place, it has resulted in more efficient use of equipment that was underutilized due to inherent limitations in the size of the national markets. In some cases, this underutilization was due to simple excess capacity of equipment; in others, such limitations influenced the varieties that existing equipment could produce with a comparatively small additional investment. As a corollary, the differences in this equipment and these additional investments have resulted in a specialization that includes both the industrial groups and the various qualities or other characteristics of the individual articles. Under the influence of this specialization, industrial production has been diversified and has made considerable progress in the replacement of imports from other areas, indicating that in the near future this process will cover about two thirds of the consumer goods still purchased by Central America.

In the second place, reciprocal trade has also stimulated the introduction of modern techniques in the food industry, whose importance in the process of economic development needs no explanation.^{36/} Its development in Central America has been steady and it has consistently been one of the most vigorous industrial branches with regard to both supply of consumer goods and replacement of imports. It should be noted that the prices paid by consumers in the four countries actively participating in reciprocal trade of food commodities have dropped, while they have increased in Costa Rica and the United States, the chief overseas supplier.

Reciprocal trade has also led to the installation of new industries and to a number of manufacturing projects, most of which can be promptly implemented. Among those recently installed are a tire factory in Guatemala, the only investment to date based on the benefits conceded by the regulations governing Central American industries of integration. The same country also has in operation a number of plants of various sizes producing aluminum, plastic and rubber articles and processing or packaging pharmaceutical products, all of which are allocating and increasing share of their output to trade with the other countries of Central America. In at least two countries paint and varnish plants have been installed and are currently operating. In El Salvador, the list of industrial products corresponding to the sample tabulated in 1961 by the General Bureau of Statistics is so diversified that, for all practical purposes, it has no relation to that indicated by the 1956 industrial census.

As will be noted elsewhere, these trends have had different effects on the Central American countries and the main groups of secondary industry.

1. Reciprocal food imports

The coexistence of backward and modern techniques is characteristic of the agricultural sector in underdeveloped countries. Generally speaking, modern methods are more frequently found in export agriculture, while outdated methods are used for domestic consumption crops. Because of this technological factor, it had been observed in much of the underdeveloped world, including many of the Latin American countries, that production for consumption lacks dynamism.

^{36.} By the end of the 1950's, the food industry in the United States represented 12 percent of the value added in manufacturing production, constituting the most important industrial group in that country.

With regard to foodstuffs (see Table 74), the increase in this trade is surprising, since primary production in Central America has followed a more or less parallel trend to that of total population and shows a growth of less than the 5 per cent annual estimated expansion of urban population. Furthermore, if consideration is given to the high value of overseas imports, which in the case of El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras amounted to 18 million dollars in 1961,^{37/} for processed foods (that is, in addition to nonprocessed foods), the recent expansion of reciprocal trade in these products is even somewhat contradictory. This contradiction, however, is only apparent. In the first place, in certain areas consumer-oriented agriculture has been technologically improved in recent years, and, in addition, a series of new crops have been introduced. This is difficult to evaluate on a statistical basis due to the excessive share of the traditional crops in the total value added by agriculture; their technical improvement is restricted by their greater vulnerability to the impact of structural changes. Among the most important new products are milk and its by-products whose production and industrialization have been favored by expansion of the supply of domestic and imported prepared fodder. The same is true for poultry meat ^{38/} and pork, whose dynamism is also concealed by the size of estimated production for on-farm consumption.

In the second place, it should be considered that urban consumers demand processed foods. For example, only insignificant quantities of the 18 million dollars worth imported from overseas by these three countries are used for rural consumption. According to the data presented in the previous section on import composition, this figure runs, depending on the country, between 30 and 70 per cent higher than that for 1953, that is, the market for processed food products is still a broad one in these three countries.

With certain limitations, this broad market area is valid even on the national level, as shown by the recent rise in this production. Although the periods do not cover the same number of years, the indexes of food manufacturing output available indicate during the 1950's annual growth rates of 7.4 per cent in El Salvador, 4.3 per cent in Guatemala, 4.6 per cent in Honduras, 8.8 per cent in Costa Rica and 12.2 per cent in Nicaragua. The rates for agricultural consumer production, including cotton seed, register comparatively low levels.

This industrialization of farm commodities has been based not only on the growing demand for processed foods, but also on the supply of raw materials. Due to the difference between them there is a certain degree of specialization that is reflected in the trade between these countries. Thus, El Salvador has sharply increased its production and exportation of vegetable oils and oil-seed cakes, for which it has had available expanding volumes of domestic cotton seed and the ginning of Honduran cotton. Its milling capacity is also important,

37. According to the ECLA estimate, this figure came to 40 million dollars for the five countries in 1960. ECLA, Estado General y Perspectivas del Programa de Integración Económica del Istmo Centroamericano, Mexico City, January 1963.

38. Part of this production is derived from poultry for fattening imported from the United States. Exports from that country to Central America came to almost 3 million head in 1961, in addition to 840 000 eggs for incubation.

Table 74

CENTRAL AMERICA: INTRA-CENTRAL AMERICAN IMPORTS BY EL SALVADOR,
GUATEMALA AND HONDURAS BY FOOD INDUSTRY OF ORIGIN IN
1957 AND 1961

(In thousands of dollars and percentages)

	1957	1961	Index 1961 (1957 = 100)
	<u>Thousands of dollars</u>		
1. Meat preparation and curing	17.9	205.1	1 145
2. Dairy products	342.8	417.4	1 220
3. Canned goods, except fish	38.5	314.1	815
4. Milled grains	178.9	542.7	304
5. Sugar and preparations	633.7	339.9	54
6. Sugar confections and chocolate	220.8	944.3	425
7. Miscellaneous	400.8	2 979.7	7 450
Total ^{a/}	1 875.5	5 620.1	300
Total, ^{a/} excluding sugar	1 241.8	5 280.2	425

a. Including nonclassified industries and marine products.

Source and Notes: See Table 73. The standard international classification of all human activities by the United Nations has been the basis for the classification used in this table. The apparent differences are due, on the one hand, to the need for reconciling it with more properly Central American definitions on what constitutes primary production (green coffee, for example) or secondary production and, on the other, to the fact that in the secondary sector subproducts without subsequent processing were assigned to the principal industry.

The comparability between the two years is inexact because of changes in tariff definitions by the countries.

/and it has been

and it has been able to expand rapidly its output of flour and bran. In both cases, part of the expansion has resulted from exports to Central America, which in 1961 amounted to 20 per cent of the produced value of oils and 8 per cent of milling.

In Guatemala, the canning industry (except fish) is undergoing a process of initial expansion, having utilized to date only a minimum of the important national potential for the production of fruits and vegetables. Expansion in both the present and the immediate future is based on the growing importance of the Central American market to this sector, whose imports from abroad represent approximately ten times the value of the corresponding Central American trade.

Honduran exports indicate its livestock potential by the fact that its exports of milk and meat by-products expanded. The tonnage of exports of edible vegetable oils prepared for consumption rose by 525 per cent between 1958 and 1961, due to an increase of 50 per cent in the volume allocated to El Salvador and 800 per cent in that sold to Guatemala. It is noted that there is a difference between the Salvadoran product, chiefly oils, and the Honduran, margarines, which points up the specialization existing at the level of the industrial group.

It is difficult to judge the potential role of Costa Rica in this trade. For the time being, the most important products imported by El Salvador, Guatemala and Nicaragua from Costa Rica are cacao manufactures, including cocoa, candy bars and other confections.

The foregoing shows that the increase in reciprocal trade of processed foods has been not only favorable to the Central American nutrition, but has also contributed to the industrialization of agricultural production for consumption demanded by the economic development of these countries. Although in this case it is difficult to judge its importance by the size of manufacturing installations, it has certainly permitted greater development than that offered by the national markets individually and has helped to add value to the sub-products of two main groups.

2. Reciprocal textile imports

Manufacturing output of fabric in Central America received considerable impetus in the early 1940's when overseas textile products disappeared almost completely from the market. The subsequent renewal of these supplies led to a deterioration in this industry, which was not offset by the subsequent elevation of tariffs. Total Central American textile production declined in varying degrees, depending on the country and the fiber. The most seriously affected sector appeared to be the production of rayon cloth in Guatemala which, judging by the census data for 1946 and 1953, declined by two thirds.

Production, particularly cotton fabrics, rapidly recovered and even exceeded its former level at the initiation of a phase of persistent economic

/growth that

growth that led to expanded domestic demand. It also contributed to the expansion of cotton production, which facilitated the rapid rise in spinning capacity. This increased output, however, was based on a very limited variety of cloth with little or no finish, and it was thus necessary to import in order to satisfy the demand for intermediate and complete finishes.

This division of supply was due to the fact that although national markets permitted the operation of this industry they were, on the other hand, insufficient for mass production of medium and high quality cloth. Consequently, equipment capacity lacked coordination in the different stages of yarn and fabric processing,^{39/} since it was ample for the production of raw yarn and fabric, insufficient for the intermediate stages and practically nonexistent for the final finishing processes. A study ^{40/} of the Salvadoran textile industry at the start of the 1950's shows the influence of market size on this bottleneck and the limitations imposed by lack of diversification on replacement of imports.

According to this study, El Salvador lacked at that time machinery to polish yarn or mercerize cloth, while most of the plants also lacked equipment to dye and give other finishes to the intermediate and final goods. Consequently, there existed a situation "prejudicial to the sale of Salvadoran textile products, thus creating a preference for imported products." However, the study found that "it was obvious that the purchase by individual factories of additional equipment" and the costs of operating it were "completely out of proportion" to possible sales.^{41/} In order to remedy this situation, it suggested the investment of 780 000 dollars in installation of a plant for finished textiles of a scale adequate to serve the entire national textile industry.

This bottleneck in productive capacity was not noticeable in the 1950-57 period when Salvadoran textile output grew rapidly, at the rate of 2.9 percent annually, during the years 1954-57. As in the other countries, this growth was not so much the result of improved technology within the sector as of the concentration of its production on the most popular qualities of cloth. The most important of these was the production of manta, which between the two census years of 1951 and 1956 expanded by 17.3 percent annually. In addition to manta, a coarse unbleached cotton, most fabric production consisted, even up to 1957, of manta-denim and denim. Dyed and printed cloth in that year accounted for less than 10 percent of the value of such fabrics.

The difficulties in diversifying this production described above correspond to a period of expansion of the Central American economy and, consequently, of demand for cloth.^{42/} It would be logical to assume that the economic deterioration after 1956 would have accentuated these problems, as would have the degree

39. It should be noted that textile equipment is supplied by countries where this industry normally operates on an integrated basis.

40. United Nations, The Textile Industry in El Salvador, New York, 1954.

41. Ibid., p. 34.

42. A study by the Inter-American Development Bank, La Industria Textil en América Latina, Washington, June 1962, shows a very minor growth in per capita cloth consumption. The figures given are expressed in kilos and, consequently, indicate only one aspect of textile demand in Central America.

of import replacement that had then been achieved through the production of coarse cottons and other popular types of fabric.^{43/} However, this was not the case. Salvadoran production, which, it will be recalled, had been increasing up to 1957 at the rate of 2.9 percent a year, began a persistent and rapid expansion that amounted to a cumulative rate of 18.9 percent between 1957 and 1961. The index corresponding to Guatemala also shows an acceleration, climbing from an average annual increase of 2.2 percent in 1950-57 to 5.8 percent between 1957 and 1961. The textile industry for Central America as a whole exhibits the same trend, increasing its production rate from 2.5 percent in 1950-57 to 8.7 percent annually in 1957-60.

It would be a mistake to conclude that reciprocal trade was the sole decisive factor in these trends. In the first place, the size of the national markets was larger by virtue of the steady economic development prior to 1957. In Guatemala, El Salvador and Costa Rica it had even made possible additional equipment that resulted in a better finished product and, at least in El Salvador, the printing of woven and knitted fabrics. Furthermore, the production of clothing, rubber footgear and furniture, whose cloth inputs were partly drawn from abroad,^{44/} continued their rapid growth after 1957 and at the same time increased the percentage of Central American textile inputs.

It is also obvious that the suppression of most restrictions on intra-Central American trade, resulting from the 1958 Multilateral Treaty, created expectations of a broader market and stimulated the additional investments required to improve coordination of the stages of production and diversify output. These expectations were fully realized in the two ^{45/} countries where the textile industry had developed most fully and to a lesser extent in the others. Reciprocal trade of textile products increased from 225 000 dollars in 1957 to 3 755 000 dollars in 1961 in the case of Honduras, Guatemala and El Salvador; to these figures must be added the increment obtained by the trade of products with thread and cloth inputs, such as the industries mentioned in the preceding paragraph.

In attempting to show the relation between this trade and production, the information on El Salvador is again utilized. Exports of yarn and woven fabrics from that country to Central America in 1957 came to 167 thousand dollars, a comparatively small figure as related to production for that year. In 1961, following a steady increase, this value came to 2.4 million, at a time when sales by this industry for consumption, manufacture and export amounted to 7 million dollars. Accepting the small error of comparability between the two values, it follows that one third of its activity was generated in 1961 by sales

^{43.} Nicaraguan textile output tripled between 1950 and 1955, declined by 20 percent in 1956, and has risen slowly and irregularly since then. The drop observed in Honduran textile production is due to a fire in its main plant.

^{44.} In 1956, for example, the El Salvador census showed an input of imported cloth that came to 80 percent of total cloth input for clothing and 100 percent for the other two industries. In 1961, these ratios had declined to 30 percent and 75 percent respectively on the average.

^{45.} Excluding Costa Rica, which in 1957 did not participate to the same extent as the others in the Central American market.

to the regional market. Although no information is available on additional investments in textile finishing, it is interesting to note that in 1961 manta represented 33 percent of all cotton cloth produced, as compared to the 54 percent recorded by the 1956 census. An important share of these sales consisted of products with a high degree of finish.

Nevertheless, exports by El Salvador were not the only proof of the demand of the Central American market. Guatemalan exports climbed from a minimum figure in 1957 to close to 320 000 dollars in fabrics, chiefly rayon, to which should be added, also in 1961, 170 000 dollars in knitted cotton and rayon fabrics.^{46/} Honduras is exporting more than 200 000 dollars in ready-made clothing, as compared to only 40 thousand dollars in 1957.

One factor that deserves detailed study is the specialization shown by figures on this trade. According to the statistics on foreign trade by El Salvador in 1961 (see Table 75) the country imports and exports yarn and cloth, with Guatemala as its main Central American supplier and buyer. There is, however, a considerable difference between the two cases with respect to fiber, type (weight per meter in Table 75) and finish. Thus, El Salvador supplied raw cotton thread and received bleached cotton thread and rayon yarn. The differences in type of fabric are also notable. El Salvador exports to Guatemala cotton and synthetic fiber fabrics and receives, in turn, rayon and heavier cotton fabrics.

These differences indicate a specialization based on differences in the degree of utilization of basic equipment capacity (spindles and looms) and on new investments in additional finishing equipment. In the latter case, it indicates that even in an industry such as textiles where there is a certain parallelism among the five countries, there is a broad enough margin to justify additional investments by Central America as a whole and thus obtain a diversification aimed at accelerating reciprocal trade and replacing overseas textile imports.

^{46.} The Guatemalan figures correspond to imports by El Salvador and Honduras.

Table 75

EL SALVADOR: TRADE IN TEXTILE PRODUCTS WITH GUATEMALA, 1961

(In kilograms)

	Imports	Exports
I. SPINNING PRODUCTS		
1. Cotton		
a. raw	-	883 593
b. bleached, dyed or mercerized	3 765	-
2. Rayon	289	-
II. WOVEN PRODUCTS		
1. Cotton		
a. raw		
less than 80 grams x m ²	14 368	52 864
more than 80 grams x m ²	34 893	2
b. bleached, dyed, mercerized, printed, etc.		
less than 80 grams x m ²	7	385 721
more than 80 grams x m ²	10 757	81 752
more than 150 grams x m ²	7 415	3 011
2. Artificial or synthetic fibers		
rayon	38 356	-
others	705	3 011

Source: Dirección General de Estadística y Censos, Anuario Estadístico,
Vol. I, Foreign Trade, San Salvador, 1962.

/E. PROSPECTS

E. PROSPECTS FOR INTER-CENTRAL AMERICAN TRADE

Information currently available and the structural changes likely to occur in the near future restrict any analysis of future prospects of intra-regional trade to a very exploratory level. These prospects should be considered in the light of predictable economic development in Central America, the resulting variations in final and derived demand and the effect of these demands on domestic supply and imports.

Basically, intrazonal trade is a dynamic factor in Central American economic development, for it has brought a new dimension to the scale of industrialization. Existing industrial installations are generally unable to cover the demand of the regional market.^{47/} In addition, it enables recent and proposed installations to diversify, which is essential--because of induced expansion in derived demand--to the subsequent pursuit of industrial development.

This new market dimension is, however, very recent, since the Multilateral Trade Treaty dates from 1958 and the General Treaty was only signed in December 1960. Furthermore, Costa Rica participated in reciprocal trade only on the basis of bilateral treaties up to 1962; consequently, its effect on production and investment has been only partially felt. In view of the difficulties involved in determining the index of macroeconomic reference this analysis is confined to an exploratory study of the margin of industrialization derived from the new market dimension not yet utilized. In order to project regional trade, it will be necessary to undertake additional studies based on the opportunities offered by future economic growth.

1. Factors delaying reciprocal trade and the integration program

a. Previous integration experience

Although customs tariffs agreements are now in the operational stage, there is no doubt that other institutional aspects are still in a formative and experimental phase.^{48/} The integration agencies are faced by the need to solve highly important problems with no precedents to serve as a guide. It is to be expected, therefore, that their effectiveness will continue to increase to the extent that they develop pragmatically a new and suitable methodology. It should be kept in mind that there is a total lack of experience in the economic integration of underdeveloped countries.

^{47/} Practically the only important exception is the wheat milling industry, whose capacity, partly because of its location and partly because of the institutional barriers that still exist, could duplicate current production and more than satisfy the demand for wheat flour.

^{48/} For example, questions of customs legislation and trademarks. It should also be noted that trade is closely tied to tax legislation in general.

Outside of Europe, economic integration experience in the twentieth century that might serve as a pattern for Central America is non-existent. Furthermore, the industrialization conditions of the six European countries, their advanced level of technology, the massive aid they received from the United States during the 1940's and 1950's, the size of their national domestic markets,^{49/} and their relative independence in the production of capital goods make comparison with Central America difficult.

This information gap makes it essential to continue and accelerate studies of the Central American economy as a whole, which should focus on both production and the services and regional agencies required to complete the process of economic integration.

Although these agencies were provided for and defined in previous years by the Committee on Economic Cooperation of the Central American Isthmus, they have only recently been set up. These include the Permanent Secretariat of the General Treaty on Central American Economic Integration (SIECA), the Central American Committee on Industrial Initiatives, the Central American Chamber of Compensation, and the Central American Bank for Economic Integration, whose effective action has not yet been fully implemented. The last agency commenced operation only in September 1961. Mention should also be made of the Central American Institute for Industrial Technological Research (ICAITI) and the Central American College of Public Administration (ESAPAC).

b. New demands on managerial capacity in the manufacturing sector

The industrialists of a Latin American country know the absorption capacity of the national market and the competitive activities of other entrepreneurs. This knowledge, largely the result of extended experience, enables them to project their capacity and to regulate their production with an anticipated measure of risk. In the case of Central America, this knowledge has rapidly transcended national boundaries in certain cases, particularly where the industrialists were invited to participate in organization of the bilateral free trade treaties. Even so, most of this group, either because the size of the enterprise prevented regional-scale operation or because of their lack of managerial ability, were unable to analyze market expansion in their particular case. Even in such industries as textiles, where increased regional sales have been accompanied by a certain degree of specialization, this situation restricts sales to the regional market. Thus, for example, despite the fact that the demand for cotton poplin multiplied approximately 12 times between 1957 and 1961, attaining in the latter year a volume that exceeded optimum plant capacity by 40 percent, existing or projected companies with finishing equipment will only be able to satisfy approximately one fifth of this total consumption.

^{49.} Note that even the smallest country of the EEC (excepting Luxembourg) has almost the same population and a much larger domestic market than all of the five Central American countries together.

This is due in part to the fact that the major share of such consumption corresponds to the derived demand of shirt factories in El Salvador, while direct consumer sales--more easily estimated by the producer--show very little change. This factor will automatically tend to correct itself, but such a correction could be accelerated by means of an advisory agency such as ICAITI, to conduct regional market studies, and through a closer relationship between national associations of manufacturing entrepreneurs.

c. Regional trade mechanisms

Reciprocal trade is not properly wholesale by nature and does not follow the same course as traditional foreign trade. Traditional trade possesses a relatively efficient organization by which it links overseas buyers and suppliers with the banking systems, transportation and storage facilities and wholesale and retail trade. With respect to imports, consumer goods are distributed in each Central American country according to the geographical structure of effective demand and intermediate and capital goods, at the direct request of the producers.

This mechanism is still deficient with regard to intra-Central American trade. Regional marketing of farm commodities is carried out on a rudimentary level that has an unfavorable effect on the volume and form in which these goods enter reciprocal trade. In recent years, it has acquired greater effectiveness with the establishment and expansion of official agencies regulating the production and trade of grain, but the flow of goods from the producer to the wholesaler has been stepped up primarily through massive expansion of the transporter, the most common but only initially the most efficient intermediary in Latin America.

Studies are currently being conducted on farm marketing in Central America; those on grain have already been completed in preliminary form.^{50/} Although these studies and the investments in storage facilities recommended constitute a long step forward, the introduction of modern technology to the trade of other farm commodities is imperative in order to achieve the diversification and increased processing required by the Central American demand for foodstuffs. It should be noted that although the replacement of overseas grain imports has attained quite a satisfactory margin, in 1961 imports of foods that could be produced in Central America were valued at more than 20 million dollars.

With respect to manufacturing products, there was an almost total lack of a Central American marketing mechanism prior to 1957. Since that time, several wholesalers have expanded their operations to other national markets and have helped to improve regional distribution of consumer goods. Most of this distribution continued, however, to depend on direct contacts among producers, on

50. See ECLA, Comité de Cooperación Económica del Istmo Centroamericano, Progreso de los Estudios sobre Producción y Mercado Integrado de Granos en Centroamérica, Doc. E/CN.12/CCE/271, San Salvador, January 1963.

the establishment of sales branches in another country and, for most of the small and intermediate producers, on agreements with a wholesaler in the country receiving the merchandise. Consequently, there is a lack of relation between the structure of demand and the distribution of Central American production, which occasionally leads to assumed saturation of a Central American market in which a volume of the same article as that imported from abroad is often sold.

This factor will tend to correct itself in time, since it depends on the volume and regularity of the transactions. It is presumed that, prior to 1967, it will have acquired an efficiency comparable to that of overseas trade.

2. Replacement of overseas imports and reciprocal trade

The chief characteristic of Central American trade is the regional scope it provides for the replacement of overseas imports. This is the basis for expectations of its continued growth and the installation and projections of new manufacturing plants (see Table 76). It is also the reason for the pronounced expansion of this trade--together with the corresponding investments--despite the decline in Central American capacity to import.

The process of import replacement has been basic to the economic development of Latin America, for it has led to more intensive industrial development, based primarily on additions to the market, than was possible to the exporting sectors because of the variable conditions of demand and prices on the international market. Except for isolated cases, economic development has been accompanied by the replacement of imports, a circumstance also observable in the recent past in Central America. It would be reasonable to expect that this process will continue on an individual basis in each country, but that its dynamism would be related to market expansion.

In other words, the actual prospects for growth of reciprocal trade are based on the procedure to be adopted and the scope to be achieved by the integration process. If entrepreneurs persist in installing industries that duplicate existing production, some of the advantages offered by the common market with regard to economies of scale will disappear and, in this case, the flow of goods to reciprocal trade will be curtailed. On the other hand, if the integration authorities succeed in preventing the anti-economical duplication of investments, this will lead to the advantages inherent in a larger plant, and the production resulting from replacement of overseas imports will necessarily expand reciprocal trade.

Estimates on the growth of the latter entail, therefore, an analysis of the possibilities of replacing overseas imports.

Table 76

CENTRAL AMERICA: MANUFACTURING PLANTS RECENTLY COMPLETED
OR UNDER CONSTRUCTION AND PLANNED FOR 1963 ^{a/}

(Number of installations)

Industry	Industrial structure in 1958 % of value added	Completed or under construction	Planned
Food	26.5	11	3
Textiles and Clothing	27.3	1	3
Wood	4.1	--	1
Furniture	2.1	--	1
Paper, cardboard and their products	0.2	--	3
Rubber products	0.5	2	1
Chemical products	4.3	7	8
Glass and ceramics	...	1	6
Metal manufactures (CIU 350)	0.9	1	5
Electrical products (CIU 370)	0.3	3	5
Miscellaneous	1.3	2	2
Total	<hr/> 100.0 ^{b/}	<hr/> 28	<hr/> 38

a. Excluding expansion of existing capacity and industries protected by the agreement on Industries of Integration.

b. Including other industrial groups.

Source: Unofficial information.

/The 1961

The 1961 Estudio Económico de América Latina 51/ summarized the state of that process and classified its phases into the stages of replacement of consumer goods, intermediate goods and, finally, capital goods. It stated that a given relation between of the size market and economies of scale corresponds to each of these three stages.

Although this very marked subdivision of the import replacement process is real, only if restricted definitions for the three types of goods are accepted, it does permit a description of industrialization based on the import replacement process as a logistic curve by stages that tends to a limit equal in size to the corresponding demand. 52/ That is, at any point on the curve, the possibility of replacement at a given time can be evaluated by how much it differs from the limit to which it tends or by measuring imports at that time, which amounts to the same thing.

Undoubtedly, this scheme suffers from a certain degree of simplification, for, on the one hand, the demands that constitute the limits vary in size and, on the other, there are ecological limitations in the case of farm commodities and restrictions imposed by economies of scale on manufactures. It is also true that, in the latter case, the economies of scale affecting the production of intermediate goods can only be utilized once the first stage of import replacement has been completed or shows signs of completion, in the foreseeable future.

Despite these observations, the simplified scheme is obviously applicable to the case of Central America, where economic integration has brought about a significant variation in the adjustments made in the past by economies of scale with regard to the replacement process. The former subdivision of the market was the chief obstacle to industrialization, as shown by the increase in reciprocal trade of manufactures in the last five years.

The expansion of such trade has encountered negative factors preventing the growth of both agricultural and manufacturing production in some of the branches where the new scope of the market had eliminated the restrictions on investments previously imposed by economies of scale. It should be noted that there is at present a deferred margin of overseas import replacement composed mainly of farm commodities, consumer and intermediate goods produced by light industries and construction materials. Following a comparison of the import value of these goods with plant size in other countries, (see Annex 1) it has been estimated that one hundred million dollars, or more than one fifth of overseas imports, corresponds to goods whose potential replacement was made possible by the formation of the common market but whose actual replacement has been deferred.

Elimination of this lag would require a step-up in the growth rate of manufactures, which would, of course, depend on the number of years needed to

51. OAS/ECLA, Estudio Económico de América Latina, 1961, Washington, D. C., 1962.

52. This simplification is excessive in the case of capital goods. Here reference is made only to consumer and intermediate goods.

complete the investments required. The magnitude of this rate can be evaluated by the fact that food production at the plant level ^{53/} would have to increase by between 25 and 30 per cent, while textiles and clothing would have to double. In the case of manufacturing groups that are less developed or simply nonexistent in Central America, there would be very high relative additions of particular significance with reference to paper and cardboard, rubber, cement and finished construction materials.

Although the probability of error may be very high, it is estimated that investments designed to eliminate the deferred margin of replacement would enable the manufacturing growth rate to increase from the current 8 per cent a year to 12 per cent, if a term of five years is allowed for completion of such investments. Even accepting a greater probability of error, it is difficult to estimate the amount of such investments, since there is little precision with respect to the potential yield of underutilized capacity, an other unfavorable consequence of the former subdivision of the market, and to the percentage represented by supplementary additions to equipment. In no case can be estimated initial figure be less than 150 million dollars in fixed equipment used directly for production, a figure which will rise sharply if only part of this underutilized capacity can be used.

One of the reasons for difficulty in determining investment is the possibility of initiating almost simultaneously productions that would supplement the preceding ones. This latent margin of additional replacement is composed of raw materials and intermediate manufactures corresponding primarily to the chemical and base metal industries. The case of rayon illustrates the difference between the former category of replaceable articles and the new one of products whose replacement is subject to increased manufacturing development.

In 1959, Central America imported 700 tons of rayon fiber and yarn and the equivalent of 4,000 tons of raw rayon in fabric and clothing. A plant operating economically and at costs perhaps lower than those on the international market could produce 1,200 tons of acetate and 3,000 tons of viscose.^{54/} That is to say, deferred replacement, in this case cloth and clothing, and latent replacement, fiber, could be carried out separately or simultaneously, resulting in different estimates of investment and domestic product despite the fact that consumption of the finished product would not change. The investment required to replace rayon cloth, at the rate of 4,400 dollars per ton of spinning and weaving capacity, may amount to 17.6 million dollars plus another 6 million dollars for a finishing plant that could also be used to process current output of rayon cloth. The addition of a rayon plant would require a minimum of 11 million dollars more and the industry as a whole would need a working capital of 7 million.

In other words, either 22 or 35 million dollars may be invested to supply fully production for a consumption whose imports would amount in five years to 40 million dollars. This investment would increase sixfold the production of rayon cloth and, by increasing the domestic supply of textile fibers by one

53. The processing of parchmant coffee is omitted in this case.

54. See United Nations, ECLA, Doc. E/CN.12/CEE/245.

/third, would

third, would make it feasible to replace imports of a basic group of chemical products, since rayon production requires cellulose, sulphuric acid and caustic soda, three products widely used in the manufacturing sector.

It will be noted that future trade expansion, which would hypothetically include two thirds of the production aimed at reducing the deferred margin of replacement and perhaps one third to one half in other cases, 55/ depends largely on the continued process of Central American industrialization. Its location is also important, since the flow of goods to such trade will tend to increase with scattered production and to decrease with concentrated production unless such concentration should reduce the radial trend of trade and thus help to maintain the self-liquidation 56/ of current trade balances.

55. It is assumed here that the producer country would absorb a higher percentage than the average of the other countries and that industries satisfying derived demand would be located preferentially near the purchasers.

56. Hypothetically, there is a need for an increase in the industrial base in Honduras and Nicaragua over and above the regional average in order to maintain a balanced flow of goods. The replacement of imports in the case of raw foodstuffs that constitute the chief export of these two countries to the region is limited in volume and save for an improbable increase of its elasticity demand coefficient in the other countries, would not suffice to finance increased purchases of manufactures by Honduras and Nicaragua.

ANNEX

CENTRAL AMERICA: OVERSEAS AND RECIPROCAL IMPORTS IN 1961, IN APPROXIMATE
RELATION TO REPLACEMENT POTENTIAL

	1961 Imports		Observations
	Overseas (millions of dollars)	Reciprocal	
A. Primary sector			
Agriculture	19.1	10.4	Partial replacement only.
Wheat	7.6	--	
Forestry	1.4	0.1	
Mining	4.3	0.3	These imports would increase in the future.
B. Secondary Sector			
1. <u>Existing industries</u>			
<u>Food</u>			
Meat	1.8	0.2	Export industry.
Canned fish	1.2	0.3	Export industry.
Grain milling	7.6	0.7	Underutilized regional capacity.
Bakeries	0.5	0.1	
Sugar	1.4	0.4	Export industry.
Confections	0.9	0.7	
Fats, fodder and miscellaneous foods	4.4	3.3	
<u>Textiles, foorgear and ready-made clothing</u>			
Woven yarn and fabric	40.8	3.0	More than half are cotton. There are no apparent limitations imposed by economies of scale.

/ANNEX I (cont.)

ANNEX
(cont.)

	1961 Imports		
	Overseas	Reciprocal	Observations
	(millions of dollars)		
Knitted fabric	2.8	0.9	Same as above.
Apparel	6.1	0.8	
Other clothing	6.9	1.0	Includes hard fibers and wool.
Footgear	1.7	0.8	Underutilized regional capacity.
<u>Wood and furniture</u>			
Sawmills	1.2	1.3	Export industry.
Wood products	0.8	0.3	
Plywood	0.1	0.2	
Furniture	1.5	0.3	
<u>Paper and cardboard products</u>			
Intermediate and consumer goods	9.1		A plant to manufacture cardboard boxes was installed in 1962.
Printing	3.2		Books, magazines and related products represent only 0.7 millions dollars
<u>Leather and hides</u>			
Tanning plants	2.8		
Articles	1.3		
<u>Rubber</u>			
Tires and tubes	4.6	0.9	A second plant will be installed in Costa Rica.
Rubber articles	2.5		
<u>Chemical products</u>			
Soaps and cleansing preparations	2.4	0.4	Plants under construction or planned in Honduras, El Salvador and Nicaragua.

ANNEX
(cont.)

	1961 Imports		Observations
	Overseas (millions of dollars)	Reciprocal	
Paints	2.1	0.6	Oil plant under construction in Nicaragua
Fats	0.7	1.4	
2. <u>Industries made possible by regional market</u>			
<u>Food</u>			
Dairy products	6.8	0.4	Overseas imports have decreased as milk production has expanded. Increased replacement requires improved dairy herds.
Dehydrated milk	2.7	--	
Cheese	0.3		Construction will be initiated in 1963 on a plant in Nicaragua.
Preserved fruits and vegetables	3.1	0.4	Investment is planned for a cheddar cheese plant.
			The average capacity of a canning factory is 1.3 million dollars in sales (1958). Freezing plants sell 0.3 million dollars.
<u>Textiles</u>			
Rayon, intermediate and finished products	8.0		See text.
<u>Chemical products</u>			
Insecticides	14.6	0.6	Construction will start soon on a chlorinated insecticide plant in Nicaragua. Similar projects also exist in El Salvador and Guatemala.

General Note: It is planned to produce caustic soda and chlorine in Nicaragua and sulphuric acid in El Salvador; a sodium sulphate plant is currently under construction in Honduras.